

Law (1925) Department.
(Educative)

Circular SERIES.

G.O. No. 1234

EDU

DATED

15-7-1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reformatory Schools Act, 1897 and the Madras Children Act, 1920.

Administration by the Inspector General of Prisons-control of the
schools under the Acts also transferred from the Director of
Public Instruction.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
g.o.	187	Home	15.1.37				
ne	2208	Home	7/1/45				
Hs	2346	"	24.7.53				

O.F. 213-3,000-15-11-23.

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS, 2



St. George, the 13th of October 1924.

No. G.A. 67-373/

L. En 2866B

14/1/24

ENCLOSURES.
From

The Accountant-General,

Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Law (Education) Department.



Subject:- Pay of Mr. W.O. Fonceca, Superintendent, Reformatory and Senior Certified School, Chingleput.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite a reference to G.O. No. Misc. 915 Law (Education) dated 5th June 1924 on the above subject and on examination of the whole position to observe as follows:-

1. In G.O. No. 227 Law (Education) dated 12th April 1923 Mr. Fonceca was allowed a pay of Rs.300/- p.m. and was also required to put in practically a year of probation in his new appointment before confirmation in the Education Department.

2. In G.O. No. 643 Law (Education) dated 7th May 1923 it was notified that Mr. Fonceca's appointment should be held as one outside the cadre and that a post in the Madras Educational Service should be held in abeyance so long as Mr. Fonceca continued in his new appointment.

3. This amounted to the creation for a year of a temporary post outside the cadre for the performance of duties for which an existing permanent appointment in the Madras Educational Service washitherto considered sufficient.

4. It was not thought fit at the time to take any objection in audit as the arrangement appeared to be intended for a year only (para 2 G.O. No. 227 Law (Education) dated 12th April 23. But the retention beyond a year of Mr. Fonceca in the temporary

appointment outside the cadre on an enhanced pay for the performance of duties for which a substantive appointment in a regular service already exists appears to be indirect contravention of rule 32 of the Fundamental Rules. For, Mr. Ponceca's pay in the so called temporary post as sanctioned in G.O. No. Misc. 915 Law (Education) dated 5-6-24 is ~~is~~ much more liberal than what he would have been entitled to if he was at the very outset substantively appointed to hold a post in the Madras Educational Service (F.R. 22). As the Government order in question seems to evade the natural operation of the Fundamental Rules, I would request that Government be pleased to fix Mr. Ponceca's pay with reference to the existing rules if it is their intention to retain him ^{larger} in the Education Department.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,

Assistant Accountant-General.

T.K.P./13-10-24.

Law (Edu) Dept.

Endt. 2866 B-1. d. 17-10-24
Referred to the Director of
Public Instruction for remarks.
(By order)

Prudainai
Asst Secretary to Govt.
CPA 15.10.24

18,668-3-10-23.

Law (Education)


DEPARTMENT.

Received

192

Registered

192



Subject.

1. En 3150B
10/11/24

Regarding the pay of Mr. W.O. Ponceca, Superintendent Reformatory and senior certified school, Chingleput.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R. C. No. 3159 *c/m*

Spare copies.

Station Madras, Dated the 8/11/1924 192

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith Government Endorsement No. 2866 B-1 dated 17-10-1924 regarding the pay of Mr. W.O. Ponceca, Superintendent, Reformatory and senior Certified School, Chingleput, I have the honour to observe as follows:-

When the retention of Mr. W.O. Ponceca in the Educational department for the second year was proposed by me in my letter R.C. No. 941 C/24, dated 17-4-24, I recommended a pay of Rs. 325/- per mensem which corresponds to the stage in the Madras educational ^{Service} department next above the pay on which he was started. His pay was however fixed by Government at Rs. 400/-

4
-2-

apparently on the ground that he would have acted as superintendent in the Jail Department on a salary of Rs.400/- in the grade of Rs.400-20-500.


Mr.Fonceca is not likely to stay in this Department if his pay is now reduced, especially, as it is understood that an officiating appointment as Superintendent, District Jail, is still available for him if he returns to that Department. The Accountant Generals objection would probably be met if Government stated that if Mr.Fonceca had been permanently drafted into the Educational department it was open to them to grant him a premature increment under Rule 27 of the Fundamental Rules in consideration of his officiating pay in the Jail Department and that the same principle was followed in the present arrangement and also that it was necessary in the public interests to retain Mr.Fonceca at the Reformatory.

