

Law (1925) Department.
Education

Despatch SERIES.

G.O. No.	410
DATED	28/3/25

*Para 71 of
M.A. Report
for 1925*

[Despatch Abstract.]

District Educational Councils - Additional allotment for
payment of grants to aided elementary schools - Sanctioned.

EDUCATION - DEPARTMENT.

Landed 1A
2-1-25

Received..... 192 . Registered..... 192

Subject.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

No. Rb. 240/24

Spare copies.....

Station Kokkirakulam, Dated the 19th December 1924.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. K.S. Ponnuswami Pillai Avl.,
 (Designation) B.A., B.L., M.L.C.,
 President District Educational
 Council, Tinnevely.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION - Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose herewith a statement showing the increase or decrease in Teaching Grants paid by the District Educational Councils in this Presidency. It will be seen that owing to want of sufficient funds this Council alone has had to deduct the Teaching - grants during the last 3 years consecutively as follows:-
 1/12 of the Teaching grants earned by each school for the year 1921-22, 1/12, for 1922-23 and 1/8 for 1923-1924.

Next comes Cuddappah which has had to pay reduced grants during the last 2 years. Only during 1923-24 ie. last year some twelve Councils have had to apply a little pruning.

The Church Missionary Society, District Church -

Church Council Palamcottah has already sent up a memorial which was referred to this Council for remarks in Director of Public Instruction's No.695 B/24 dated 3-5-1924. I have herewith attached a copy of this Council's resolution No.84 dated 22-9-24 ^{84 dated 22-9-24} ~~dated 22-9-24~~ ~~dated 14-7-24~~ stating that increments above scale grant may not be given unless there is a surplus after paying the normal grants as per the code.

Now in G.O.No.1382 dated 26-8-24 only a sum of Rs.219984 has been allotted to this Council for teaching grants in 24-25 as against Rs.250000 applied for in the Budget. The amount required for the current year is -- necessarily greater as there are 138 additional aided -- schools. The Council's assignment is expected to be about Rs.251000 and the Council has decided to pay all par and minus grants and to give the increases due for efficiency pro-rata from the balance available; so that efficiency will suffer unless an additional grant is given for this District. Including last year's unspent balance of Rs. 654-4-0 we have Rs.220638-4-0. The allotment asked for has been reduced by nearly Rs.29362.

Now this is the fourth year of such reduction in this District and managing bodies are in consequence in difficulties and constant reduction of grants to deserving schools recommended by the Educational authorities will not, I am sure, be an encouragement to the expansion of Elementary Education. I have the honour to request you would be so good as to sanction an additional sum of Rs.25000 to this Council for Teaching grants for 24-25.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd.) K.S.Ponnuswami

President

(By order)

Secretary
District Educational Council Tinnevelly.

S.P.S.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL COUNCIL TINNEVELLY.

Present :--

M.R.Ry. K.S.Ponnuswami Pillai Avl., B.A., B.L., M.L.C., President.

Resolution No.84 dated 22-9-24

Read the following:-

N.R.C.841/24 dated 21-8-24 from the District Educational Officer Tinnevelly proposing to place the subject referred to in Resolution No.7 dated 14-7-24 of this Council on the agenda of the next meeting of the Council (referred to him for favour of remarks.)

The Council accepts the resolution of Rev.Evans.

(Sd.) K.S.Ponnuswami

President.

(True copy)

Secretary
District Educational Council,
Tinnevelly.

S.P.S.

The Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts

TINNEVELLY DIOCESAN COMMITTEE.

Rev. W. E. Evans,
Secretary.

Tuticorin 7th April 1924.

To
The Secretary,
District Educational Council,
Tinnevelly.

Dear Sir,

Will you kindly place upon the agenda of the next meeting of the District Educational Council the following resolution:-

(1) That the Elementary schools in the District for the purpose of Teaching Grant be placed in three divisions-

(a) Those entitled to the grant according to the Code.

(b) Those recommended by the District Educational Officer for a reduction in Teaching Grant.

(c) Those recommended by the District Educational Officer for an increase over and above the Teaching Grant according to the Code.

(2) That no increase under (c) be given to the Teaching Grants for schools unless there is a surplus amount after paying the grants according to the Code due to the schools mentioned in (a).

Yours faithfully,

(Sd.) W. E. Evans.

(True copy)

S. P. S.
Secretary
District Educational Council,
Tinnevelly.

S.P.S.

Statement showing the increase or decrease in Teaching Grants as paid by the various District Educational Councils.

		Rate of reduction or increment						Remarks.
Serial number	Name of Districts	1921-22		1922-23		1923-24		
		I.	D.	I.	D.	I.	D.	
1	Ganjam	"	"	"	"	"	1/16	* Increases above Scale reduced by 50% Day. Night
2	Vizagapatam	"	"	"	"	"	1/6	
3	Godavari	"	"	"	"	"	9%	
4	Kistna	"	"	"	"	"	5%	
5	Guntur	"	"	"	"	"	"	
6	Kurnool	"	"	"	"	"	"	
7	Anantapur	"	"	"	"	"	"	
8	Buddapah	"	"	"	6 1/4 % 4 1/4 % 25%	"	12%	
9	Nellore	"	"	"	"	"	"	
10	Madras	"	"	"	"	"	1/11	
11	Chingleput	"	"	"	"	"	"	Schools which had earned increase got 20% decrease Increases decreased
12	North Arcot	"	"	"	"	"	"	
13	Chittoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	
14	South Arcot	"	"	"	"	"	"	
15	Tanjore	"	"	"	"	"	"	
16	Trichinopoly	"	2%	"	"	"	"	
17	Madura	"	"	"	"	"	"	
18	Ramnad	"	"	"	"	"	"	
19	Coimbatore	"	"	"	"	"	1/12	
20	Salem	"	"	"	"	"	"	
21	Malabar	"	"	"	"	"	9.66%	Increases modified
22	South Canara (Mangalore)	"	"	"	"	"	"	
23	Tinnevelly	1/12		1/13		1/8.		

(Sd.) K. S. Ponnuswami

(True copy) (Sd.) K. S. Ponnuswami
President
District Educational Council Tinne

Law (Edn) Deptt.

Memo. No.

8.

D.E.C., Tinnevely - Teaching grant.
application for additional allotment.

President's letter Rs. No. 140/148 19-12-24.

The President, Dt: Edl. Council,
Tinnevely, is informed that, for want
of funds, the Government are unable
to sanction any additional ~~amount~~
~~for~~ the payment of teaching grants
in ~~the~~ ~~current~~ ~~official~~ year.

The P., D.E.C., Tinnevely.

AD
7.1.25
MS
8/1/25

Q
8.1.25

VTK
9.1

May be submitted to M₂ for

perusal.

VTK
9.1

MS
8/1/25

M₂

The case may be circulated
to my Hon. Col. C₃. The Secy.
- 2nd asked for 30 lakhs for
for payment of grants actually
earned by aided schools.
The other connected file also may
be sub up - Done



* linked
+ sent to Finance

The file referred to in M₂'s note on p. 1 above
is linked below. As C₃ has since agreed to a
provision of Rs 30.19 lakhs in the next year's budget,
this file need not perhaps be circulated to him
for orders.

AD
23/1/25
MS
24/1/25

Q
24.1.25
VTK
26.1

M₂

MS
27.1.25

The D.I. has since reported that funds
can be made available for the purpose by
reappropriation and that he will ^(shortly) submit proposals
for the grant of additional teaching grants. Submit
this may lie over till the Director's proposals are
received.

AD
28/1/25
MS
29/1/25

Q
28.1.25
VTK
29.1



Educational

DEPARTMENT.

Recd 18/12

R.C. No. 2005

Received

192

Registered

Subject.



Government Memorandum No.3895- A-2, dated 3rd December 1924--

Additional Allotment under Teaching Grant.



ENCLOSURES.

