

Law (1925) Department.
Education

Group SERIES.

G.O. No. 1321

DATED

30/7/25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Indian Educational Service

Messrs A. Chakravarti, K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar, A. Rama Rao
and Khan Bahadur Abdur Rahim Sahib Bahadur - Exempted from the clause at Rs 600/

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

191

Subject.

Submitting the application of Khan Bahadur Abdur Rahim Sahib Bahadur for exemption from the pause at Rs.600/- in the Indian Educational Service.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Spare copies

No.

Station Madras, Dated the 14th January 1925.

From (Name) R. Littlehales, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Madras,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O.No.713 Miscellaneous, Law (Education) dated 8th May 1924.

2. I have no hesitation in recommending the case of Mr. Abdur Rahim for favourable consideration. He is one of the best officers whom we have in the Indian Educational Service and his work as Inspector of schools and as Deputy Director of Public Instruction is of outstanding merit. He has not spared himself in assisting the Department and Government in inspection and administration during years when owing to the non-cooperation movement

and other ~~matters~~ inspection and administration ~~was~~ no easy task.

3. I advise that he be exempted from the pause at Rs. 600/- per mensem.

Director of Public Instruction,
M a d r a s.

To

The DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

M a d r a s.

Sir,

Having now reached the stage of Rs. 600/- in the scale of pay of the Indian Educational Service, I beg to request that you will be so good as to recommend to Government that they may be pleased to exempt me from the pause at this stage.

I also submit the following facts for consideration in dealing with my case :

(1) I have put in a service of over 18 years in this department.

(2) I was past 37 years of age, when I was promoted from the Madras Educational Service to the Indian Educational Service, and had gained educational and administrative experience in various capacities, including service in the Hyderabad State for 3½ years. My work in that State was so well appreciated that His Exalted Highness the Nizam's Government asked for a further loan of my services, which the Madras Government refused to sanction.

(3) In the matter of the initial pay allowed to me in the Indian Educational Service, my service in a temporary post in the Madras Educational Service on Rs. 400/- as Inspector of Schools, Kistna, was not taken into account and I started again on Rs. 400/- in the Indian Educational Service scale.

(4) My work in the office of the Director of Public Instruction during the period I have been here has been particularly arduous and heavy. During this period, I have had to assist the Director in the reorganization of the several branches of the Subordinate Educational Service and in the fixing and refixing in the time-scales, of the pay of the numerous officers in each branch of

the service. I have also had to assist the Director in dealing with the various problems raised by the coming into operation of the Madras Elementary Education Act, especially in the earlier stages of its working, when proper guidance and direction were necessary, e.g., ^{in connection with} questions relating to the functions of the District Educational Councils and their finances, the "Elementary Education Funds" under the several ^{local} bodies' control, the distribution of grants and subsidies &c.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Madras, 14-1-1925.

Older K. K.
Add'l Deputy Director of
Public Instruction

Received Registered 192 .



M.R.Ry A. Rama Rao Avl., District Educational Officer, Kistna

Exemption from the ^{Pause} ~~next~~ at Rs 600/- in the Indian

Educational Service - Memorial submitted -
(aw) Education

[Handwritten signature/initials]

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	<i>[initials]</i>
Spare copies	

N.R.O.CNo. 53-C/25

Station Madras Dated the 7-2-1925 192 .

From (Name) R. G. Grieve Esq. M.A.,
(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

Memorial from M.R.Ry A. Rama Rao Avl., District Educational Officer, Kistna, dated 12th January 1925, to Government Law (Education) requesting that he may be exempted from the pause at Rs 600/- in the Indian Educational Service *scale of pay*.

Submitted to Government Law (Education) Department.

2. At the time of his promotion to the Indian Educational Service, M.R.Ry A. Rama Rao Avl., was officiating in a temporary Inspector's post on Rs 500/- per mensem from 15th December 1919. His initial pay in the Indian Educational Service was fixed at Rs 450/- ^{from 1-9-1920} plus a personal allowance of Rs 50/- with reference to his substantive pay in the Madras

1294880713
8/9/24
Educational Service. He drew this salary (Rs 500/-) for 2 years.

3. Attention is, in this connection, invited to the Permanent Director's letter R.C.No.576 C/24 dated 29th February 1924 and G.O.No. 713 Mis. Law (Education) dated 8th May 1924.

4. I had the opportunity of consulting the permanent Director in regard to Mr. Rama Rao's work and as a result of this consultation it is requested that Government will be so good as again to consider the recommendation made in his letter referred to above.

Chud
for Director of Public Instruction.

G.M.9.2.25
10/

To

The Secretary to Government,

Education Department,

Madras.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to request ~~you~~ that the Government may be pleased to exempt me as a special case from the halt at Rs.600/- applicable to officers in the Indian Educational Service, for the following reasons:-

1. This halt was evidently intended for junior officers newly recruited to the Indian Educational Service, who have to pass their language and other examinations, before they settle down in the service. It would be a great hardship if it is made applicable to one who has grown grey in service. I am now 47 years old and shall not reach even the maximum of the ordinary grade before my retirement.