Alternatively, the post of Superintendent, Reformatory and Senior Certified School, Chingleput, may be removed from the Madras Educational Service, and a special post created on the pay that Mr.Fonceca may now get or may expect to get in the Jail Department, viz 400-20-500 the pay of the lowest grade of Superintendents of District Jails.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,



for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 8/1/24
1/2

	Initials.	Date.
Received in Fair-copying Section.		
Copied	17/3	
Examined	17/3	
Signed		
Despatched		

Law (Education) Department.

C.No.2866 B - From the A.G. No.G.A.67-373, dated 13-10-24

C.No.3150 B - From the D.P.I., R.C.No. 3159 C, dated 8-11-24.

Memo. 2866 B/u-5. 8. 17.3.24

The Government have decided that the Reformatory Schools Act and the Madras Children Act should be administered by the I.G. of Prisons and that the control of the Reformatory and certified schools should be transferred from the Director of Public Instruction to the I.G. In his relation to these schools the Inspector-General will be designated the 'Inspector of Reformatories and certified schools'. Under section 8 of the Madras Children Act he will be the Chief Inspector of certified schools.

2. The I.G. is requested to submit proposals in consultation with the D.P.I. for giving effect to the transfer of control of the Reformatory and certified schools and to suggest what alterations should be made in the rules framed under the Acts in question wherein reference is made to the 'D.P.I.' and the 'Chief Inspector'. He is also requested to report the date from which the transfer may be given effect to.

To

The I. G. of Prisons

The D.P.I. ✓ 17/3

8/1
16.3.25

V.T. 17.3
17/3

Received 192 . Registered 192 .

Subject.

Reformatory Schools Act - Revised Rules.

A. E. 99413

15/4/25-

ENCLOSURES.


No.

No. G/221

Spec. copies

Station Ootacamund, Dated the 8th April 1925. 192 .

From (Name) Lieut-Col G.W. Maconachie M.B., I.M.S.,
 (Designation) Ag. Inspector-General of Prisons.



To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
 Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 2866, B/24-25 dated the 17th March 1925, I have the honour to state that I am agreeable to take charge of the Reformatories and Certified Schools with effect from the 1st April 1925.

The Director of Public Instruction, Madras, who was addressed in this office No. 2983, dated the 30th March 1925 (copy enclosed) to report the staff that will be --- available for transfer to this Department, consequent on the transfer of these three institutions, states that he cannot spare any from his office staff.

The checking of returns and the correspondence relating to them will occupy the full time of a clerk and it is quite impossible to undertake it with the existing

7
staff. It is therefore requested that the Government may be pleased to sanction the entertainment of an additional clerk on Rs. 35-60.

S Besides, the control of expenditure of this Department which was ordered to be exercised by me from 1st April 1925 has already thrown additional work on my limited staff. A detailed report as to how far this work can be undertaken by the existing staff without prejudice to other duties, will be submitted to Government in due course. In any case I am convinced that this work cannot be undertaken without any additional staff.

The extra expenditure will be met from the allotment -- already sanctioned under "Establishment charges for the Jail annexes".

The amendments to the acts called for by Government will be submitted shortly.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chavandhi

Lieut-Col I.M.S.,

Ag. Inspector-General of Prisons.

S.L.

Copy of this office No. 2983, dated the 30th March 1925 to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

With reference to your letter No. 396, A-25, dated 24-3-25, I have the honour to state that the three institutions may be brought under my control from 1-4-1925. In the meantime, I request that copies of rules relating to these institutions may be furnished as they are not available here. I also request to be informed what staff will be available for transfer from your office, as in consequence of the above transfer, much additional work will be thrown on my office, in connection with the checking of returns, correspondence etc.,

(True copy)

S. Hamburam

For Inspector-General of Prisons 8/4

6
Copy of letter No. R.O.C. 396-A/25, dated the 2nd April 1925 from the Director of Public Instruction, Madras to the Inspector-General of Prisons.

Certified schools - Transfer of control-

With reference to your letter No. 2983, dated the 30th March 1925, I am sending herewith a copy of each of the marginally noted acts and rules. I have no spare copies of the Reformatory Schools Act. Please therefore obtain a spare copy from the Superintendent Government Press.

2 As regards the date of transfer of the certified schools I request you to be good enough to address Government in the matter and obtain the necessary sanction.

3 With reference to your query regarding the staff available for transfer from my office, I may inform you that no separate clerk is in charge of papers relating to certified schools. On the other hand the clerk dealing with papers relating to certified schools is also in charge of papers relating all Government special schools and inspecting officers and consequently no portion of my office establishment will be available for transfer to your Department.

(True copy)

S. Hamburam
For Inspector-General of Prisons 8/4

DEPARTMENT.



Received

192

Registered

27-4-1925

Subject.