R.O.C. No. 90/24

Station Calicut, Dated the 14th December 1924.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. V. Madhava Rajah Ayl., M.L.C.,

(Designation) President, District Educational

Council, Malabar.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum, referred to above, I have the honour to state that it would be too hard on the managers of aided elementary schools to reduce the teaching grants due to them year after year and to pay the new schools at their cost.

I have ~~not~~ not overlooked the number of old schools removed from the aided list, when applying for additional -- allotment. The same was not shown in my letter R.O.C. No. 90/24 dated 15-10-1924 being very few. The number of old schools removed is 5 against 132 admitted to aid.

In G.O. No.154 Misc., Law (Education) dated 24-1-1923 and G.O. No.6 Mis., dated 3-1-1924, the District Educational Councils

Councils have been authorised to admit new schools to aid at any time other than the prescribed dates, according to which this Council has admitted supplementally 50 schools to aid, till now. Applications in respect of 22 schools are still pending with the Council.

4. The increase in the grant during the past years from ^{Rs.} 3,20,015 in 1922-23 to Rs. 3,55,480 in 1923-24 and the fact that a number of new schools would be brought under aid had been brought to the notice of the Director of Public -- Instruction, Madras in this office R.O.C. No. 90/24 dated 18-5-1924, when transmitting applications for allotments.

5. The Council is now receiving repeated requests from the managers of elementary schools and from Associations of Teacher-managers, praying the payment of the grants reduced in the previous year for want of funds. As such, it does not seem desirable to reduce the grants this year also.

7. I therefore request ^{that the} ~~you to be good enough to move~~ ^{will be pleased} Government to reconsider the orders passed in the Government Memorandum referred to above, and to sanction this council the additional allotment ^{of Rs. 50,000} applied for.

An early reply is solicited.

Mannu

Vice President,

District Educational Council, Malabar.

Kns/8.12.

Law (Edn) Dept

Encl No. 4586 A/24-17-1-25

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for report whether the additional allotment applied for can be met from savings in the budget under his control. (By order)

Ramalingam

Assistant Secretary to Govt

NG

16/1/25

the S.O.D.

Enclosures:- In original.


R. C. No. 2005 B/24 Madras Dated 22-1-25.

Law (Education) Endorsement No. 4586 A/24 dated

17-1-25 on letter R.O.C. No. 90/24 dated 14-12-24 from the President, District Educational Council, Malabar

Resubmitted to Government.

2. Savings to the extent of Rs. 1,00,000 are available out of the provision made for the payment of subsidies to local bodies for the maintenance of Secondary Schools. I shall shortly make proposals for utilising this provision towards giving additional funds to District Educational Councils. I have already called for particulars from District Educational Councils with a view to ascertaining their requirements. The additional allotment applied for by the District Educational Council, Malabar, can be partially met from the savings pointed out ^{above} ~~about~~. The actual amount that can be recommended for payment to this council will be reported to Government as soon as replies are received from all District Educational Councils ^{and} their requirements have been considered.



Aded
for Director of Public Instruction

The Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department,
Madras

A.R. 23-1-25

Law (Edn) Dept

The receipt of the proposals referred to in para 2
outp. 3 ante may be awaited.

2. In the meanwhile the file may perhaps be
sent to the Finance Dept for perusal and for noting
the additional expenditure likely to be incurred in
the current year under teaching grants.

27/1/25

Law (Edn) Dept

memo. No 459447 3.18.12

Regr. clm

Please give
now No. 4 and
to your hands

1. E

18.12

D.E.C. - Funds for payment of
building grants - G.O. No. 1382 Law
(Edn) 3.26.8.24.

The D. P. J. is requested to
submit at an early date proposals
for the distribution of the balance
of Rs 8,753 available under "31-C
(b)(iii) Education - Primary - Grants
to local bodies for primary education
- Payment of building grants by
District Educational Councils."

To the D. P. J. ✓ 18/12

12.12.24
17/12

17.12.24

18/1


copd
or
18/12

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 Registered 192

Subject.

District Educational Councils - Funds for payment of building grants.



A.S.

The D.P.S.'s promised final report may be awaited till 16.1.25

Law (Edn) Dept.

16.1.25

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 2510 B/24

Station Madras, Dated the 6th January 1925 192

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir.

With reference to Government Memo No. 4594 A-1 dated 18th December 1924, I have the honour to state that no application for additional grants for building purposes has so far been received from any of the District Educational Councils. I have however, addressed the District Educational Councils asking them whether they would require any additional allotment under building or -- furniture grant during the current year. A final report will be submitted on receipt of their replies.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.K. 9/1

(Education) Law

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 Registered 192

Subject.

District Educational Councils - additional allotments under Grants in aid - submitting proposals.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C.

No. 2510 B/24.

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 4th January 1925 192

From (Name) R. Littlehailes, Esq., M.A.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
through Law (Education) Department.
the Accountant General/

Sir.

In continuation of my letter R.C.No. 2510 B/24, dated 6th January 1925 and endorsement R.C.No. 2005 B/24, dated 22nd January 1925, I have the honour to address Government in regard to the additional allotment applied for by the District Educational Councils under grants-in-aid for the current year.

2. Teaching grants. A sum of Rs.100,000 is available out of the provision made under "31 B(b) iii Education-Secondary - grants to local bodies for Secondary education - for the maintenance of Local Board and Municipal schools. I propose to utilise this amount towards placing additional funds at the

15
6

disposal of the District Educational Councils under 'teaching grants'. Only 16 District Educational Councils have replied to my circular calling for particulars. Five of them Viz., the District Educational Councils, Ganjam, Bellary, Chittoor Trichinopoly and Guntur have stated that they do not require additional funds under 'teaching grants'. The District Educational Council, Tanjore which originally applied for the additional allotment of Rs.32,170 which was refused by Government in their memo No. 4067 A-1 dated 13th November 1924 has not yet answered my circular. The requirements of the ~~remaining~~ *at present applied for additional grants* 11 District Educational Councils together with the sum of Rs.32,170 originally applied for by the District Educational Council, Tanjore amount to Rs.262,670. I recommend that the amount referred to above may be distributed pro rata among the 12 District Educational Councils according to their requirements as shown in the annexed statement. *p. 9 below*

3. Building and equipment grants. Of the 16 District Educational Councils referred to above, only the District Educational Council, Madras has applied for additional funds to the extent of Rs.12,000 under 'Building grants', while the District Educational Councils, Madras, Ramnad, Tinnevely and Guntur have applied for additional funds under 'equipment grants'. In view of the fact that the District Educational Council Madras already has at its disposal Rs.8,000 for purpose of building grants it does not appear necessary to place the whole amount available (Rs.8753) at its disposal. The District Educational Councils, Madras, Ramnad, Tinnevely and Guntur report that they have applications for equipment grants to the extent of Rs.6850 over and above the amount paid to them in G.O.No. 1382 Law (Education) dated 26-8-24. As it is likely that the amount if placed at their disposal will be spent in full during the current year, I recommend that the additional allotment applied for by them under 'equipment grants' which amount to Rs.6850 as shown in the annexed statement may be paid

16/7
10

out of the sum of Rs.8753 referred to above and that the balance Viz., Rs.1903 may be paid to the District Educational Council, Madras as an additional allotment towards building grants.

4. I submit herewith for the sanction of Government a reappropriation statement providing the necessary additional allotment under 'teaching grants' *13*

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Chand
for Director of Public Instruction.

Copy to the A Section with statement.

h/c

RE-APPROPRIATION STATEMENT to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget, or for additional allotments.

DEPARTMENT OF OFFICE.

Educational

[illegible]

(c) The same detail must be given as in the printed Civil Budget Estimate,

NOTE.—If no re-appropriation is possible, the urgency and necessity for the expenditure must be fully explained.