2. I was drawing Rs.500/- in the Provincial Service when I was taken into the Indian Educational Service, but as my initial salary in the Indian Educational Service was fixed at only Rs.450/- with a personal allowance to make up the difference, I marked time on Rs.500/- for ~~more than~~ ^{nearly} three years, so that I have already had ~~more than~~ ^{one} half in the Indian Educational Service. It would not be fair to make me halt again at Rs.600/- for another year.

3. As an officer in the Indian Educational Service with an English qualification, I have to maintain a status and some standard of life. It is difficult for an officer with a family to do this, on a salary intended probably for a bachelor. Usually officers of my age in the Indian Educational Service, draw more than double my pay. Again under the present T.A. rules, for an officer, who maintains a car, touring is an additional source of loss. Under the peculiar conditions in

in this District, I have often to make long and expensive road journeys by motor-car claiming only the usual railway fares.

4. My work has, I believe, been always satisfactory though my jurisdiction has been extensive, at first at Bellary when the Circle has been extended over five districts, (the Ceded districts and Chittoor), and now in Kistna which is practically the heaviest district in the Presidency and the bifurcation of which is long overdue.

In consideration of the above facts, I request that the Government may be pleased to take a merciful view of my case and grant me an exemption from the halt. The power to grant such exemptions is now vested in the Local Government.

I have the honour to be,


Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Masulipatan,

Dated 12-1-1925.

A Rama Ray
12/1/1925
District Educational Officer, Kistna.



DEPARTMENT.

644A
Law Edu 2260
16.2.25

Received

Registered

192

Subject.

Exemption from the pause at Rs 600/- in the

I.E.S. - M.R.Ry K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar

Avl., Professor of Mathematics,

College of Engineering.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

N.R.O.C. No. 261-C/25

Station Madras, Dated the 11-2-25 192

From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq. M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Six

Petition from M.R.Ry K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar Avl.,
Professor of Mathematics, College of Engineering, Guindy dated
9-2-25, requesting exemption from the pause at Rs 600/- in the
Indian Educational Service.

Submitted to Government for orders.

2. Reference is invited to the Director's letter R.C.No.

576 C/24 dated 29-2-24 disposed of in G.O.No.713 Mis Law (Edn)
dated 8-5-24, and to ^{his} endorsement N.R.O.C.No.203-C/24 dated
23-1-24 submitting the former representation from M.R.Ry K.R.
Ramaswami Ayyangar Avl.,

for Director of Public Instruction.

G.M.13.2.25



10
College of Engineering
Guindy, 9th February 1925.

From

M. R. Ry. K. R. Ramasami Ayyangar Avl. M. A. L. T.

Professor of Mathematics.

College of Engineering,

G U I N D Y.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Law (Education) Department.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S.

&

Through

The Principal,

College of Engineering, Guindy.

Sir,

In my letter dated 17th January 1924, of which I enclose a copy herewith, I requested the Government to exempt me from the pause at Rs600/- for the reasons stated therein.

The Government, however, in their order No. 713 Misc. Law (Education) dated 8th May 1924, declined to do so. I submit that my case is a very hard one. I have put in 21 years of service. I am now 49 years old, and I shall retire in 6 years more. In view of these facts, I request that the Government be pleased to reconsider my case sympathetically, and exempt me, with effect from the 1st September 1924, from the pause at Rs600/- which, according to the scheme of re-organisation of the Indian Educational Service, is apparently intended to apply to fresh recruits to it in their 6th year of service, and not to a person like me promoted to the I.E.S. after a very long approved service in the Educational

N Re No 1094/25 Department.

9.2.25
Encls: Submitted
one

Principal,
College of Engineering.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. R. Ramasami Ayyangar.
Professor of Mathematics.

(Copy of letter)

College of Engineering
Guindy, 17th January 1924.

From

M.R. Ry. K.R. Ramasani Ayyangar Avl. M.A.L.T.

Professor of Mathematics,

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING.

GUINDY.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Law (Education)

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

&

Through the Principal,

College of Engineering, Guindy.

Sir,

I have the honour to place the following circumstances before you for favourable consideration.

2. I have put in a service of twenty years in the Educational Department. I was promoted from the Madras Educational Service (M.E.S.) to the Indian Educational Service (I.E.S.) from 1st September 1920. In fixing my starting pay in the I.E.S., neither my age nor my previous experience and service were taken into account, though direct recruits to the I.E.S. have had the benefit of these considerations. My pay was fixed at Rs450/- being the next multiple of Rs50/- above the pay that I was then getting in the M.E.S., (viz. Rs425/-) in accordance with a rule enunciated by the Government of India for fixing the initial pay of persons promoted from the M.E.S. to the I.E.S. The Local Government was given no discretion in the matter, and had merely to apply the rule. This disability under which I suffered is mentioned by me only to serve as a strong argument for a favourable consideration by the

the Government, of my request made below.