Transfer of the Reformatory and Senior Certified Schools to
the control of the Inspector-General of Prisons.-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

No.

G/ 259.

Station Ootacamund

Dated the

24th April 1925.

192

From (Name) Lieut-Col G.W. Maconachie M.B., I.M.S.,
(Designation) Ag. Inspector-General of Prisons.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (GENERAL)

Department.

Sir.

Stone House Hill, Ootacamund

In continuation of this office No. G.221, dated the 8th April 1925, I have the honour to state that the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, has been addressed to propose the necessary amendments to the several Acts and on receipt of the same, they will be forwarded for the approval of -- Government. As this will however take some time, I request that if Government decide to transfer the various institutions with effect from 1-4-1925, necessary orders may kindly be issued to this effect, with a view to the accounts and other correspondences being submitted to this office. I would in this connection request that Government may kindly cause four

sets of Acts and subsidiary rules to each of the Acts to be supplied to this office as the Director of Public Instruction is unable to supply them all.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chavak

Lieut-Col I.M.S.,

Ag. Inspector-General of Prisons.

S.L.

J A I L

DEPARTMENT.

Received 192 .. Registered 192

Subject.

Transfer of the Reformatory and Senior Certified Schools to the control of the Inspector General of Prisons.



ENCLOSURES.

No.

No. G. 328

Spare copies

Station Ootacamund., Dated the 14th May 1925.

From (Name) Lieut. Col. J.P. Cameron, C.I.E., I.M.S.,
(Designation) Inspector General of Prisons.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (General) Department.

Sir.

In continuation of this office No. G. 259, dated the 24th April 1925, I have the honour to request that Government may be pleased to pass early orders regarding the transfer of the Reformatory and Senior Certified Schools to this Department, with a view to my exercising the necessary control over these institutions. If efficient management is desired, it will be necessary to issue detailed instructions in the matter of controlling expenditure and the maintenance and submission of accounts and returns, on the lines in force in jails.

2. As the auditing and maintenance of the accounts relating to these institutions, not to speak of the correspondence involved, will throw additional work on my office, it will be necessary for Government to sanction as

12
early as possible the employment of an additional clerk on Rs. 60-4-80 per mensem. I consider this additional establishment imperative in view of the fact that my office ^{is} already overworked and undermanned. It is with difficulty as it is to keep current with ordinary jail administration work, in spite of long hours of working, and I cannot conscientiously ask my staff to accept further responsibilities. By the introduction of the system of control of expenditure, which has resulted in maintaining two sets of accounts, heavy additional work has already been thrown on the office staff and this was brought to the notice of Government in my letter No. G. 1050 dated the 4th December 1924.

3. Orders may also be kindly issued transferring all records relating to the administration of the two Schools to this office and placing budget grants at my disposal.

4. In this connection, I would request that the inspection of the Schools by the officers of the Educational Department may be continued as heretofore, and their observations forwarded to me for such action as may be called for.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

Lieut. Col. I.M.S.,
Inspector General of Prisons.

M.P.

C.No.2866 B - From the Accountant General, No. G.1.67-373, dated 13-10-24.

C.No.3150 B - From the D.P.I. P.C.No. 3159 C, dated 8-11-24

Subject.- Pay of Mr. W.O.Fonceca, Superintendent of the Reformatory and Senior Certified School, Chingleput

Prior to 1919, the D.M. & S.O., Chingleput, was ^{also} the Superintendent of the Reformatory School, Chingleput, and he was granted an allowance of Rs 100 a month for the additional work. There was a whole-time Deputy Superintendent of the school whose post was included in the Madras Educational Service. (Owing to the unsatisfactory ^{State of} health of the boys in the school the Government appointed a medical officer in 1919 as whole-time Superintendent of the school temporarily, and kept the post of Dy. Superintendent in abeyance. The Indian Jails Committee which inspected the school considered that this arrangement was not satisfactory. They remarked as follows:-

"The Superintendent of the Chingleput Reformatory was an assistant surgeon with no previous experience of school work. This was a temporary expedient consequent on the bad state of health in which the boys were found on the departure of the previous superintendent but it was clearly not a satisfactory arrangement. At this school and others we were struck by the prevailing gloom and lack of spirit among the boys."