Dated _____ 192_

Statement showing the additional allotments recommended for payment to the D.^o ~~ECs~~ under grants-in-aid during 1924-25

S.No.	Name of D.E.C.	Teaching grant. Addl. allotment Amount applied for by the D.E.C.s	recommen- ded <i>to be paid</i>	Building grant Addl. allot ment applied for by the D.E.C.	Equipment grants Addl. allot ment appli- ed for by the D.E.C. ment.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Kistna	35,000	13,325		
2.	Cuddapah	13,000	4,949		
3.	Tanjore	32,170	12,247		
4.	Coimbatore	10,000	3,807		
5.	Malabar	50,000	19,035		
6.	Tinnevelly	25,000	9,517		
7.	Vizagapatam	30,000	11,421		
8.	Ramnad	26,600	10,127		
9.	Madras	15,000	5,711	12,000	2,500
10.	Chingleput	6,800	2,589		2,500
11.	Guntur	15,000	5,711		
12.	Godavari	4,100	1,561		
13.	Anantapur				
Total		2,62,670	1,00,000	12,000	6,850

for Director of Public Instruction.

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Law Edu-596A

12-2-25

Received



Registered

192

District Educational Councils - additional allotment

under teaching grants - submitting revised proposals -

Office of the Accountant General, Madras,
Fort St. George, Dated the 10-2-1925.

No. A.A. XIX-V-1071

FORWARDED 11 FEB-1925

h. Edu 595A
11/2/252. The reappropriation proposed requires the sanction of the
Finance Department.

VSR.

200 copies 312 1725

Accountant General.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

7-1-25 8-2-25

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 2510-B/24

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 9th February 25 192

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq. M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.O.C.No.2510 B of 24 dated 4th February 1925 submitting proposals through the Accountant General for placing additional funds at the disposal of the District Educational Councils for payment of grants-in-aid, I have the honour to state that in modification of its previous applications, the District Educational Council, Tanjore has since reported that an additional sum of Rs 18214 would suffice. The Presidents of District Educational Councils South Kanara and Kurnool have also reported that additional sums of Rs 4000/- and 1700/- respectively would be required under the same head. I submit herewith a revised statement showing the actual requirements of the District Educational

Councils

under 'teaching grants' and recommend that the amount available may be distributed pro-rata according to their requirements as shown in the revised statement annexed.

2. The District Educational Council, Malabar originally applied for an additional allotment of Rs 50,000/- It has since raised its demand to Rs 69,771/- I consider that this demand is excessive. I recommend that the pro-rata distribution may be made on the basis of the amount originally asked for.

3. The District Educational Councils, the Nilgiris and South Kanara request than additional allotment of Rs 2026 and Rs 4000 respectively may be sanctioned under building grants. As these grants are paid on the post payment system, I recommend that their claims may be considered in 1925-26.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

for Director of Public Instruction

G.M.10.2.25

Revised statement showing the additional allotment recommended for payment to the District Educational Councils under teaching grants.

S.No.	Name of D.E.C.	Addl.allotment applied for by the D.E.Cs.	Amount recommended for payment	Remarks.
1.	Kistna	35,000	13,757	
2.	Cuddapah	13,000	5,110	
3.	Tanjore	18,214	7,159	
4.	Coimbatore	10,000	3,931	
5.	Malabar	50,000	19,653	
6.	Tinnevely	25,000	9,826	
7.	Vizagapatam	30,000	11,792	
8.	Ramnad	26,600	10,455	
9.	Madras	15,000	5,896	
10.	Chingleput	6,800	2,673	
11.	Godavari	15,000	5,896	
12.	Anantapur	4,100	1,612	
13.	South Kanara	4,000	1,572	
14.	Kurnool	1,700	668	


Total:- 254414 100000

for Director of Public Instruction

CF. 30-41,000-8-9-24.


Education DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 Registered



Subject.

A. En 3004



Law (Educ) Dept
Encl- 3004-1/25 D. 27-1-25
Referred to the Director of
Public Instruction for remarks
(Answer)
Ramanujachari
Secy to Govt

ENCLOSURE

No. 1

Spare copies

SRE No. 4/25

Stationary, Dated the 18-1-1925 192
Despatched 24-1-25

From (Name) M.R. Ry. P.C. Venkatesathiraju Garu B.A.
M.L.C.

(Designation) President District Educational
Council, Vizagapatam.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir, In forwarding herewith a copy of resolution No IV of the District Educational Council, Vizagapatam passed at its meeting held on 13-12-24, I have the honour to state that an amount of Rs 1.48,772 was recommended as total assignment for 1923-24 by the Departmental Inspecting officers and sanctioned by the Council, but, owing to inadequacy of Funds a pro-rata reduction of 1/6 of the assignments sanctioned by the Council had to be effected, since the total amount actually available for disbursement was Rs 1.23,997.

During 1924-25 there has been a net increase of 161 aided Elementary schools excluding the fifty one referred to in the resolution quoted above, but, the

the amount of Rs 1.30,036 already sanctioned in G.O.No.1382 Law
(Education) dated 26th August 1924 would, I am afraid, be far be
the actual requirements by Rs 30,000 in round figures, and as such
a heavier reduction would consequently have to be effected this y
as well, which would certainly tell upon the progress of Elements
Education in the District. I request you therefore to be good en
to obtain the orders of the Government sanctioning a further sum
of Rs 30,000 on this account.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P.R. 20-1-1925.

Compd by

V. Narasimharayudu
20/1/25
For President, District Educational Council,

Vizagapatam.

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras with reference to
his letter R.C. No 2510- B / 24 dated 6th January 1925.

2. No additional allotment is required under building and Furniture
grants.

24 14 131
Proceedings of the District Educational Council, Vizagapatam
passed at its meeting held on Saturday the 13 th December 1924,
at 8-30 A. M. in the Taluk Board's office at Vizagapatam :-

x x x x x x x x x x x

1V Read Office- notes and endorsements of the Inspecting
officers recommending Supplemental Admission to aid and
recognition for 1924-25 of the Elementary schools as per
lists furnished to this office.

Note :- The Council will be pleased to note that, if admissions
are to be approved in toto, long standing well-working schools
have to suffer in point of grants payable to them for 24-25
owing to inadequacy of funds, and that schools started by
men relieved from Training schools cannot be, under the rules,
refused admission, even though funds are inadequate.

Read Recommendations of the Teaching Grant Committee thereon.

Resolution

All the schools opened by trained teachers and schools intended
for the Depressed classes and Girls are sanctioned to be
admitted and recognised.

The Council regrets its inability to admit to Supplemental
aid fifty one deserving schools owing to insufficiency of
Funds without which no expansion of Elementary Education is
possible.

x x x x x x x x x x

/sd/ P.C. Venkatapathiraju .
President District Educational Council,

/ True Copy /

V. Narasimharayudu
20/1/25

P.R. 20-1-1925.

Compd by.

For President, District Educational Council,
Vizagapatam.

Office of the District Educational Council, Ramnad at Madura.

R.O.C. 590/23.

Dated 19th January 1925.

From

Mahamed Abbas Ali, Khan Bahadur, M.A., LL.B., M.L.C.,

President, District Educational Council,

RAMNAD at Madura.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Law (Education) Department,

MADRAS.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,

MADRAS.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.1382, Law (Edn) dated 26--8--1924 sanctioning grant-in-aid for payment during 1924-25 and Resolution No.II passed by this Council in its meeting held on the 28th November 1924 to address the Government for additional grants, (copy - enclosed herewith), I have the honour to state that the amount - required to be sanctioned under "Teaching grant" as provided for in the Budget of this Council for the year 1924-25 forwarded in this office R.O.C.No.590/23 dated 6th March 1924, was Rs.1,50,000/- based upon the actual demand under the item for 1923-24, viz., -- Rs.1,34,960/- for boys and girls schools together with an amount of nearly Rs.16,000/- calculated as per scale grant for 166 new schools admitted during 1924-25 including 28 supplemental schools.