3. The pay of the I.E.S. is Rs400-50-1250, with pauses for one year each at Rs600/- and Rs1000/- and with selection grades rising to Rs1500/- and Rs1750/- excluding overseas pay. A young direct recruit starting on Rs400/- will have a pause in his pay at Rs600/- after a service of five years, another pause at Rs1000/- after a service of 14 years, and rise automatically to Rs1250/- in 19 years with chances of getting into the selection grades afterwards. To enforce these pauses in the case of persons promoted from the M.E.S. to the I.E.S. after a long and approved service is very hard to them. It is understood that the Secretary of State has, with apparent sympathy with such persons, recently given the Local Government full discretion to waive the pause in hard and deserving cases.

4. I submit that my case is a very hard one. I have, as already stated, put in a service of 20 years. My age now is 48. My present pay is Rs600/- If the pause at Rs600/- is not removed my pay when I retire will be only Rs900/- which is very much below the maximum pay of the ordinary grade viz., Rs1250/- to which every young recruit can rise automatically. I shall therefore suffer under the double disability of my initial pay in the I.E.S. not being fixed according to my age, and of the pause at Rs600/- being enforced even after 20 years of service and when I have to retire before I reach three-fourths of the maximum pay of the ordinary grade.

5. In view of these circumstances, I request that the Government be pleased to consider my case sympathetically and order that the pause at Rs600/- be removed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) K.R. Ramaswami Aiyangar

True copy.

K.R. Ramaswami Aiyangar
9. 2. 25



Law(Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

192

Subject.

A. to 896 A
9/3/25

M.R.Ry A.Chakravarti Avergal-

Exemption from the pause at Rs.600/- in the Indian

Educational Service scale- Petition submitted-.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

N.R.C. No. 401 C/25

Spare copies

Station Madras

Dated the 6- 3- 1925

192

From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education)

Department.

Six

Endorsement of the Principal, Presidency College,
Madras R.C.No.128/25, dated 27- 2- 25 submitting
application of M.R.Ry A.Chakravarti Avergal dated
26- 2- 25 requesting exemption from the pause at
Rs.600/- in the Indian Educational Service scale.

**

Submitted to Government, Law(Education)

Department.

2. Attention is invited to the Director's letter

R.C.No.576 C/24, dated 29- 2- 24 and to the orders

of Government thereon, in G.O.No.713 Mis Law(Education)

dated

Put up in order
File (Mr. Abdul
Rahim Sahib, Mr. Rama
Rao & Mr. Ramaswami ayyangar)


713
8-5-24

Printed & Co.

Printed & Co.

8- 5- 24.

3. With reference to para 3 of the petition, it is submitted, that M.R.Ry A.Chakravarti Avergal will in the ordinary course and without any exemption from the pause at Rs.600 reach the maximum, Rs.1250, of the scale of pay of the Indian Educational Service on 1- 9- 1937, i.e., about a year before his retirement. Mr.Chakravarti has already passed - in the years 1923²³ and 1923²⁴ the pause, from the operation of which he now seeks exemption.


for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 6/3/25
V

The Presidency College, Madras,
26th February 1925.

From
M. R. Ry. A. Chakravarti,

Professor of Philosophy,

To

The Minister of Education,

Government of Madras,

through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras

Through

the Principal, Presidency College, Madras.


Sir,

1. I beg to request that you will be good enough to cancel the bar at Rs.600/- in my service for the following reasons. I was promoted to the Indian Educational Service cadre after 15 years of service in the Educational Department, in the year 1920. I was nearing 40 when I had the promotion; and I had a place pretty high in the Provincial service.


2. The time bar at Rs.600/- is mainly intended for young men who are directly recruited into the Indian Educational Service and is not intended to be applied in a case like mine. Further after the reorganization of services a direct recruit is governed by a favourable rule that he is to get a place high in the grade corresponding to his age. Those promoted from the Madras Educational Service had not the benefit of this rule either. The mere fact that I was in service pretty long before promotion adversely affects me in two ways - firstly being denied the privilege of this rule about recruitment and secondly in having to submit to a rule of pause at Rs.600/- not certainly intended for us.

3. Under these circumstances I request that the pause may be excused in my case so that I may have the chance of raising to the maximum ^{salary} at least about the time of retiring from service.

I beg to remain, sir,
Your most obedient servant,


A. Chakravarti

Re 128/25
27.2.25





[No. 1879 A] 16

Law (Education) Department

Memo

1879 A-1 1756-25

The Govt. have decided to -
exempt the undermentioned officers
from the pause at the stage of -
Rs. 600 in the time-scale of pay ^{sanctioned}
for the officers of the I.E.S.; -

- (1) M.R. Ry. K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar Avl
- (2) " A. Rama Rao Avargal
- (3) Khan Bahadur Abdur Rahim Sahib Bahadur.

