

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 2150

DATED 15. 12. 1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Examination Rules

Exemption - J. Srinivasa Rao
Revenue Inspector - South Kanara
Sanctioned

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of Endorsement from the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue and Settlement, No.E.2216/25, dated the 20th May 1925:-

X X X

p2-5 info

Petition from T.Srinivasa Rao, Revenue Inspector of Kasaragod Firka, dated 18th August 1924, and Endorsement by C.A.Souter, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara, N.Dis.C.

p6 info 8245-24-A-2, dated 29-8-1924.

X X X

p7 info

Petition from T.Srinivasa Rao, Revenue Inspector, Kasaragod, dated 2nd May 1925, and Endorsement by C.A.Souter, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of South Kanara, N.Dis.3759-25-A-2,

p8 info dated 6-5-1925.

ENDORSEMENT.

(X)

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O.No.164, Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925.

2. Of the memorialists Muhammad Ibrahim has been specifically exempted from examination rules to hold a clerk's post on Rs.20 (old scale). Under paragraph 3 of G.O.No.164 Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925, he may be permitted to rise in the time scale Rs.35-60 subject to the conditions laid down in paragraphs 2(iii) and 2(iv) of G.O.No.722 Law (Education), dated 13th June 1922. No modification of the orders in B.P. No.2008, Routine, dated 13th May 1924 is called for in regard to the other memorialists.

f.C. 15-6/24

2

(2)

E. Rao
27/8/24

To,



Through,

The Honourable Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue, M.A.D.R.A.S.

The Tahsildar of Kasaragod Taluk,
The Revenue Divisional Officer, Puttur,
The Collector of South Kanara.

Humble petition of T. Srinivasa Rao,
Revenue Inspector of Kasaragod Firka
Dated 18th August 1924.

E4022/m
To As Cdr
This may be filed with Asd Ref.
E3748-24-1 of 28824. Action under
taken on receipt of the reply to the
reference from the CMr.

15
8/9
8/9

8/8

The petitioner named above humbly and respect-
fully begs to approach the Hon'ble Board with the follow-
ing representations and pray for a kind and merciful consi-
deration of the same.

2. The petitioner who is a young man of 36 years
of age and who belongs to a respectable family, joined the
Public service in the Revenue Department in 1908 and has
thus put in a total service of 16 years.

3. During this period of his service, he worked in
different capacities in the Mangalore Taluk office, Deputy
Tahsildar's office, of Bantwal, and Moolky, Revenue Division-
al
office, Mangalore, Land Records Tahsildar's Office, South -
Kanara, and the Collector's office and gave full satisfaction
to all his superiors in the discharge of his duties. Having
worked in several offices in different capacities he has

well acquainted himself not only with all the branches of work of the Revenue Department but also with the details of Accounts work, such as Currency Chest, Contingency, T.A. Bills etc. In the Hazur Office he worked under the present Treasurer Deputy Collector M.R. Ry Rao Saheb P. Krishna Nambyar, Avgl., who, it is hoped, will testify to the capacity of the petitioner for work and his integrity of character.

4. Though the petitioner is an unpassed man he was specially selected by the Collector for being trained in Survey in 1920 at Government cost without payment of any fees though the rules which then existed permitted the training of unpassed men only on payment of Rs 25/-.

5. After undergoing the Survey training he also passed the Revenue Test and in May 1923 the then Collector was pleased to select the petitioner for Executive work and he was sent out as a Revenue Inspector which he is still holding.

6. On 1st November 1923 the petitioner was placed on Special Duty in connection with the granting of loans for the relief of the distressed poor on account of the floods and during a period of five months in that capacity he acquitted himself very well without giving room to his superior officers to find fault with his work in any way. Though he has now been working in the Kasaragod Firka which

is the heaviest Firka in Puttur Division his work has been appreciated by his superiors--vide Puttur Divisional Officer's Review of the Revenue Inspectors' work for the half year ending 30-6-1924. Owing to his satisfactory and approved service, the petitioner was allowed by the Collector to draw his first increment from 1-3-1923.

