

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1907

DATED 4.11.1925.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Indian Educational Service

M.Ry P.V. Seshu Ayyar Avargal —
Exempted from the pause at Rs. 600 with effect
from 1-9-25; The request of M.Ry S. Kuppuswami
Sarbū Avargal — declined.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
So.	1475	L.E.	26.8.25	So.	11175	L.E.	18.8.23
So.	1321	L.E.	30.7.25	So.	544-45	L.E.	4.5.22
So.	1319	L.E.	30.7.25	So.	570	L.E.	11.4.21
So.	713	L.E.	8.5.24	So.	547	L.E.	6.4.21
So.	384	L.E.	14.3.24	So.	160-61	L.E.	1.2.21
So.	1486	L.E.	1.12.23	So.	1285	L.E.	3.11.20

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
So.	168	L.E.	3.2.26				

C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

Law(Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

192

M.R.RY.P.V.Seshu Ayyar Avergal - Exemption from the pause at Rs.600 in the Indian Educational Service scale of pay Application- submitted.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R. C. No. 1371 C/25

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 27-8-25 192

From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education)

Department.

Six

Letter from M.R.Ry P.V.Seshu Ayyar Avergal, Principal, Government College, Kumbakonam dated 20-8-25.

**

Copy submitted to Government, Law(Education) Department.

M

2. Attention is solicited to G.O.NO.Mis.394 Law (Education) dated 14-3-24.

A Rama Rau
28/8

for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 28/8/25

2/3

Copy of letter dated 20th August 1925 from the Principal, Government College, Kumbakonam to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Law (Education) Department through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

With reference to the G.O.No.Mis.394, Law (Education) dated 14th March 1924, refusing to exempt me from the pause at Rs.600 as prayed for in my memorial of 8-1-1924 I have the honour to request that the Government will be pleased to reconsider their G.O. and exempt me from the pause. (M)

2. In making this request, I beg to mention that I hear that some officers who were promoted in 1920 along with me from the Madras Educational Service to the Indian Educational Service and some of whom were refused exemption from the pause like me, have been recently, on reconsideration, exempted from the pause at Rs.600/- and that my case is similar to, if not harder than, theirs. My case is even harder than theirs, in that I have only to serve a year and a half more before retirement and that by that time, I rise only to a pay of Rs.850 if the pause be not excused and to Rs.900 if it be excused whereas the officers who have been recently exempted from the pause, being younger than myself, can serve longer and rise, before their retirement, to at least a pay of Rs.1000 and in some cases even higher.

3. In my case, there is the question of arrears if the pause be excused now. To that I have only to say that I shall be quite satisfied if the increment in pay due to the removal of pause be given effect to from 1st September 1924 (the arrears for 3 years from 1st September 1921 being withheld), so that I may have the benefit of an enhanced pension due to this increased rate of pay.

4. In conclusion, I once again pray that the Government will be pleased to reconsider my case and exempt me from the pause at Rs.600 as in the case of some of the other officers promoted along with me from the M.E.S to the

X
It was granted
to those officers
who have passed
the stage of the
pause with
effect from
1.9.25

to the Indian Educational Service.

5. A copy of my memorial dated 8-1-24 is herewith submitted for ready reference.

Copy of letter dated 8.1.24 from M.R.Ry P.V.

Seshu Ayyar Avl., B.A.L.T., Professor of Applied Mathematics Presidency College, Madras to the Secretary to the

Government of Madras, Law(Education) through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras through the Principal, Presidency College, Madras.

In continuation of my memorial of 8th August 1921 and with reference to the G.O.NO. 1686 Law(Education) dated 1-12-23, I have the honour to bring the following few lines for your kind consideration.

The prayer in the Memorial submitted by me related to two points:- (1) the fixing of my initial salary in the I.E.S and (2) the removal of the pause at Rs.600 Paras (1) and (2) of the G.O.No.1686 dated 1-12-23 recently communicated to me have reference only to the initial salary which has been fixed at Rs.600 for which I feel thankful to the Government. I beg to submit that there is however no mention made of the pause. Consequently the Accountant-General in fixing my present pay under the recent G.O. quoted above assumes, in the absence of a definite ruling to the contrary, that I am subject to the pause at Rs.600/- according to para 7 of the Government of India Circular letter No.371 Education dated 23rd March 1920. I now request you will be pleased to consider favourably the question of the removal of the pause at Rs.600 prayed for in my original memorial.