2. In 1922, when it was proposed to certify the Reformatory School as a senior certified school under the Children Act, the Director stated that the purpose for which a special medical officer was placed in im-

Para 381 of Jail Committee's Report (not put up)

Para 3 of S.C.2 letter, pay 40 of Rs. 1406 (C)
17.11.22

2/11/22
diat charge of the school had been fulfilled and that the health of the boys had improved. He therefore recommended that the arrangement which had come in for much criticism in the report of the Jails Committee might be terminated and that an educational officer in the Madras Educational Service might be appointed whole-time Superintendent, the D.M. & S.O. being appointed ex officio member of the Committee of visitors of ^{the} school and the post of Dy. Superintendent being abolished. (These proposals were approved by Government in G.O. No. 1406, dated 17-11-22. In accordance with this G.O., M.A. Ry. P. Parthasarathy Nayakkar, an officer of the Subordinate Educational Service was appointed to act as Superintendent of the school in the Madras Educational Service.)

3. In January 1923, H.M. (C.2.) paid a visit to the school. He doubted whether Mr. Parthasarathy Nayakkar was really of the proper type for the post of Superintendent. After consulting the I.G. of Prisons, Mr. W.O. Fonseca, Jailor, Central Jail, Salem, was selected for the post, and in April 1923 he was appointed to act as Superintendent of the school on a special pay of Rs 300 p.m. ^{The} appointment was at first made for a period of one year and it was decided that so long as Mr. Fonseca held the post ^(of Supdt. one post) ~~one appointment~~ in the Madras Educational Service should be kept in abeyance.

4. In April last, the D.P.I. recommended that Mr. Fonseca might be continued as Superintendent for another year from 2-5-1924 and that he might be given the increment of Rs 25 admissible in the Madras Educational Service. Just at this time ~~between~~ Mr. Fonseca had been promoted in the Jail Department ^{acting} as Superintendent of a District Jail. As the

Paragraphs 2 & 3 of 8.11.22

Page 4 of 8.11.22

* Drawing Rs 215 in the scale Rs 200-15-350.

Rs. 227 R 12/4/23

* H.E.S. scale 250-255-500-550-600

© paper appointment

Rs. 915 576.24 (A)

Page 102 of cf.

Page 4 of cf.

A

10 3/11/22
pay admissible in the latter appointment was Rs 400, Mr. Fonseca's pay in the Educational Department was fixed at Rs 400 and the Government approved the proposal of the Director to retain Mr. Fonseca for another year. The Accountant General objects to this arrangement and requests that the Government should fix Mr. Fonseca's pay with reference to the existing rules, if it is their intention to retain him longer in the Educational Department.

5. The period for which Mr. Fonseca's retention has been sanctioned expires on the ^{2nd May 1925} ~~30th April 1925~~. The question of his further continuance in the Educational Department will ^(be settled in the ordinary course) ~~be settled in the ordinary course~~ in April next. The Director suggests ⁽¹⁾ ~~that~~ the grant of the increased pay ^{might be brought} ~~be brought~~ under rule 27 of the Fundamental Rules under which it is open to the Government to grant premature increments to an officer;

or (2) that a special post on Rs 400-20-500, the pay of the lowest grade of Superintendents of District Jails, might be created for Mr. Fonseca in the Educational Department and that the post of Superintendent ^(of the Reformatory School) ~~might be removed from the Madras Educational Service.~~

The question of the creation of such a special post may be considered if it is decided that Mr. Fonseca should continue to hold the post for the next few years. If, however, he is to ~~revert~~ ^{return} to the Jail Department after some time, the present arrangement need not be modified.

6. It is for orders as to what reply should be given to the A.G. The Finance Department may also be

B

* of having a fixed pay for a temporary period

consulted.

C.P.A. 19.11.24
S.K.
21.11.24

Finance may be asked to advise

I think A on page 3 is correct
Will Finance please advise?
V.T.K.
24.11
Finance u.o

Law (Edu) no 2866 B-2
25/11/24



4907 C8P

26.11.24

Finance (C.S.R.)

It should be noted that G.O. No. 227 R dated 12-4-23 gave Mr. Fonceca a fixed pay of Rs300 and that G.O. 643 issued soon after i.e., on 7-5-23 put his post ^{outside} ~~inside~~ the M.E.S. cadre, directing at the same time that a post in the Madras Educational Service should be held in abeyance. The pay of his post was subsequently raised to Rs400 (again a fixed pay) in G.O. 915, dated 5-6-24. The question of the application of F.R. 27 raised by the Secretary Law Dept. cannot arise as the pay was all through a fixed pay and not incremental pay.

2. The Government have undoubtedly the power to reduce the number of posts in the M.E.S. cadre and they can choose to reduce it now by one and ^{make} a special post of the Superintendent ~~at~~ on a suitable pay. There can be no

-5-


no audit challenge to the exercise of this power. On the merits of the case too, this would be quite a proper course as it has been held that the Educational Department cannot find a suitable man for the post.

