

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ordg. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1715

DATED 5-10-25.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Memorial. —

*Sankarajayya, M.A. V. — Assistant
Government College, Coimbatore —*

*Enclosed payment. Waiver of recovery and
higher initial pay. repeated.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
As 1787	20.11.24						

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

3017C.
L. E. 3627/192
24/9/25

Submitting with remarks the memorial of M.R.Ry. V. Sankara Ayvar, Assistant, Government College, Coimbatore and officiating Assistant, Queen Mary's High School for Girls, Vizagapatam, addressed to His Excellency, the Governor of Madras.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.C. No. 1106-C/25.

Spare copies

Station Madras.

Dated the 19th September 1925

From (Name) R.G. Grieve, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,

(Designation) As. Director of Public Instruction
Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the memorial of M.R.Ry. V. Sankara Ayvar, Assistant, Government College, Coimbatore and officiating Assistant, Queen Mary's High School for Girls, Vizagapatam addressed to His Excellency the Governor, with the following remarks:-

Para.1 and 2 of the memorial:- The statements made are correct.

Para.3:- The statement contained in para.3 is correct. But in the Men's Branch, School Assistants who were allowed to draw the minimum pay of Rs.100/- according to G.O. No.793 Finance dated 29-7-1921 were

pu infra

Und

c

asked not to draw Rs.100/- from May 1922 and the amount drawn by them up to the end of April 1922 was waived. If

M.R.Ry. Sankara Ayyar had been continued in the Men's Branch, he would not have refunded the amount of Rs.45-2-7, the excess pay drawn by him from 1-3-1921 to 7-10-1921. He drew pay at Rs.100 from 8-10-1921 to 17-10-1922.

Para.4:- Men appointed in Girls' Schools were not given the rates of salary fixed for the posts as the higher scale of pay sanctioned for Girls' Schools was intended to be given only to women teachers. If M.R.Ry. V. Sankara Ayyar had continued in a boys' school, he would not have got Rs.125/- per mensem.

Para.5:- It was not the intention of the Department to confirm M.R.Ry. V. Sankara Ayyar in the Women's Branch. His name was not found in the Men's Branch of the lists of officers, because he held no substantive appointment in the Men's Branch and was only officiating in the Women's Branch. His initial pay was therefore fixed in the Women's Branch and a place in the School Assistants' Cadre in the Men's Branch was reserved for him. It was a mistake on his part to have inferred that he would be "taking his chances" in service with women officers and the Department is not responsible for it. The statement in the concluding portion of the paragraph is incorrect. For he was informed in my Proceedings Dis.98.W.B/21 dated 21-1-1921 (copy enclosed) that his interests will not suffer even if he continues in the Women's Branch.

Para.6:- Strictly speaking the teacher should have been asked to refund the excess pay drawn from 18-10-1922. But in view of the fact that Government have waived the excess pay drawn by the officers in the Women's Branch up to 30-11-1922, he was asked to refund the excess ^{pay} drawn from 30-11-1922 only. He has therefore no cause for complaint.

Para.7:- Attention is solicited to G.O. 1787 Law (Education) dated 20-11-1924 on the petition.

Para.8:- The request to treat the difference of Rs. 125-100 viz., Rs.25/- as personal allowance is inadmissible as the maximum of the scale in which he holds his substantive appointment is only Rs.100 in the scale of Rs.75-5-100. His claims for promotion to the II Grade or in the Men's Branch will be duly considered along with others when opportunities occur.

As regards the waiver of the excess pay, attention is invited to para.2 of Mr. Littlehaile's remarks on the petition of M.R.Ry. V. Sankara Ayyar disposed of in G.O. No.1787 dated 20-11-1924.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. H. Bowel
For Director of Public Instruction.

Copy of Proceedings Dis. 98 W.B/21, dated 21-1-1921 of the Director of Public Instruction.

Subject:- Petition from V. Sankara Ayyar, Headmaster, Model School of the Government Secondary and Training school for Mistresses, Mangalore, requesting that he be permitted to draw the maximum pay of the post held by him in Rs.75-5-100 grade.

With reference to her endorsement R.C.No.140 /20 dated 5-1-1921, the Inspectress, Western Circle is requested to inform be good enough to inform M.R.Ry.V.Sankara Ayyar that his request cannot be complied with and that his request interests will not suffer even if he continues to be in the Government Secondary and Training School for Mistresses, Mangalore.

D.H.P. 22-9.

C No. 399-25
24.7.25

(31) 4 (4)
6



To

His Excellency

**The Right Honourable The Viscount
Goschen of Hawkhurst, G.C.I.E., C.B.E.,
Governor of Fort St. George & Dependencies,**

Through

The Proper Channel.

May it please Your Excellency,

The humble memorial of M.R.Ry. V Sankara Ayyar, Assistant, Govt. College, Coimbatore and Officiating Assistant, Queen Mary High School for Indian Girls, Vizagapatam, most respectfully sheweth

1. That he was first entertained in Government employ as a sub-protem Assistant in the Government Brennen College, Tellicherry in June 1919 and that he was in October 1920 transferred to act as an assistant in the Government Training and Secondary School for Women, Mangalore.

2. That in December 1920, seeing that some gentlemen who entered service with him were being confirmed and fearing that his claims for confirmation and promotion might suffer because of his service outside the regular line, he approached the Director of Public Instruction with a request for confirmation and that he was informed in his Proc. Dis. No. 98/ W. B. /21 of 1921 that he could not be confirmed then and that his interests would not suffer even if he should continue to work in a girls' school.

