

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1622, 16th September 1925

Subsidies to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings—Sanctioned.

2
3

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1622, 16th September 1925

Subsidies to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings—Sanctioned.

Order—No. 1622, Law (Education), dated 16th September 1925.

The Government have received a large number of applications from local bodies for subsidies towards the construction of buildings for elementary schools under their management. In many cases the request is made that the entire cost or the major portion of it may be met from provincial funds. The Government are unable to accede to such requests. They will ordinarily be prepared to consider applications from local bodies for subsidies for school buildings only if they are willing to meet at least one-half of the expenditure from their own funds. In the case of the works mentioned in the annexure to these proceedings the local bodies concerned have agreed to contribute sums not less than one-half of the cost. The Government are pleased to sanction subsidies not exceeding the balance of the cost as shown in column (4) of the annexure. The subsidies will be disbursed on the postpayment system. The local bodies are requested to start the works immediately.

2. There is a provision of only Rs. 27,740 in the current year against Rs. 50,802 required to find the total amount of subsidy sanctioned for all the works mentioned in the annexure. Such portion of the subsidy as is not disbursed in the current year will be paid next year or when funds become available.

3. The local bodies concerned are requested to report not later than 15th February 1926 the amount of the expenditure incurred on the works up to 31st January 1926. On receipt of these reports the Accountant-General will be requested to disburse to the local bodies the grants which the Government will be able to sanction in the current year. A second instalment of grants will be disbursed by the 14th March 1926 towards the expenditure incurred on the works in February 1926. The local bodies are accordingly requested to report before 7th March 1926 the expenditure incurred in February 1926.

Reports received after 7th March 1926 will not be considered in the current year. A certificate that the expenditure has been incurred and the work has been properly executed should be forwarded with each report. The certificate should be signed in the case of a local fund work by the president of the local board and in the case of a municipal work by the chairman of the municipal council.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Presidents of taluk boards concerned.
" Presidents of district boards concerned.
" Presidents of District Educational Councils concerned.
" Chairmen of municipal councils concerned.
" Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
" Accountant-General (through Finance Department).
" Local Self-Government Department.
" Finance Department.

[Annexure.]

ANNEXURE.

Name of taluk board or Municipal Council. (1)	Name of work. (2)	Estimated cost of work. (3)	Grant sanctioned from Provincial funds. (4)
		RS.	RS.
Taluk Board, Ranipet.	Construction of a veranda to the girls' school building at Kalvai.	800	400
Taluk Board, Pollachi.	Construction of an elementary school-building at Gomangalampudur.	6,500	2,250
Taluk Board, Berhampur.	Construction of an elementary school building for the Telugu school at Ichchapur.	2,498	1,249
Do.	Construction of an elementary school building for the Oriya school at Ichchapur.	2,498	1,249
Taluk Board, Cocanada.	Construction of a school building at Sampara.	3,000	1,000
Taluk Board, Peddapuram.	Do. at Kirlampudi ...	4,000	2,000
Do.	Construction of a school building at Viravara for Adi-Andhras.	1,000	500
Taluk Board, Bhimavaram.	Construction of a school building at Vissakoderu.	2,700	1,350
Do.	Construction of a school building at Bonlada.	2,100	1,050
Do.	Do. at Kapavaram.	1,800	900
Do.	Do. at Kallakuru ...	2,000	1,000
Do.	Do. at Yenamadurru.	2,200	1,100
Do.	Do. at Lisali ...	2,500	1,250
Do.	Do. at Gargaparru.	2,000	1,000
Do.	Do. at Unduru ...	2,000	1,000
Taluk Board, Gudivada.	Construction of a building for the Board boys' school at Gudivada.	5,000	2,500
Do.	Construction of a building for a school at Dondapadu.	5,000	2,500
Municipal Council, Calicut.	Extension of land for the Chekarayan Valap school.	700	255
Taluk Board, Sivaganga.	Construction of a school building at Poovandhi.	4,400	2,200
Do.	Construction of a school building at Kanur...	5,000	2,500
Do.	Do. at Piramanur.	3,500	1,750
Do.	Do. at Tirukoshtiyur ...	3,500	1,750
Do.	Do. at Tirupachetti ...	8,000	4,000
Taluk Board, Tanjore.	Construction of a boys' school building at Avarampatti.	3,400	1,700
Do.	Construction of a boys' school building at Budalur.	3,400	1,700
Do.	Construction of a boys' school building at Karungulam (caste school).	3,400	1,700
Do.	Extension of the school building at Kandiyur (Muhammadan).	3,200	1,600
Do.	Construction of a school building at Kuthur.	3,750	1,875
Do.	Do. at Panayur.	3,600	1,800
Do.	Do. at Nagathi.	3,000	1,500
Do.	Do. at Velappakudi.	3,400	1,700
Do.	Do. at Vennamangalam ...	3,400	1,700
Taluk Board, Pattukkottai.	Do. at Kannugudi ...	575	287
Do.	Do. at Kasangadu ...	575	287
Taluk Board, Trinopoly.	Do. at Pattavathalai ...	1,200	200

50,802

Subsidies to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings—Sanctioned.

G.O. No. 1622, 16th September 1925.

Order—No. 1622, Law (Education), dated 16th September 1925.

The Government have received a large number of applications from local bodies for subsidies towards the construction of buildings for elementary schools under their management. In many cases the request is made that the entire cost or the major portion of it may be met from provincial funds. The Government are unable to accede to such requests. They will ordinarily be prepared to consider applications from local bodies for subsidies for school buildings only if they are willing to meet at least one-half of the expenditure from their own funds. In the case of the works mentioned in the annexure to these proceedings the local bodies concerned have agreed to contribute sums not less than one-half of the cost. The Government are pleased to sanction subsidies not exceeding the balance of the cost as shown in column 4 of the annexure. The subsidies will be disbursed on the postpayment system. The local bodies are requested to start the works immediately.

2. There is a provision of only Rs. 29,740 in the current year against Rs. 50,802 required to find the total amount of subsidy sanctioned for all the works mentioned in the annexure. Such portion of the subsidy as is not disbursed in the current year will be paid next year or when funds become available.

3. The local bodies concerned are requested to report not later than 15th February 1926 the amount of the expenditure incurred on the works up to 31st January 1926. On receipt of these reports the Accountant-General will be requested to disburse to the local bodies the grants which the Government will be able to sanction in the current year. A second instalment of grants will be disbursed by the 14th March 1926 towards the expenditure incurred on the works in February 1926. The local bodies are accordingly requested to report before 7th March 1926 the expenditure incurred in February 1926.

Reports received after 7th March 1926 will not be considered in the current year. A certificate that the expenditure has been incurred and the work has been properly executed should be forwarded with each report. The certificate should be signed in the case of a local fund work by the president of the local board and in the case of a municipal work by the chairman of the municipal council.

(By order of the Government, Ministry of Education)

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
 " Presidents of taluk boards concerned.
 " Presidents of district boards concerned.
 " Presidents of District Educational Councils concerned.
 " Chairmen of municipal councils concerned.
 " Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.
 " Accountant-General through Finance Department.
 " Local Self-Government Department.
 " Finance Department.

