

Law (1925) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 331

DATED 9 - 3 - 1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

S U B S I D Y.

Municipal High School, Gudiyattam--pay of three additional teachers---sanctioned.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 5,000-3-12-21.

DEPARTMENT.

Received

192

Registered

192



Subject.

Education - Gudiyatam Municipal Council - Additional cost & for teachers employed to be met from Provincial Funds - Grounds for deputation are stated.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Acc No. 790724

Spare copies

Station

Gudiyatam.

Dated the

17th August

192 4.

From (Name) M.R.Ry., K.G. Ramaswami Chetti Garu,

(Designation) Chairman, Municipal Council.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

Fort. St. George, Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. Mis. 2006 L & M dated 21-7-24, stating that Government would agree to meet a deputation, if sufficient grounds exist, I have the honour to state as follows

2. The recommendation of Educational Officers in regard to the need for additional teachers shows the real necessity for such employment. If the Council had been aware of the fact that the prior sanction of Government was necessary to obtain payment of the Net Cost incurred on account of additional teachers employed, the necessary sanction would have been obtained in time. That Government issued a Memorandum No. 2090-B-2 dxx dated 20-6-23 with instructions in regard to such expenditure by Local Bodies, clearly shows that Local Bodies which incurred such expenditure previous to the receipt of this order, were

Not available -
but in
another file
26th Sep 24

were not aware of the fact that the prior sanction should have been obtained before such expenditure was incurred.

3. The additional teachers were employed two years before the receipt of this Government Memorandum No. 2090-B-2 dated 20-6-23. The Director of Public Instruction fully adjusted the net cost of these teachers for the 2 years in question. The budgets with necessary provisions for these two years were sanctioned by Government. As there has been therefore no question for these 2 years in regard to the procedure adopted, it was thought to be in order.

4. At last when a report R.O.C.No. 581/G.I of 24 dated 16-11-21 was made to the Director of Public Instruction in respect of ~~employment~~ ^{employment of 3 additional teachers}, the Director of Public Instruction instructed me to get the sanction of Government and consequently subsequent correspondence arose.

5. As for the financial condition of the Council, I am sorry to state that unless Government view the matter with their usual generosity and come to assistance of the Council, it will be driven to the necessity of applying for a loan to run the administration. The following details will disclose the present financial condition of the Council:—

(a) The Government grant balance yet to be refunded will amount to Rs 5880-5-10, if Government are not pleased to pass favourable orders in respect of Rs 2918-12-0, a sum spent by the Council as represented in detail in this office R.O.C.No. 278/G.M of 24 d/ 8-4-24.

(b) The Council was not able to repay the instalment of loan due last year (23-24) viz. Rs. 3284-14-10 and consequently applied to Government to ~~extend~~ ^{extend} the period of repayment to 10 years, which was refused in G.O.No. 1944 L & M dated 7-6-24.

(c) Today, the 16th of August 1924, the Council has a balance of Rs. 1074-4-7 at its credit in the Sub Treasury, while the outstanding liabilities to be paid to private firms and persons amount to Rs. 4056-12-9.

(d) In addition to this, the monthly disbursement of salaries

not available
put up in a
different file

not allowable

in B Section
not put up

water works

M

salaries to various establishment under the control of the Council amounts to ~~Rx~~ about Rs. 3500/-

From the above it is clear that the financial condition --- already serious enough, will become desperate, if any unforeseen circumstances thwart at any time in the course of the year, the progress of collection of taxes due to the Council. While ~~xx~~ such is the existing state of things, if Government also withhold sanction ^{of} the amount due to the employment of 3 additional teachers till 31-3-24 and order the Council to meet from its own funds the cost of these teachers from the date of their employment (viz. 4 years), the already serious condition of the Council, which will be apparent if Government are pleased to peruse their order G.O.No. 23586-1.P.H. dated --- 19-11-23, will become worse. These are the grounds which have induced the Council to send a deputation to meet Government. I have therefore the honour to request that Government may be pleased to fix a date for meeting the deputation of the --- Council.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.V.22/8.

Chairman.

READ AGAIN G.O. No , dated 192

" " " " " 192

C. No. 23837, From the Cine Indriyatan, No. 790/24, dated 17.8.1924.

” ”	” ”	”	”	192
” ”	” ”	”	”	192

23837-1 LHM
No., dated 16-5-1924

The Chairman is informed that the Hon'ble the Ministers for Local Self Govt and Education will be prepared to meet a deputation of the M. L. at 3 P.M. on the 23rd September 1924.