3. That when G. O. No. 793 Finance, dated 29th July 1921 allowing members of the Subordinate Services to draw the minimum of their respective cadres was passed, the memorialist was paid the difference between his pay and the minimum pay, but that the Director in his Proc. R. C No 44/ W. B. /22, dated 24-1-22 (copy enclosed) ordered that the time-scale of pay sanctioned did not apply to the staff of girls' schools and that therefore the memorialist should refund the excess paid to him.

4. That in June 1922 he was transferred on his own pay (Rs 100) to act in the place of High School Mistress in the Government Training and Secondary

p 3
aut
C



School for Women, Coimbatore—a place the minimum pay of which was Rs 100 plus 25 T. A.—and thence in October to Vizagapatam to act on Rs 125 in an assistant's place on Rs 150 plus 30 in the Queen Mary High School for Indian Girls in Proc. R. C. No. 4/G/22 dated the 6th October 22 of the Director of Public Instruction.

5. That when the reorganization of the Women's Branch of the Subordinate Educational Service was taken up, the memorialist's pay was fixed at Rs 100, the maximum of the third grade of School Assistants and that in the Men's Branch. In this connection it may not be out of place to point out that the lists of officers for whom initial pay was fixed in the Men's Branch at the time of its reorganization never contained the name of the memorialist (though he has been in service all along) so much so that he inferred that he would be taking his chances in service only with women officers. But as he has been working in the Women's Branch, his confirmation was delayed till the reorganization of that Branch was taken up; and now, holding his permanent lien in the Men's Branch and working in the Women's he is being denied the advantages of either though he is asked to share the disadvantages of both.

6. That it has been ordered that the memorialist should refund Rs 275/13— which is a very large sum for a person drawing Rs 100—being the difference between the pay actually paid to him and that due to him according to the revision from 30-1-1922.

7. That he applied to the Secretary to Government, Law (Education) Department for a waiver of this claim on the following grounds:—

- a. that he was given a promotion in the beginning of October 1922, nearly two months before even the contemplation of the reorganization of the Women's Branch
- b. that some women officers like Miss P. Sita got promotions even after your memorialist (in November '22) and retained their increased rates of pay even after the reorganization
- c. that the memorialist could not have been confirmed in any of the places he had been acting in, because of some departmental understanding by which men were not confirmed in the Women's Branch—G. O. reorganizing the Women's Branch provides for a special pay for women officers only of that Branch thus allowing clearly for the confirmation of men also there
- d. that the G. O. actually sanctioning the reorganization was passed only on 29-5-23, nearly eight months after his promotion and that therefore his promotion was not irregular
- e. that his initial pay was fixed only in October 1923 and as such he could not have known where he stood in the reorganization
- f. that the amount to be refunded was far too large for his means

and that his request was answered in the negative.

8. That the memorialist prays that his case may graciously be reconsidered in the light of G. O. No. 647—Finance, dated 6th September '24, that he

be placed in the class for which his pay on the date of contemplation of the re-organization entitled him to, as has been done in the case of women officers with whom he had thrown his lot, by treating the difference as Personal Pay and that the excess of pay he is supposed to have been paid be waived in full.

Vizagapatam,

24th July 1925.

I remain,

May it please Your Excellency,

Your most obedient servant,

Sankaran
24/7/25

Enclosure :

Copy of Memo R. C. No. 221G1/21, dated 23-1-22 of the

Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Western Circle.

Read Proc. R. C. No. 44/ W. B. /22, dated 24-1-22.


The Superintendents and Headmistresses of Government Training and Secondary Schools in the Western Circle are informed that it has been ordered that the time-scale of pay recently sanctioned does not apply to the staff of their schools. They are therefore requested to be good enough to refund into the treasury by three monthly instalments any amount drawn for any of the teachers according to the time-scale and report the fact of having done so to this office.

Communicated to Mr. V. Sankaran and Mr. K. K. Narayana Ayyar for information and guidance.

The excess amount drawn according to the time-scale should be refunded into the treasury in three monthly instalments.

(Sd).

Superintendent.



29. 7. 25

2. The request of the Teacher is recommended for favorable consideration.

Inspection of Girls schools
Northern Circle

Submitted to the Inspectors of
Girls' Schools, Northern
Circle for favorable
consideration and
transmission -

The teacher's work
in the school has
been very satisfactory
and he deserves every
encouragement.

Head mistress
Queen Mary High School
for Indian Girls,
Vizagapatam

Sectt. II-5 (a)—28,703—6-12-24. (6)

When received for Fair-copying.

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

Date.

Initials.

Law (En)

DEPARTMENT.

Memorial -

Sankara Nyaya.

M. R. Ry. U - Asst. Genl.

College, Bountiful -

Excels payment -

Waiver of secrecy and

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 1787 *de*, dated *20* *11-* *1924*. *Q* - *replied*

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 3017c From the S. P. D. R. E. No. 1106c dated 19.9. 1925.

[illegible]

" "....." " " ""

192

ORDER.

No. 765, dated 5-60 1925

Mis

1005-6
C. b

The Govt. are unable to comply with the ^{petitioners} requests.

~~made by H. R. Ry.~~

6- ~~Santl ara~~ Aggar,

~~Assistant, Grad. College.~~

~~Boimbatere, in his~~
~~petition dated 24.7.28~~

In

The D. P. I. Q to.

DESPATCHED
10/10/25

6061925
Ag

Всего 3019. ~~3~~ 1.10.25 210

~~VTK~~
~~3-10~~

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED
192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.