ANNEXURE

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A
Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B
Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

C
As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

Supdt.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Please show proof to

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				

Statement showing the probable expenditure on account of the construction of Elementary school buildings, under the management of Local Bodies

Names of Taluk Boards and Municipalities	Works for which subsidies were paid previous by + subsequent resumed	Works in respect of which the Local body is willing to bear a portion of the cost	Total	Sub total
Taluk Boards	Est	Est		with the est.
1 Ranipet	2,600 3900	400 80	3,000	2,600 + 400
2 Tirukozilur	5,890 5890	-	5,890	5890
3 Pollachi	3,125 6250	2,300 600	5,425	3,125 + 2,300
4 Jamalamadugu	1,500 1500	-	1,500	1500
5 Rayachoty	1,333 1333	-	1,333	1333
6 Sattenapalli	1,698 2875	-	1,698	1698
7 Salem	2,000 2000	-	2,000	2000
8 Koolpatti	4,400 8800	1,500	5,900	4400
9 Cheryyur	-	9,000 1500	9,000	9000
10 Berhampore	-	4,200 744	4,200	4153
11 Ghumsur	-	3,250	3,250	
12 Cocanada	-	3,000 3000	3,000	3000
13 Peddapur	-	5,500 1000	5,500	5500
14 Angole	-	-	-	-
15 Coondapur	-	2,000 2000	2,000	2000
16 Bhimavaram	-	8,650 1730	8,650	8650
17 Melur	-	9,400 200	9,400	9375
18 Ponnani	-	4,310 120	4,310	4310
19 Sivaganga	-	12,200 24400	12,200	12200
20 Tanjore	-	15,275 30550	15,275	15275
21 Pattukottai	-	17,000 150	17,000	574
22 Tuticorin	-	7,500	7,500	
23 Trichinopoly	-	200 1200	200	200
24 Lalgudi	-	1,000 1000	1,000	1000
Total	22,546	106,685	1,29,231	

Received 192 . Registered 192 . 13



Subject.
application for grants - construction of school
buildings - Taluk Board, Adoni

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 449-B/25

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 30-3-1925 192

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esquire M.A.,
(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

Read: -Letter Dis. No. 1317 of 22 dated 17th February
1925 from the President, Taluk Board, Adoni.

Submitted to Government.

2. The payment of a half grant on the post payment system
is recommended. Unless a reappropriation of funds is poss-
ible later, the Taluk Board will have to wait for payment
until 1926-27 since no subsidy is promised to be paid to
the Board in G.O. No. 2014 Law (Education) dated 27-12-24.

ZR Sundaram
for Director of Public Instruction

G.M. 30.3.25

1/30/25

Municipalities

1	Bellary	5,300	-	5,300		
2	Nandyal	2,000		2,000	2000	2000
3	Bodunayakanur	5,000		5,000	6000	5000
4	Xarunt	3,000		3,000	6000	8000
5	Anakapalli	2,500		2,500	19000	2500
6	Tiruppur		4,300	4,300		
7	Udumalpet		700	700		
8	Calicut		250	250		250
9	Salem		4,500	4,500		4,500

Total Municipalities 17,800 9,750 27,550

Taluk Boards 22,546 106,685 129,231

Total 40,346 116,435 156,781

ENCLOSURES: 21

DATED 17th February 1925.

Dis. No. 1317 of 22
C.R.

FROM

M. R. Ry. B. Thammi Reddy Garu,
President, Taluk Board, ADONI.

TO

The Director of Public Instructions,

Madras.

Reference:- Your letter R.O.C.No. 636 B/24 dated 23-11-24.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith my application for grants for school buildings noted in the margin in 1925-26 as required in Government Memorandum No. 28432 C-1 L & M, dated 9th October 1924 (copy enclosed) and request that you will be so good as to recommend the application to Government for sanction.

2. The plans and estimates for the works are ready and have been sanctioned by competent authority (copies of the resolutions are enclosed)

3. The Taluk Board is willing to ^{accept} ~~accept~~ the grant on post payment system and is able to bear (Rs. 2,225) half the cost of the works. An amount of Rs. 1500/- is provided under 'Land and buildings- Capital' in the budget estimate of the Elementary Education Fund of the Adoni Taluk Board for 1925-26 and the balance of Rs. 725/- will be met by appropriation from other heads.

4. The plans and estimates are herewith sent for perusal and early return.

1. Reconstructing the Adi Andra Boys School

at Hathi Belagal. Rs. 1100- 0- 0

2. Extending the Board Hindu Boys School

at Holalagondi. 3350- 0- 0

Total 4450- 0- 0

I

P.T.O.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

B. Th. ...

18.2.25

PRESIDENT.

S.M.

R

18.2.25

Application of the Admin Taluk Board for grants from Government for the Year 1925-26.

Amount of
Collected
Sanctioned

Nature of Scheme

Ely: Education: Capital: Construction of School buildings

(1.) Reconstructing the Board Adli 1100 Rs.

Andra Boys School at Hattai - Belagol. —

2. Extending the Board. Hindu Boys School. building at. Holagondi

Total 4450

Amount of Loan further Loan on or grant-duty grant- required Sanctioned

Loan Grant- Loan Grant-

Rs.

550

1675

2225

Have the balance of the cost of any is to be met.

Rs. 2225 being the other half the cost will be met from the Taluk Board. Ely Education Fund and due provision is made in the budget estimate for 1925-26.

Admin Taluk Board, Officer

Dy 17th February 1925

R

18.2.25

B. Th. ...

President

4

13 LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT. 9

Memorandum No. 28432 C-1 L & M., dated the 9th October 1934.

Application of the Bellary and Adoni Taluk Boards for grants in 1935-36 for School buildings.

Read from the President District Board, Bellary, C.R. No. 1602 dated 24-9-34. 19

The Adoni Taluk Board is requested to submit its applications next year after plans and estimated for the works are ready and have been sanctioned by competent authority.

P.L. Moore
Secretary.

(True copy)

B. Thammappa

S.M.

P R E S I D E N T. 18-2-35

B
18-2-35

Proceedings of the Taluk Board ADONI.

DATED 19th December 1924.

Dis.
No.
C.R.

READ: Read the following estimates for sanction.

1. Reconstructing the Board Adi Andra Boys School at
Hathi Nagal. Rs. 1100/-
2. Extending the Board Hindu Boys School
at Holalagondi. 3350/-

RESOLUTION No. 111.

Sanctioned. The sanction of the District Board
may be obtained as per rule 240 (2) (ii) of the
Local Board's Act.

(True Copy)

B. Thammiah
PRESIDENT. 18-2-25

Q
18.2.25

Proceedings of the District Board, Bellary.

Dated 3rd February 1925.

Read:- C.R.No. 1317 of 1924 dated 20-12-24 of the President, Taluk
Board, Adoni requesting to obtain the sanction of the District
Board for the estimate "Extending the Board Hindu Boys School,
Hollagondi, Est. Rs. ³³⁵⁰~~2500~~-" under rule 240 (2) (ii) of the
Local Board's Manual.

Resolution No. 7.

SANCTIONED.

(True copy)

District Board Office, Bellary.

Dis. No 76.

Dated 9th February 1925

(copy) forwarded to the president Taluk Board Adoni, District
Board Engineer, Bellary and the District Inspector of L.F. Acct.
Bellary for information.

Copies of the sanctioned estimates have been sent to the
President Taluk Board, Adoni for recording the Taluk Board
Resolution and intimation of the availability of funds to work
out the estimate.

(Sd) K. Rama Rao

For President. 13-2-25.

(True Copy)

B. Thammiah
PRESIDENT. 18-2-25

S.M.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

6965

Received

Registered

191

12 MAR. 1925

Encl

6965-1. Stud 9.3.25

Referred to the P.D.B for remarks
which should be sent through the
Director of Public Instruction
W.D. Ramachandra Murthy
Amr. Secy

20
the P.D.B. Tinnervelly

ENCLOSURES

No.

No. 970-24-c

Spare copies

Station.....Koilpatti....., Dated the.....23rd December.....19/24.

From (Name) H. R. V. P. N. Kondalroyasami Nayudu Garu,
(Designation) President Taluk Board Koilpatti

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Self Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras

through the President District Board

Through the District Educational Council and
the President, District Board, Tinnervelly.

Sir,

Subject:- Elementary Adi- Diravidas school- Puliampatti-
building- construction- estimate sanctioned.

I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of Taluk Board
resolution No. 24 dated 16-12-24 sanctioning an estimate for Rs. 1575/-
for the work of constructing a school building at Puliampatti in-
the
tended for Adi- Diravidas and to state that Taluk Board is unable to
bear even half the cost.

2. As the school is intended purely for Adi-Diravidas, I -
request you to be good enough to move the Government to sanction
the entire grant of Rs. 1575/- for the work.

I have the honour to be,

5-2-

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. N. Kondalroyasami
President.

M.D. 24-12-24.

Nos 620-25-2

Recommended and
recommended to
Government through
the Director of Public
Instruction, Madras.

P. N. Kondalroyasami
President
Secretary

17/3/25

17.3



proceedings of the Keilpatti Taluk Board, dated 16-12-1924.

Present.