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{Cen} \\ \hline 169.24 \end{array}$$

Good 1669

Return file to
Section soon

Fort St George at

He came Indiyattam

27550
1619

270

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192



Subject.

26754

27420

Add to file

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Roc No. 790/24.

Spare copies

Station Gudiyatam. Dated the 21st September 1924.

From (Name) M.R.Ry., K.G. Ramaswami Chetty Garu,
 (Designation) Chairman, Municipal Council.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
 Local Self Government Department,
 Fort St. George, MADRAS.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 23037-1
 L & M dated 16-9-1924, I have the honor to state that the
 deputation of the Council will meet the Hon'ble the Ministers
 for Local Self Government and Education at the appointed time
 on 23-9-1924 at Fort St. George.

I have the honour to be,


Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

21-9-24
 Chairman.

M.V.21/9.

21-9-24



To

The Hon'ble The Ministers for
Local Self Government

And
Education,

MADRAS

Law (Sec) Dept
2. 26 Sept 20
8
25.9.24
8/9
25/9/24

May it please you, the Hon'ble Ministers,

We, the members of the Deputation of the Municipal Council, Gudiyatam, most respectfully thank you for having agreed to grant us an interview, and to present on behalf of the Council, the --- following few lines for your kind consideration and orders.

2. In their order G.O.No.902 dated 20-8-1924, Government were pleased to sanction the opening of a High School at Gudiyatam and to order that the full Net Cost be met from the provincial Funds, and consequently IV th Form was opened in 1920 in the Municipal Middle School, Gudiyatam, with the sanctioned establishment. But as the IV th and V th classes outgrew their regulation strength of 40, each of them was divided into two -- sections and two temporary teachers were appointed as resolved in the Council Resolution No. 14 dated 26-7-1920. In 1921, as the 1 st Form was split up into 2 sections, a 3rd temporary teacher was appointed as per Council Resolution No. 9 dated 25-4-1921.

In this connection, we beg to invite the attention of Government to this Office B.O.C.No. 149 of 23 dated 20-1-24, and to submit that these appointments were made on the recommendation and with the full concurrence, of the Educational Officers.

3. Section 70 of the District Municipalities Act of 1920 empowers Municipal Councils to sanction with or without modification, the establishment Schedule laid before them by the Chairmen. As this power is not restricted in any way except in the case of Engineers and Health officers, the Council was not aware of the fact that the prior sanction of Government should

Presented to the Deputation of
Gudiyatam Municipal
Council - This
affair is in
in M. & R. Sec.
DD

add to file
29/9/24

m



(2) 7
should have been obtained for the employment of additional teachers till the receipt of the letter from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, R.C.No. 7173 of 21 dated 29-11-21, in respect of the appointment of the 3rd additional teacher. Owing to the prevalence of Plague in 1921-22 in an epidemic form and the consequent evacuation of the town-the accountant of the Municipal Office fell a prey to the epidemic-we submit that no immediate steps could be taken by us. Government were at first addressed in the matter through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras on 17-2-22. Government Memorandum No. 2090-L-2 dated 20-6-23 warning the local bodies that any expenditure incurred over and --- above the sanctioned scale will have to be met from their own funds, was received on 27-6-23. These 3 teachers were employed two years before the receipt of the Government Memorandum above referred to, and the Director of Public Instruction fully adjusted the Net Cost of these teachers for the two years 1920-21 & --- 1921-22. The Budgets for these two years with provision for these additional teachers, were sanctioned by Government. As no objection was raised to the procedure then adopted, it was thought that everything was in order.

~~The~~
That services of these teachers were continued and we submit that in his endorsement R.O.C.No. 1399-B dated 27-9-23 a copy of which was communicated in G.O.No. 2448 dated 1-11-23, the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, has accepted the --- necessity for continuing them.

4. Finally in their order G.O.No. 1024 L & M dated - 17-4-24, Government sanctioned with effect from 1-4-24, the -- permanent retention of these additional teachers and ordered that the cost from the dates of their employment upto 31-3-24, should be borne from the Municipal Funds, as the Council appointed

4334-7-1. Though this may not seem to be a big other local bodies, the Council is unable to forego it in its present crippled financial condition, which the following act will disclose.

