

Law (1925) Department.
Education
Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1240

DATED 17/7/25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment

Two teachers in the school assistants cadre - Excess pay - waived. -

Fixing of pay - orders passed

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

Law(Education)

DEPARTMENT.

L. 1267B
14/5/25

Received.....192 . Registered.....192 . *Ca*

Subject.

Requesting the sanction of Government to allow the pay fixed for certain officers in class III of the School Assistants cadre to stand and if this is not permissible to waive the excess drawn by them.

ENCLOSURES.

No.....

Spare copies



R. C. No. 1853 C/24

Station *Madras* , Dated the *7-5-25* 192

From (Name) *R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,*

(Designation) *Ag. Director of Public Instruction*
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education)

Department.

Sir,

Saiyyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib was appointed as subprotem 2nd Assistant, Government Madrasa-1-Azam in the old scale of Rs.85- 4- 125 on 20-12-19. he continued to hold that ~~the~~ post till 10-7-22 when he was deputed for L.T training at the Teachers' College, Saidapet. On the completion of his training course he was appointed to act as Assistant in the Madrasa-1-Azam. He held this post from 29- 3- 23 to 26-8-23 when he was transferred as Temporary Assistant on Rs.125 plus 25 in the Government Muhammadan High School, Vellore. He joined the last post on 3-9-23 having been in transit from 27- 8- 23 to 2-9-23. While he was holding this temporary post he was

was confirmed on 24-10-23 as Assistant Madrasa-I-Azam in class III of the School Assistants cadre without prejudice to his temporary appointment. The Accountant General was asked to state whether Saiyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib's pay in his permanent appointment could be fixed at Rs.100 plus 50 Personal allowance

in class III of the School Assistants cadre under Fundamental Rule 22 as he was holding a temporary post on Rs.150 substantively at the time of his confirmation. As the Accountant General stated that there was no objection the teacher's pay was so fixed. Copies of my letters to the Accountant General R.C.No. 2819 C/23 dated 11-12-23 and the Accountant Generals reply No.Edn.1-508 dated 22-1-24 are herein enclosed. The temporary post held by the teacher in the Government Muhammadan High School, Vellore, was made permanent and included in the regular school Assistants cadre with effect from 1-7-24 vide G.O.No. 214 Mis Law(Education) dated 15-2-24. The teacher has therefore been drawing pay at Rs.100 plus 50 Personal Allowance from 1-7-24 onwards. The Accountant General has now stated that the teacher

can be allowed to draw pay at Rs.100 per mensem only from 1-3-22 to 2-9-23 excluding the period of his training and that in accordance with the Auditor General's instructions communicated in G.O.No. 91 Law(Education) dated 23-1-25 Sayyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib's pay on 24-10-23 could not be fixed at Rs.100 plus 50 Personal allowance. He also says that the excess pay drawn by the teacher from 1-3-22 to 9-7-22 and from 2-10-24 the date of the Auditor General's letter should be recovered vide Accountant General's letter No.Education 1-30 dated 15-4-25 (copy enclosed).

2. Sayyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib was granted the first increment in the old scale of Rs.85-4-125 attached to the post from 20-12-20 and he was drawing Rs.89 plus 22½ temporary addition from 20-12-20 to 9-7-22 in the old scale. As the pay of this post was revised only in G.O.No.1063 Law(Education) dated 2-8-23 and as the revised scales of pay for the School Assistants cadre 65-7-100 (subsequently revised to Rs.75-5-100), 100-10-150-150-10-250 was announced only on 6-10-22 vide Government Memorandum No.1969 A-3 Law(Education) dated 6-10-22 the teacher continued to draw pay on the old scale up to 9-7-22 the date of his deputation for training. If the old scale of pay for School Assistants announced in G.O. No.215 Financial dated 4-3-21 had been given effect to the teachers pay in that scale on 1-3-21 would have been Rs.110, the stage next above the lowest rate of salary drawn by him during the test period and Rs.115 on 1-3-22. But he has drawn pay at Rs.111-4-0 per mensem. I have therefore the honour to request that the excess drawn by the teacher from 1-3-22 to 9-7-22 may be waived under para 2 of G.O.No.647 Finance dated 6-9-1924.