7. The petitioner now regrets to represent that the Board, in its order No. ^{Rev. 13-524} 2008 ~~2008~~ has declined to sanction increments to unpassed men unless the incumbent deserves to get the concession.

8. The petitioner need hardly represent that a denial to him of the benefit of the time scale would -- seriously mar his prospects in life and all his efforts towards the betterment of his position ^{by} dint of perseverance and hard work would be to no purpose. The petitioner leaves it to the kind consideration of the Hon'ble Board whether it would not be a great hardship to the petitioner if he is now deprived of his hard earned increment in spite of his honesty, hard work and zeal exhibited by him in his work and satisfying his superiors in every way.

9. Though the petitioner has been shown in the Establishment District/list as having passed only Primary Examination he begs to state that he had studied up to the Matriculation class.

10. The petitioner therefore humbly prays that on a kind and merciful consideration of all the above facts and also the petitioner's long and ^{honest} unblemished service of 16 years and also his extreme poverty, the Hon'ble Board may be graciously pleased to permit the petitioner to draw the annual increments which accrued due to him since 1-3-'23

For which act of kindness and generosity the petitioner as in duty bound ever remain grateful

J. Shrinivas Rao
Petitioner.

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures are visible in the right margin, including a signature that appears to be 'Shrinivas Rao' and some illegible text.]

6 5



1129/24

N. Dis C 8245-24-A2

Submitted to the Board of Revenue

2. The petitioner is at present working as a Revenue Inspector. He is a Kottishwar Brahmin who has 16 years service.

His work as Revenue Inspector has certainly been above the average.

pmg
27.8.24.

by
28.8.24

Submitted to the Collector of South Kanara, through the Revenue Divisional Officer, Puttur.

The petitioner is one of the Capable Revenue Inspectors that served under me & is very neat & systematic in his work. When I was Special Officer for Flood Reconstruction from October to March last he was also one of my special Rev. Insps & did the work satisfactorily. I strongly recommended the grant of the increment which he prays for & which he richly deserves. He is as good as a passed man if not better.

I. B. Shankar Rao
Tahsildar
Kasaragod.
18.8.24

N. Dis C 1561/24

Submitted.

W. S. S. S.
25/8/24
Revenue Officer

by
28.8.24



To

The Hon'ble Board of Revenue,
Land Revenue,
M a d r a s.

Through

The Collector of South Kanara.

Humble petition of T. Srinivas Rao,
Revenue Inspector, Kasaragod.

Dated 2nd May 1925.

N. Dis C No. 1046 Sml. 25

Submitted

I. B. Shankar Rao
Tahsildar
3.8.25

Respectfully sheweth,

That the petitioner had submitted a petition to the Hon'ble Board in August last praying that he might be permitted to draw annual increments just like passed candidates and he also understood that the Collector had recommended the grant of his prayer - but the petitioner regrets that no orders have yet been received in the matter.

2. That during his whole service of 16 years he had worked hard in different capacities and his work was well appreciated by all the Officers under whom he served.

3. That the petitioner having passed the Revenue Test and undergone the Survey Training and Revenue Inspectors' course is equal to a passed candidate and it will be a great hardship if he is denied the privilege of earning annual increments.

4. The petitioner therefore prays that the Hon'ble Board may on a kind and sympathetic consideration of all the facts be graciously pleased to permit the petitioner to draw annual increments.