(a) The Government Grant balance yet to be refunded amounts to Rs. 3935/- if Government are not pleased to pass -- favourable orders in respect of a sum of Rs. 2918-12-0 spent by the Council as represented in the letter H.O.No.278/GA of 23 dated 8-4-24.

(b) The Council could not and therefore did not, remit the instalment of loan due for 23-24 (viz Rs. 3284-14-10), and consequently applied to Government to extend the period of ^{years} payment to 10^{years} which was refused in G.O.No.1544 L & M dated 7-6-24. Thus the Council has yet to repay two instalments of loan in this year amounting to Rs. 6569-13-8 with penal interest.

(c) The outstanding liabilities due to private firms and the District Board, North Arcot, ~~District~~ on account of ~~tax~~ toll contribution amount to Rs. 8125/-.

(d) In addition to these, the monthly disbursement of salaries to the various establishments under its control amounts to about Rs. 3500/-.

That Government is already aware of the precarious condition of our finance is amply borne testimony to by the -- refusal in G.O.No.Mis.1485 P.H. dated 5-9-23 to sanction even a small loan of Rs. 2525/-.

6. In this chaotic condition of our Municipal Finance, the net cost of the High School adjusted by the Director of Public Instruction to the credit of the Council for 22-23, and half of the net cost of the Municipal Hospital for 23-24, were adjusted to Provincial Funds in partial adjustment of the Government grant balance yet to be refunded by this Council. Thus the sum due from Provincial Funds was not credited to the Municipal Funds.

7. In these circumstances the Government
to make both ends meet and cannot but starve the service
the above it will appear that the financial condition already
serious enough must become desperate, if any untoward circumstance

~~should~~ thwart at any time, the progress of collection of taxes due. In
such a state of affairs if Government ^{also} ~~should~~ with-hold sanction
of the amount due on account of these 3 temporary teachers, and
order the Council to meet from its own funds the cost from the
dates of their employment upto 31-3-24, the consequent financial
condition of the Council may easily be imagined. Suffice it to
say that the very administration will well nigh become impossible.




8. We therefore request ~~the Hon'ble Ministers~~ that
Government may be pleased to view the matter from a liberal *standpoint*
~~point of view~~, and for the reasons explained already, to sanction
the cost of these 3 additional teachers from the date of their
employment and thus assist the Council in warding off very ---
serious consequences.

9. We again thank you for the privilege of an inter-
view kindly accorded to us, and pray that our request may be
favourably considered.

We beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

 (chairman)
23-9-24

23-9-24

23-9-24

M.V.22/9.

Members of the Deputation Committee.

To

The Hon'ble The Ministers for
Local Self Government

And

Education,

M A D R A S .

May it please you, the Hon'ble Ministers,

We, the members of the Deputation of the Municipal Council, Gudiyatam, most respectfully thank you for having agreed to grant us an interview, and to present on behalf of the Council, the --- following few lines for your kind consideration and orders.

2. In their order G.O.No.902 dated 20-8-1924⁰, Government were pleased to sanction the opening of a High School at Gudiyatam and to order that the full Net Cost be met from the Provincial Funds, and consequently IV th Form was opened in 1920 in the Municipal Middle School, Gudiyatam, with the sanctioned establishment. But as the IV th and V th classes outgrew their regulation strength of 40, each of them was divided into two -- sections and two temporary teachers were appointed as resolved in the Council Resolution No. 14 dated 26-7-1920. In 1921, as the I st Form was split up into 2 sections, a 3rd temporary teacher was appointed as per Council Resolution No. 9 dated 25-4-1921.

In this connection, we beg to invite the attention of Government to this Office B.O.C.No. 149 os 23 dated 20-1-24, and to submit that these appointments were made on the recommendation and with the full concurrence of, the Educational Officers.