2 M.R. Ry. A. Chakravarthi Avl will
also be exempted from the pause, but
the exemption will not have retrospec-
-tive effect. He will be allowed to
draw pay at the rate of Rs. 750 p.m.
instead of at Rs. 700 p.m. from the
1st September 1925.

3 The D.P.I. ^{is requested to} ~~submit~~ ^{submit} the
Acct. ^{of} a re-appropriation statement
to meet the extra expenditure in the
current offl. year.

The D.P.I.
DESPATCHED
6 JUN 1925

Remind after 3 weeks.

CR

MS
14/5/25

CR
14/5/25

VTR
16.5

22/5/25
20 c p

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

192

Subject.

Exemption of certain officers from the pause at the stage of
Rs.600/- in the Indian Educational Service scale - Proposals
for meeting the extra expenditure - submitted.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C.No.261-C/25.

Station Madras, Date the 15th June, 1925.From (Name) R.G.GRIEVE, Esq., M.A., F.R.G.S.(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

THRO' LAW (EDUCATION) Department.
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS.
Sir.

With reference to Government Memo No.1879 A-1
dated 5-6-1925, I have the honour to state that no re-
appropriation is necessary as the additional amount of
Rs.2,400/- required during the current year on account of
the proposed exemption from the pause of the officers
concerned can be met from savings anticipated under the
respective budget heads to which the salaries of the
officers concerned are debitable.

(P.T.O)

2. It is presumed that M.R.Ry., A.Chakravarti Avargal will continue to draw Rs.650/- till 1-9-1925.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Rama Ray

for Director of Public Instruction.

M.R.P.16/6.

16/17/6

No. G.A.67-965.

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS.

Port St. George, 26th June 1925.

Enclosures.

2 sheets

FORWARDED.

Mr.A.Chakravarti will continue to draw Rs.650 Per men sem till 1 st September 1925.

Asstt. Accountant-General.

gurd

To

The Secretary to Government

Law Education Department.

(law/30)

Submitted this may lie over till the connects file, which is linked with the bill dealing with a similar request from Mr. C.S. Anantapadmanabha Rao, becomes available.

Please

617

(C.No.140-A) From the D.P.I No.225 dated 14-

Subject:-Exemption of Khan Bahadur Abdur Rah
Sahib Bahadur from the pause at Rs
in the time-scale for the I.E.S.

The D.P.I ~~once~~ again recommends the ex-
emption from the pause at Rs.600 of Mr.Abdur Rahim
Adil.Dy.Director of Public Instruction. Atte-
sion is solicited to paragraph 2 of D.P.I's letter
No.576 dated the 29th February 1924 on p.10
G.O.No.713 dated the 8th May 1924, and to the
notes to G.O.No.1686 dated the 1st December
and G.O.No.713 dated 8th May 1924.

2.In the previous discussions ^{on the subject} the main
point for the grant of power to the Local Govern-
ment by the Secretary of State to abolish the pause
at Rs.600 has been somewhat obscured. While,
prior to the re-organisation of the I.E.S.,
officers promoted from ^{the} I.E.S to the I.E.S were
given an ~~amk~~ increase of Rs.100 ^{per} to their pay,
officers promoted subsequent to 1st December
were given only an increase of Rs.50 ^{to their pay} p.m.
In view of this hardship ^{the} the Secretary of
State empowered the Local Govt to abolish the
pause at Rs.600 in the case of all officers
of Indian domicile promoted from the ~~above~~ ^{M.E.S}
to the I.E.S, after the reorganisation effect-
ive on 1st December 1919. The letter from the Govt
of India printed in G.O.No.1175, dated 18th
August 1923 does not lay down that the con-
cession in question should be granted only in
exceptional circumstances. ^{as printed and}

40.1175

18-8-23

(D)

para. 2 of ~~above~~
letter no 40.1175

18-8-23

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

(D)

In his letter No. 576, dated the 29th February the D.P.I recommended the exemption from ~~the~~ at Rs. 600, of all the ^{sen} officers mentioned in. The Govt. ~~has~~, however, were averse from giving a general exemption in the case of all officers, and the D.P.I was informed that the proposed concession should be limited to cases in which there are special grounds to justify ^{it} ~~the~~. The D.P.I has adduced special reasons for grant of the concession in the case of Mr. Abdur and his recommendation may be accepted with concurrence of Finance.

CR
21.1.25

Put up a tabular statement comparing ^{here} his case with that of the other officers whose case the concession was refused — ^{showing the} Age, total service educational qualifications, age, total service etc

V.T.B.
22.1

A tabular statement is submitted — vide Hq. ^②. Please see the D.P.I's letter on p. 10 of G.O. 713 of 8.5.24.

CR
27.1.25

Let D.P.I give special reasons for the concession proposed. I recommend the concession in this case

V.T.B.
28.1

Finance u.o

14.9.11 4.50.713

P-5-24

(I)

A

(I)

File 3322 E.T.