3. I find it rather difficult to follow the A.G.'s reasoning; apparently he objects to the grant of pay to Mr. Fonceca on any scale other than that of the M.E.S. This, as suggested above, can be easily met by removing the post from the cadre of the M.E.S.
4. I am not clear as to the exact implication of para 2 of G.O. 915 dated 5-6-24. I interpret it as meaning that Mr. Fonceca's pay will be a fixed pay of Rs400/- so long as none of his juniors is acting as superintendent and that the period during which any of his juniors is acting as superintendent will count towards an increment in the Superintendent's scale, ~~though Mr Fonceca will be entitled to draw the increment only if one of his juniors is acting as~~ Supdt.

K.R.

Encl no. 4907-162P
23.12.24

L. 3574B



Law (Edu)

Law (Edu) Deptt.

As suggested by Finance in paragraph 2 above the number of posts in the M.E.S. cadre may be reduced by one and a special post of Superintendent created on a scale of Rs 400-20-500 (the scale admissible to the lowest grade of Superintendents of District

jails). This arrangement may continue so long as Mr. Fonseca holds the post of Superintendent of the Reformatory School. A draft order is submitted which may go to Finance via for acceptance.

CPA
8.1.25

SA
10.1.25.

Finance U.O.

Finance [E.R.]

[Previous Finance notes on th 4-5 ante]

In the draft order it is proposed to hold a post in the M.E.S in abeyance so long as Mr Fonseca holds the post of Superintendent of the Reformatory School, Chingleput. Unless the cadre of the M.E.S is reduced by one the objection raised in the A.G's letter on th 1.5.25 will hold good and the proper course is, as suggested in para 2 of the Deputy Secy's note on th 4-5 ante, to reduce the cadre of the M.E.S by one and to create a special post of Superintendent on a suitable pay.

2. Under the arrangement in Govt. 915 Law (Gov) dt 5.6.24 Mr Fonseca will have pay at Rs 400 p.m. and will be eligible for the increment which he will earn as Superintendent in the Jail dept in the scale of 400-20-500 so long as one

* The pay proposed Rs. 400-20-500 is to be accepted by Finance.

Law Edu no. 2866 B/P.3
16.1.25



Fin 1194 E.R.

(A) 14.1.25

one of his juniors in that dept continues to act as Supt of a Jail. The scale of pay now proposed for the post is Rs 400-20-500. According to this proposal Mr Fonseca will get his increment every year irrespective of the fact whether his junior in the Jail dept acts as a Supt of a Jail or not. Finance may agree to the scale proposed for so long as Mr Fonseca holds the post. It is proposed to give effect to the order from 2.5.24, the date from which Mr Fonseca drew Rs 400. This may also be agreed to


(A)

In this connection it is pointed out that Go no. 915 Law (Edu) dt 5.6.24 was issued without the concurrence of the Finance dept. On p 10 of the Go Finance asked Law (Edu) to obtain [in the first instance] with reference to Fin memo no. 219 B/P.1 dt 26.7.25 a specific reappropriation statement from the S.P.D for meeting the extra cost involved in the proposal but Law (Edu) issued the order without obtaining the reappropriation statement & without the concurrence of the Finance dept. The draft order also was not circulated to H.N. Finance.

These words do not appear in the Finance memo referred to 'R'

(Removed by Finance)

but calling for one -



Fin no: 1194 E.R. suggested by Finance

19-1-25

Edu 146 B

19.1.25

17/1/25

Recd

18/1

Law

Paragraphs 1 & 2 of the Finance Dept.note

The question of reducing the cadre of the Madras Edl.Service by one post may be considered if it is decided to retain Mr.Fonceca permanently in the Educational Department. At present, he has a lien in the Jail Department. He may have to be consulted as to whether he accepts a permanent transfer to the Edl.Dept. It may also be desirable to obtain the views of the D.P.I on the question of the permanent retention of Mr.Fonceca in the Edl.Dept.

If it is decided to retain him permanently as Superintendent of the Reformatory school, he may either be given the pay of the M.E.S(in which the post of Superintendent is now included), his initial pay being fixed at Rs.400, the pay that he now draws, or a special scale may be sanctioned outside the cadre of the M.E.S. In the Jail Department as Superintendent of a Dt.Jail he is eligible for Rs.400-20-500. If he is promoted later on as Superintendent of a Central Jail he will become eligible for the scales of Rs.450-600 and 600-1000 with 15 percent ^{temporary} ~~permanent~~ addition in each case.

It is for orders whether these questions should now be considered or the pay may be fixed at - Rs.400-20-500 ~~as proposed~~ for the present as proposed in the draft below.

