

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Min. SERIES.

G.O. No. 1476.

DATED 25-8-25.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy - Municipal High School,
Tiruvannamalai - Building - additional - declined.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C F. 30-11,000-8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received.....



Registered.....

192 .

Municipal High School, Tiruvannamalai - Buildings - subsidy -

Not recommended-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 538-B/25.

Station Madras, Dated the 13th July, 1925.

From (Name) R.G. GRIEVE, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.,

(Designation) Ag: Director of Public Instruction,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

~~Six~~

Letter to Government Ref.No.73.Sup/24 dated 25-2-25 from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Tiruvannamalai.

Submitted to Government.

2. In the year 1917 the Chairman of the Municipal Council, Tiruvannamalai, applied for a subsidy from provincial funds towards the construction of a school building for the then Municipal Middle School, Tiruvannamalai, and towards the cost of the site. In G.O.No.761 Home (Education) dated 11-6-17, a subsidy of Rs.4,200/- was sanctioned. This amount represented the difference between the cost of the site and the then estimated cost of the building on the one hand, and the amount

(P.T.O)

the amount reported to be available with the Municipal Council by way of donation, etc., on the other. The actual expenditure on account of this work according to the revised estimate was Rs.13,393/-. The cost of the site was Rs.4,635/-. Therefore, the total expenditure was Rs.18,028/-. The actual amount the Council got by way of donation is reported to have been Rs.12,000/-. The net expenditure therefore was Rs.6,028/-. towards which Rs.4,200/- was paid by Government in G.O.No.761 Home (Education) dated 11-6-17. The balance amount which the Council met from its own funds was Rs.1,828/-.

3. Later on, the Council provided additional accommodation for the school. The cost of this extension according to the revised estimates is Rs.10,726/-. The estimates for the extension were approved by the Superintending Engineer, but the previous sanction of Government was not obtained, nor was this department consulted on the matter. The additional building was commenced in July 1924 and completed in February 1925. Now the Chairman requests that a subsidy of Rs.13,345/- representing the balance of the amount spent by the Municipal Council on the main building, viz., Rs.1,828/-, and the full cost of the additional building, viz., Rs.10,726/- may be sanctioned from provincial funds. The figure, Rs.13,345/- given by the Chairman is not correct. The correct figure is Rs.12,554/-.

4. In the first place, nearly 2/3 of the net expenditure on the main building was paid out of provincial funds; and I consider there is no case for increasing the grant already paid on that account. As regards the additional building, the Municipal Council constructed the building without consulting Government or this Department. I think it will afford a bad precedent, if Government give a grant now for that work. I, therefore, do not support the Chairman's request.

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.14/7.

F. 29-1000-23-8-23.

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject,

Requesting to sanction a building grant of Rs 13345

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Ref No. 73 sup of 24.

Station Tiruvannamalai, Dated the 24th February 1925.

From (Name) M.R. Ry., T.S. Muthukumaraswami Mudaliar Ayl.,

(Designation) Chairman, Municipal Council,

Tiruvannamalai,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law, (Education) Department ----- Department.

M A D R A S.

Sir, Through,

The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

and The District Educational Officer, Vellore.

Sir,

The Council was maintaining an incomplete Secondary school till 1921-22. With a view to raise the same to a status of the High School, a new building was constructed after obtaining the approval of the Government (G.O.No. 757 M. Misc. 21-4-16.)

After the completion of the new building higher forms were opened and the school was raised to a complete High School during 23-24 and during this year 11 students sat for the examination and 7 came out successful.

2) The cost of the construction (Main and additional building) comes to Rs. 13345 excluding the donation of Rs. 12000 and a Government subsidy of Rs. 4200 (G.O.No. 761.HOME.Edu) and the Council has in its resolution No. 1. dated 10-2-25 (copy enclosed) resolved to request the Government to bear this cost as they did to Wallaja and Villupuram Municipalities.

3) It is therefore requested that the Government will be pleased to extend the concession to this Municipality also and grant a subsidy of the amount mentioned in para 2 above.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T.S. Muthukumarasawmi
27-2-25

Chairman.