The amount actually required for payment of teaching grant during 1923-24 was Rs.1,34,960/- while the amount sanctioned for the year was only Rs.1,18,082/-. So the Council had only to cut down the grants pro prata with the limited funds so as to make the two ends meet. This fact was duly communicated to Government through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, along with the Budget of this Council for 1924-25 referred to above. (True copy

also

P.T.O.

also enclosed herewith). This reduction of grant has not only affected the expansion of Elementary Education but also has -- created a discouraging spirit in the minds of the Managers of the already existing aided Elementary schools as a result of which the number of schools and teachers may be expected to grow less and less.

A comparative statement showing the number of aided Elementary schools for boys and girls with their strength and teachers employed with the amount of teaching grant earned by them in the Ramnad District for the last two years is also enclosed herewith which clearly discloses the imperative nature of the growing demand for an -- increased amount of teaching grant for the current year. Thus the amount actually earned by the following teachers for 1924-25 in old aid Elementary schools in the Ramnad District

Trained	At the rate of	Amount earned
No. of secondary grade T.T.C. 41	180 each	41 x 180 = 7,380.
No. of Ely. ^{Higher} grade T.T.C. 299	96	299 x 96 = 28,704
No. of Ely. ^{Lower} grade T.T.C. 317	84	317 x 84 = 26,628

Untrained		
No. of secondary grade	29 ... 96	29 x 96 = 2,784
No. of Ely. Higher grade	117 ... 72	117 x 72 = 8,424
No. of Ely. Lower grade	1196 ... 48	1196 x 48 = 57,408
		Total Rs. 1,31,328.

i.e., an amount equivalent to the scale grant earned by the already existing aided Elementary schools for boys and girls in 1923-24 Rs.1,31,328.

Amount for 166 schools admitted anew and supplemental for 24-25 at Rs.100 per annum for each school 16,600
Total Rs. 1,47,928.

The sum already sanctioned for 24-25 as per G.O.No.1382, Law (Edn) dated 26--8--24 1,21,268
Balance required Rs. 26,660.

I request therefore that an additional sum of not less than at least Rs.26,600/- more in round figure may be granted under teaching grant for payment of the minimum demand of the year 1924-25.

I have the honour to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DESPATCHED

21 JAN 1925

GDS.

Abbas Ali.
PRESIDENT.

27
PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL COUNCIL? RAMNAD at MADURA.
MEMORANDUM

Post Box No. _____ Telegraphic Address 'RAMNAD DEDUCIL' Madura.

Name The Rev. Fr. G.J. Gomez, S.J., (Vice-President-in-charge)

Enc. to Rec. No. 590/23, dated 19th January 1925.

Short title _____

READ—the following :—

G.O.No.1382 Law (Edn) dated 26th August 1924 sanctioning grants-in-aid for 1924-25, the amount sanctioned being much below the estimated and necessary sum.

of the Council meeting dated 28.10.1924

Resolution No.II. The President may be authorised, in conjunction with the District Educational Officer, Ramnad, to address the Government for the required amount.

(sd) G.J. Gomez, S.J.,

Vice-President-in-charge and
Presiding Officer.

(True copy)

Abbasli.
 PRESIDENT.

28 16
PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL COUNCIL, RAMNAD AT MADURA.
MEMORANDUM

Post Box No. _____ Telegraphic Address 'DEDUCIL'

Name The Rev. Fr. G.J. Gomez, S.J., (Vice-President-in-charge)

Enc. to R.O.C.No. 590/23, dated 4th March 1924.

Short title _____

READ—the following :—

Resolution No.II of the Council meeting dated 29--2--1924.

"The members are of opinion that the Council may address the Government on the subject as to how the Council is forced to cut down the grants this year, since the Government could not find its way to pay Rs.1,30,000/- asked for in the Budget, which was a well considered irreducible minimum.

"Being given the present finances, as funds are not enough the Council proposes to make a certain percentage of reduction on the assessment made, viz.,

Up to 10 per cent increase Nil.			
Above 10 % to .. 15	..	..	5 per cent.
15 % to 25	..	..	10
25 % to 35	..	..	15
35 % to 50	..	..	20

and lighting charges for Night Schools are to be disallowed. The par grants and reduced grants may stand as they are. As for Night Schools, to reserve the allotment to such time, as will permit us to see if funds are available. If funds are available, the superior gatory allowance of full grant may be accorded. If not only half grants due to them can be granted." * * * *

APPROVED.

(sd) G.J. Gomez, S.J.,
Vice-President-in-charge.

(True copy)

Abbasli.
 PRESIDENT.

Consolidated statement showing the number of Aided Elementary Schools for Boys and Girls with their strength and teachers employed and the amount of Teaching Grant earned by them in the years 1922-23 & 1923-24.

Years.	No. of Schools Boys + Girls.	Strength.		No. of trained teachers			No. of untrained teachers			Remarks.
		Boys.	Girls.	Second Grade.	Elg. High.	Elg. Lower.	Second Grade.	Elg. High.	Elg. Lower.	
1922-23.	733+38 = (771)	33212	5848	29	229	270	32	86	1160	
Rate of Grant.	..	..	..	180	96	84	96	72	48	
Grant earned.	..	..	..	5220	12984	22680	3072	6192	55680	= 105828.
1923-24.	775+37 = (812)	36289	6087	41	299	317	29	117	1196	
Rate of Grant.	..	..	..	180	96	84	96	72	48	
Grant earned.	..	..	..	7380	28704	26628	2784	8424	57408	= 1,31,328.

Abasli.

President,
District Educational Council,
Ramrad at Madura

G. S. S. S.
19/1/25

R.C No: 145 of 24
13.2.25

Office of the District Edl: Council,
Kistna Masulipatam. Dated 13.2.25.

DESPATCHED
16 FEB 1925

17
25


From

F.W. Stewart Esq, I.C.S.,

San Edu 647 A

17.2.25

President, District Edl: Council,
Kistna Masulipatam.



The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

(Law Education Department)

Sir,

Reference: This Office R.C No: 145 of 24 dated 3.10. 1924 and Resolution of the Council No: 3 (a) dated 22.10. 1924 requesting additional allotment of Rs 33528 for the payment of Teaching Grants to aided Elementary Schools in the District.

Grants have to be paid in March and the question whether full grants are to be paid or not has to be decided at the next meeting of the Council posted to 27 th Feb:25 I therefore request early orders on the Subject.

2/ In case no additional funds can be spared for the current Official year, please intimate if the Council may inform the Managers that the short payments will be made good during the next Official year in accordance with the proposal made in the Budget, a copy of which was sent along with this Office R.C. No: 403 dated 23.12.24.

T. Krishnaswami

For President.
Dist. Edl: Council, Kistna.

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, in continuation of this Office R.C.No: 145 of 24 D/14.1.25, 20.1.25.

Law (Education)


DEPARTMENT.

18

Law Edu 664 A

17.2.25
192

Received



Registered

Subject.

District Educational Councils Vizagapatam

and Ramnad- application for additional allotment under
teaching grants- submitting with remarks-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

6

Spare copies

R. C. No. 2510 B/24

Station Madras

Dated the 12/2/25

192

From (Name) R. G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education)

Department.

Sir.

Law (Education) Endorsement 300 A-1/25 dated
27- 1- 25 and 297 A-1/25 dated 27- 1- 25 on letters
S.R.C.No.4/25 dated 18- 1- 25 and R.O.C.No.590/23
dated 19- 1- 25 from the President, District Educational
Councils, Vizagapatam and Ramnad.

**

Resubmitted.

2. Reference is invited to my letter R.C.No.
2510 B/24 dated 9- 2- 25 submitting revised proposals
for placing additional funds at the disposal of
District Educational Councils for payment of teaching
grants

grants during the current year. It will be observed therefrom that sums amounting to Rs.11,792 and Rs.10455 have been recommended for payment to the District Educational Councils, Vizagapatam and Ramnad respectively as additional allotment under teaching grants.