T. Srinivas Rao.

N. Dis 3759-25-A2 8/7

Submitted to the Board in
continuation of this office

N. Dis C. No 8245-24-A2 dated
29th August 24.

Ch. S. S.
6.5.25

Collector

bmj
5.5.25

b
5.5.25

DESPATCHED
6 MAY 1925

E 2116/25

To AS (H.R.)

x In circ. to Secy,

This may be overruling disposal of the memorial
memorials from the unpassed clerks in the
S. Kanara Dt. ~~was in circulation with Ad (H.R.)~~

hs
15.5.25 *bm*
16.5.25

hs
18.5.25

C.D.

bm
18.5.25

9
(9)

Rev Dept-

Memo No. 2901C/25-1 d. 30-7-25

Examination Rules (S. Kanara)-

unpassed clerks - T. Srinivasa Rao -

increments in the time scale - Memorial

pp 14

Board's Est No. E. 2116/25 d. 20-5-25.

Encl. PPH
30.7.25.

The petitions of T. Srinivasa Rao

Dr in No. 10000

pp 2-8

d. 18-8-24 & 2-5-25 received from the

Board with the above add. are ~~referred~~ ^{referred}

to the Bd of Rev, ~~the Bd~~ ^{which} is requested to

state the specific reasons for not

recommending the exemption from

examination rules of ^{one} ~~the~~ ^{petitioner}

who is found fit to act as Rev Inspect

DESPATCHED
30 JUL 1925
Sh

Ch
29-7-25

Sh
30.7.25.

To the Bd of Rev, A.R.S

(with the paper on pp 25-29 & 34-35 of 6-
be returned)

Revenue

DEPARTMENT.

C.F. 31

CURRENT No.

Received



192

Registered

Rw4756C

192

25-8-25

Subject.

Examination rules (South Kanara) - Unpassed clerks -
Increments in the time scale - T.Srinivasa Rao - Memorial.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Endorsement No. E.3780/25.

Station Madras Dated the 24th August 1925

From

W.Scott Brown, Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary,

Land Revenue and
The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement, etc.
Separate Revenue.

Resolution
Reference.

P.L.Moore, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read the following:-

Memorandum from Government, Revenue Department, No.2901 C/
25-1, dated the 30th July 1925.

ENDORSEMENT:

(X) The policy of the Board in the matter of granting
increments to unpassed clerks under paragraph 4 of G.O. No.164,
Law (Education), dated 4th February 1925, is to permit only such
of them to rise in the time scale as have been certified by
the Collector, from his personal knowledge, to possess real
ability, industry and drafting capacity. The Board has found

11
it necessary to insist on applying this standard strictly in order to prevent incompetent men from rising to the top of the scale and being found unfit to do the more responsible clerical work expected from men on such pay. Tahsildars and even Revenue Divisional Officers in many cases have been found to display an entire lack of conscience in their recommendations. As the Collector's endorsement on the petition in the present case was general and did not indicate any personal knowledge on the part of the Collector and did not specifically state that the petitioner possessed these qualifications, the Board did not recommend the case to Government.

The Board has in a number of cases exempted men to whom it previously refused exemption after Collectors have testified from their personal examination of the clerks and their work that they consider they are really fit for more important work, involving noting and drafting.

In the present case, the Board is prepared to take similar action if the present Collector makes such a recommendation.

W. Scott Brown
24/8
Secretary.

To the Second Secretary to Government,
with petitions in original returned.

S.S./24-8.

Bss
24-8-25

2 Cro put up in another file

Extracts from notes to Gov. No. 1323 Rev d. 26-8-25.

12
Subject - Increment in the time-scale -

Memorials of certain unpassed clerks in the South Kanara District.

To Asst. Secy.

2. As regards the other cases, that of T. Srinivasa Rao seems to deserve some consideration. He has put in 16 years service and is working as a Revenue Inspector in the Kasaragod Taluk. The Tahsildar speaks of him as a capable Revenue Inspector and the Collector, Mr. Souter, endorses that his work as a Revenue Inspector has certainly been above the average.

T.R.T.
5-6-25.

V.P.R.
9-6-25.

It seems strange that a man who can be sent out as R.I. is not considered fit to be allowed increments.

E.W.L.
13-6-25.