3. Section 70 of the District Municipalities Act of 1920 empowers Municipal Councils to sanction with or without modification, the establishment Schedule laid before them by the Chairman. As this power is not restricted in any way except in the case of Engineers and Health officers, the Council was not aware of the fact that the prior sanction of Government should

(2)

should have been obtained for the employment of additional teachers till the receipt of the letter from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, R.C.No. 7173 of 21 dated 29-11-21, in respect of the appointment of the 3rd additional teacher. Owing to the prevalence of Plague in 1921-22 in an epidemic form and the consequent evacuation of the town-the accountant of the Municipal Office fell a prey to the epidemic-we submit that no immediate steps could be taken by us. Government were at first addressed in the matter through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, on 17-2-22. Government Memorandum No. 2090-B-2 dated 20-6-23 warning the local bodies that any expenditure incurred over and --- above the sanctioned scale will have to be met from their own funds, was received on 27-6-23. These 3 teachers were employed two years before the receipt of the Government Memorandum above referred to, and the Director of Public Instruction fully adjusted the Net Cost of these teachers for the two years 1920-21 & --- 1921-22. The Budgets for these two years with provision for these additional teachers, were sanctioned by Government. As no objection was raised to the procedure then adopted, it was thought that everything was in order.

That services of these teachers were continued and we submit that in his endorsement R.O.C.No. 1399-B dated 27-9-23 a copy of which was communicated in G.O.No. 2448 dated 1-11-23, the Director of Public Instruction Madras has accepted the --- necessity for continuing them.

4. Finally in their order G.O.No. 1024 L & M dated 17-4-24, Government sanctioned with effect from 1-4-24, the permanent retention of these additional teachers and ordered that the cost from the dates of their employment upto 31-3-24, should be borne from the Municipal Funds, as the Council appointed them without obtaining the prior sanction of Government.

5. We submit that we need not reiterate the reasons that led the Council to appoint them, as they are fully explained in paras 2, 3 and 4 supra, and that the cost of these teachers from the dates of their employment upto 31-3-24 amounts ⁴⁵Rs. ---

To
The Hon'ble The Ministers for
Local Self Government
And
Education,
MADRAS.
May it please you, the Hon'ble Ministers,
We, the members of the Deputation of the Municipal Council,
Gudiyam, most respectfully thank you for having agreed to grant
us an interview and to present on behalf of the Council, the
following few lines for your kind consideration and orders.
2. In their order G.O.No. 902 dated 20-8-1924, Govern-
ment were pleased to sanction the opening of a High School at
Gudiyam and to order that the full Net Cost be met from the
Provincial Funds and consequently IV B Form was opened in 1920
in the Municipal Middle School, Gudiyam, with the sanctioned
establishment. But as the IV B and V B classes outgrew their
regulation strength of 40, each of them was divided into two
sections and two temporary teachers were appointed as received
in the Council Resolution No. 14 dated 26-7-1920. In 1921, as the
I B Form was split up into 2 sections, a 3rd temporary teacher
was appointed as per Council Resolution No. 9 dated 25-4-1921.
In this connection, we beg to invite the attention of
Government to this Office B.O.C.No. 149 of 23 dated 20-1-24, and
to submit that these appointments were made on the recommendation
and with the full concurrence of the Educational Officers.
3. Section 70 of the District Municipalities Act of
1920 empowers Municipal Councils to sanction with or without
modification, the establishment Schedule laid before them by the
Chairman. As this power is not restricted in any way except in
the case of Engineers and Health Officers, the Council was not
aware of the fact that the prior sanction of Government should

(3) 12
Rs. 4334-7-1. Though this may not seem to be a large amount to other local bodies, the Council is unable to forego it in its present crippled financial condition, which the following details will disclose.

(a) The Government Grant balance yet to be refunded amounts to Rs. 3935/- if Government are not pleased to pass -- favourable orders in respect of a sum of Rs. 2918-12-0 spent by the Council as represented in the letter B.C.No. 288/GM of 23 dated 8-4-24.

(b) The Council could not and therefore did not, remit the instalment of loan due for 23-24 (viz Rs. 3284-14-10), and consequently applied to Government to extend the period of repayment to 10 years; which was refused in G.O.No. 1544 L & M dated --- 7-6-24. Thus the Council has yet to repay two instalments of -- loan in this year amounting to Rs. 6569-13-8 with penal interest.

(c) The outstanding liabilities due to private firms and the District Board, North Arcot, District on account of toll contribution amount to Rs. 8125/-.

(d) In addition to these, the monthly disbursements of salaries to the various establishments under its control -- amounts to about Rs. 3500/-.

That Government is already aware of the precarious condition of our finance is amply borne testimony to by the refusal in G.O.No.Mis.1485 P.H. dated 5-9-23 to sanction even a small loan of Rs. 2525.