3. As regards the pay drawn by the teacher from 2-10-24 onwards it was drawn at Rs.100 plus 50 personal allowance as it was reported by the Accountant General to be admissible. The following officers were also given Personal allowance when fixing their pay in class III of the School Assistants cadre.

- 1) Sheriff Nuruddin Sahib
- 2) Muhammad Rahmatullah Khan Sahib
- 3) M.R.By P.V.Ramachandra Acharya

The first two persons are still continuing in the temporary posts held by them and their pay

pay will not be affected so long as they continue in these temporary posts. The pay of M.R.Ry P.V. Ramachandra Acharya was also fixed at Rs.100 plus 25 Personal allowance after consulting the Accountant General vide Accountant Generals letter No.Education 1-79 dated 1-10-23(copy enclosed). He has accordingly been drawing pay at Rs.100 plus 25 personal allowance up to date. As the pay of these officers was fixed in consultation with the Accountant General, I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to allow the pay already fixed for these officers to stand or if this is not permissible to waive the excess pay drawn by Sayyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib and M.R.Ry P.V.Ramachandra Acharya at Rs.50 per mensem and Rs.25 per mensem respectively from 2-10-24 to 30-4-25, as it will be hard to call on them to refund the same. Instructions are being issued to these two officers to draw pay at Rs.100 per mensem only until further orders.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Ray
9/5
for Director of Public Instruction

9/5
A.R. 8/5/25

Copy of letter R.C.No.2819 C/23 dated 11-12-23 from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras to the Accountant General, Madras.

" I have the honour to state that the undermentioned officers who were holding the temporary posts shown against their names substantively have been confirmed in the posts shown against their names without prejudice to their temporary appointments. I request that you will be so good as to inform me if I am right in presuming that their pay in their permanent appointment will be as noted against them under rule 22 of the Fundamental Rule.

Name of officer.	Temporary post held by them.	Post in which he is confirmed.	Pay fixed in his substantive post (in the scale of
1. Muhammad Kutbuddin Sahib.	Temporary Assistant Lecturer, Govt. Muhammadan College. Pay Rs.125 plus 25.	Dy. Inspector of schools, Ramnad Muhammadan Range.	Rs.150 in the 1st grade of Dy. Inspectors of schools.
2. Sheriff Nuruddin	Temporary Headmaster, Government Muhammadan Secondary School, Guntur Pay Rs.100 plus 25.	1st Asst. H.E.T.S. for Muhammadans, Madras.	Rs.100 plus 25 P.A. in the scale of Rs.75-5-100 in class III of School Assistants cadre.
3. Saiyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib.	Ty. Asst. Govt. Muhammadan High school, Vellore Pay Rs.125 plus 25	Asst. Govt. Madrasa-I-Azam.	Rs.100 plus 50 P.A. in the scale Rs.75-5-100 in class III of School Assistants cadre.
4. Muhammad Rahimathulla Khan Sahib.	Temporary Headmaster, Govt. Islamiah Secondary School Trichinopoly Pay Rs.100 plus 25.	-do-	Rs.100 plus 25 P.A. in the scale of 75-5-100 in class III of School Assistants cadre.

Copy of letter No.Edn-1-508 dated 22nd January 24

from the Accountant General, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

"With reference to your letter R.O.C.No.2819 C/23 dated the 11th December 1923 enquiring whether the pay fixed for Messrs Md.Kutbuddin Sahib, Sheriff Nuruddin, Saiyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib and Md.Rahmatullah Khan Sahib at Rs.150/-, 100 plus 25 personal allowance, 100 plus 50 personal allowance, and 100 plus 25 personal allowance respectively is in order, I have the honour to reply in the affirmative."

Copy of letter No.Edn- 1- 30 dated 15th April 1925 from the Accountant General, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

With reference to your letter R.O.C.No.1853 C/24 dated 13- 3- 25, I have the honour to state that the pay of Saiyid Abdul Khyoom Sahib for the period during which he officiated as School Assistant should be fixed in the time scale with reference to G.O.No. 110 Finance dated 2- 2- 22 as he did not hold any substantive appointment prior to 24-10-23. The teacher may, therefore, be allowed at your discretion to draw pay at Rs.100 from 1- 3- 22 to 2-9-23 excluding the period of training, in the scale 75-5-100 in which he officiated.