2.Paragraph 3 of the Finance Dept's.note

The question raised in this paragraph has already been ~~dis~~ disposed of-vide papers flagged (C) The re-appropriation statement was sanctioned in G.O.No.348 Fince., dated 22-9-24.

The question referred to at A above may perhaps be considered here now.

⊗ P.257-258
570-57-5

A.

13 JAN 21

Final

(1)
Encl.

19.1.25

Page 7 ante

(H)

26/1
 27. 1.

M2

Would it not be best to take his
opportunity of removing him to Reformatory &
his certified school from the Education Dept
& putting him under the I.G. of Prisons? I am
aware his being in a substantial objection to
associating him with Prisons, & if necessary the
I.G. might be given a supplementary designation
to cover his Dept of his work. There is a substantial
claim that the D.P. and his staff are not in the
presently sufficiently interested in the special
science of juvenile penology & prevention of crime
to make them a suitable agency for his work which

This note is filed
in the note for
the Cabinet
on the next page

Note for the Cabinet.

~~9 21~~ 14

(C)
G.O. No. 1146 En
17-11-22

(B)
G.O. No. 227
12-4-23

The post of Superintendent of the Reformatory school is included in the cadre of the Madras Educational Service and an officer of the Educational department is generally appointed as Superintendent. In January 1923, the Honourable Member (C-1) paid a visit to the school. He doubted whether Mr. Parthasarathi Nayakar, an officer of the Educational department who was then the Superintendent of the school, was really of the proper type for the post. Mr. W. O. Fonceca, an officer of the Jail department, was thereupon selected for the post and in April 1923 he was appointed to act as Superintendent on a special pay of Rs. 300 a month outside the Madras Educational Service for a period of one year. The appointment has since been extended for another year. As Mr. Fonceca would now be eligible for a pay of Rs. 400 if he were in the Jail department, he has been allowed to draw pay at that rate in the Educational department. The Accountant-General states that the retention of Mr. Fonceca beyond a year in the temporary appointment outside the cadre on an enhanced pay for the performance of duties for which a substantive appointment in a regular service already exists appears to be in direct contravention of rule 32 of the Fundamental Rules. He requests that the Government may fix Mr. Fonceca's pay with reference to the existing rules if it is their intention to retain him longer in the Educational department. In order to meet the Accountant-General's objection the Finance Department has advised that the post of Superintendent may be removed from the cadre of the Madras Educational Service and that a special post may be created instead. A draft order removing the post from the cadre of the Madras Educational Service and creating a special post of Superintendent on Rs. 400—20—500, the pay of the lowest grade of Superintendent of a district jail, is submitted for approval.

K. RAMUNNI MENON.
V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR.

Would it not be best to take this opportunity of removing both the Reformatory and the certified schools from the Educational department and putting them under the Inspector-General of Prisons? I am aware that there is a sentimental objection to associating them with Prisons and, if necessary, the Inspector-General might be given a supplementary designation to cover this department of his work. But it is abundantly clear that the Director of Public Instruction and his staff are not in this Presidency sufficiently interested in the special science of juvenile penology and prevention of crime to make them a suitable agency for the work which we want to undertake in this direction. Even in the matter of selecting certified schools their delays are interminable and they have shown no indication that they apply to their treatment of the subject the modern methods which we are already trying to apply in the Prisons department—particularly at Borstal and Cuddalore.

I should like an examination of the matter to be made *as quickly as possible*.

A. R. K[NAAPP]--29-1-25

I do not agree. The same objections, if they are, are equally applicable to the Inspector-General. The Reformatory school ought not to be treated as a penal institution. The Superintendent when properly selected will give the boys quite good training. The association of the jail discipline with the juvenile offenders is really 'criminal.' Besides, the tendency to transfer or take control of Transferred subjects to 'Reserved' control is not constitutional. The questions relating to 'Labour schools' and 'Agency schools' are still pending. A reference was made to the Government of India and the interpretation given is against any suggestion of the kind made by my Hon'ble Colleague C-1.

2

The Education department will manage the Reformatory school as well as any officers of the Jail department in the matter of good discipline and promotion of good conduct.

A. P. P[ATRO]—29-1-25.

My Hon'ble Colleague is, I think, under a misapprehension as regards the constitutional aspect of this matter. However much he may suspect me of the attempt to clutch the control of Transferred subjects and transfer it to Reserved, that charge does not apply in the present instance. Reformatories are already a Reserved subject; so is the administration of the Children Act. For convenience of administration the actual work of these two subjects has been placed in the hands of the Education officers and has been included in the Education budget, but the portion of the budget that relates to these subjects is specially marked as 'Reserved' and is introduced and defended by the Home Member and not by the Education Minister.