If the pause be not removed, under the existing rules regulating the pay in the Indian Educational

Educational Service though I am theoretically entitled to rise gradually to Rs.1250 I shall have, by the time I complete 55 the age of retirement actually risen only to Rs.850 a sum which one could get even in the Madras Educational Service if one rises to the maximum and is made to act in the Indian Educational Service. Thus it will be seen that I am not materially benefited much by a my promotion to the Indian Educational Service.

Further, it is rather hard that Officers of advanced age like me promoted from the Madras Educational Service to the Indian Educational Service., who have not the privilege of being started on a salary according to their age, should be subjected to this pause like any direct recruits or the younger men promoted to the Indian Educational Service who can rise automatically to Rs.1250 and get into the selection grades as well. That is why, perhaps, the Secretary of State has, I understand given the Local Governments full discretion to excuse the pause in individual cases which may appear to them to be hard.

Also, my pay as fixed, according to the recent G.O.without the pause removed, places me in much lower position than that held by some of my own students who have been recently recruited to the Indian Educational Service.

I, therefore pray that, in view of my advanced age and in consideration of my long and efficient service, the pause at Rs.600 may be excused in my case, so that I may be really benefited by my promotion to the Indian Educational Service.

(True copies)


A R a m a Ray

for Director of Public Instruction

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered 192 .

Subject.

M.R.Ry., S.Kuppuswami Sastri, Avl.-

A. Eu 3376A
5/9/25-

Exemption from the pause at Rs.600/- in the
Indian Educational Service scale of pay - Application
submitted-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

N.R.C. No. 1398-C/25

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 31st August, 1925. 192 .

From (Name) R.G.GRIEVE, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,
(Designation) AG: DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

Endorsement of the Principal, Presidency College, Madras,
C.No.328/25 dated 25-8-25 submitting petition of
M.R.Ry., S.Kuppuswami Sastri, Avl., Professor of Sanskrit
requesting exemption from the pause at Rs.600/- in the
Indian Educational Service.

Submitted to Government, Law (Education)

Department.

A Rama Ray
4/9/25
for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.4/9.

9
4/9



6 (6)
Presidency College,
Madras.
24-8-1925.

From

M.R.Ry.S.Kuppuswami Sastri, M.A., I.E.S.

Professor of Sanskrit and Comparative Philology,

and

[Honorary Curator, Government Oriental Manuscripts Library,
Madras.]

To

The Secretary to the Government, Law (Education) Department,
Government of Madras.

Through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras

and

Through the Principal, Presidency College, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit that I am one of the few Educational officers who were promoted from the Provincial Educational Service to the Indian Educational Service in September 1920, presumably on the ground of established standing, recognised worth and proved ability. While I have had none of the advantages, such as a higher initial salary proportionate to age, usually allowed in the case of new entrants directly appointed to the Indian Educational Service, the pause at Rs. 600/- in the I.E.S. time-scale, which may be quite reasonable in the case of new entrants, has been operating to my disadvantage since 2nd September 1924—the date from which I should have been drawing Rs. 650/- if there were no pause. I request that the Government may be pleased to exempt me from the pause at Rs. 600 in the I.E.S. time-scale, with effect from ^{the} 2nd September 1924, as they have already done in similar cases.

A | That I am in my 45th year, and have put in a total service of nearly twenty years as Professor in the Presidency College and as Principal of two leading Sanskrit Colleges—viz, the Sanskrit College at Tiruvadi and the Sanskrit College at Mylapore; that my Professorial duties in the Presidency College involve very heavy responsibilities and substantial lecturing work of not less than ^{fourteen (14)} ~~fourteen~~ hours per week with reference to a variety of advanced subjects prescribed for the Sanskrit Honours Course, in addition to the important work of directing and

P.T.O

and supervising research in Sanskrit entailed by being placed in charge of all the University research -students in Sanskrit; and that all these duties happen to be associated with the additional responsibility of being ^{the} honorary Curator of the Government Oriental Manuscripts Library, Madras, involving, on an average, not less than two hours' daily work of a gratuitous though sufficiently exacting kind, except on Fridays — are some of the important considerations which may well be borne in mind in this connection. And if the Government should be kind enough to consider all these facts, I am sure it would be easy to see that, in requesting the Government to exercise in my favour their discretion in regard to exemption from the pause in the I.E.S. time-scale, I am only asking for a small concession which, I believe, it would be unfair to refuse.