2. Information as to the actual rate of pay drawn by him from 29- 3- 23 may be furnished.

Arrangements may kindly be made for the recovery of excess pay drawn at Rs.111 per mensem (Rs.1114 minus 100) from 1- 3- 22 to 9-7-22 together with the excess amount, if any, drawn from 29-3-23 to 2-9-23.

3. As regards the substantive pay of the teacher on his confirmation on 24- 10- 23 as School Assistant in the scale 75- 5-100 , I would invite your attention to G.O.No.91 Law(Education) dated 23- 1- 25 communicating a copy of Auditor General's letter No.709 C/87-24 dated 2- 10- 24 and state that as Government declined to grant personal allowance in the case of Mr. K.L. Narayana, the pay on 24- 10- 23 of Sayid Abdul Khyoom Sahib could not be fixed at Rs.100 plus 50 personal pay. It should be fixed at Rs.100/- . The sanction of Government is necessary if his initial pay is to be fixed at Rs.100 plus 50 personal allowance. The excess pay drawn for the teacher from 2- 10- 24, the date of the Auditor General's letter to date should be recovered.

would
4. I/request you to furnish this office with a list of cases in which the initial pay already fixed has to be revised in the light of the above mentioned order.

5. The favour of an early compliance is requested.

Copy of letter No.Edn-1-79 dated 1st October 23 from the Accountant General, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

With reference to your letter No.R.O.C.717 C/23 dated the 21st August 1923, I have the honour to state as follows:-

1. Mr. P.B. Ramesh Chandra Acharya held the temporary appointment substantively, there is no audit objection to his initial pay being fixed at Rs.100/- plus personal pay Rs.25/- from 20- 2- 22.

2. Messrs Srikanta Seethapathi and P. K.Koru

The cases of these two men fall under para 4 of this office letter No. Edn 1-547 dated the 25th May 23 and they can draw pay at Rs. 95/- per mensem from 1-8-22, and their next increment from 1-8-23.

(True copies)

P Rama Ray

for Director of Public Instruction

Law (Edn) Deptt.
C. No. 1267 B. From the D.P.I.
R.C. No. 1853C/24 D 7.5.25.
Subject. Pay of certain officers
in class III of the school
assistant Cadre and
waiver of excess pay drawn
by them.

A.S.

In the circumstances explained by the D.P.I. in paragraph 2 of his letter the excess pay drawn by Mr. ~~Abdul~~ Saifyid Abdul Khayoom Sahib at Rs. 11/4 a month from 1-3-1922 to 9-7-1922 may be waived under ~~rule~~ paragraph 2 of fo. No. 647 Fin D 6.9.24.

2. As regard the pay drawn by Messrs. Abdul Khayoom Sahib and P.V. Ramachandra Acharya at Rs. 100 plus personal allowances of Rs. 50 and 25 from 24.10.23 and 20.2-1922 respectively, attention is solicited to the Auditor-General's ruling on page 6 of fo. No. 91 Law (Edn) D 23.1.25. As the personal pay of Rs. 50 and 25 granted to these

these officers will not come under rule 9(23)(b) of the Fundamental Rules they would be entitled to a pay of Rs. 100 only being the maximum of the scale on their dates of their permanent appointments.

The A.G. has stated that the excess pay drawn by the teachers from 2-10-24, the date of the Auditor-General's letter should be recovered.

The D.P.I. requests that the pay already fixed for these officers may be allowed to stand and if this is inadmissible to waive ^{also} the excess drawn by Abdul Khyoom Sahib and Ramachandra Acharya at Rs. 50 and Rs. 25 respectively per mensem from 2.10.24 to 30.4.25.

It is submitted that the D.P.I.'s recommendation may be accepted in this defect and the recovery of the excess waived. The Director may, however, be asked to fix their pay at Rs. 100 from 1.5.25.

Finance Dept may be consulted.


CPL
5.6.25
KRW
6.6.25

8/6/25

V.K.

10.6.