Me
26-2-25

Extract from the Minutes of Proceedings of the Municipal Council,
passed in its Meeting held on the 10th day of February 1925.

PRESENT.

1. M.R. Ry. T.S. Muthukumarasawmi Mudaliar Avl., Chairman.
2. " Balakrishna Naidu Garu "
3. " Ponnusawmi Pillai "
4. " Balasubbaramaniya Nainar "
5. " Gana Vedakan "
6. " Arumuga Mudaliar "
7. " Shunmuga Mudaliar "
8. " Ezumalai Achariar "
9. " Thangavelu "
10. " Kattiya Pillai "
11. " Sonachalam Pillai "
12. " Rangasawmi Pillai "
13. " Krishnasawmi Mudaliar "
14. " Pachiyappa Pillai "
15. " Dhariya Abdul Karim Sahib Bahaur "
16. " "
17. " "
18. " "
19. " "
20. " "


Resolution moved by the Councillor M.R. Ry. Krishna sawmi Mudaliar Avl., " That the Council should resolve to request the Government to sanction a subsidy of Rs. 5000/ as building grant "

Resolution No. 1. Supported by Mr. Rangasawmi Pillai Avl., and the Council resolved to request the Government to bear the net cost of the main building of the High School and the estimated cost of the additional building

(Signed) T.S. Muthukumarasawmi Mudaliar
Chairman.

(True Copy)

T.S. Muthukumarasawmi
Chairman. 27-2-25
Me
26-2-25



(C. No. 1823 B). From the Director of Public Instruction, No. R.O.C.
538 B/25, dated 13th July 1925.

Subject : - Subsidy towards the cost of the building
constructed for the Municipal High School,
Tiruvannamalai.

The cost of constructing a building for the
Municipal Secondary School, Tiruvannamalai was estimated
at Rs. 18,700 in 1917. Against this a sum of Rs. 14,508
was reported to be available with the Council. A
subsidy of Rs. 4,200, being the difference between the
estimated cost of the building and the amount available
with the Council, was sanctioned in G.O.No. 761 Home
(Education), dated 11th June 1917. The actual expendi-
ture on the building is now reported to be Rs. 13,393
and including the cost of the site, viz., Rs. 4,635 the
total expenditure, ^{has} amounted to Rs. 18,028. The amount
which the Council realised by way of donations and
subscriptions was Rs. 12,000. Thus the amount spent by
the Council from its own funds on the main building was
only Rs. 1,828.

Later on the Municipal Council carried out cer-
tain extensions to the building at a cost of Rs. 10,726.
The ~~expenditure~~ estimate for the extension was approved
by the Superintending Engineer, but the sanction of
Govt. was not obtained for carrying out the work.

2. The Chairman requests that a subsidy of Rs.
13,345, representing the sum of Rs. 1,828 spent by the
Council on the main building from its own funds and
the entire cost of the additional building, should be
sanctioned to it from provincial funds. The figure
of Rs. 13,345 given by the Chairman is incorrect. The
correct figure is Rs. 12,554. The net expenditure on the
old building excluding the collection of Rs. 12,000
by way of donations was Rs. 18,028 and towards this

②
Go 761 H (S.M.)
11.6.17

②
↑ 2 CF

18028
16200
1828

↑ 2 CF

1228
10726
12554

2

the Govt. paid a subsidy of Rs. 4,200 as stated in paragraph 1 above. The D.P.I. is of opinion as nearly 2/3 of the net expenditure was paid out of provincial funds, ~~as~~ there is no case for increasing the grant already paid.

3. As regards the additional building the Council has spent a sum of Rs. 10,726 on the work without obtaining the previous sanction of Government. It may be noticed also that even when the Chairman, Municipal Council, applied for an additional subsidy in September 1924 he made no mention of this work though the work was then ^{actually} in progress, it having been commenced in July 1924. In this case, ^(the D.P.I. does not support the Chairman's request) either as he considers it would be a bad precedent to give a grant ^{for} for the work which the previous sanction of Govt. was not obtained. It is, therefore, for orders whether the Council's request for an increase in the subsidy already sanctioned for the main building and ~~for an~~ additional subsidy ~~may~~ in respect of the extension will be considered.

