

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ord. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1813

DATED 19. 10. 25.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Porrett Mr. C.R.

Request to include his name
in the Schedules to the Statutory Rules
& Orders - *Negative*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

34934
14/9/25

Subject.

Mr. C.R. Porrett - request of - to add his name to the Statutory Rules.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref. on C No. 1448 C/25

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 7th September 25 192

From (Name) R.G. Grieve, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,
(Designation) As. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Law (Educational Department).

Sir,

Letter from Mr. C.R. Porrett, Advisor to Government on Manual and Vocational Training to the Director of Public Instruction C.No. 3645/24, dated 2nd September 1925.

"I have the honour to request you to approach Government to recommend and forward this application to the Governor General in Council to add my name to those included in Schedule I, III or V or in the appendices to Schedule IV to the Statutory Rules and orders 1924, No. 1395 India, whereby I shall become entitled to the concessions granted to the Superior services under the recommendation of the Lee Commission. Under Paragraph 16 of the Statutory Rules and orders, quoted above the Governor General in Council may do this with the sanction of the Secretary of State for India in Council.

Y

12 of 10/1/25
Y

I was recruited in England by the Secretary of State for India in 1914 and am pure English having no relations or connections in Asia.

(copy) Submitted to Government, Law (Education) Department.

2. Mr. R. Littlahiles was of opinion that no further concession was immediately necessary in his case so far as financial relief was concerned.

3. The scale of pay of Mr. Porrett was revised in July 1921. This revision was thus subsequent to the revision of pay of Indian Educational Service and Madras Educational Service officers but was given largely for similar reasons.

4. With regard to the question of retirement on proportionate pension Mr. Littlahiles remarked as follows: -

"I am of opinion that permission to retire on proportionate pension should be granted to all European officers now in the permanent service of Government who were recruited to their first Appointment in India by the Secretary of State provided that their first appointment was made prior to the year 1921. The persons affected in the Educational Department would be Messrs. Porrett and ----- only. Under clause 13 of Mr. Porrett's agreement it appears open to the Secretary of State to rule that Mr. Porrett may retire on proportionate pension on a mere interpretation of rules, apart from the ultimate or residual power which the Secretary of State may possess in this regard".

5. I fail to see why Mr. Porrett's appointment should not be included in the Schedules relating to passages and ability to retire on proportionate pension.

A Rama Rao
9/9
for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 9/9

(C.No. 3493 A)

Law (Education) Department.

From the D.P.I., R.O.C. 1448, dated 7th September 1925.

Inclusion of Mr. C.R. Porrett's name in the Schedules to the Statutory Rules and Orders relating to the Superior Civil Services.

Mr. Porrett, Adviser to Government on Manual Training, requests that his name may be recommended to the Government of India for inclusion "in Schedules I, III or V or in the Appendices to Schedule IV to the Statutory Rules and Orders, 1924", with a view to his becoming eligible for the concessions granted to the Superior services under the recommendations of the Lee Commission. Mr. Porrett's request is not very definite. He refers to Schedules I, III or V or IV.

Schedule I contains a list of services the officers of which are entitled to draw their overseas pay in Sterling. Mr. Porrett is holding a post outside the regular educational services and is not entitled to overseas pay. There is therefore no question of allowing him to draw his overseas pay in sterling in England.

Schedule III relates to certain special posts such as those of the Director of Public Instruction, Educational Commissioner with the Government of India etc., which are filled by officers of All-India Services. Officers holding these posts are allowed an overseas pay of £ 13-6-8 a month payable in England. This schedule cannot obviously apply to Mr. Porrett.

Schedules IV and V relate to the passage and pension concessions allowed to officers of All-India services and posts similar to those included in those services. Mr. Porrett, though recruited by the Secretary of State, holds a post of less importance than

posts ordinarily included in the Superior services.

2. In April last, the Government of India enquired whether any of the posts outside the All-India Services should be classed as Superior with a view to according to them treatment similar to that recommended by the Lee Commission for Superior services. In that connection the cases of Messrs. Porrett, Webster and Gravely who are outside the regular cadres were considered and it was decided that the posts held by these officers need not be classed as superior. It does not appear that there is any reason at present for a reconsideration of the decision already arrived at.

3. The Director (Mr. Grieve) is of opinion that Mr. Porrett's name may be included in the Schedules relating to passages and the privilege of retirement on proportionate pension. The question of admitting him to passage concessions has been dealt with above. As regards retirement on proportionate pension there is no schedule relating to this in the Statutory Rules and Orders relating to superior services issued in 1924. This concession was sanctioned by the Secretary of State earlier than 1924. Mr. Porrett has not specifically asked for it. The question of admitting Mr. Porrett to this privilege was considered in connection with a separate reference received from the Government of India and it was decided in the notes to G.O.No. 456, dated 1st April 1925, that in view of the status of this officer, the concession need not be extended to him.

4. In these circumstances it is submitted that Mr. Porrett need not be recommended for the concessions allowed to the superior services under the Lee Commission proposals. He may be left to memorialise the Government of India if he chooses

x Pay - Rs 500-50-800.
+ Pay - Rs 450-25-700
x Pay - Rs 500-50-1000.


vide notes on pp 3-4
of papers at

Schedule II

P. 16 of 403
1.4.25

LE 3493 A-1

22.9.25



Sub no 2905-1
26/9/25



Fin. C.No. 34131 CBR

29.9.25

Fin no 34131-LCBR

2.10.25



to do so. It is for orders whether for the present, the D.P.I. may be asked to inform Mr. Porrett that his request cannot be complied with.

S.N.S.

8/9
21.9.25

21.9.25

V.T.K.
22.9

Public } u.o.
Fin

Public } Depo

This dep't may agree with Law (Eon) and the can passed in to Finance



Finance (C&A)
Finance may agree with Law (Eon)

29/9/25

Law (Eon)

For orders as to Circulation

S.N.S.
2.10.25

3.10.25

V.T.K.
4.10.25

M2
C2
H.E.

5/10/25



Request cannot be complied with.

14.10.25

Sec't. II-10 (a)—48,962-2-2-22. (6)

(Law/Education) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied	..	by Pds 20/7
When examined	..	20/7
When signed	..	20/7
When despatched	..	20/7

Porrett m-C.R.

Request to include his name
in the Schedule to the
Statutory Rules & Orders.
Negatived

READ AGAIN G.O. No....., dated.....*Negative* 192
 " " " " " 192

READ ALSO r—

C. No. 3473A, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

[illegible]

” ”..... ” ” ” ” 192

ORDER.

No. 1813, dated 19-10-1925.

mis.

The D.O. is requested to inform Mr. Porrett that his request for the inclusion of his name in the Schedules to the Statutory Rules and Orders, 1924, cannot be complied with.

DESPATCHED

20 OCT 1925

The D. P. 9

with ref. to his letter

Р.с. no. 1448ср

9 7.9.28

Shis
17.10.28

$\frac{a}{19.10}$

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
 192

, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.