Submitted to the Director of
Public Instruction and
strongly recommended.
The case appears to be similar
to those granted exemption
in G.O. 1321. Law Ed.
of 30th July 1925. Communic-
ated in P.W. D's 2975/25
dated 6.8.25

P. F. Tyson

Ap. Principal
Presidency College

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

S. Kuppaswami Sastri
24/8/1925

Law (Education) Department.

(C.No. 3313 A) From the D.P.I. N.R.C. No. 1371 C/25 and 1398 C/25,
dated 27-8-25 and 31-8-25 respectively.
Subject : - M.R.Ry. P.V. Seshu Ayyar Avergal and M.R.Ry.
~~S. Kuppaswami Sastri Avergal~~ - Exemption
from the pause at Rs. 600/-

The D.P.I. submits to Government the memorials of
Messrs P.V. Seshu Ayyar and S. Kuppaswami Sastri praying
for exemption from the pause at Rs. 600 in the time
scale of pay of officers of the I.E.S. The requests of
the memorialists are examined below : --

2. M.R.Ry. P. Seshu Ayyar.

In G.O.No. 394, dated 14-3-24, the Govt. declined
to exempt Mr. Seshu Ayyar from the pause at Rs. 600,
and in G.O.No. 713, dated 8-5-24, they expressed their
inability to accept, in the absence of special reasons,
the Director's proposal to exempt from the ~~pause~~ ^{ten}
officers (including Mr. Seshu Ayyar) who ~~were~~ ^{were} promoted
from the M.E.S. ~~to~~ the I.E.S. in 1920. The Govt. ~~have~~,
however, since reconsidered the question and sanctioned
the exemption of six of the ten officers referred to
above. Mr. Seshu Ayyar states that his case is harder
than that of officers who have been recently exempted
by Government for the following reasons : --

- (1) that he has to serve only for a year and ~~half~~
half more before retirement, his age now being 53 $\frac{1}{2}$;
- (2) that he can rise to a pay of only Rs. 850
if he is not exempted from the pause and Rs. 900 if he
is exempted, whereas the other officers who have been
exempted by Government recently can rise to a higher
maximum.

A comparative statement showing the age, length
of service, etc. of the ten officers promoted from the
M.E.S. to the I.E.S. in 1920 is placed below (Slip A).
It will be seen therefrom that Mr. Seshu Ayyar is the

Mr. A. Chakravarti
M.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar
A. Ramaswami
Abdur Rahman
Anantapadmanabha Rao
B.E. Ranganathan

oldest of them and has put in the longest service.
Mr. Littlehailes considered that Mr. Seshu Ayyar
should be the first to be exempted from the pause.

~~His case is similar to that of Seshu Ayyar~~

~~and should be exempted from the pause.~~

In consideration of these facts it is for
orders whether his request for exemption may be
granted. The exemption need not have retrospective
effect, ^{As} in the case of Messrs. Chakravarti,
Ranganathan and Anantapadmanabha Rao he may have
the benefit of the exemption from 1-9-1925, his
pay from that date being fixed at Rs. 850 instead of
Rs. 800.

3. M.R. Ry. S. Kuppuswami Sastri.

Mr. Kuppuswami Sastri is now in his 45th year.
He points out that in the ordinary course he may
not reach the maximum of the time scale of pay of
the I.E.S. before retirement and that his case
is similar to that of others who have been granted
exemption by Government. It is submitted that Messrs
Chakravarti and Abdur Rahim who are younger than
Mr. Sastri have been exempted from the pause and it
does not appear that the concession can be withheld
from Mr. Sastri. He may therefore be allowed to draw
Rs. 700 instead of Rs. 650 from 2-9-1925.