4. It may be noted in this connection that Mr. Adinarayana Chettiyar asked some questions in the last sessions of the Legislative Council regarding the subsidies paid to this school and has given notice of another for the next session. If it is decided that the council's request will be considered, the financial condition of the Council may perhaps be examined in L.S.G. to see if it stands in need of any help from Govt. *change this may be done*

C.P.A.
31-7-25-
1100
3.8.25

Q
6.8.25
5

L.S.G. u.o

Verywell 23076
V.T.
P. 8



P. 18
Law (2) 1823 B-1

10/8 7.8.25
B. 10/8

2 CE

Go 1596 L (S)
16.10.24

8

The ordinary surplus of the Council during the last 4 years is shown below.

year	Surplus according to accounts ^{no}	Surplus if cl had paid toll contrib to Dr. Rd. ^{Rs. regularly contributed for Rs.}
1922-23	+ 19966	+ 19412
1923-24 (actuals)	+ 13636	+ 12885
1924-25 (Rev. Est.)	+ 5547	+ 5727
1925-26 (Budget)	+ 9463	+ 13196

This Council does not pay the share of the toll contrib to the Dr. Rd. regularly though its finances permit, and in consequence the T.R.D. withholds the hosp. contrib due to the M.C. The correct financial position by providing for ~~hosp.~~ toll contrib to Dr. Rd. & taking credit for hosp. contrib is shown in the last column above. The cl's annual surplus may be ~~unduly~~ ^{inadequately} taken at not less than Rs 5700. Its finances are normally in a solvent condition.

A.

2.

On 1st April 1922 the cl. had a deficit of Rs 28844 due to the prevalence of plague in the town in previous year but the cl. was able to wipe it off in the course of two years. On 1-4-1924 it managed to have a credit balance of Rs 1393. In the current year the cl. has to refund

an unspent balance of survey loan a portion of which it had diverted for general expe. presumably on capl works on secondary school buildings & town improvement schemes. Provision has been made for the refund of the loan in the cl's budget. If the cl repays the unspent loan as ordered by Govt, there will be a deficit of Rs 1777 in the General Account at the end of the current year. It can tide over this temporary deficit by postponing capl expe of Rs 2000 provided in this year's budget or by a diversion of renters' deposits, if need be temporarily this year.

In any case the cl does not require any help from Govt on account of the expe on the school buildings already incurred by it.


K

3. Recently the cl applied for a subsidy of the net cost of the high school for as greatly opened in the school, while it has been receiving a fixed grant of only Rs 492 on account of the middle school dept. This request also

File in Law (Eon)

is proposed to be negatived. 4 The file may be returned to Law (Eon)

Rs 2
Rs 1
secy



18940 Rs 216713 18/8/25

Apr 17/25 Say Law & Eng'g

L. S. R. no 23076-1 cl + m 18-8-25

The Council ~~is~~ to blame in not obtaining the sanction of Govt. We may refuse any aid. If the Council is penitent and moves again the Govt may be considered

Year 1928
OSR 1/8/25

Law (Eon) Dye

Drapt sub

KRW 18.8.25

CR n/c 18/8/25

V. T. E. 22.8

M 2

24/8/25



Lawson DEPARTMENT.

Date. Initials.

When received for Fair-
copying.

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

Summary

Municipal High School,

Trivannamalai - Building -
2008. - Declined.

READ AGAIN G.O. No....., dated.....192

.. .. . 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1823 From the C.M.C. Tiruvannamalai, No. 73 Sep. 24, dated 25 2 1925

" " " " *D.P. 3* *Rec 538 13/25* *13 7* 1925

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

ORDER.

Ms.

No. 1476 dated 25-8-1925

A copy of the letter of the D.P.O read above is communicated to the C.M.C. Tiruvannamalai. For the reasons stated therein, in which the Govt concurs, they are unable to sanction any additional subsidy to the Council towards the construction of buildings for its high school.

DESPATCHED
1101025

26 AUG 1925

The C.M.C. Irinavannamalai
 9072070.

.. D.P. 2 900.

KRW
18.5.25

11.825

VTK
22-8

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ } 192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED
 192

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIITO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.