Law Edu uo - 140A.1/25
29.1.25

The basic pay of the Indian Educational Service is Rs 400-50-600-600-50-1000-1000-50-1250 with 2 selection grades on Rs 1250-50-1500 and Rs 1550-100-1750. The ordinary scale provides for 2 pauses at Rs 600 and Rs 1000. The Secretary of State has granted power to the Local Governments to abolish, ~~the pause~~ when they consider this to be necessary, the pause at Rs 600 in the case of all officers of Indian domicile promoted from the Provincial to the I.E.S., after the reorganisation effected on 1-12-1919--vide top of p. 2 of G.O. 1175, Law (Education), dated 18-8-23.

2. The D.P.I. was asked to put up cases that required special treatment in accordance with the instructions of the Secretary of State. The D.P.I. and the Law Department proposed that all officers of the M.E.S. who were promoted to the I.E.S. and who were still in service should be exempted from the pause at Rs 600. But the Government did not agree to the grant of such a general exemption for all promoted officers and the D.P.I. was asked in para 3 of G.O. 1686, Law (Education), dated 1-12-1923 to make out a special case for each officer in whose case he considered there were exceptional reasons for the exemption.

3. With reference to the above, the D.P.I. recommended the case of Mr. Abdur Rahim along with other officers for exemption from the pause at Rs 600 --vide para 2 of his letter at p. 10 of G.O. 713, Education, dated 8-5-24. But the Government held that there was no special reason in any of these cases--please see M.2's note on pp. 14-15 of the above G.O. and para 2 of the Order *ibid*.

23
It does not appear that the reasons adduced by the D.P.I. in his letter on pp. 1-2 G.F. are sufficient to warrant the exemption of Mr. Abdur Rahim from the pause. It may be pointed out that if Mr. Abdur Rahim had remained in the M.E.S. till his 55th year, he would have got only Rs800 against Rs1150 he would draw by his promotion to the I.E.S. He has thus already derived substantial benefit by his promotion to the I.E.S.

5. If the pause at Rs600 is abolished, he will get Rs1200 at the time of his retirement. The total extra cost of granting the concession will be Rs7,125. But there will be no immediate extra cost in the current year as he will be eligible for the increment only in September 1925 if the pause is abolished.

6. It is for consideration whether the Law Department may be requested to negative the proposal.

vuc
3.2.25

And

4/2

Law (Edn) Dept

Law.

For orders as to circulation.

2.

With ref. to para. 3 of the note

Fin no: 3322 E.T-1

4-2-25

above, it is submitted that it cannot be argued that none of the cases turned down by Govt. on the previous occasion should be put up again for special consideration.


The cases of all the officers affected by the pause were then sent to Govt. by the D.P.I. as being fit for the concession. The Govt. declined to grant any such wholesale concession. It is clear from the wording

that if any "reasons special to each

L. 94724

in/po 713

9/5/24



①
p. 100 p. 713
9/5/24

case" were put up, the case will be considered on its merits. Otherwise it will effectively stop any representations on behalf of any of the existing incumbents; and the former points to local Govt. will be a dead letter.

② I may be noted that even in making the wholesale recommendation in respect of all the officers, the D.P.I. made special mention of Mr. Abdur Rahim and that as having done "exceptionally good work." Therefore eligible for the concession.

The D.P.I. again reiterates the ~~same~~ opinion.

③ In regard to para. 4 of Finance note it is noted that it is not of much avail to point out that if Mr. Abdur Rahim had not been promoted to the I.E.S. he would have stopped at the end of his career at Rs 800. The concession granted by the Govt. of India is only in respect of persons promoted from the M.E.S. to I.E.S.

④ Even according to the wording of para. 5 of the Finance Department, Mr. Abdur Rahim cannot reach the maximum pay of the I.E.S. at the time of his retirement, if the pause is not removed. This itself is a reason

for granting the concession recommended by the D.P.D.

6. It is therefore submitted that Mr. Abdul Rahim may be exempted from the clause.

6.2.25
5

1.2

M₂
C₃
H.E.

The case of Abdul Rahim is not an outlandish one - D.P.D. evidently formed an opinion of the officer without sufficient data. The petition of the officer does not show that he did more than what an officer is expected to do and hence for that he was rewarded with 'Kham Bohon'. The list in "P" will show the large number of officers that are entitled to the concession. Five of them are Senior to this officer and are equally if not better qualified.

1. D.P.D. had this officer in his mind for so, possibly four years. As he says (the officer) that he is doing work difficult for him ^{he} may be transferred immediately to D.P.D.
2. I knew the officer's work and am

unable to consider it ¹⁹retarding in the grounds stated in the petition he received favorable treatment already.

There is no justification to prefer him against those in the list "P". All of these possess outstanding merits of their own in their sphere of work, though by doing so not a ground for exemption. If all of them are to be exempted I am willing to reconsider the last order.

