

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 375

DATED 16. 3. 1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Manual Training

London Mission Elementary School,
Meikapallayur - Agricultural
class - grant - sanctioned

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.


Law Edu 725A

20/2-25

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

London Mission Elementary School, Meikapillaiyur, Atur Taluk-
Grant for the Agricultural farm for the years 1923-24 and 1924-25
recommended



ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 8 E/25

Station Madras , Dated the 18th February 1925

From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir.

I have the honour to state that the correspondent,
London Mission Elementary School, Meikapillaiyur, Atur taluk
Salem District has applied to me for a grant of Rs 660/-
(Rs 392 for 1923-24 and Rs 268 for 1924-25) on behalf of the
Agricultural class opened in the L.M. Elementary School,
Meikapillaiyur with the approval of the District Educational
Council, Salem.

2. The strength of the class during 1923-24 was 31st of
whom 15 were of 11 years of age and over and 16 were between
the ages of 10 and 11. Only 10 boys however were in standards
IV and V. Boys of 11 years of age and over are doing the

the regular farm work, while those between the ages of 10 and 11 are asked to do light work and help the other boys in watering, planting and manuring. During 1924-25 the number of boys who are of 11 years of age and over reading in standards IV and V has risen to 15.

3. The correspondent reports that most of the boys in Meikapillaiyur are children of farmers and will take to Agriculture as their life work after training. He further states that regular instruction on improved methods of Agriculture based on an agricultural syllabus approved by the Deputy Director of Agriculture, Coimbatore is being given to the boys.

The Agricultural Demonstrator of Atur is stated to be visiting the farm as often as possible and giving as much help as possible to the pupils.

4. During 1923-24 the initial and recurring charges incurred on account of the class were Rs 963 and 784 respectively as per

1923-24	Rs.	details given in
<u>Non-Recurring</u>		
Cost of a pair of bulls	254	the margin.
Ploughs, tools & equipment	109	
Building, levelling, land hedging	300	A grant of
Deepening of well	200	
Masonry walls for side of the wall	100	Rs 400 is said to
	963	have been sanctioned
<u>Recurring</u>		
Servant @ Rs 12 per mensem	144	by the District Educational Council --
Feeding charges of bulls	460	
Contingencies including cost of cultivation manure, seeding etc.	180	towards the initial
	784	expenditure as it
<u>1924-25.</u>		
<u>Recurring</u>		
Servant @ Rs 12 per mensem	144	was first reported
Feeding charges	336	that the non-recurring
Contingencies including manure seeding etc.	177	charges would
	657	amount to Rs 800/-
Probable receipts Rs 121.		
Net expenditure	536	

The net recurring charges of the class during 1924-25 are estimated at Rs 536 as per details given in the margin.

5. The correspondent requests a half grant amounting to Rs 660 in all may be granted to the management towards the recurring expenses of the class during the two years 1923-24 and 1924-25.

6. The District Educational Officer who visited the farm is doing excellent work.

7. Both the District Educational Officer and the Adviser to Government recommend the correspondent's request for a half grant.

8. While recommending the correspondent's request for a grant of Rs 660 asked for sanction, I beg to state that the experiment may be watched. The continuance of grant to this class will -- ofcourse depend on the inspection of the school and evidence being supplied that the work is of value.

9. If my recommendation be approved, the expenditure of Rs 660/- may be met by appropriation from the anticipated savings out of the provision of Rs 6400/- under "31 C(b)(iii) Education-Primary grants to Local Bodies for Primary Education-Grants towards the cost of Vocational and Pre-vocational training in the Budget Estimate for 1924-25 and I shall sanction the same on receipt of orders of Government on this letter.

I request that I may be permitted to authorise payment of the grant to the correspondent ^{on} receipt of sanction.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Rames
for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 20/2

When received for Fair-
copying.

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

Date _____

Initials.

Manual Training.

London Mission Elementary
School, Meikapillaiyur -
Agricultural class - grant.
Sanctioned.

READ AGAIN G.O No....., dated

” ” ” ” ”

READ ALSO:—

HEAD ALSO:-
C. No. 5203, From the D.P.I. R.O.C, No. 8E/25, dated 18.2. 1925.

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

" " 192 .

ORDER.