6. In this chaotic condition of our Municipal Finance, the net cost of the High School adjusted by the Director of -- Public Instruction to the credit of the Council for 22-23, and half of the net cost of the Municipal Hospital for 23-24, were adjusted to Provincial Funds in partial adjustment of the Government grant balance yet to be refunded by this Council. Thus the sum due from Provincial Funds was not credited to the Municipal Funds.

8
(4) 13
it impossible
7. In these circumstances the Council finds itself unable to make both ends meet and cannot but starve the services. From the above it will appear that the financial condition already serious enough must become desperate, if any unfavourable circumstances thwart at any time, the progress of collection of taxes due. In such a state of affairs if Government also should withhold -- sanction of the amount due on account of these 3 temporary --- teachers, and order the Council to meet from its own funds the cost from the dates of their employment upto 31-3-24, the consequent financial condition of the Council may easily be imagined. Suffice it to say that the very administration will well nigh become impossible.


8. We therefore request the Hon'ble Ministers that Government may be pleased to view the matter from a liberal standpoint point of view, and for the reasons explained already, to sanction the cost of these 3 additional teachers from the date of their employment and thus assist the Council in warding off very --- serious consequences.


9. We again thank you for the privilege of an interview kindly accorded to us, and pray that our request may be favourably considered.


We beg to remain,

Sirs,

Your most obedient servants,

 (Chairman)
23.9.24


23.9.24


23.9.24

Members of the Deputation Committee.

M.V.22/9.

9.14

14

Name of the class or form and the No. of temporary teachers	STRENGTH.		Remarks.
	Before the apptt. of temporary teacher.	After the appointment	
4th class:-temporary teacher No. 1	39	50	
5th class:-temporary teacher No. 2	35	53	
I form:- temporary teacher No. 3	38	48	

(Enclosure to
C. No. 3436)

Recd. with D.P. I & no note

no. 18823-27.2.25
(fn. 8)

15
28.9.24

C. no. 23837.

Permission to wait on deputation
re. the net cost of the high school
Indiyattam ml. cl.

(A)

In G.O. no. 2006 dated 21.7.24
the Govt informed the cl. that they will
be prepared to receive a deputation
if sufficient grounds other than
those mentioned in the G.O. could be
pointed out in favour of a deputation.
It was then pointed out that the
cl. ought to have known that
Sanction of Govt. should have been
^{obtained} sought for appointments for
which provincial aid was
sought for.

Mcf.

2. The chairman now says
that ^{the Council} was not aware that
Sanction was not necessary.
He ^{argues} puts forth as an argument
that the issue of a general circular
Memo. no. 20503-2 of 20.6.23 stating
that Govt. will not take into
account for net cost purposes.

not available
Law (Edn) put up
in another file.

7. In these circumstances the Council may wish to consider
to make both ends meet and cannot but strive the services. From
the above it will appear that the financial condition already
serious enough must become desperate, if any untoward circumstances
arise at any time, the progress of collection of taxes will be
affected.

Handwritten text on a separate sheet of paper, mostly illegible due to blurring and orientation. Some legible words include: "the", "con-", "imagined", "high", "that", "sanction", "their", "inter-", "no 2", "the", "order", "small", "members of the deputation committee", "A.V. 2512", "not available", "Law (Edn) put up", "in another file".

16

any item which had not been
explicitly sanctioned by Govt,
~~as this memo issued only to the~~
^{only indicates that local bodies were}
~~her contents that he was~~ not
aware of the position before.

The circular was only a
reminder & the excuse now
put forth cannot be sustained.

3. The other argument is that
the general financial position
of the Cl. is unsound & ^{that} the Govt
should therefore go to the Cl's
help in paying the salaries
of the teachers from the date
of their entertainment.

No ~~other~~ arguments in favour
of a deputation ^{have} been assigned
the chairman's request ^{for meeting a deputation} may be
negatives. - Please see M₂'s note on p. 6 of G.O. 2006 244. (A)

^{27.8.24}
Cm
1.9.24
for a - 22.9.24
2.9.24
M₁
4.9.24
M₂
cic on 4.9.24

If the M.C. chooses to meet
in spite of the intimation
it is then to him & to
So - deputations will be
recd -

10.9.24

17

16

The case may be circulated to M₁ for
perusal of M₂'s note on p. 2 above. A date has
to be fixed for receiving the deputation.