4. The file may be sent to the Finance department
for acceptance of the above proposals.

S.M.S.

8th
14.9.25.

CR
14.9.25
5

Finance u.o.

Finance E.T.



32672 E.T.

18.9.25.

Law (Cdn) u.o.

3313 A.I.

16.9.25.

V.T.
15.9.

V.T.
15.9.

Para 2, page 10
of S.O. 713
8/5/24

P1

Messrs Abdur Rahim &
Dhanabasa who were getting
similar special pay have
been exempted from the
pause at Rs 600.

(M)

(C)

The Law Deptt propose to exempt
Messrs P.V. Seshu Ayyar and S. Kuppuswami
Sastri from the pause at Rs 600 in the
I.E.S. scale and to give them the
benefit of such exemption from 1-9-25
and 2-9-25 respectively.

2. Mr. Seshu Ayyar's case was considered
on previous occasions. In February 1924
M₂ considered that Mr. Seshu Ayyar
should be satisfied with being made
the Principal of a First grade College
in which capacity he would get a
special pay of Rs 150. and that there
were no special reasons for granting
him exemption from the pause - vide
pages 8-9 of S.O. No. 394 Law (Cdn) of 14.3.24.
The Law Deptt now point out that he
& Mr. Kuppuswami Sastri cannot, in
the ordinary course, reach the maximum
of the I.E.S. scale before retirement and
that their case is similar to that of
others who have been exempted from
the pause by Govt. Attention is
invited to H.E.'s and the Hon'ble Mr.
Graham's note on pp 14-15 of S.O. 1321
Law (Eds) dated 30.7.25 and the final
order of Govt on page 21 of the notes ibid.

In the circumstances, it is for orders whether Finance may agree to the grant of exemption as proposed.

3. It is presumed that, if exemption is granted, the extra expenditure in the current year can be met from the existing allotment in the budget for pay of officers in Govt Arts Colleges. The D.P.I. will have to be consulted on this point before orders are issued.

3831A
9XV

one
2.10.25

The file may be circulated

to
Sms

Law (Enr.)

Fin No. 32672
8.10.25

For orders as to circulation.

9/10/25

9.10.25
V.T.K.
10.10

M2
C4
142

M2

17/10
15.26

C4

H.E. the Govr

30132/16
30.7.25

Slag C p 13 and p 15, Mr Graham noted that the removal of the pause was intended to meet cases of individual hardship due to conditions of production in the I.S. & there is no hardship in these cases. He further said that it was not intended



I am afraid I am unable to see any difference between the two cases, but as my hon. colleague has I am prepared to accept his decision.

20.10.25



Draft.

23.10.

that my officer should reach the maximum of pay - In the case of Mr Seshu Aiyar, he is above the average and is said to be sound in mathematics and in little details said his case was exceptional. As Principal of the first grade College he receives an allowance & has only a short time before him for retirement - His case may be approved.

As regards Kuppusamy Sathy, I am constrained to say he has no claim - It is ^{fortunate} enough he was provided to the I.E.S. while pursuing his Classical Studies in Oxford (Sanskrit) are working in the I.E.S. He is quite an ordinary pandit type and is working as professor of Sanskrit. His work in the College seems to be very light as he is able to take up the work of Curator of Oriental Library and other concerns. He is not known to be proficient in any particular branch of learning in Sanskrit except that he is a "kung-hay". Applying the principle of finance stated by Mr Graham I do not find sufficient justification in this case - 12/10/25

2. The extra expenditure during the current year will be met

from savings anticipated
 under "31-4-6- Education-
 "University - Government acts
 "Colleges - men - Pay of officers
 "non-rated".

3. The Government see no
 sufficient reason to exempt
 Mr. S. Kuppaswami Sastri
 from the pause at Rs 600/-
 in the time-scale of pay
 sanctioned for officers of the
 Indian Educational Service

Shis
 24.10.25
 N.Y.
 26.10
 A
 26.10.25
 Y.T.
 27.10

DESPATCHED
 5 NOV 1925
 19

The D.P.2
 The A.G. through the Finance Dept
 The Finance Department

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
 192 . DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ..

A. A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.