Mis

No. 375, dated 16-3- 1925

The Govt sanction the
payment to the management
of the London Mission
Elementary School,
Meikapillaiyur, ^(Atur Taluk, Salem Dist) of a grant
of Rs 660. in the current
year towards the ~~non-recurring~~
~~recurring~~ recurring charges of
the ~~primary~~ ^{agricultural} class
~~in Agriculture~~ in the school
for the years 1923-24 and
1924-25.

2. The D. P. I. is authorized to disburse the grant direct to the management of the school

School.

3 The expenditure will be met by appropriation from the anticipated savings out of the provision of Rs 6,400 under "31C(4)iii?"

Educational - Primary - grants to local bodies for primary education - grants to local authorities towards the cost of vocational and pre-vocational training in the current year budget estimate.

CFA
27.2.25
8/9
1.3.25

24/3/25
✓
V.T.K.
4.3

DESPATCHED
16th MARCH 1925
To
The D. P. I.
A. G. (thru Finance)
Finance Dept.
President, D.E.C. Salem.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
5 MAR 1925
Law (Edn) no 520 B-1
5.3.25

Fin 8917 Sep
6-3-25

Note

The draft, if approved, may be sent to Finance for acceptance.

8/9
1.3.25

24/3/25
V.T.K.
4/3
Finance no

3

Answer (Exp)

P. I. C. F.

para 4 on p 2 C. F.

The class was opened with the approval of the District Educational Council and the Council Sanctioned a grant of Rs 400 towards the initial expenditure. It is not clear whether the District Educational Council itself is not competent to sanction a grant for recurring expenditure now applied for. Law (Education) may be requested to furnish information on this point.

There is a provision of Rs 1200 in the budget for 1924-25 for payment of grants towards the cost of vocational and pre-vocational training by D. Educational Councils. Law (Education) may be requested to state whether this provision has been fully spent so as to necessitate an appropriation from the provision for grants to local bodies.

L. E. 674
660 B
9/3/25

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS
9-3-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

Fin no: 8917 Sep-1
9-3-25

10/9
7-3-25
7/3/25
Law (Edn)
7-3-25

this case is not from one minor head to another

Law (Edu) Dept.

Paragraph 1 of the Finance Dept. note

Page 3 ante

No rules have yet been framed for the guidance of D.E. in the matter of sanctioning grant for manual training in aided elementary schools. The question of framing such rules is separately under consideration. In the meanwhile the necessary grants are sanctioned by post direct.

2. Paragraph 2 of the Finance Dept. note

But if the provision of Rs 1200 referred to above, a sum of Rs 1120 has till now been spent.

3. The file may be sent to Finance for acceptance of the draft order.


11.3.25 VTF 12.3

Finance

Finance (Exp)

In a recent file regarding an application for an increase (to be met by reappropriation) to the budget allotment for teaching grants to aided elementary schools, Finance noted that the budget allotment should not be exceeded and

(Note to be
from the D.P.S.
Office placed before)

Law (Edu) 520 B.2
12.3.25

Jr 9973 Dep

12-3-25

File in Law (Edu)

and that if the budget allotment is not sufficient to meet the whole demand the grant to each school should be reduced pro-rata under rule 2 of the rules on page 4 of G.O. 208 Law (Edu) dated 21-2-22. In the present case the available saving in the budget provision is only (Rs 1200 - 1120 =) Rs 80. Law (Edu) may be requested to restrict the expenditure in this case to Rs 80. On the analogy of the case referred to above, Finance may advise against any increase to the budget allotment by reappropriation from the provision intended for local bodies.

A

A

B

13-3-25
13.3.25CM
13.3.25

Law (Edu)

Law (Education) Department

Orders on the question raised at 'A' above have not yet been issued. The file on the subject is in circulation.

2. With reference to the Finance Dept.'s suggestion ~~at B above~~ it is submitted that the grant proposed to be paid in this case is small and that it seems desirable to sanction the payment in the current year. The re-appropriation proposed ~~in this case~~ in this case is not from one minor head to another

Jr 9973 Dep-1

13-3-25
1. En 759B
13/3/25

but from one item to another under the same
minor head, viz, '31 C(b)(iii)-Edn-Primary-grants

to local bodies for primary education.'

The Finance Dept in effect suggest that there should be no such thing as receipt of funds.
For orders whether the draft may ~~not~~ be issued. The

file may be circulated to H2 & H3.

14-1-25.

14.3.25

VTK

14.3

M2

C3

dyr affd.

RSL

15/3/25



CNC

(unpublished)

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
132

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ..

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.