Chand

for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 14/2/25
✓

Law Edu 730A

24-2-25

Received



Registered

192

District Educational Council, Ganjam - Additional allotment under teaching grants.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 2510 B/24

Separate copies

Station Madras, Dated the 20th February 1925

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,
(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir.

In continuation of my letter R.C.No. 2510 B/24, dated 9th February 1925 submitting revised proposals for placing additional funds at the disposal of the District Educational Councils for payment of teaching grants during the current year, I have the honour to state that the President, District Educational Council, Ganjam who, in answer to my circular on the subject, stated that no additional allotment would be required for the current year, has now asked for an additional allotment of Rs 5000/-. The application has come very late. Furthermore, in the original distribution of the current year's budget pro-

34
vision under grants-in-aid, the amount demanded by this Council under teaching grants was placed at its disposal in full. In these circumstances, I am unable to support the request.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chack
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 23/2

16/2/25


C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

Law (Education)

35
DEPARTMENT.

20

Received



Registered

192

District Educational Councils- additional

allotments under grants in aid- soliciting orders-

ENCLOSURES.

No. Nil.

are copies

R. C. No. 2510 B/24

Station Madras, Dated the 25-2-25 192

From (Name) R. G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education)

Department.

Sir.

In continuation of my letters R.C.No.2510 B/24 dated 4-2-25 and 9-2-25 submitting proposals for placing additional funds at the disposal of District Educational Councils for payment of grants-in-aid during the current year, I have the honour to request that early orders may kindly be issued on the subject. Several District Educational Councils have asked me for instructions by wire.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


Chack
for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 25/2/25

17/2/25

Law Edu 800 A
3.3.25

Received.....192 . Registered.....192



Subject.

District Educational Council, Cuddapah - Teaching grants
Additional allotment - Sanction requested.

ENCLOSURES.

copies.....

No.

Station....., Dated the 2th March 1925. 192

From (Name) M.R.Ry, B.P. Devarajulu Naidu Garu, B.A.B.L.

(Designation) President, District Educational
Council, Cuddapah.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) **Department.**

Fort Saint George, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to your kind notice the following facts and request you to be so kind as to do - the needful in the matter.

The sub-committee, which was appointed by the Council to fix the assignments of teaching grants on behalf of - all aided Elementary schools in the district for the year 1924-25, has fixed the assignments after carefully considering the recommendations of the Educational authorities on behalf of each individual school. Having in view, the prorata reduction made last year which greatly affected - even the scale grants of poor teachers, the committee - tried its level best to so reduce the efficiency grants - recommended by the Educational authorities, to the lowest

figure possible as to meet the Budget allotment of the council, for the current year. In spite of all these, the recommendations of the committee has amounted to Rs.91000/- and no further reductions are possible without affecting the scale grants through prorata reductions. The increase is evidently due to the increase of about 150 aided Elementary schools and the number of trained teachers in this district.

The Government were pleased to sanction only Rs.77119/-, including the savings of last year, for payment of teaching grants during the current year, in spite of the several requests made by the council for an amount of at least Rs.90000/- under that head.

I once more bring to your kind notice, the reduction of 12% during 1923-24 and 6% and 25% to Day and Night schools respectively during 1922-23, made by the council for want of sufficient funds and their consequent ^{results} requests on the spread of Elementary Education in this district. If the council is unfortunate enough as to be obliged to follow last year's method of effecting prorata reduction of 12% this year also, I am afraid that the private bodied will feel it extremely difficult to maintain their schools any longer, which, I fear, will result in a great set back to the spread of Education in this backward District.

Under the above circumstances, I request you to be so good as to kindly come to our aid with the sanction of an additional allotment of Rs.11000/- ^{and} with permission to reappropriate the Building grant of Rs.2000/- for payment of teaching grants for the current year.

The favour of a very early reply is solicited as there will be a council meeting on 5.3.1925 when the council will have to approve the recommendations made by the committee with reference to the funds available at its disposal.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. B. Murali Narayana
President,
Dist. Edul Council, Cuddapah
Cal
2-1925.

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE
This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

10 MAR 1925

Charges to pay.

Rs. As.

01165

Handed in at (Office of Origin) Date Hour Minute Service Instructions Words

TO

Recd here at H. M.

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.
Kartm Box Bros.—Printers—Cal.—1274—26-11-24—1,50,000—Bks.

Law (Edu) Dept.

A.S.

The file relating to the payment of additional amounts to st. Educational Councils for ^{payment of} teaching grants is under circulation to H.E. The following intermediate reply is submitted for approval:-

Telegram.

To

De daniel, ^{Kishu} Masulipatam

your telegram (dated) ninth instant (regard additional teaching grants. ^{Question then} ^{under} ^{Meanwhile grants may be distributed} ^{Pending orders amounts} consideration.

^{with reference to amounts} already sanctioned ~~of the~~

11.3.25 V.K. 12/3


(after some file may be subd to the person)

Office of the District Educational Council, Ramnad at Madura.

R.O.C.No.590/23

Dated 13th March 1925.

From



Reverend Father G.J. Gomez, S.J.,
Vice-President-in-charge, District
Educational Council, RAMNAD at Madura.

To
The Secretary to Government,

Law (Education) Department, MADRAS.

Sir,

I have the honour to draw your kind attention to the following concerning the teaching grant allotment to this Council favourably.

In the last year (1923-24) disbursement of teaching grant, - the sum allotted to this Council was insufficient by about 13,000 and the Council was obliged to do pro rata reductions.

This point was mentioned when the Council submitted the budget estimate for 1924-25 in November 1923.

When, however, the Government's sanctioned allotment for teaching grant for 1924-25 came, seeing the insufficiency of the amount granted, the Council made a special application through the Director of Public Instruction, in its R.O.C.590/23 dated 19-1-'25, for more funds.

When recently the Council wired to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras for a reply to the above Roc.590/23, he replied that Government Orders are awaited.

As we are already in the middle of March when all the teaching grant cheques are to be despatched, I request you for an early reply to the above application, Roc.590/23 sent through the Director, in order not to repeat the pro rata reductions of last year again, the allotment of teaching grant is delayed for want of your favourable reply.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. J. Gomez
VICE-PRESIDENT-IN-CHARGE.

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, with post copy of telegram to the Director.

Post copy of Telegram addressed to the Director
on 13--3--1925.

" Allotment teaching grants delayed want of
Government reply. Ours Roc.590. Pray inform whether
we can await or proceed with insufficient
amount."

True copy

G. J. Gomez
Vice-President-in-charge,
Ramnad Dt. Educational Council

F.D.

Law (Edn) Dept.
Memo. No. 1003 A-1 D. 17.3.25.

D.E.C., Ramnad - application for
addl. allotment for payment of teaching
grants - Vice-President's letter R.O.C
No. 590/23 D. 13.3.25.

CNo. 1003A.


The President, District Educational
Council, Ramnad, is informed that
the question of sanctioning additional
allotment to the St. Edl. Council for
payment of teaching grant is still
under the consideration of Govt. In
the meanwhile, grants may be distri-
buted with reference to the amount
already sanctioned.

16/3/25.
MS
16/3

CA
16.3.25
S
VTE 17.3

The P., D.E.C., Ramnad at Madras

copy to the D.P.S. for information
BHD 17/3



Telephone. 14.3.25.

Office of the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras.

The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Law (Education)

Ref. My letter R.O.C.No. 2510 B/24 dated 4-2-25, 9-2-25
and 25-2-25 regarding additional allotments to the District
Educational Councils for payment of grants-in-aid during the current
year.

Several District Educational Councils have asked me
for instructions by wire as to whether they can wait or proceed
with the distribution of the amounts already placed at their
disposal. Kindly let me know when orders on the proposal
contained in my letters may be expected and whether I may inform
them to proceed with the distribution of the sanctioned allotment.