I would refer the case of T. Srinivasa Rao to Board and ask what are the specific reasons for not recommending a man who is fit to act as R.I.

E.W.L.
23-7-25.

p 9 cf

Mem. No. 2901 C/25-1 d. 30-7-25
From the Bd of Rev. KRS, Encl. No. E. 3780/25 d. 24-8-25
To Asst Secy

Please see the Bd's reply on pp 10-11 of the Com. who has forwarded the petition has reported the petition

as Rev Inspr,
 work to have been certainly above the average. There is
 nothing to indicate that this remark is or is not
 based on a personal examination of the petitioner's
 work. But at any rate, if a ~~man~~ ^{of his standing} is considered
 to possess "real ability, industry & drafting capacity"
~~not fit for important work involving nothing~~
~~drafting~~ he is not likely to be sent out as a Rev
 Inspr. In these circumstances it is for consideration
 whether the Govt will grant him exemption without
 a reference to the present Collr.

S/. The Tahildar under whom
 the pet^r has worked certifies that p. 6 of CF
 he is as good as if not better
 than a passed man. The Collr
 Mr. Souter has endorsed in his
 own hand: "He is a Koteswar
 Brahmin and has 16 years' ser-
 vice. His work as Revenue
 Inspector has certainly been
 above the average". He wd. not have
 written like this without per-
 sonal knowledge. It is

The Board is prepared
 to exempt the pet^r if the pre-
 sent Collr. testifies from his
 personal examination of the pet^r's
 work that he is really fit for
 more important work involving
 nothing and drafting.
 It is for orders whether

The Board may be asked to do
 as proposed by it to exempt
 the pet^r, without a further report
 from the present Collr., and on the
 strength of Mr. Souter's report.
 (11/11/25)

This is one of the South Kanara case -
 most cases referred from the M. P. -
 I feel to see what more assurance
 of the man's fitness can be required
 more than the Collr. has allowed him to
 do as an R. D. & has personally endorsed
 his petition -

Ci 13-11-25

I repeat this. Say that as the
 Collr. has personally certified
 that his work is above average
 he is exempted from the

13/11

13/11

To Asst Secy

Orders in this case have to issue in Law (P.W.) Dept.
 to which the file may now be transferred.

Draft here 23/11

20-11-25

To Asst Secy

A draft order is submitted for approval.

Supl. Please look into draft order & speak to the

4 15 ✓

$$\frac{1}{7.12.25}$$

Law (Econ) Dept

8/9
9.12.25.

R
9.12.15

~~V.TK~~
~~10.12~~

Rev U-0

Rev. Dept

No objection. Transferred accgly

Law (Edg) u.s. 8
11-12-25

Law (Eqn) Depth


The Draft may be issued.

89
12.12.25


14/12

Revised 4756e/25-
7-12-25-

LR 3348B
844



CPA no. 4 (1) of P.S.A.



Rev 6509C

11/12/25

Revised 4756c/25-2
11-12-25



Ze 3394B

12.12.25

Date _____

Initials

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 39, dated _____, 192

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 4756 of 1920 From the T. Srinivasa Rao, Rev. Inspy No. petition, dated 18-8-1924
S. Kavara 9-2-5-25

" " " the Bd of Rev, HRS. Zult, E. 3780/26 " 24 - 8 - 1925

” 19 ” ” ” ” 192

ORDER

Miss

p. 6 c. 3

No. 2150, dated 15-12 1925

As the Collector of South Kanara has personally certified that the petitioner's work is above the average, the Govt. are pleased to exempt him from the provisions of article 1 of the Public Service Notification to enable him to hold appointments carrying a scale of salary of Rs 35-35-1½-50-1-60.

DESPATCHED
15 DEC 1925

To the Bd of Rev. LR48
" " Rev. Dept
" " Law & Edn Dept

$\frac{0.00}{4.12.25}$

10
7.12.25

Handwritten notes in the top left corner of the left page.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED _____
192

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES to C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ... A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.