1. M.R.R. P. N. Kondalroyasami Nayudu Garu, President.
2. " K. Kumarandi Reddiar Avl.
3. " V. Ramasami Naicker Avl.
4. " V.S. Chidambaram Pillai Avl.
5. " S. Perumal Naicker Avl.
6. " V. Subba Naicker Avl.
7. " M. Devasahaya Nadar Al.
8. " V.S. Subba Naicker Avl.
9. " R. V. Appasami Nayudu Garu.
10. " K.V. Francis Xavier Al.
11. " M. V. Kasisami Nadar Avl.
12. Syed Mhideen Batcha Sahib Bahadur.
13. M.R.Ry. S. Zacharias Avl.
14. " S. Sankarappa Naidu Avl.
15. " S. V. Subbiah Reddiar Avl.
16. " K. Andi Kudumbari Avl.

Resolution No. 24.

Read letter D.Dis.No.571/24 dated 30-10-24 from the District Board Engineer, Tinnevely forwarding for sanction an estimate for Rs.1575/- for the construction of a new school building at Puliya -patti.

Read also T.B.R.No.15 dated 27-3-24 on the subject.

Sanctioned.

Sd. P. N. Kondalroyasami,
President.

(True extract.)

M.D. 24-12-24.

P. N. Kondalroyasami
President.

17/3/25

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

6966

Received

Registered

191



End 6966-1. Ltr 29.3.25

Referred to the P.D.B.

Tinnevely for remarks which should be sent through the Director of Public Instruction

W. P. N. Kondalroyasami Nayudu
Aest. Secy

To the P.D.B. Tinnevely

ENCLOSURES

No.

No. 121-2

Spare copies

Koilpatti

3rd December 1924.

Station....., Dated the.....191

From (Name) W. P. N. Kondalroyasami Nayudu

(Designation) President Taluk Board Koilpatti

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local Self Government, Madras.

through the District Educational Council,
Local and Municipal Department.

and through the President District Board

Tinnevely.

Sr.

Subject:- Elementary school - Sennammareddipatti - building - construction - Estimate - sanctioned.

I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of Taluk Board resolution No. 5 dated 1-11-1924 sanctioning an estimate for Rs. 2850/- for the construction of a Board school building at Sennammareddipatti and to state that the Taluk Board can bear half the cost of Rs. 1425/-. A site accessible to all castes including Adi-Diravidas and other depressed classes has been reserved for the school building and the District Educational Officer has certified to the above effect. I therefore request you to be good enough to move the Government to sanction the other half of Rs. 1425/- from

from the Provincial funds.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. N. Kondalroyasami

President.

M.D. 24-12-24.

Proceedings of the Koilpatti Taluk Board, dated 1-11-1924.

Present.

1. M.R.Ry. P.N.Kondalroyasami Nayudu Garu, President.
2. " S. Perumal Naicker Avl.
3. " T.N.P. Narayanasami Naicker Avl.
4. " M. Deivanayagam Pillai Avl.
5. " V. P. Chocka/Alingam Pillai Avl.
6. " V. M. Subbiah Pillai Avl.
7. " S. Sankarappa Naidu Avl.
8. " V. Subba Naicker Avl.
9. " V. S. Subba Naicker Avl.
10. " Kumarandi Reddiar Avl.
11. " S. V. Subbiah Reddiar Avl.
12. " M. J. Ramasami Ayyar Avl. Vice President.

Resolution No.5.

Read letter R.O.C.No.8-24-2 dated 19-9-24 from the President, -
District Board, Tirnevelly, forwarding for sanction an estimate for
Rs.2850/- prepared by the District Board Engineer for the work of
constructing a building for the Board elementary school at Sennama
reddipatti. (2410-/24)

S a n c t i o n e d.

Sd. P. N. Kondalroyasami,
President.

(True extract.)

P. N. Kondalroyasami

President.

M.D.24-12-24.

227/5
R.D.S. 621-25-2

Resubmitted and
recommended to
Government through
The Director of Public
Instruction, Madras

S. Kumaraswami
17/3
President
District Board
Tinnevely.

17/3/25
17/3

28
C. No. 6(a) 125



321

Meeting

Secretary
Dae. 27
10/125

President
Dae. 28

R.C. No. 6(a) of 25

DESPATCHED
7/3/25

R.C. No. 6(a) of 25

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL COUNCIL TINNEVELLY.

Present :-

M.R.Ry. K.S. Ponnuswami Pillai Avl., B.A., B.L., M.L.C., President.

Resolution No. 32 dated 9-2-25.

Read the following:-

R.O.C. No. 1121-24 C/24 dated 23-12-24 from the President Taluk Board, Koilpatti forwarding a copy of Taluk Board Resolution No. 5 dated 1-11-24 sanctioning an estimate for Rs. 2850/- for the construction of a Board school Building at Sennammareddipatti.

Will be forwarded to Government.

(Sd.) K.S. Ponnuswami
President.

True copy

submitted to the Secretary to the Government with the file

(By order)

S.P.S. DESPATCHED.
7/3/25

S. Ponnuswami
Secretary D.E.C. Ty.

R.C. No. 566 of 25.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL COUNCIL TINNEVELLY.

Present :-

M.R.Ry. K.S.Ponnuswami Pillai Avl., B.A., B.L., M.L.C., President

Resolution No.33 dated 9-2-25.

Read the following:-

R.O.C.970 dated 23-12-24 from the President Taluk Board Koilpatti forwarding a copy of Taluk Board Resolution No.24 dated 16-12-24 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.1575/- for the work of constructing a school building at Puliampatti.

Will be forwarded to Government.

(Sd.) K.S.Ponnuswami
President.

True copy

submitted to the Secretary to Government with the file.

(By order)

S.P.S.

DESPATCHED.

7/3/25.

Secretary
District Educational Council
Tinnevely.

0-8-9-24.

LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192

Subject.

15760

Application for grants - Construction of school buildings-
Taluk Board, Koilpatti.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C. No. 681-B/25.

Station Madras, Dated the 28th May, 1925.

From (Name) R.G.GRIEVE, Esq., M.A., F.R.C.S.

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT Department.

Sir,

In re-submitting herewith the papers referred to me for remarks in Government Endorsements Nos.6965-1 and 6966-1 dated 9-3-25, I have the honour to state that the District Educational Officer is in favour of repairing the local fund building, for the use of the Puliampatti Board School. He reports that the inner portion of the building is in good condition and the suggestion to repair the local fund building is being made in every annual inspection report. The President was requested to consider the District Educational Officer's suggestion, but he has reported that the Board

(P.T.O)

4 - 19/5
the Board considered it impracticable to repair the building.

1. In these circumstances, I am unable to recommend more than half of the estimated cost for the Puliyampatti School, with reference to Government Memo No. 1278 A-1 dated 27-4-25.

(E) in Law (Edu)

2. In regard to the Board Elementary School building Sernamareddipatti, the Board is prepared to meet Rs. 1,425/- inclusive of Rs. 500 contributed by the villagers. The payment of a half-grant is therefore recommended to this school building on post-payment system.

3. If Government approve of these proposals, they may be included in the supplementary demand proposed to be moved in the next meeting of the Legislative Council, for grants to local bodies towards the construction of Elementary School buildings.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. R. M. Ray
for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P. 29/5.

10
29/5

17
Law (Education) departt.

Memorandum No. 2025 A-1 dated 19.6.25
Subsidy - construction of elementary school buildings - Taluk Board, Koilpatti -

39


The President, Taluk Board, Koilpatti, is informed that the Govt. are unable to accede to the request of the Taluk Board for a subsidy from Provincial funds to meet the entire cost of construction of a building for the school for Adi-Dravidas at Puliyampatti. The Board is requested to state whether it is prepared to meet from its funds at least one-half of the estimated cost of the building.

To the President, Taluk Board Koilpatti, with reference to his Nos. 970-24-C and 1121-24-C dated 23-12-1924. The Director of Public Instruction with reference to his letter No. R.C. 681-B/25, dated 28-5-25.

DESPATCHED
20 JUN 1925

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.

No.....

No 970-C-1924.

Spare copies

Station Koilpatti, Dated the 7th August 1925. 191

From (Name) M.R.Ry.P.V.Kondalroyasami Nayudu Garu,

(Designation) President, Taluk Board, Koilpatti.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Law, Education, and Department.

Through The President,
District Board, Tinnevely.