Finance



21605
Land Encl. no. 1267 B-1
10/6/25

Finance (con)

Particulars of Service and Pay ~~drawn~~
Given in the C.F. in regard to Abdul
Khyoom Sahib ^{seen to be somewhat} as follows:-

Period	App. hls	Pay	Remarks
20-12-19 to 9-7-22	Sub. postm 2nd asst.	Rs 89 + 22 1/4 p.m. 20-12-20 to 9-7-22 in the scale of 65-4-125	
9-7-22 to 29-3-23	Under L.T. Training	"	
29-3-23 to 26-8-23	an offg. Asst. in the Madras T. of am	Presumably Rs 100	
26-8-23 to 3-9-24	Toung time Temp. post of an asst. in the village High School.	125 + 25 till 1-7-24 and Rs 100 + 50 P.A. thereafter.	While holding this temporary post he was conferred on 24/10/23 as an Asst. in class III of the School Assistant Cadre. This temp. post was made permanent & included in the Regular Cadre from 1/1/24

It will be desired from the Staff above that Khyoom Sahib drew a pay of Rs 111 1/4 for the period from 1-3-22 to 9-7-22. It will hence be desired from portion "A" on p 6 C.F. that he can be allowed only Rs 100 for this period. The overpayment seems to have resulted from the delay in the issue of orders. The Dept may therefore agree to the waiver of the excess amount drawn for the period 1-3-22 to 9-7-22 at Rs 11 1/4 p.m. vide para 2(1) of the no 647 Fin

* vide para 2 on p 6 C.F.

yes

(K)

8 6/9/24

2. It regards the waiver vide

para 3 on pp 3-4 et. and para 2

of Law 202 supra of the excess

drawn by Khyom Sahib and

Ramachandra Acharya at Rs. 50/-

Rs. 25/- from 10-2-24 to

30-4-25, it is submitted that

there seems to be a case for the

waiver only in respect of the period

ending on 31/12/24 and not in respect

of the period (commencing from 1/1/25)

as the Auditor General's ruling in

question was communicated to the D.D.

in the Jan. last and the over payment

could not manifestly be justified.

For order.

Cite 30/6/25

Law (Edu)

Law (Edu) Deptt.

Finance agrees to the waiver

of the excess drawn by Khyom

Sahib and Ramachandra Acharya

at from 2-10-24 only up to

31.1.25. The suggestion of Finance

may be accepted. A draft

order is submitted.

CPA 11.7.25 13.7.25 16.7

Section 11-5 (a)-28,703-6-12-24. (6)

When received for Fair-copying.

When copied

When examined

When signed

When despatched

Date.

Initials.

Establishment.

Two teachers in the school assistant cadre - Excess pay - waived - By fixing 6.9.24.7 pay order passed.

READ AGAIN G.O. No.

647 Finance dated

6.9.24.7 pay order passed.

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 12673

From the

D.P.I. R.C. No. 1853/24 dated 7.5.1925.

ORDER.

His

No. 1240, dated 17-7-1925.

With reference to paragraph 2(1) of G.O. No. 647 Finance D. 6.9.24 the Govt are pleased to waive the recovery of the excess pay drawn by Mr. Saiyyid Abdul Khyom Sahib from 1.3.1922 to 9.7.1922. They also waive the recovery of the excess pay drawn as personal allowance by Messrs. Saiyyid Abdul Khyom Sahib and P.V. Ramachandra Acharya from 2-10-24 up to

up to 31.1.1925. The Auditor-
General's ruling on the
Gushin was communicated
to the D.P.I. in G.O. No.
91 Law (Edw) D 23.1.25
and he should not have
permitted the officers in
Gushin to draw personal
allowances at Rs. 50 and
Rs. 25 a month respectively from that date.
The excess drawn by them
from 1.2.25 to 30.4.25
should be recovered.
Their pay should be
re-fixed at Rs. 100 ^{a month} from
1.5.25.

CPA
11.7.25
rem
13.7.25

AK
13/7/25

V.K.
16.7

DESPATCHED
18 JUL 1925 #1

To
The D.P.I. (q to)
.. A. G. a of II, q of I
and Order.
this Finance

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT ... A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.