Cm
11.9.24

11.9.24

cic on 12.9

Sey
M₁

11.9.24

23rd 30 clock will suit

15.9.24

15.9

15.9.24

A draft memo. informing the chairman
about the date of the deputation
is submitted below.

Cm
16.9.24

Issue and put up file
on Monday morning

16/9/24

Submitted

cic on 22.9.24
Cm
22.9.24

Please See pages 4-6 of (A)

22.9.24

Sey
M₁

22.9.24

23.9.24

The Minister for Local Self Govt and
Education

16

Education received the deputation consisting of the following members at 3 P.M. on the 23rd September 1924:-

1. Mr. R. Varada Desikacharyar - Headmaster
Municipal High School - Leader of the Deput.
2. Mr. R. Ramaswami Chettyar - Clerk
Gudiyattam
3. Mr. A. Velayudha Mudaliyar } Conn-
cillors.
4. Mr. S. Bhimaraya Mudaliyar }

The deputation presented a typewritten address - pp 5 to 8 of c.f. - and ~~read~~ laid stress on the financial position of the Council and their ignorance of the ~~order~~ ^{rules} requiring prior approval by Govt. The only point of any importance which was urged by the deputation was the fact that in almost every case the cost of the additional teacher was covered by the receipts from fees collected from the additional students that joined the school and that it was unfair ~~for the Council~~ to take the additional fee income into account in calculating the net cost while leaving out the cost of the additional teachers. The Honble the Chief Minister after hearing the deputation and after asking a few questions which the deputation did not come prepared to answer promised to refer the matter to the D.P.

A

9

Desd
2/10

circ on 29.9

Sing
M

8 9

17

The file may now be circulated to Mr. for confirmation of the above and for approval of the u.s. note below to the D.P.

Y.M.

27.10.24

M.

28.10.24

M.

30-9-24


P. 20

20 18 L.S. 1924
Subject. Employment of three teachers
in the Lyduyattam High School - for Rs
1024 L.S. dated 17th April 1924

Sp R/18 (H)
In f.v. No 1024 L.S. dated 17th
April 1924, the Govt while sanctioning the
permanent retention of three teachers in the
Lyduyattam Municipal High School directed
that the cost of these teachers from the date of
their appointment to 1st April 1924 should be
met from municipal funds as they were
appointed without obtaining the previous
sanction of Govt. At a deputation
received by the Honble the Ministers for
Local Self Govt and Education it was
stated

a) that the appointments were made at
the instance of the Educational Authorities
b) that the Council was not aware that
the previous sanction of Govt was necessary,
that the Director himself allowed the expenditure in two years
c) that the amount involved Rs 4,334
was more than the slender resources of the
Council can bear

and d) that it was unfair to the Council
to take ^{into account} the receipts ~~of the~~ from school fees
from the additional boys (who necessitated
the employment of the additional teachers)



A

220

21 ~~42~~

c 1882 B/24

A

~~unimportant~~ while calculating the net cost of the school but to exclude the cost of the additional teachers and that in almost every case the cost of the additional teacher was almost met by the increased fee income.


As there is some force in the last contention the Director of Public Instruction may be requested to have the matter examined and offer his remarks on it. The Director may be requested to ascertain and report the strength of each class before and after the teacher was employed and the ~~net cost~~ additional fee income realised. ~~Should~~ be ascertained.

Yosh
27/10/24

From 2 P. L. 14

Secy
L.S.G. No 27420-1400
2. 10. 24

D.P.D. v.o.



P.T.O

CB 8 22

19

U.O. Reply No. 1882 B-24 87-2-25

The responsibility for not obtaining Government sanction before creating new posts rests with the Municipal Council alone and their plea of ignorance does not excuse them in incurring expenditure without sanction. Unfortunately the irregularity was overlooked in approving and sanctioning the Municipal Budgets of 1921-22 and 1922-23. I am not however prepared to revise completely the recommendations made to Government in this office letter R.C.No.948 B/23 dated 12th March 1924. The fees of the additional sections, i.e., Rs.2601-2-0 should, however, be credited to the Council; and this, if approved, would reduce the burden on the rate-payers to Rs.1733/-.

Statement of class-war
Shangh.

4334
2601
1733



3936

2244
7/2/25
This department may accept the D.P.D. G. S. E. sept. 1924. This department may accept the D.P.D. G. S. E. sept. 1924. The fee receipts from the additional sections should have been credited to the ml. cl. when the cost of employing the teachers of the additional sections from the dates on which they were employed. The sum of Rs. 2601 referred to above may now be sanctioned as a subsidy.


to the cl. to meet the a portion
at least of the cost involved in
paying the teachers.