Sir,
Ref :- Memorandum No.2025 A-1 dated 19-6-25-
Subsidy- ~~contribution~~ construction of Element
school building at Puliampatti.

I have the honour to submit a copy of Taluk Board
Resolution No.19 dated 31-7-1925 agreeing to bear half
the cost of the construction of the building from its
funds.

Please be good enough to move the Government
sanction the other half.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

D. H. A.

President

19-8-25.

1916-25-2

12-8-25

Submitted

Shunaraswan
President
District Board
Tirunelveli

LM
14/8/25

13/8/25

Proceedings of the Koilpatti

board, dated 31-7-25.

Present.

1. Syed Mohideen Batcha Sahib Bahadur.
2. R. Py. S. Sakkarayappa Pillai Avl.
3. " S. E. Sankara Phattar Avl.
4. " S. A. Subramania Pillai Avl.
5. " M. J. Ramasami Ayyar Avl.
6. " Zachrias Avl.
7. " M. Ramasami Waicker Avl.
8. " V. S. Subba Waicker Avl.
9. " S. Arunachala Mooppanar At.
10. " Anantappa Waicker Avl.
11. " R. Ramasami Naidu Al.
12. " S. V. Subba Reddiar Al.
13. " K. V. Francis Xavier Al.
14. " Kumarandi Reddiar Al.
15. " Akka Waicker Al.
16. " M. Devasa Raja Nadar Al.
17. " P. N. Kondalroyasami Mayudu Garu, President.

Resolution No. 19.

Read Government memorandum No. 2025-A-I dated 19-6-25 Law - Education Department requesting to state whether the Taluk - Board is prepared to meet from its funds half of the estimated cost i. e., Rs. 787-8-0 for the construction of a school building for Adi-Diravidas at Puliyampatti.

Read also the previous papers. (970-25-C)

The Board agrees to bear half the cost from its own funds.

Sd. P. N. Kondalroyasami,

President.

(True extract.)

U. D. 7-8-25.

P. N. Kondalroyasami
President

AUG 8 1925

MENT.

1985
16
192


Registered

15485

192

20

Subject.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies.

R.C.C. No. 410/21

Station....., Dated the 27th May 1925.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. V.V. Shunmuga Nadar Avl..

(Designation) Vice-Chairman, Municipal Council, Virudhunagar.

Under Section 18 (2) of the Act.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government

Department.

Sir,

not received
I have the honour to invite respectfully the attention of Government to my R.O.C. 410/21 dated 29-3-'24 and to state that that my application for a grant for the construction of a Mahamadan school has not been favourably considered during 1924-25 also. As the school is badly in need of a building of its own and as the present accommodation is reported to be very unsuitable by the Inspecting authorities, I request that the Government will be so good as to sanction a grant of Rs. 6240 already applied for during the current year so that the building may be constructed with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V.V. Shunmuga
28
Vice-Chairman.

45

under Sec 18(2) of the Act.

DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

21266

Subject.

Law (Edu) no. on continuation

Seq. 21266-1 C. L. M.
30/7/25

of L. M. no. no. 15381-1 C. L. M.
29/6/25


Cur.
29/7/25

Mr.
29/7

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies.



G. C. No. 410/21

Station Virudhunagar, Dated the 10th July 1925.

From (Name) M. R. V. V. Shunmuga Nadar Avargal,
Vice-Chairman in-charge under Section 18(2)
(Designation) Municipal Council, Virudhunagar.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.
M a d r a s.

Sir,

I have the honour to remind you of this office
letter No. 410/21 dated 27-5-25 and to request that an
early order be passed on my reference. The construction
of a school is very keenly felt.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V. V. Shunmuga
417

Vice-Chairman.

Sm/20-7-25.

DESPATCHED

JUL 21 1925

14
20/7/25

20/7/25

C no. 2289A

Law (Edu) Dept.

Memo no 2289 A-1 of 16-7-25.

Subj. - Construction of a
building for the Muhammadan School
Virudhunagar - Letter from the CMC
Virudhunagar no. 410/21 of 27-5-25

The CMC Virudhunagar is
requested to report whether the Council
is prepared to meet from its general
revenues one-half of the cost of
construction of the proposed school
building

DESPATCHED

18 JUL 1925

ac
13/7/25
my
16/7

16/7

The CMC Virudhunagar

R. after me m. m.

R

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered

Subject.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Station Virudhunagar, Dated the 31st July 1925.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. V.V. Shunmuga Nadar Avargal,
 Vice-Chairman in-charge under Sec. 18(2),
 (Designation) Municipal Council, Virudhunagar.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

M a d r a s.

Sir,

Reference:- Your memorandum No. 2289 A-1, dated
 18th July 1925 regarding subsidy for a Muhammadan school.

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of
 the resolution of the Council dated 30--7--25 consenting
 to meet one half of the expenses in the construction of a
 school for Muhammadan boys.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,
 Your most obedient servant,

V.V. Shunmuga
 7/8
 Vice-Chairman.

Sm/3-8-25.

Proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal Council,
 Virudhunagar held in the Municipal office "Rajah Buildings",
 on Thursday, the 30th July 1925, at 4 P.M.

Present:- 9 Members.

152. Government memorandum No. 2289-A-1 dated 18-7-25
 enquiring whether the Council is prepared to meet from its
 general revenues one half of the cost of construction of a
 building for the Muhammadan School.

Resolution:-

The Council agrees to pay one half from the
 general revenue.

Sd/ M.S.P. Senthikumara Nadar,

30--7--25,

Chairman.

(True-copy)

Municipal office }
 Virudhunagar. }
 Dated 31--7--1925 Sm/3-8-25.

Vice-Chairman.

ns
 5/8/25



Copy of letter, R.O.P. No. A.5/24-25, dated from the
Director of Public Instruction, dated 4-10-24.

Budget Estimate for 1925-26. Part II- Schemes
submitted.

With reference to paras 19 and 20 of G.O. No. 527, Finance
dated 17-7-24, I have the honour to submit herewith Part II of
the Budget Estimate of charges for 1925-26 relating to expendi-
ture on new schemes.

In previous years it was the practice to include provision
for Building and Equipment grants ~~for~~ in Part II. But in con-
nection with the estimates for 1925-26 the Finance Department
have instructed separately that for building and equipment g
grants which are already on the standing list provision for only
these schemes for which no payment of grant has been made in
previous years or is likely to be made in the current year will
have to be included in Part II Schemes for which Part Payment
has been made in previous years or is likely to be authorised
during the current year have to be shown in Part I. This dis-
tinction has been adopted in the preparation of the estimates
for 25-26.

Not in this
particular
case



Part II Schemes

31-C(b)(iii) Education-Primary-Transferred-Grants to Local bodies for Primary Education.

I t e m.		Cost in 1925-26.	Ultimate
		Rs.	cost. Rs.
29.	Grants to Local Bodies for the construction of Primary school buildings.	(a) 1,00,000	1,56,800

(a) For details please see annexure No. (15). *11-2 CF*

Note:-- 1. The total demand of all the Local Bodies aggregates Rs. 12 lakhs nearly, but restricting the expenditure (1) to works for which subsidies were previously paid and subsequently resumed as unspent, and,

(2) to works ~~to~~ in respect of which the local body is willing to bear a portion of the cost involved --Vide Government memo. No. 4220 A-6 dated 2-4-24, the probable expenditure in the current year is estimated at Rs. 1,56,800 or Rs. 56,800 in excess of the current year's budget provision. Even in the case of item (1) only those cases in which the resumed amount is sufficient to finish the work have been taken into account. In view of the excess demand of Rs. 56,800 and also in view of the aggregate demand of Rs. 12 lakhs received during the current year a sum of Rs. 1,00,000 is considered necessary for 1925-26 also.

Law (Education) Dept.