W. S. S. S.
for Director of Public Instruction.

Post copy.

Ny 14.3

Reply.

The question of sanctioning an additional
allotment to D.E.Cs for payment of teaching
grants is still under the consideration of Govt.
In the meanwhile the D.E.Cs. may be ^{instructed} ~~directed~~
to distribute the sanctioned allotment.

P.C. Dhd 18/3

18.3.25.
MS
18/3/25

CA
18/3/25

P.C. to go 18/3

MS
18/3

MS
18/3/25

L.O.C. No. 90/24 District Educational Office,
Dated 20-3-1925. 102 MAR-1925 Malabar, Calicut.

Post copy of telegram dated

-3-1925. 23/3/25

To

Madras, Law Education.

Letter No. 90 dated 14 January. Additional
allotment Teaching grants. Please wire reply. Payment
delayed.

Deducil, Malabar.

Submitted to the Secretary to Government of Madras,
Law (Education) Department.

V. K. Ramani
For President,

District Educational Council, Malabar.

Kns/20.3.

Office of the District Educational Council, Ramnad at Madura.

D.O. dated 20th March 1925.

Dear Mr. Abbas Ali,

You have been elected President of this Council
in its meeting of last Tuesday and your name has been sent up to be
gazetted.

Now, that you are in Madras, you can do a great
deal in connexion with the appeal for funds for additional teaching
grant, which has gone ^{up} with your own signature as President of this
Council.

Our Budget Estimate had asked for 1,50,000 for
1924-25. But the Government sanction which came in October 1924,
granted only 1,21,000, which is 29,000 below our estimate. Last year
already owing to want of enough funds for teaching grants we were,
as you remember, obliged to cut down very much by reductions of all
the better schools. This year again, to have to reduce would mean
greater clamour from the teachers.

In spite of our having informed the District
Educational Officer at once after the Government sanction of the
low sum of 1,21,000, the D.E.O's office recommendations have now
brought the teaching grants to 1,59,000 (even 9,000 above our -
budget estimate). But we must, to the glory of the Council, remem-
ber that we have had this year 97 more schools opened.

As per the Council appeal of January we had
asked for at least 26,000.

Our telegrams and reminders have brought a
final reply from the Secretary to Govt. (copy of which is enclosed)
saying that the matter is still under consideration and that grants
may be distributed with reference to amount already sanctioned.
This would mean a pro rata reduction by a very large percentage
which is discouraging to any interested in the improvement of Ely.
Education.

P.T.O.

45
-2-
The responsibility of having to cope with the situation will rest with you as President. The above procedure means that we will not have cheque books enough not to mention the additional heavy labour to the office and other extraordinary inconveniences.

Please therefore try to meet the Secretary^{and} to do all in your power to send us^{about} the additional sum of Rs.26,000 we have --
appealed for. I enclose copies of the letters for information and guidance.

With kind regards,

Yours Very sincerely,

hghowen
Vice-President-in-charge.

To

Mahamed Abbas Ali Khan Bahadur, M.A., LL.B., M.L.C.,

Camp. M A D R A S.

46
37
Copy of Government reply referred to in the letter.
Memo.No.1003 A-1, dated 17-3-25.

" The President, District Educational Council, Ramnad, is informed that the question of sanctioning an additional allotment to the District Educational Council for payment of teaching grant is still under the consideration of Government. In the meanwhile, grants may be distributed with reference to the amount already --
sanctioned."

(sd) V.T.Krishnama Achariyar,
Secretary to Government.

True copy

Vice-President-in-charge.

47 26
Office of the District Educational Council, Ramnad at Madura.

R.O.C.24/25 590/23.

Dated 19th January 1925.

From

Mahamed Abbas Ali, Khan Bahadur, M.A., LL.B., M.L.C.,

President, District Educational Council,

RAMNAD at Madura. 38

To

The Secretary to Government,

Law (Education) Department,

M A D R A S.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.1382, Law (Edn) dated 26--8--1924 sanctioning grant-in-aid for payment during 1924-25 and Resolution No.II passed by this Council in its meeting held on the 28th November 1924 to address the Government for additional grants, (copy - enclosed herewith), I have the honour to state that the amount - required to be sanctioned under "Teaching grant" as provided for in the Budget of this Council for the year 1924-25 forwarded in this office R.O.C.No.590/23 dated 6th March 1924, was Rs.1,50,000/- based upon the actual demand under the item for 1923-24, viz., -- Rs.1,34,960/- for boys and girls schools together with an amount of nearly Rs.16,000/- calculated as per scale grant for 166 new schools admitted during 1924-25 including 23 supplemental schools.

The amount actually required for payment of teaching grant during 1923-24 was Rs.1,34,960/- while the amount sanctioned for the year was only Rs.1,18,082/-. So the Council had only to cut down the grants pro rata with the limited funds so as to make the two ends meet. This fact was duly communicated to Government through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, along with the Budget of this Council for 1924-25 referred to above. (True copy

also

P.T.O.

also enclosed herewith). This reduction of grant has not only affected the expansion of Elementary Education but also has -- created a discouraging spirit in the minds of the Managers of the already existing aided Elementary schools as a result of which the number of schools and teachers may be expected to grow less and less.

A comparative statement showing the number of aided Elementary schools for boys and girls with their strength and teachers employed with the amount of teaching grant earned by them in the Ramnad District for the last two years is also enclosed herewith which clearly discloses the imperative nature of the growing demand for an -- increased amount of teaching grant for the current year. Thus the amount actually earned by the following teachers for 1924-25 in old aid Elementary schools in the Ramnad District

Trained		At the rate of		Amount earned	
No. of secondary grade T.T.C.	41	180 each	41 x 180	7,380.	
No. of Ely. ^{Higher} grade T.T.C.	299	96	299 x 96	28,704	
No. of Ely. Lower grade T.T.C.	317	84	317 x 84	26,628	
Untrained					
No. of secondary grade	29	96	29 x 96	2,784	
No. of Ely. Higher grade	117	72	117 x 72	8,424	
No. of Ely. Lower grade	1196	48	1196 x 48	57,408	
				Total Rs. 1,31,328.	

i.e., an amount equivalent to the scale grant earned by the already existing aided Elementary schools for boys and girls in 1923-24 Rs. 1,31,328.

Amount for 166 schools admitted anew and supplemental for 24-25 at Rs. 100 per annum for each school 16,600
Total Rs. 1,47,928.

The sum already sanctioned for 24-25 as per G.O. No. 1382, Law (Edn) dated 26-8-24 1,21,268
Balance required Rs. 26,660.

I request therefore that an additional sum of not less than at least Rs. 26,660/- more in round figure may be granted under teaching grant for payment of the minimum demand of the year 1924-25.

I have the honour to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DESPATCHED

o/c.

Abbas Ali
PRESIDENT.

Office of the District Educational Council, Ramnad at Madura.

R.O.C. No. 590/23

Dated 13th March 1925.

From

The Reverend Father G.J. Gomez, S.J.,
Vice-President-in-charge, District
Educational Council, RAMNAD at Madura.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department, MADRAS.

Sir,

I have the honour to draw your kind attention to the following concerning the teaching grant allotment to this Council favourably.

In the last year (1923-24) disbursement of teaching grant, - the sum allotted to this Council was insufficient by about 13,000 and the Council was obliged to do pro rata reductions.

This point was mentioned when the Council submitted the budget estimate for 1924-25 in November 1923.

When, however, the Government's sanctioned allotment for teaching grant for 1924-25 came, seeing the insufficiency of the amount granted, the Council made a special application through the Director of Public Instruction, in its R.O.C. 590/23 dated 19-1-'25, for more funds.

When recently the Council wired to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras for a reply to the above Roc. 590/23, he replied that Government Orders are awaited.