Necessary orders have to
issue in Law (Edn) with the
concurrence of Fin. The file
may be passed on to Law (Edn) ^{no.}

on
15/2/25.

16/2

7.2.25



Law (Edn) Dept. Comd. 7.2.

A.S.

The total expenditure
incurred by the Municipal
Council on account of the three
teachers is Rs. 4,334. The

D.P.I. and the L.S.G. deptt
recommend that the fees collected
from the additional pupils, amounting
to Rs 2,601 should be credited
to the Council. It is submitted

that this deptt may accept the suggestion
to sanction a subsidy of this amount.

A draft
order is submitted which may
be sent to Finance ^{no.} for
acceptance.

CPA
25.2.25

21/3/25

3.3.25



Law Edn no 499 B-1
3.3.25

499/B

Law Edn 499/B

19.2.25

Teachers
1920 = 2
1921 = 1
3
Page 18 (H)

1024 Mis d+m
17-4-24 (H)

A on page 67 ante

pp 8-9 ante

Rs 2,601.

24 10.

Finance (Exp).

The full net cost of the municipal
High School, Gudiatham is met from
Provincial Funds. Additional teachers were
employed by the municipal Council in
1920 and 1921 without the previous sanction
of Govt. being obtained. The Govt.
undertook to take into account the cost
of the additional teachers only from
1-4-24 and not before that date. The
municipal Council's contention is that as
the additional school fees derived owing
to the employment of additional teachers
were also taken into account in arriving
at the net cost of the school prior to
1-4-24, the extra expenditure on
account of the additional teachers
should also be borne by Govt. The
L.S.G. deptt. on the recommendation
of the D.P.I. propose to give a grant
to the municipal Council equal to
the additional fee income derived
on account of the employment of
additional teachers. Finance need
not object. The draft order may
be accepted.

2. The expenditure can be met
from the existing provision for

$$\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \overline{) 25} \\ 12 \\ \hline 13 \\ 78 \\ \hline 100 \\ 63 \\ \hline 37 \end{array}$$

Rev D
6/3

Law (25) Depth

The draft may be issued.

$$\frac{819}{7 \cdot 3 \cdot 25}$$

7.325


✓ 5/5 ✓
✓ 1/1 ✓
✓ 9/13 ✓

1439
CBE for 24-25

Law

Л. Е. БУД

Yin no: 8683 Sep $\frac{7}{3}$ 15



Sectt. II-5 (b)—5,003—6-12-24. (6)

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		<i>MR</i>
When examined ..		<i>9/3</i>
When signed ..	<i>9/3</i>	<i>5</i>
When despatched ..		

S u b s i d y

Municipal High School, --
Gudiyattam--pay of three additional --
teachers--sanctioned.

READ AGAIN G.O. No 1024 L & M, dated 17th April 1924 192

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 499-B From the C.M.C., Gudiyaattam ROC No. 790/24, dated 17-8-24 1924

192

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

ORDER
MIS

No. 331, dated 9-3- 1925

The Government are unable to sanction a subsidy to the Municipal Council, Gudiyattam, to cover the entire charges on account of the three additional teachers employed in the Municipal High School, -- Gudiyattam, up to ^{the 1st April 1924.} ~~XXXXXX~~. They are, however, pleased to sanction the payment to the council of a subsidy of Rs. 2601, being equivalent to the fees raised up to the above date from the additional sections in the school for which the three teachers were employed.

The A.G is requested to place this amount at the disposal of the Municipal Council at an early date.

2. The C.M.C., Gudiyattam, is --

requested to present the necessary bill at the Treasury without delay.

3. The expenditure will be met from the provision of Rs.1,41,400 in the current year's budget estimate under "31 B(b)(iii)-Education-Secondary-Grants to local bodies for secondary education--municipal schools"

C.P.A.
25-2-25
8/9
27-2-25

CA
26/3/25

V.T.K.
3-3

1439 CPE M 424-25

To

The D.P.I. ✓
The C.M.C., Gudiattam ✓
The A.G. through Fince. Dept. ✓
The L.S.G. Department ✓
* *Ex. 7 A. 7. Accounts* ✓

Dist. 10/3.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. . DATED
192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.