"Subsidies to local bodies for elementary school buildings.-- The provision made under this head in previous years and in the current year is noted below:

1918-19 ...	7.85
1919-20 ...	4.31
1920-21 ...	4.00 (amount subsequently re-
	sumed.)
1921-22 ...	0.90
1922-23 ...	0.75
1923-24 ...	0.05
1924-25 ...	1.00

The amount provided in 1921-22 and 1922-23 came mostly out of the unspent balances of previous years' subsidies resumed from local bodies and were paid back to such of them as were able to proceed with the works for which the grants were originally intended. For the year 1923-24, a sum of Rs. 3 lakhs was asked for. As, however, a lump sum was placed at the disposal of the Local Self-Government Department, for the several kinds of subsidies due to local bodies, and as the Local Self-Government Department found itself unable to spare more than Rs. 5,000 for elementary school buildings, the budget provision for ¹⁹²³⁻²⁴ that year had to be fixed at that figure. For the current year, however, a sum of Rs. 1 lakh has been provided. The statement above shows that a substantial provision used to be made in the budget for elementary school buildings in the years prior to 1921-22.

2. Out of 10,341 schools under the control of local bodies on the 31st March 1923, only 3,518 or about 34% of the schools ~~were~~ ^{are} located in buildings of their own, the rest being accommodated in rented buildings or in chavadies and temples. The D.P.I. reports

that the total demand from all local bodies for the grant of subsidies for the construction of elementary school buildings amounts to nearly Rs. 12 lakhs. If, however, the grant of subsidies is restricted to (1) works for which subsidies were previously paid and subsequently resumed as unspent and (2) works in respect of which the local body is willing to bear a portion of the cost involved, the amount required for disbursement is estimated at Rs. 1,56,800. In the current year's budget, a sum of Rs. one lakh only has been provided for the purpose. The balance of Rs. 56,800 will have to be provided in the budget estimate for 1925-26. Many local bodies may propose to construct buildings for these schools ^{also} and it may be necessary to grant subsidies ^{in suitable cases} ~~in suitable cases~~ in the circumstances, and, in view of the fact that the total demand for subsidies for the construction of buildings for the existing schools alone amounts to Rs. 12 lakhs, ^{the} ~~The~~ D.P.I.'s proposal to include a provision of Rs. one lakh in the budget estimate for 1925-26 may be accepted.

3. The file may be sent to Finance for remarks.

CR 21.10.24 V.TK 22.10

Finance u.o.



Encl 78 B E I

23.10.24

Sanction has recently been accorded to the opening of 839 schools in the areas under the jurisdiction of taluk boards with the aid of Provincial funds

Go 4598 8d 16.10.24 M

6

This is not the principal reason but financial stringency

42-22	'90
42-23	'75
42-24	'05
	1.70

A

PART II SCHEMES 1925-26.

Grants to Local Bodies for Elementary

School buildings.

There is no definite principle regulating these grants. Unlike the case of aided ^(private) schools to which District Educational Councils are authorised to give grants not exceeding half the cost subject to a maximum of Rs 2,500, the share of Government is not fixed at any definite proportion in the case of Local Bodies. Each grant has to come up to Government for sanction.

Prior to the Reforms and to the coming into force of the Elementary Education Act, large amounts were disbursed to Local Bodies from amounts allotted by the Government of India. Almost all Local Bodies were unable to spend the amounts and had large balances of unspent grants which were all resumed by Government. From 1921-22 to 1923-24 the Government declined to sanction new grants as subsidies to Local Bodies for elementary education were governed by the Elementary Education Act. In these three years grants were restricted to reallocations from resumed grants to such of the Local Bodies as were prepared to take up the buildings for which the grants were originally given. The total amount sanctioned for these three years was 1.70 lakhs, but the amount that was actually distributed to Local Bodies is not known. To safeguard against the grants remaining unspent with Local Bodies, they are now disbursed only on the system of ~~post~~ ^{post-} payment, advances being given as work proceeds. The Law Department may be requested to ascertain the actual amount distributed in these three years.

2. In the current year, a sum of 1.00 lakh was allotted. Not a pie of it has been disbursed, but a sum of Rs 1,500 has been reappropriated for subsidies to Local Bodies for opening new schools. The Law Department has proposed in another case how the balance should be distributed. It proposes to accept a liability of about 1.56 lakhs. The case

has not yet come to Finance for acceptance. *and to disburse 1.00 lakh in the current year on post payment system*

The Director of Public Instruction assumes that one lakh will be disbursed in the current year. This is optimistic because Local Bodies will commence the works only after they receive intimation of acceptance by Government and will be eligible this year only for the Government share of the work actually done up to the end of January. The chances are that the disbursement in the current year will be very small.

If a grant has been disbursed in part, the balance should be entered in Part I of the budget for next year. As no grant has been disbursed till now, the whole of the amount required in 1925-26 has been included in Part II by the D.P.I. and the Law Department.

3 The demand for next year is 1.00 lakh. .56 lakh out of this is stated to be to meet liabilities that are going to be accepted in the current year. If these liabilities are accepted in Finance, the demand of .56 lakh may be allowed.

The balance of .44 is for new commitments. The details have not been furnished and it is not known what will be the cost of the buildings and what the Government share ~~should~~ ^{will} be. It is stated to include

base was in 1923

Finance has expressly declined to accept any liability for buildings for these new schools and

this was accepted by

M2


AND

14/11

and the same is proposed

p.4 ante

Finance raised a similar question in the file relating to the payment of grants for buildings for private elementary schools but has since accepted the view that the question is primarily one for the decision of the Administration - Finance Dept



42 26
include also building grants to the 839 schools which Local Bodies are starting this year with the help of Government subsidies. Finance is not able to examine whether this amount is necessary in the absence of the above mentioned details.

4 The question whether these subsidies for elementary school buildings should continue, in view of the large recurring and non-recurring expenditure *(and to be opened in future years)* of new schools opened in the current year at the instance of Government is for consideration. The Law Department has definitely stated in the notes to G.O.1598 Law (Edn) dated 16th October 1924 that "it is not proposed to make any grants for buildings" for these new schools and Finance has accepted the scheme on this understanding. It is not therefore known why the Law Department refer to building grants for these new schools at the end of their note.

(i) If Government do not think that buildings of their own are ~~not~~ necessary for these new schools, ^{building} no grant seems necessary for other schools, new or old.

(ii) There is at present no limit for the building grants ^{to local bodies}. The Law Department proposed last year to draw up a type design for the elementary school buildings costing about Rs 1,200. The cost of buildings for which grants are proposed exceeds this figure many times over except in a few cases. If schools ~~schools~~ started at the instance of Government can be accommodated in buildings requiring only a monthly rent of Rs 4, it is not known why other schools should not be in buildings costing only about Rs 1,200 as contemplated by the Law Department last year.

(iii) There is no reason also why the Government should agree to grants exceeding half the cost even if they should agree to any grant at all. Aided institutions are given only building grants not exceeding half.

5 In the circumstances, Finance may advise that the demand for 1925-26 should be restricted to the balance of grant payable for schemes for which we are going to accept liability when distributing the current year's grant.

S.G.13/xi.

Fin no. 78 B E 1-1 of 14.11.24

Law.



Awaiting issue of
order on file re. P. 10/24
P. 10/24

Signed in L. 10/24
20/11/24

Law (Education) Department

With reference to 'A' on p.5 ante, the following are the amounts actually disbursed during the three years ending with 1923-24:—

	Amount disbursed RS.
1921-22	11,897
1922-23	61,264
1923-24	6,817

Para 2 of Finance Department note on p.6 ante

Orders have since issued in G.O.No. 2014 dated 27-12-24 sanctioning subsidies amounting to Rs.47,954 to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings. As it is not likely that more than Rs.6,000 will be paid in the current official year, Finance may be requested to make provision for the balance of Rs.42,000 in Part I of the Budget for 1925-26 under 31-C(b)(iii)-Education-Primary grants to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings.

Paras 3 & 4 of the Finance Dept. note-pp 6 to 8

The points raised have been mostly discussed in the notes on pp.28-37 of note file in the linked file. C has directed that the principles on which subsidies should be paid for new works should be settled. It is suggested in the linked file that following the practice in the case of secondary school buildings, the amount of subsidy for elementary school buildings may ordinarily be restricted to one-half of the cost of the buildings, specially deserving cases being considered on their merits. Taking into consideration only the works in

respect of which local bodies are prepared to meet one-half or more of the estimated cost, the provision required in 1925-26 for meeting the Govt. share of the cost is Rs. 50.802. Finance may, it is submitted, be requested to agree to the preparation of a note for the Finance Committee suggesting the entering of this provision in the next years budget.