As we are already in the middle of March when all the teaching grant cheques are to be despatched, I request you for an early reply to the above application, Roc. 590/23 sent through the Director. In order not to repeat the pro rata reductions of last year again, the allotment of teaching grant is delayed for want of your favourable reply.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

G.J. Gomez
VICE-PRESIDENT-IN-CHARGE

DESPATCHED
13 MAR 1925

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras. with best copy of telegram

Subject.- Additional teaching grants to the District Educational Councils. (41)

(X) Several D.E.Cs. have already complained that the amounts of teaching grants placed at their disposal during the current year are insufficient and that the grants payable to individual schools have consequently to be reduced pro rata. They therefore applied for additional grants; but they were informed that, for want of funds, their applications could not be considered.

2. The D.P.I. now reports that savings to the extent of rupees one lakh are available and that he will shortly submit proposals for utilising the amount towards giving additional funds to the D.E.Cs. He has called for particulars from the D.E.Cs, with a view to ascertaining their requirements and proposes to address Government after replies are received from all the D.E.Cs. Submitted as the year has already far advanced, the D.P.I. may be requested (u.o.) to consider immediately without waiting for replies from all the D.E.Cs. the cases of those Councils which have already applied for additional funds.

A.S.
28-1-25
N.K.
28-1-25

C.R. 28-1-25
V.T.K. 29-1-25

I am addressing Government officially and immediately.

R.L. 2-2-25

Law (Edn) Department.

Apparently, the proposals are sent through the Accountant-General as they involve reappropriation of funds. The receipt of the Director's proposals may

be/

(X) M. Dec. Jaipore
Kistna
Coimbatore
Malabar
Tumkur

K.

U.O. reply 2510 B-24
dated 2-2-25

257

be awaited.

A.S. 9-2-25 V.T.K. 10-2-25
N.K. 10-2-25

(C.No.575 A) From the D.P.I. No.2510 B/24 dated 4-2-25

The proposals referred to above are on pages 5-10 c.f. These proposals as modified in the D.P.I.'s letter on pages 11-12 c.f. may be sanctioned. Draft order submitted. It may go to Finance for acceptance.

AS 14/2/25
VTK 16.2
Finance u.o

Finance(Exp)

The proposal is to sanction the following additional grants to certain District Educational Councils.

	Rs
(i) Teaching grants	1,00,000
(ii) Buildings grants	1,903
(iii) Equipment grants	6,850
Total	1,08,753

Teaching grants (1 lakh).	lakhs
Actuals 1923-24	27.99
D.P.I.'s estimate for 1924-25	29.00
Estimate for 1924-25 as accepted by Government.	28.75
R.E. for 1924-25	28.75
B.E. for 1925-26	30.19

Law Edu no. 4594
16.2-25
16 FEB-1925
76738 Exp
17.2.25

Page 1 Flag

q.o.208 Law Edu d 21-2-22
Page 4-Flag(B)

This is only an assumption

Page 147 C.N.2 for 24-25

P 5-6 C.F.

Flag (B)

(as estimated from Law (Estn.))

Portion marked K on page 147
It was not possible to anticipate savings there

Page 11-Flag (X)

3-52 43 29

Under Rule 2 of the Rules framed under Section 42 (1) of the Elementary Education Act, 1920, payment of grants to aided institutions is subject to the availability of funds. Where funds are inadequate, the grant should be reduced and distributed pro rata. The reference to funds made in this rule apparently relates to the budget allotment voted by the Legislative Council. The amount voted by the Legislative Council for the current year is Rs28.75 lakhs and the D.P.I. proposes to increase this allotment by one lakh by appropriating savings in the provision for "grants to local bodies for secondary education." It is submitted that the mere fact that there are savings in the allotment sanctioned for secondary education, is not sufficient justification for increasing the allotment specifically sanctioned for primary education. The D.P.I.'s estimate under secondary education is evidently an over-estimate. The rules framed under the Elementary Education Act do not seem to contemplate the sanction of additional grants by such reappropriations. Moreover, such additional grants do not seem to have been sanctioned since the passing of the Elementary Education Act, 1920. The District Educational Councils who asked for additional funds have already been informed that no funds are available in the current year. As regards 1925-26 an increased provision (Rs30.19 lakhs as against the grant of Rs28.75 lakhs for current year) has been made after much discussion.

In the circumstances, Finance may advise against the sanction of any additional grants in the current year. The savings in the provision


for secondary education should go towards the reduction of the provincial deficit.

3. Building grants. (Rs1,903). The budget provision for the current year is Rs50,000, of which Rs41,247 have already been disbursed. It is now proposed to disburse a further sum of Rs1,903. Finance need not object.

4. Equipment grant. The grant originally asked for by Law (Education) was Rs15,000. The Finance Committee recommended only Rs10,000 and that amount was provided for in the budget. The present proposal to sanction an additional grant of Rs6,850 will, in effect, mean a total grant of Rs16,850 for the year, that is, Rs1,850 more than what the Law (Education) themselves originally asked for. The D.P.I. has not justified the necessity for an additional grant. The fact that there are savings in the provision for building grants is not sufficient justification for the proposed diversion.

C.O. 1382 Law (Edu)
d 26-8-24-Flag

Law Edu 719 A
23.2.25
Pres. of F.C. at (E)



Para 3 on pp 6-7 of CF

K.R.

AM 21.2.25
W
RWD
21.2.25
22/2

Law. Sec. Gen 6733 & p.
22.2.25

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Finance Department note on pages 3 and 4 ante, attention is solicited to the notes of M.2. and C.3. on pages 5 to 7 of the notes flagged (X) as regards the imperative need for paying in full the teaching grants earned by schools under the rules. There are savings in the Educational Budget itself, and the proposed reappropriation does not require the sanction of the Legislative Council. Even if the D.P.I.'s proposals are accepted, it will not be possible for some of the D.E.Cs. to pay the teaching grants earned by the schools in the current year in full.

2. In view of the fact that most of the aided elementary schools are run with little or no equipment, this Department may also press for the additional sum of Rs 6,850 recommended by the D.P.I. for 'equipment' grants.

my 12/1/25

24.2.25

V.T. 25.2

M2

C3

There are Savings in Educa-
The D.P.I. requests are reasonable
I cannot ^{but} ~~agree~~ ^{agree} for the
and request
reallocated funds with-
out H.M. C. to kindly
refer to the notes in I
which disclose an appalling
State of defalcation and regard
to certain Divisional officers
who are held up by not giving
them the grants earned & thus
equity demands fulfillment
of these obligations -

In the notes at X, I went a considerable way to meet my Hon Colleague's demands for next year, in spite of the lack of funds. I would ask him for this year to waive this demand, so that the saving under secondary education may go to reduce the deficit (& thereby the amount of debt for the amortization of which we must make provision in 1925-26 & succeeding years)

The advance in the Educational budget for next year is substantial & the commitments can only be met if we get a reduction of our contribution.

28/2/25

3/3

^{The case again}
I am sorry to press on my Hon. Col.
There are commitments to the D. E. C. The Mission & non-mission agencies have earned the Grant under the Grant in-aid rules - on this basis they arranged their budgets for the year. It is most unfair to these bodies -
I realize the point raised with my Hon. Col.


refers, namely, the financial credit & the provision -
We have no hopes of our Contributions being raised - I read a telegram that the Indian members are going to suggest the Contributions & even if Bombay is to be taken in for a share in the Contributions - we may not have less than one crore of rupees next year. The Surplus under Secondary Education may be reappropriated to this end -

3/3 25

I wanted to speak about this file today but my Hon. Col. was busy but the file will be re-circulated as the matter is very pressing -

3

The commitment of the Govt for teaching grants for 1924-25 is 28.75 lakhs & I do not think the D. E. C. can commit Govt further. They have known since last March the amount available.
We have a deficit in the current



857
year of over 32 lakhs & expect
a deficit of 88 lakhs in 1925-26
— total 120 lakhs — besides which
we have an old deficit of 8 lakhs
to make up before we get to
equilibrium. Even therefore if we
get 126 lakhs we shall not
achieve it, though we may do so
owing to the fact that, by paying
off the 32 lakhs at once, we
obviate the necessity to provide for
an instalment of the equated
payment for this.