Otherwise if this exemption be made it will lead to grave discontent among the same class of officers.

2/2/25

Reli
2/2/25

I must point out to my hon^r colleague that in his previous order (see page 2) he informed the D.P.D. that the proposed concession should be limited to cases in which there are special grounds on which to justify the grant. In reply the D.P.D. wrote previously a case which he can justify on 4 years personal knowledge. Now the Minister says there is no justification to prefer him against those in the list, which was previously submitted for the ^{most hon^r colleague} consideration. I have not yet been supplied with any

27

Arguments to be made in to
proceed with the application
for the exemption
10.11.25



Submitted
V.T.
12-2

m2

I explained the case to H.E. from the
point of view of the M's. S.
Please put up "V" signed to
in H. E's note before.

Need 3/3

His Excellency's note refers to the portion
marked 'A' in blue chalk on page 2 under
the which is based on the orders issued in
G.O. 713 of 8-5-24

(I)

R
2.13.25

M
21/3/25



V.T.
5/3

m2

Please put up all the cases
received up to date for S.P.9
which stand on a par with this
case.

10/3/25

Please see linked file in which the
S.P.9 recommends the exemption
Messrs. Arunachal & K. Ramaswami Ayyangar
S.M.S. 11/3/25 (S.D.) C.R. 10-3-25 (S.D.) V.T. 11-3-25

28

Law (Education)

[C no. 560-A] From the D.P.9. D. N.O.C
no. 53. of 18 of 7.2.25

Subject: Memorial of Mr. R. S. Ram
Nas Arunachal. Dt. Educational officer,
Kistna. Exemption from the
pause at Rs 600/-

All the papers relating to
exemption from the pause at
Rs 600/- are put up in the case
relating to a similar memo-
rial from Muhammad Akbar
Rahim, Deputy Director of
Public Instruction. Submitted
this current may therefore be
one till the papers referred
to are available.

(I)

Sis
13/4/25


The memorial may be submitted to the
for perusal.

M
13/4/25

R
13.2.25

V.T.
14.2

m2



See. This is a better case than
that of Akbar Rahim - the file
containing previous paper may be
put up along with this -

17/2/25

The case relating to Mr. Abdul Rahim is still with Mr. Khim and his linked case will be submitted on return of the case referred to.

Relating to Mr. K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar.

20/2/25
23/2/25
24

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.

Handwritten notes, mostly illegible.



30

Law (Education)

[Cor. but A.] From the D.P.S., D.O.C. 19

No. 261-C/25 D/11. 2. 25

Subject: Exemption from payment of Rs 600/- in the case of K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar.

All the relevant papers on this question are put up in the case relating to a similar request from Muhammad Abdul Rahim. The case is now with Mr. Khim. It may be sent to Mr. Khim for perusal.


21/2/25
17/2/25
17/1/25

21/2/25
17/2/25
17/1/25

M2

Please put up the Ramaswami Ayyangar case also along with this.

19/2/25



The D.P.S. recommends that both Messrs A. Rama Das & K.R. Ramaswami Ayyangar may be exempted from the payment.

Messrs A. Rama Das is now 47 years of age and is in receipt of a salary of Rs 600/-, which stage he reached on 1.9.23. He will not be able to reach the

The case relating to Mr. Abdul Rahim is still with Mr. Khim and his linked case will be submitted on return of the case referred to.

Relating to Mr. K.R. Ramabwami Ayyangar.

8/25
20/2/25
NH
28/2/25


30 #
Law (Education)
[Cor. but A] From the D.P.D., Bangalore
No. 261-C/25 D/11.2.25

Subj: Exemption from pension at Rs 600/- - Mr. K.R. Ramabwami Ayyangar

All the relevant papers on this question are put up in the case relating to a similar request from Muhammad Abdul Rahim. The case is now with Mr. Subramanian who may be sent to Mr. for perusal.

8/25
17.2.25
NH
17/2/25

Please put up the Ramabwami's file also along with this.
8/25



The S.P.D. recommends that both Messrs A. Rama Rao & K.R. Ramabwami Ayyangar may be exempted from the pension. Mr. A. Rama Rao is now 47 years of age and is in receipt of a salary of Rs 600/-, which stage he reached in 1.9.23. He will not be able to reach the

31/12

maximum pay before his retirement.

M. K. R. K. Ramaswami Ayyangar
is now 49 years of age and
is now in receipt of a pay of Rs 600,
which stage he reached on 1.9.23
He will not, in the ordinary course,
be able to reach the maximum
pay in the I.E.S. before his retire-
ment.

In order

File
11.3.25
MH
14.3.25

13.3.25

VTK
14.3.

M2

H.E.

28/3



32

13

File
11.3.25
MH
12.3.25

13.3.25


VTK
14.3.

M2

I.P. I recommend the cases of
Messrs Rama Rao & Ramaswami
Aiyar etc.