NK

2/1/25

CA
3.1.25

V.K.
3.1.25

Finance 4.0

Law Ed. no 3909 A 2

3.1.25



109 BE I
5/25

Finance BE I

[Finance noted last in pps 5-8 ante]

1 Para 2 of the Law Dept's note on p 9.

The BE for 1924-25 under grants to local bodies for elementary school buildings stands at Rs. 98,200. It may now be reduced to Rs. 6,000.

In the BE for 1925-26,

a sum of Rs. 42,000 may be entered in Part II.

2 At A. above, the Law Dept enquire whether a demand of Rs. 50.802 may be made under Part II for schemes of new expenditure other than those relating to resumed grants. In the file re distribution of the current year's budget allotment

linked file

allotment, the Finance Member noted as follows:--

"While unable at the moment to accept any new commitments on behalf of Government, I was anxious that some principles should be laid down on which any grants which might be given to local bodies for construction of elementary school buildings should be distributed. It appears to me that Government are not committed to the distribution of grants now except for the purpose of restoring grants that were resumed in 1921. By this I do not mean to say that no grants for such buildings should even be given in future, but in view of the small amount of money available now I felt reluctant to agree to commitments for the coming year beyond those already promised."

The Law department has separately proposed that in case of new commitments other than the restoration of resumed grants, the maximum grant shall not exceed half the total cost, and this principle has been accepted. But as C-3 has not accepted any demand in 1925-26 for new commitments, Finance is unable to advise that the proposed demand be placed before the Finance Committee as a Part II scheme for 1925-26.

VDC

L. de. 39A
2/1/25

Fin no. 109 BE I
7.1.25



CA
6.1.25

Rud
2/1

Law (Edn)

Law (Edn) Dept

May be submitted to M₂ and C₃ for orders on the proposal in para 3 of his dept's note on pages 9 and 10 ante.

2 By the word 'commitment', C₃ apparently meant a commitment for 1925-26 arising out of the payment of a portion of the subsidy in 1924-25. Such commitments need not be approved by the Finance Committee.

Committee, but the necessary provision will have to be entered in Part I of the budget.

2 The present proposal is to obtain the approval of the Finance Committee to a Part II - Scheme viz: Provision of a sum of Rs 50,802/- for the payment of subsidies to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings. These new proposals cannot be taken as commitments for the coming year.

CR 7.1.25 V.TK 7.1

M2
C3

These proposals of the year '25-26, relate to local bodies school building and in aid given under the rules, from Part II account & accepted canons of finance. In the scheme stated in p 910 & the rules, they shall be carried by the R.C. if not, they may be put into Part II if permissible.

8/1/25

It is late now for consideration by the Finance Committee. The proposal may be put before that committee if funds become available.

RSL

9/1/25

Law (C), Dept

A note for the Finance Committee



is put up below. It may be sent to Finance Dept for embodying their note.

AP 10/1/25

CR 14.1.25

V.TK 15.1.25

Law En no 3909 A/24.3. 15.1.25

NK 12/1/25



PSE

Finance DE F

1402 BBT 16.1.25

[Finance voted last on pp 10-11 ante]


Please see b's note on p. 12 ante

The Law Dept may be requested to modify the note for the Finance Committee so as to indicate that this is not a Part II Scheme for 1925-26 as it is belated as such. The scheme has to be placed before the committee as one to be given effect to when funds become available.

The next meeting of the Finance Committee is on the 2nd Feb.

L-En 217A 20/1/25

CR 19.1.25



Fin no 1102 BBT 20.1.25

RWD

20/1/25

Law

Law (Eon, Dept)

The Finance Dept says that the ~~scheme~~ note is belated. Submitted the file was sent to that dept prior to 1st November 1924. *Vide p. 4 ante.*

If the note for the Finance Committee became ready only in the middle of Jan. 1925, it was because that ~~the~~ the Finance Dept returned the file with queries more than once and finally refused to allow the note to be placed before the Committee. *Vide p. 11 ante.*

From orders whether the ~~note~~ ^{to the note} ~~was accepted~~ ^{was suggested by Finance Dept} ~~and the note was~~ ^{note} ~~accepted~~ ^{was} ~~as suggested by Finance in pencil.~~

~~and~~ This will mean that we will have ^{no funds provided for the purpose in question in the next year's budget.}

~~because the purpose~~

Ch
21.1.25

I do not see why the scheme should not be placed before the Finance Committee on the 6th Feb as a scheme for 1925-26. There was no delay in the first instance with in sending the case to the Finance Dept

V.T.F.
21.1.

M2
C3

There are no delay in first forwarding it to Finance Dept. Such has raised queries & consequent delay occurred. This may therefore be before Finance Committee as Part II Scheme - 21.1.25



My points were 11

- (1) that there is no existing promise to provide local bodies with funds with which to build schools beyond the amount of the grants resumed;
- (2) that in the coming year we shall be particularly short of money;
- (3) that it was not intended to put before the Finance Committee schemes for Part II after the last meeting, ⁱⁿ the early part of Jan.?
- (4) that therefore schemes on which discussion was completed later could only be considered, apart from the Finance Committee, when the cabinet allocated such funds as are available.

The meeting of the Finance Committee on the 2nd of Feb. is for the purpose of considering supplementary demands to be made at the meeting of the Council & a few schemes for introduction in the current year. Its work in connection with Part II schemes for 1925-26 is finished

R.S.L.
26/1/25

May be submitted to Mr. The note for the Finance Committee may be altered as suggested

Suggested by Finance and Law

CR 27.1.25
V.T. 28.1.25

This may be before Committee as a
Scheme & approved the
Finance & Law Dept. for the
year 25-26.

29/1/26

Finance Department.

Extract of proceedings of the Finance Committee

1924-25, at their 15th meeting on 4-2-25.


The Committee recommended the scheme as a

class II scheme.

RWD.

5-2-25.

Law.



Law (Education) Department.

NOTE FOR THE FINANCE COMMITTEE.

Grants to local bodies for the construction of buildings
for elementary schools.

D.P.I.'s proposal.

The total demand of all local bodies under the above head
aggregates to Rs. 12 lakhs nearly; but restricting the expenditure
(1) to works for which subsidies were previously paid and subse-
quently resumed as unspent, and (2) to works in respect of which
the local body is willing to bear a portion of the cost involved
the probable expenditure in the current year is estimated at
Rs. 1,50,800 or Rs. 50,800 in excess of the current year's budget
provision. Even in the case of item (1) only those cases in which
the resumed amount is sufficient to finish the work have been
taken into account. In view of the excess demand of Rs. 50,800
and also in view of the aggregate demand of Rs. 12 lakhs received
during the current year, a sum of Rs. 1,00,000 is considered
necessary for 1925-26 also.

Law Department Note.

Out of 10,964 schools under the control of local bodies on
the 31st March 1924 only 3,617 or about 33 per cent of the schools
are located in buildings of their own, the rest being accommodated
in rented buildings chavadies or temples. It has been usual to
make year after year substantial provision in the budget for the
payment of subsidies to local bodies to enable them to construct
buildings for elementary schools as shown below:-

1918-19	...	Rs. 7.85 lakhs
1919-20	...	4.31 lakhs
1920-21	...	4.00 ..
1921-22	...	0.90 lakhs
1922-23	...	0.75 lakhs
1923-24	...	0.05 lakhs
1924-25	...	1.00 lakh

Though the total demand from all local bodies in the current year
amounted to Rs. 12 lakhs the Director of Public Instruction estimated
the

18 53 32 15
2.

the amount required in the current year at only Rs. 1.56 lakhs as shown in the beginning of the note.

3. The Government have recently sanctioned grants amounting to Rs. 47,954 towards the completion of works for which grants were originally paid and subsequently resumed. Since this sanction was accorded only on 27th December 1924 and since the amount is to be paid on the post-payment system it is expected that only a sum of Rs. 6,000 can be disbursed this year. The balance of Rs. 42,000 has been provided in Part I of the budget for 1925-26.

4. The question of grants for new works in respect of which local bodies are willing to bear a portion of the cost involved was considered and it has been decided that the amount of subsidy should ordinarily be restricted to one-half of the cost of the buildings, specially deserving cases being considered on their merit. Taking into consideration only works in respect of which local bodies are willing to bear not less than one-half of the cost, the total cost of such works amounts to Rs. 106,594 and the subsidy payable from provincial funds is Rs. 50,802. The proposal is placed before the Finance Committee for its recommendation. The subsidies will be paid if funds become available.