I would urge that our first duty
is to restore the credit of the Pres?

Bombay cannot get any
remission of its contribution under
the Devolution Rules. From today's
telegrams I see that the attack
will be first on the demand for
the cotton excise establishment;
the second will probably be on
the Finance Bill.

I must therefore maintain the
position that there are no funds

Rs.
3/3/25

M2

V.TK
4/3

58 32
I believe Mr. C.M. does not
mean there is a deficit of
Rs 120 lakhs. The deficit of
32 lakhs is carried over to the
next year & the total deficit
is of 88 lakhs.

In any case we have not got
full information regarding revenue
receipts up to 31 March.

Whatever the position may be, the
deficit in R.C. budget ~~is~~
affects the people directly & it
is a matter the Government
must consider.

4/3/25

may be passed to 3

4/3/25



59
Rajiv Note

Subject: Additional teaching grants to the District Educational Councils.

With reference to 'A' of the
Honble the Minister note below, it is submitted
that it has been ascertained from Finance
that the total deficit for the current and
ensuing year is Rs. 120 lakhs and not Rs.

88 lakhs
CR 3/25
VTK 5/3



It is recommended that the Government may be informed
that no additional allotment for teaching grants
can be made in the current official year.

CR 10.3.25
VTK 10/3

m2

There is a recommendation in
the report of the Madras Committee
relevant to the question, please

See sec para 113
page 94 of the
Report (p. 113)

Up the reference -

This is an amount available
in the Education Budget, & Savings
under a particular head.

Under the head of B. Schools, there
were commitments but could not be
met owing to reduced allotment in
the budget.

The Mission and non-mission aided
agencies pressed hard & very rightly too.
In the general discussion of the Budget
non-official members raised strong
protests that it is unfair to
cut down the amount of grant
earned by the aided agencies -

The amount now available to the D.P. &
& available in the budget & the Depart-
ment. This is right & he re-
- appropriated for the particular
purpose - This is not a recurring liability.
The Recruits & the Madras Committee
support such a Cause, and in
Justice to the aided agency we must
fulfil our obligations -

The amount under these circumstances
may be allowed to be reappropriated -

Submitted to H.E.

10/3/25

Submitted V.T.K.
10.3
H.E. the Gov.

(# necessary
having been
made in the
next year's
budget)

12 61 34

I do think that my hon. colleague
Mr. K. has made out a good case.

It must be conceded that the grants
to aided schools are an obligation
a part of an agreement between
the Gov. & the Schools, that is to say
that if the Schools conform to certain
rules under the Grant in Aid Code,
they are entitled to these Grants.

I would suggest that we wait
until we know if we are to
revert the Mission from the Gov.
of India, & if we do I think
my hon. colleague should be
permitted to use the funds
saved under Secondary Education
for the purpose he desires.

G.

14.11.25.



M2.

C3

V.T.K.
16.3.

This will have been done
before the end of March.
In the circumstances my hon. Col. may
being alone to the reappropriation

17/3

In deference to H.E.'s wishes,
+ in view of the news received
this afternoon, that the Assembly
has agreed to the restoration of
the Salt Tax to Rs 1-4-0, I
accept the proposed reappropriation.

p.8C

I understand however that
my Hon Colleague wishes to
modify the distribution, so
as to give something to some
later applicants.

It is understood that the reap
propriation from head 31 B(6)(iii)
is limited to 1 lakh

RSL
24/3/25



Orders should issue today please

V.TK
23.3.

Banjum Sher have Rs 5000/- and
Kannad may have Rs 13000/- noted by
Rs 10000/- as per order in the lib.

Please expedite despatch of news
papers etc. - R
23/3/25


The Honble the Minister
desires the following
alterations to provide for
the above increase:

	From	To
Malabar	19,653	15,000
Vizagapatam	11,792	10,000
Madras	5,896	4,796

24/3/25

Issue at once & ~~be~~ circulate to
Finance pensal.

23.3.25



Issued as G.O. No. 410 Law (En) of 23.3.25.
Finance n.o.

Law no 575 A-1
23.3.25

Finance (Exp.)

May be perused and returned

24.3.25

24.3.25

RWD

24/3

Law.

26/3/25



Li 11589 Exp
24.3.25

Fi no: 11589 Dep-1
24.3.25

One copy

As regards Ganjam,
 the D.C. first said
 that he did not
 want any add. fund.
 So they apply for Rs. 5000.
 D.D. does not
 recommend it.
 May we do other
 application also
 for the present please?

CA
 23/3

My dear

Ganjam shd have
 Rs 500/- and Ramnath
 may have Rs 1300/- instead
 of Rs 1000/-

D

23/3/8

The total amount available is
 Rs 100,000. If we raise the distribution by Rs 7500
 as ordered above we will have to cut that
 amount from the allotments for other Compts. Will
 the Hon. the Minister kindly indicate from which
 of the D's this amount may be deducted?

CA
 23/3

2545

The Hon. the Insurance
Member refers to the
intention of the Hon. the
Minister as to the
distribution. Besides
the one appl^y personally
brought to me ~~by~~ ^{from}
from the Ramnad D.C.
There is one from Jajipur.
The former is allotted
Rs 10,000 in the distribution.
Several who applied
for 10,000 & 20,000 are
to go with only 5000 or
6000. There is therefore
nothing inappropriate
in Ramnad getting
Rs 10,000 for the 26,000
they ask for.

2. The Accountant-General is requested to place at the disposal of the D.E.Cs. concerned the amounts shown in column (5).

above. The P.D.E.Cs. concerned are re-
quested to present the necessary bills
at the Treasury and arrange to draw the
amount ^{forthwith} or get them adjusted to their

credit ~~on an annual basis~~ before the close of the
Current financial year.

3. The expenditure under building
and equipment grants will be met from the
provision of Rs 50,000 under "31 C (b) (iii)
Education - Primary - Grants to local
bodies for Primary Education - Payment
of building grants by D.E.Cs." in the
current year's budget.

4. The reappropriation statement
submitted by the D.P.I. to meet the excess
expenditure of ~~Rs~~ one lakh under teach-
ing grants is sanctioned.

A.S.
12-2-25

To

The P.D.E.Cs. concerned ✓

" D.P.I. (with refce. to
his letter
2510 B, dated
4-2-25) ✓

" A.G. (Thro Finance (with
copy of R.S.) ✓

" Exr. of L.F. Accounts
(order) ✓

" Finance (with copy of
R.S.) ✓

Bnd
17/23/



(Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Law Edu 735A

24.2.25

Received

192

Registered

192

Subject.

District Educational Council, Cuddapah - Teaching
grants for 1924-25- Reappropriation of the savings under
Building and Equipment grants for -

(P.S.) Additional allotment for payment of grants has been
made according to G.O. No. 410 Law Edu, dated 23-2-25.
No further action on this is necessary. Submitted that this
may be lodged and stitched with the G.O. (R)

P.V.R.
8.1.25

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C. No. 390/24..

Station Cuddapah, Dated the 23rd February 1925.

From (Name) M.R.Ry, B.P. Devarajulu Naidu Garu, B.A.B.L.

(Designation) President, District Educational
Council, Cuddapah.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

(Law (Education) Department.

Fort Saint George, Madras.

Sir,

Reference:- Government Memorandum No. 4422-A-1 --
dated 5.12.1924.

With reference to para 2 of above, I have the
honour to request you to be so good as to move the Govern-
ment and obtain its sanction for the reappropriation of -
the savings under Building and Equipment grants for pay-
ment of Teaching Grants for 1924-25.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

B.P. Devarajulu Naidu

President,
Dist Edl Council, Cuddapah.

K.L/23.2.25.