As to the advantages to be derived from the transfer which I suggest, I fear that I must adhere to my opinion. So far from desiring the Reformatory school to be treated as a penal institution, my anxiety is the other way and it is because I have found by experience that the Education department officers have not risen to a proper recognition of the special needs of these schools, viewed from a modern standpoint, that I strongly advocate the transfer of responsibility to Colonel Cameron. The mere fact that we have had to depute a Jail officer as Superintendent of the Reformatory School at Chingleput and have had to import a special officer into the Education department to look after the Government Industrial school at Ranipet itself shows that the Education department is not able to meet our needs in the matter. What is wanted is far more than the capacity to give the boys a good training or to teach them good discipline and good conduct. The attempt to rescue boys who whether by heredity or by environment have been pushed over the threshold of crime is a matter which, according to modern ideas, requires to be handled by a highly trained specialist in such matters; it involves the application to each case of medical science in the form of psychiatry. In the Jail department proper we have already made a small start in this direction in our jail for defectives at Cuddalore and I am convinced that the employment on work in connexion with the Reformatories and Children Act schools of officers who in the Jail department have already had some training in a cognate field holds out a far more hopeful prospect than the employment of educational officers whose ordinary experience and training is mostly in other directions. I have recognized the sentimental objection to connecting the management of these institutions with the management of jails and for that purpose I have proposed that the Inspector-General of Prisons should be given a separate and special designation in his capacity as Manager of these schools.

A In the circumstances I should like to press my proposal and should be glad if Secretary, after collecting any data that may be thought necessary in connexion with my original note, would circulate the paper to Members of Council and to the Governor and obtain His Excellency's orders for Cabinet discussion or circulation.

I may add that the transfer of these schools to the administration of the Inspector-General of Prisons would have this advantage that it would put an end to the not very convenient system under which a 'Reserved' subject is handled by officers who are under the authority of a Minister.

A. R. K[NAPP]—1-2-25.

The Reformatory School, Chingleput, when it was originally started was under the control of the Inspector-General of Prisons. In G.O. No. 1808, Judicial, dated the 28th August 1888, the Government decided that the school could be more conveniently managed by the Director of Public Instruction than by the Inspector-General of Prisons. The Director performs the duties of the "Inspector-General" for the purposes of the Reformatory Schools Act.

2. When the Madras Children Bill was under consideration, the question as to which department should control the certified schools under the Act was raised. After consulting the Director of Public Instruction, the Inspector-General of Police and the Director of Industries, it was decided that the schools should be under the control of the Director of Public Instruction.

3. From the budget estimates of other provinces it is observed that provision for Reformatory schools is made in those provinces under the heads shown below:—

Bombay Under the head "31. Education."
Bihar and Orissa Do.
United Provinces Do.
Burma Do
Punjab Under the head "25. Jails."

S.K.—6-2-25.

K. RAMUNNI MENON—7-2-25.

For orders as to circulation with reference to Hon'ble Member, C-1's note at A on page 2 ante.

C.P.A.—12-2-25. S.K.—12-2-25.

K.R.M.—13-2-25.

~~V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR 13-2-25.~~
I gather the question is to be discussed in Cabinet. I therefore refrain from noting.

N. E. M[ARJURIBANKS]—13-2-25.

For orders as to circulation to Honourable Ministers or discussion in Cabinet.

V.T.K.—13-2-25.

I will only say that it seems to be largely a personal question.

R. A. G[RAHAM]—15-2-25.

I think that the subject is one that should be discussed in Cabinet.

C. P. R[AMASWAMI AYYAR]—16-2-25.

To be discussed in Cabinet.

G[OSCHEN]—17-2-25.

12 24

This matter was mentioned in Council this morning. My Hon: Colleague M.2 agreed that under the Devolution Rules, Reformatories are a Reserved subject and he withdrew his technical objection to the proposed step. Action may now be taken to carry out my proposal.

Mr 27/2

a little while have to be found for this I.G. in his relation to Reformatories & Certified schools in which while under the clear this these institutions do not belong to the Prisons Dept, but are a separate charge.

Steps have now to be taken to give effect to the decision to transfer the control of the Reformatory and Certified schools from the Director of Public Instruction to the Inspector-General of Prisons.

(1) Budget provision

The budget provision for the above schools now appears under the head "31 Education". Presumably it will have to be shown in future under the head "25 Jails". So far as the year 1925-26 is concerned, this transfer will have to be made by moving a formal reappropriation in the Legislative Council. The Finance Department may be consulted as to whether this should be done now or the provision for the next year may continue to be under "31 Education".