V.T.Krishnama Achariyar
15-1-25.

Note by the Finance Department.

The proposal may be placed before the Finance Committee.

R.W.Davies

80--1--25.

Finance Department,

Extract from the proceedings of the Finance Committee
1924-25, at their ~~meeting~~ 15th meeting on 4-2-25.

-----oOo-----

The committee recommended the scheme as a class II
scheme.

R.W.D.

5-2-25.

(C. 1202 A)

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.C. No.
449 -B/25, dated 30th March 1925.

Sub :- Grant - Building. Adoni Taluk Board.

A.S.

The President, Taluk Board, Adoni, applies for
a subsidy of Rs. 2,225/- towards improvements to two
elementary school buildings. No provision has been
made in the current year's budget for grant of subsi-
dies to local bodies for the construction of new
works. Attention is, however, solicited to the Note
for the Finance Committee dated 15th Jan. 1925 wherein
this department urged that a provision of Rs. 50,802
should be made in the budget for the purpose. The
Finance Committee also recommended the proposal. The
scheme was however treated as a belated one. ^{In consequence} No pro-
vision has been made for subsidies for new works in
the current year's budget. Now that the Government
of India have sanctioned a reduction in the Provincial
contribution, Finance may be requested to note this
item in connection with the distribution of the
surplus.

(M)

(p. 16-2 note in the
linked file)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
19-APR-1925
LAW DEPARTMENT

Law Edn no 1202A-1

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
18-APR-1925
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

8.4.25
14
8/4

8.4.25
5

Re: A grant of Rs 0.50 lakhs
for subsidies to local bodies for
elementary school buildings is applied
for. This application may be
noted for consideration.

V. Th
16.4

Finance u.o

241456054

Finance (Exp.)

The question of the surplus available for the expansion of services out of the amount remitted by the Govt of India is under consideration. When that is settled it will be for the cabinet to decide which schemes should be financed. Law (Eon) apply for an allotment of .50 lakhs for grants to ^{new elementary} school buildings. This may be noted.

21.4.25.
21.4.25.

24/4/25

Law (Eon) u.o.

Fin u/o 14560 Exp-1
27.4.25

Law (Eon) Dept.



Exp 1464A/27.4.25

Law (Eon)

It has separately ~~been~~ decided to make a provision of Rs. 27,740 in the current year's budget for subsidies to local bodies for construction of new elementary school buildings; the amount coming out of the Rs. 126 lakhs remission. As noted on p. 1 ante, the suggestion of this dept. to make a provision of Rs. 50,802 in the current year's budget for this purpose was recommended by the Fin. committee, but the scheme was ~~considered~~ ^{treated as} a belated one and no provision was made.

Item (2) in the list at (S)

also p. 16 of in linked file

made.

2. A supplementary demand for Rs. 27,740 under this head may be moved in the next session of the Leg. Council. ^(steps will be taken to get) necessary notice will be sent to the council office early in ~~July~~ next. In the meanwhile the case may be sent to the Finance u.o. for perusal.



Exp 1464A

11/5/25


8.5.25

V.K. 9.5

Finance u.o.

Finance (Exp.)

(Finance noted last on p. 2. ante.) may be perused and returned to Law (Eon).
2. The proposal to move a supplementary demand (vide para. 2 of Law (Eon) deft. note above.) will have to be placed before the Finance Committee.



18511 Exp
12.5.25

* all proposals for moving supplementary demand are first placed before the Finance Committee.

File 18511 (Exp) 1
18.5.25

15.5.25

If Law (Eon) consider the previous decision of the Finance Committee adequate, the file may be circulated to the point where the decision is acceptable.

Law (Eon)

Law (Edn) Dept.

A.S.

With reference to Finance Secretary's note at page 3 ante, the file may be circulated to M₂ and C₄. (The scheme in the linked file was placed

23.5.25

by the Finance Committee in class II - Schemes

that are very urgently necessary)

23/5/25

25/5/25

[The Fin dept. has separately asked that all the schemes for which supplementary demands are proposed be moved - whether already placed before the Fin Comm or not - should be placed before the new Fin Comm (file only separately) (top file)]

I do not agree with I think the Finance Sec^y's position - that these should ^{go} again before the Finance Committee

V.T.F. 26.5

M₂

C₄

The proposal on Consuls already by the Finance Committee and was sent in Class II. I am unable to see why Schemes that were Consuls once by the Finance Committee should again go before a new Committee, if so there is too much to this.

This is not what my hon. Col C₄ suggested in regard to Supplementary Demands. Only such Schemes as were not considered by the F.C.

shall be placed before them before going to the Leg. Council -

I have noted on

up p. 3 of in top file accompanying file

27/6/25

(removed in Law (Edn) Dept.)

Extract at XIX

The file may be sent to Finance up for perusal of C₄'s note on p. 3 of the notes file in the top file. necessary action will be taken in this dept. in due course with reference to paragraph 2 of this dept. note on p. 3 ante.

27.5.25

28/5/25

9.5

Fin uio

(Fin have seen - vide p. 4 nt. in top file)

Law (Edn) no. 1464 A-2 29.5.25

May lie over till July next

with reference to paragraph 2 of this dept. note on p. 3 ante.

29.5.25

1.6.25


A Supplementary demand for Rs 22740 may be moved in the next session of the Legislative Council. Draft notice submitted. It may be sent to Finance for acceptance

6/7/25

8/7/25

9.7

Fin uio



Law Edn no 1202 A-2



24773 14.7.25

Finance (Exp)

The draft notice may be accepted and the file returned to Law.

46
15.7.25

20.7.25

Law

Fin 4024773 & p-1
20.7.25

26.7.25



May be submitted to M₂, C₄ and H.E

the Governor for approval.

21.7.25

22.7.25 V.T.K.
23.7.

M₂

C₄

H.E

29.7.25

28.7.25

25/7/25



(in the signature pad)

True Copy of the notice is kept below.

It may be sent to the Hon'ble the Minister for favour of signature

31.7.25

3.8.25

M₂

31.8.25

The draft notice has issued. An explanatory

Memorandum is subd for approval. It appears copies will be sent to Council office and to Finance

31.8.25

3.8.25 V.T.K.

Law (Education) department.

The Legislative Council has voted the supplementary grant for subsidies to local bodies for the construction of buildings for elementary schools. As stated in the Note for the Finance Committee, the total amount required for payment to local bodies which have agreed to Contribute not less than one half of the cost of the works is Rs. 50,802. As the subsidies are payable on the post-payment system, only a portion of the above sum will have to be disbursed in the current year. For this reason the supplementary demand was limited to Rs. 27,740.

2. It may be noted here that in addition to the works for which a grant of Rs. 50,802 is required, a few more applications for half-grants have recently been received from local bodies. Some of these are under reference to the Director of Public Instruction. The Director may be consulted as to whether any of these works should also be included in the present distribution of grants.

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the D.P.I. u.o. with reference to paragraph 2 above.

Very urgent

1.9.25

2.9.25

3.9.

D.P.I. u.o.

P.7.0

U.O.Reply No. 44913/25-9-25

With reference to paragraph 2 of the Secretariat note the inclusion of the following is suggested:-

Taluk Board, Adoni.	Estimated cost Rs	Half grant. Rs
1.Reconstruction of Adi-Andhra boys' school, Hathi Balagal	1100	550
2.Extending the Board Hindu boys school, Holagundi	3350	1675
Taluk Board, Koilpatti.		
1.Board school building, Senhammaredipatti	2850	1425
2.Construction of a school building at Puliampatti	1575	787

Mukht School, Virudhunagar

The cost of a school building for Muhammadans in Virudhunagar municipality may also be considered. A sum of Rs.2000 was paid in G.O.No.1677 H.E., dated 27-12-18 and subsequently resumed as unexpended balance. The Council's application was considered last year in connection with the distribution of Rs.One lakh but it was not recommended to Government as the resumed amount even if paid was insufficient to complete the construction of the building, the estimated cost being Rs.6240. As the Council has now agreed to meet half the cost from general revenues preference may be given to this application in accordance with Government Memorandum No.4220 A-6 dated 2-4-1924. It may be mentioned that this application has not been included as a Part II scheme for 1926-27 as the present application was not received in my office. If my recommendation regarding the Adoni and Koilpatti Taluk Boards is accepted by Government the schemes relating to these Boards may be deleted from Part II of the schemes for 1926-27.