The three cases as recommended
may be approved - limited file.

28/3



May be circulated to C2 & H.E.

NH
31/3

1.4.25

VTK
1.4

C2

H.E.

The recommendation in the case
of Mr. Abdul Rahim is made
on the score of "good work".


I do not think it was ever
intended that the pause should
be remitted on this ground; it
was to meet cases of individual
hardship due to the conditions
of promotion to the I.E.S. from
the M.E.S.; & there is no hardship.



in his case. He has on the other hand already benefited considerably by his promotion to the J.E.S.

The cases of Messrs Rama Rao & Ramaswami Ayyappa come under the scope of the idea underlying the decision. I would not strongly oppose the grant of it to them.

RSE
3/4/25




Mr. Abdul Rehman cannot reach the maximum pay at the time of his retirement unless the pause is removed. This would seem to be contrary to the intention of the Order. Under these circumstances it would seem fair that the pause should be removed in the case of all three. Perhaps my hon^{ble} Colleague would consider.

G.
16. IV. 25.

Submitted to C2. Please see statement Flagged (J)

VTH
18.4.

I do not think it was ever intended that every officer should



reach the maximum pay, & am not convinced by the argument.

I am willing however to acquiesce in this case if it is the wish of H.E. & my Hon Colleague

RSE
23/4/25

Submitted

G.
26/4/25
VTH
30.4

m2

H.E.

I had another case similar to these, the case of Chakravarti Nairan, professor of Philosophy in the Presidency College and there is no difference between that case and those of Messrs Ramaswami Ayyappa. In the case of Abdul Rehman I have had my doubts as respects the notes of Mr. Lybham, Lamb and did not agree with S.D. as a case of extraordinary merit & coming under the rule. As his case was one of the ten originally recommended he should also receive the exemption and others.

There remains only the case of Mr. Chakravarti Nairan - 21/5.25

35 #

M

(ad) Education)

[C no. 896 A] From the S.D.O., N.C.

no. 401 of 15 D. 6. 2. 25

Subject: Mrs. A. Chakravarti
awarded - Exemption from the pause
at Rs 600 p.m. in the P.E.S. time scale

The S.D.O. does not ^{apparently} ~~now~~ recommend the case of Mrs. Chakravarti for exemption from the pause at Rs 600. Mrs. Chakravarti is now in receipt of a pay of Rs 650 p.m. ^{she has} ~~and has~~ ^{there} already passed the stage of pause at Rs 600 p.m. in the years 1922-23 & 1923-24. The exemption, if granted, will have retrospective effect. Unlike other officers whose cases are under disposal separately, Mrs. Chakravarti ^(Rs 1250) ~~will reach the~~ maximum in the time scale of the P.E.S. before his retirement. As there are no special reasons for the grant of the concession ^{it is for order whether} the request of Mrs. Chakravarti ^{delivered} may be ~~granted~~ ^{granted}. ~~the request~~ ^{the request} ~~is for order whether~~

Pris
12.3.25
NH
14/3

Al
17/3/25

26, 17/4

I want to speak to D.P. I about
this case.
It won't hurt if he reminds him
a bit.

27/4/25



C.I.B.
D.O. to issue today.

The D.P.I. may be informed of this. The
following D.O. may go to him.

896 A-1 9. 3.4.25

Dear Mr. Fieve

The Hon'ble the Minister
desires to discuss with you ^{the question of}
Chakravarti's ~~claim~~ for exemption
from the pause at Rs 600/-
in the J.E.S. time scale. ~~I am to~~
~~request you to arrange to~~
~~meet him at the Secretary's~~
~~buildings, Fort St. George, during~~
~~office hours.~~

Yours Sincerely

2/4

~~After issue of the above D.O. the~~
~~file may be circulated to M2~~

Sd/-
14-25

M
21/4/25

this case relates to the
question of the exemption of Mr.

37 17

25

Chakravarti from the pause at Rs. 600
in the J.E.S. time scale. The Hon'ble
Minister desires to discuss the case
with the D.P.I. and this has been
intimated to the Director.

p.2 ante

Law En no 896 A-2
24-4-25

the case may be submitted

to M2

M2 + D.P.I. discussed this.



21.4.25

Will the D.P.I. kindly give his
views on the request made by Mr.
Chakravarti?