2/9/25



he may include
9.9
V.T.K. 10.9

Para 2 of Draft

Very Urgent



Det 31897/12-9-25

For orders whether the five works referred to in the D.P.I's note ^{on page 8 and 9} ~~also~~ may also be included in the annexure to the draft. The total subsidy payable will then be raised by Rs. 7557. It may be noted ~~here~~ that these subsidies for buildings are payable only on the post-payment system. For want of funds local bodies postpone construction in many cases. The budget allotment is not therefore likely to be exceeded in the current year if the five works referred to are ^{approved} ~~included~~ in the draft. ^{Further,} it has been made clear in the draft that such portion of the ~~subsidy~~ ^{subsidy} as is not disbursed in the current year will be paid next year or when funds become available.

2. The file may be sent to Finance for acceptance of the proposals.
8.9.25

9-9-25
V.T.K. 10.9

Fin U.O

Law (Cm), No 1202 A-5 The commitment already agreed to by this department is Rs 50,802-11 p. 18 of the papers at flag (L). The Supplementary grant of Rs 27,740 recently voted by the Legislative Council is towards discharging a portion of the above commitment. The balance required will be included in next year's budget under Part I. The Law (E & D) Dept now propose to commit for a further expenditure of Rs 7557 for year

works on the ground that the whole of the supplementary grant will not be spent in the current year. It is submitted that the fact that there will be savings in the current year is not sufficient justification for adding to the existing commitment. The Law (Edn) Deptt may be requested to include the five new works, now brought forward, in the list of Part II schemes for 26-27. Subject to these remarks the draft order may be accepted.

12.9.25
Law

13/9/25

Law (Edn) Deptt

In order whether the ^(inclusion of the) five additional works referred to in the D.P.D. note on page 8 ante, in the present distribution of subsidies will be pressed or the draft below may be issued.

Stk 14.9.25
A 15/9/25
we may hold them over to next year Part II.

[Ex. no. 1622 Ld. 16-9-25]

9 ante

Ex. O. 31897/14.9.25

A. D. 3497A
14/9/25



Sent to Bm on 14/9
Rt. Sent on 21/9

Decision taken Separately

Read

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied		
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched		

Subsidies
to local bodies for the construction of elem. school buildings - same? 180

READ AGAIN G.O. No. , dated 192

" " " " " " 192

READ ALSO:-

C. No. From the No. , dated 192

" " " " " " 192

ORDER.
Pm

No. 1622 dated 16-9-1925

Para 2, page 2 of Ex. 2014 27.12.26

Vide pp 40-41 of Ex. 2014 27.12.26

The Govt. have received a large number of applications from local bodies for subsidies towards the construction of buildings for elementary schools under their management. In ^{many} ~~most~~ cases the request is ^{made} ~~that~~ the entire cost or the major portion of it may be met from Provincial funds. The Govt. are unable to accede to ~~these~~ ^{such} requests. They will ordinarily be prepared to consider ~~any~~ ^{from} applications from local bodies for subsidies for school buildings only if they ^{are} ~~are~~ willing to meet at least one-half of the expenditure from ~~their~~ ^{their own} funds. In the case of the works mentioned in the ^{to these proceedings} ~~annexure~~ the local bodies concerned have agreed to contribute sums not less than one-half of the cost. The Govt. are pleased to sanction subsidies not exceeding

the balance of the cost as shown in column 4 of the annexure. The subsidies will be disbursed on the post-payment system. The local bodies are requested to start the works immediately.

2. There is a provision of only Rs. 27,740 in the current year against Rs. 50,802/- required to find the total amount subsidy sanctioned for all the works mentioned in the annexure. Such portion of the subsidy as ~~is~~ not ~~will~~ disbursed in the current year will be paid next year or when funds become available.

3. The local bodies concerned are requested to report not later than 15th February 1926 the amount of the expenditure incurred on the works up to 31st January 1926. On receipt of these reports the Accountant-General will be requested to disburse to the local bodies the ~~the~~ grants which the Government will be able to sanction in the current year. A second instalment of grants will be disbursed by the 14th March 1926 towards the expenditure incurred on the works ~~in~~ February 1926. The local bodies are accordingly requested to report before 7th March 1926 the expenditure incurred in February 1926.

Reports received after 7th March 1926 will not be considered in the current year. A certificate that the expenditure has been incurred and the work ~~has been~~ properly executed should be forwarded with each report. The

39 38
certificate should be signed in the case of a local fund work by the President of the local board and in the case of a municipal work by the Chairman of the Municipal Council.

89
1.9.25.

89
21/9/25

V.K.
3.9

To the D.P.I.

- " Presd. Taluk Boards concerned.
- " Presd. Dist. Boards concerned.
- " Presd. Dist. Edl. Councils concerned.
- " Chairman, Municipal Councils concerned.
- " Exr. of L.F. Accts.
- " A.G. through Finance.
- " L.S.G.
- " Finance.

DESPATCHED
18 SEP 1925
17

(Annexure)

cf. para 2 of 2014 (N)
27.12.24

1622 16/9/20

Annexure

684 40 488

Name of Taluk Board.	Name of work.	Estimated cost of work.	Grant sanctioned from Prov. funds.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Taluk Board, Ranipet.	Construction of a verandah to the Girls School buildings at Kalvai.	Rs. 800	400
do. Pollachi.	Construction of an elementary school building at Gomangalampudur	6500	2250
do. Berhampur.	Construction of an elementary school building for the Telugu school at Ichchapuram.	2498	1249
do. -do-	Construction of an elementary school building for the Oriya school at Ichchapur.	2498	1249
do. Cocanada.	Construction of a school building at Sampara	3000	1000
do. Paddapur.	Construction of a school building at Kirlampudi.	4000	2000
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Viravara for Adi-Andhras	1000	500
do. Bhimavaram.	Construction of a school building at Vissakoderu.	2700	1350
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Bondada.	2100	1050
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Kapavaram.	1800	900
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Kallakuru.	2000	1000
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Yenamadurru	2200	1100
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Lisali.	2500	1250
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Gargaparru.	2000	1000
do. -do-	Construction of a school building at Unduru	2000	1000
do. Gudivada.	Construction of a building for the Board Boys' School, at Gudivada.	5000	2500
do. -do-	Construction of a building for a school at Dondapadu.	5000	2500
Municipal Council, Calicut	Extension of land for the Chekarayan Valap school.	700	255

		Rs.	
Paluk Board,	Construction of school building		
Divaganga.	at Poovandhi.	4,400	2,200
-do-	Construction of school building		
	at Kanur.	5,000	2,500
-do-	Construction of school building		
	at Piramanur.	3,500	1,750
-do-	Construction of school building		
	at Tirukoshtiyur.	3,500	1,750
-do-	Construction of school building		
	at Tirupachetti.	8,000	4,000
-do-	Construction of a boys' school		
M. B. Tanjore.	building at Avarampatti.	3,400	1,700
-do-	Construction of a boys' school		
	building at Budalur.	3,400	1,700
-do-	Construction of a boys' school		
	building at Karungulam.	3,400	1,700
	(caste school)		
-do-	Extension of the school build-		
	ing at Kandiur.		
	(Muhammadian)	3,200	1,600
-do-	Construction of school building		
	at Kuthur.	3,750	1,875
-do-	Construction of school building		
	at Panayur	3,600	1,800
-do-	Construction of a school building		
	at Nagathai	3,000	1,500
-do-	Construction of a school building		
	at Velappakudi.	3,400	1,700
-do-	Construction of a school building		
	at Vennamangalam.	3,400	1,700
-do-	Construction of a school building		
M. B. Pattukottai.	at Kannugudi	575	287
-do-	Construction of a school building		
	at Kasangadu.	575	287
-do-	Construction of a school building		
Trichinopoly.	at Pattavathalai.	1200	600
			50,800

GA
2/9

V.R.
3.9

19/25
8A
1.9.25