V.T.K.
23.4

D.P. 1 u.o



U.O. Reply No. 401C/25
30-4-25

The specific request made by Mr. Chakravarti
in his letter dated 26th February 1925, para 3,
is that the pause may be excused in his case so
that he may have the chance of rising to the
maximum salary at least at the time of retiring
from service. In Para 3 of my letter N.R.C.No.401-C
of 1925 dated 6-3-1925 it was pointed out that
Mr. Chakravarti would in the ordinary course and
without any exemption from the pause at Rs. 600
reach the maximum to which he refers about a year
before his retirement. In other words it is
unnecessary to grant the exemption in his case
to meet the prayer that he makes in his letter
of the 26th February 1925. Moreover, in his case
it would be giving a concession with retrospec-
tive effect and it is for consideration of the
Hon. the Minister whether such a concession would
be sound

on 3/4 17/4/25
R.G. Fieve Esq. M.
offg. D.P.I.
DESPATCHED
3 APR 1925
Sd/-

Discussed with S.B.S.
The following is agreed -


- Men
1. A. Ramaswami
 2. Abdul Rahim
 3. Ramaswami Ayyappa
 4. Chakravathi Nair

On merits the case of Mr. Ramaswami Ayyappa & Nair is together.

It is agreed that the Commission prayed for removal of her in the four cases may be sanctioned. But in the case of Mr. Chakravathi Nair the removal of her shall not have retrospective effect but to take effect from the future from the next increment as he already crossed the bar.

The principle is the bar does not interfere & apply to the case of Officers of this class.

On merits, the case of Mr. Ramaswami Ayyappa & Nair is together.



6/5/25

Agree.

7-5-25



Of the four Officers mentioned above Mr. Abdul Rahim will be subjected to the pause only from 1.9.25 and if the pause is removed he will draw pay at

Rs 650/- from 1.9.25. The extra cost

During 1925-26 will be Rs 300

In the case of Messrs Rama Rao &

Rama Swami Ayyangar they are

now in the ^{subjected to} ~~stage of~~ ^{which} ~~pause~~ ^{will}

end on 31.8.1925. If the pause is

removed in their cases, they will

^{draw pay at} ~~be~~ ^{drawn} ~~at~~ ^{Rs 650} (instead of ^{from 1.9.25} ~~Rs 600/-~~ ^{upto 1924 and attache a} ~~Rs 600/-~~ ^{pay of Rs 700 from on 1.9.25} ~~upto 1925~~ ^{the extra cost} ~~will be Rs 1800.~~

will be Rs 1800.

In the case of Mr. A. Chakravarthi The

order is to have effect only from

the date of the next increment i.e.

on 1.9.25 when he will draw

Rs 700 instead of Rs 600. The extra

cost in 1925-26 on his account

would be Rs 300.

The total extra cost is Rs 2400 and

the D.P. may be ^{called to pay} ~~exposed~~ ^{to}

~~reappropriation statement to meet~~

~~the expenditure involved. Draft on submitted~~

~~for approval. It may be sent to the Finance~~

~~Dept. for acceptance.~~

~~Sent to Finance for acceptance.~~

Sis
12/5/25
14/5/25

V.T.
16.5

Fin U.O

Law Edu no 8964.3

18.5.25

Fin 19126 ET

18/5/25



p14 and

p15 and

p21 and

KRC.

Fin no. 19126 ET-1

27.5.25.

(Put up papers removed for Mr. Chakravarthi Rao's case)



L. E. 1879A

27/5/25

26305

27 JUL 1925

U. JUL 1925

Law Edu no 879A-2

27.5.25

Fin U.O

18/5/25

42

Finance (H.F.)

(Previous Finance no. on pp 3-4 and)

Please see the notes of the Hon'ble Mr. Graham on pp. 13-15 ante. He had no objection to the removal of the pause at Rs. 600 in the case of Messrs. Rama Rao and Ramaswami Ayyangar. In regard to Mr. Abdur Rahim, however, he was not convinced that there was a case for the concession, but he stated that he would be willing to acquiesce in this case if it was the wish of H.E. and M.E. M.E. and H.E. have decided to exempt from the pause all the three officers referred to above and also Mr. Chakravarthi Rayanar (whose case is similar to that of Mr. Ramaswami Ayyangar).

The draft may be accepted in Finance.

15.5.25

Law (Ed.)

May issue.

Issued as memo. no. 1879 A-18 5.6.25 to the D.P.

[C 2250 A] From the D.P., R.C. no. 261-c/p 15 of 15.6.25.

The D.P. says that no reappropriation is necessary to meet the extra expenditure during the current year. A draft order exempting the officers from the pause is submitted. It may be sent to Finance for perusal before issue.

24.7.25 V.T. 24.7

2. Mr. A. Chakravarty will also be exempted from the pause but no increase

45

if pay will be allowed for
 the period from 1.9.23 to
 31.8.1924. He will be per-
 mitted to draw pay at ^{the rate of Rs} Rs 750
 a month from the 1st Septem-
 ber 1923, instead of at the
 rate of Rs 700 p. a month.

3. The extra expenditure during
 the current year will be met
 from ^{the} savings anticipated
 under the respective heads
 of account in the C.B.A. for
 1925-26 to which the pay
 of the officers concerned are
 debitable.

8/18
 24/7/25
 23/7/25
 V.F.
 24.7.

DESPATCHED
 34/10/25
 MS

The D.P.G
 The A.G. through the Finance
 Dept
 The Finance Dept.

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. . DATED
 192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.