(2) Staff of these schools.

The posts of Superintendents of these schools are now in the Madras Educational Service. As

13/25
regards the Reformatory School the post of Superintendent is now kept in abeyance and Mr. Fonseca an officer of the Jail Department is appointed as Superintendent on a special pay of Rs 400. The post of Superintendent of the Junior Certified School at Ranipet is held permanently by Mr. L.T. de Haas. Presumably, the posts of Superintendents will in future be included in the cadre of the Jail Department and Mr. de Haas will be transferred to that Department. The Headmaster of the Reformatory School is in the cadre of school assistants (pay Rs 75 to 250) in the Educational Department. The other posts in the two schools are not included in any regular cadres. It is for orders whether the I.G. of Prisons may be informed of the decision of Government to transfer the schools to his control and requested to send up proposals to give effect to the decision.

(3) Designation of the I.G. of Prisons in his relation to in his capacity as Manager of these schools.

(Under the Reformatory Schools Act, the officer who has supervision over a Reformatory School should be called the "Inspector-General". The term "Inspector General" includes "any officer appointed by the local Government to perform all or any of the duties imposed by the Act on the Inspector-General." This Government have notified that "the D.P.I. shall perform the duties of the Inspector-General under the Act". This notification may now be cancelled. In view of the designation given in the Act the I.G. of Prisons may perhaps be designated "the Inspector-General of Reformatory School."

Under the Children Act, certified schools should be inspected by a "Chief Inspector" appointed by the local Government. At present the Inspector of European schools is the chief Inspector, and various powers are conferred on the Director of Public Instruction by rules made under the Act. There is no officer corresponding to the Inspector of European Schools in the Jail Deptt. Apparently, the duties imposed by the Act on the Chief

This may be done - but the decision need not necessarily involve any im-

-mediate change in the personnel of the

Sec. 4(h) of the Act

Sec. 8 of the Children Act

Rules 2, 4, 6 &c in p. 801 9.6.23

He may be styled "Chief Inspector of Reformatory & Certified schools" in this Capacity

H.M. sh. see before Finance the file is sent to Finance

Law En. 2866 B/cu. 7.3.25



9353 BCF

9.3.25

17/14/26
Inspector will have to be performed by the Inspector General. In that case the local Government may appoint the Inspector-General as Chief Inspector of Certified Schools. The duties imposed by the rules under the Children Act will also have to be performed by the Inspector-General. It is doubtful whether a common designation such as the Inspector-General of Reformatory and Certified schools or the Chief Inspector of Reformatory and Certified schools will suit the requirements of the two Acts.

In communicating the decision of Government to transfer the control of the school the Inspector General may perhaps be requested to report what alterations should be made in the rules framed under the Children Act in which reference is made both to the Director of Public Instruction and the Chief Inspector.

2. The file may first be sent to the Finance Department with reference to paragraph 1 (1) above.

8/4 3.3.25

C1

The file may now be sent to Finance as suggested in paragraph 2 above.

8/4 6.3.25

6/3 Finance 100

15 27 18

Finance. B.E. I

[Notes from p 9 ante may be read]

not put up

Punjab budget not put up

Under the last sub. para of Note 131 on p. 32 of App. 7 to the Audit Code and Note 166 on p. 36 ibid, Reformatory Schools have to be shown under 31-Education and not under 25-jails. ~~insistence of the Chief Bantledge officers of the Schools.~~ It is, however, observed that in the Punjab, Reformatory Schools are shown under '25-jails' even though the Director of Public Instruction is the Inspector-General of Reformatories. Presumably, the Punjab Government obtained the consent of the Auditor-General to this course. The Law. Dept. may be requested to consult the Accountant-General on the point. Until then, the items ^{have to} ~~may~~ continue under 31-Education.



2 In the demands for grants for 1925-1926, '31.R.D.a i. Reformatory and Certified Schools' and '31.R.D.b(ii) Certified Schools' are included in Demand XVI Education - Reserved and

16 28

and it is not possible now to include them under Demand XIII - Jails.

Nor does this course seem necessary.

After the control is transferred to the I.G. of Prisons, all that is required is to issue an order to

G.O. No F. d. the 11th Feb. 1925 on Control of expenditure substituting the I.G. of Prisons for the Director of Public Instruction in col 4 and p 56, Note (29) in col 7 being suitably expanded.

3 If the Accountant General thinks that the charges should continue to be under 31 - Education, they may be included under the Demand for jails from 1926 - 1927.

CS 11.3.25

MS 12.3.25

U.S. Codes 5

13.3.25

Recd

14/3

Law (252) Dept.

A draft memo & a no. note to the A.G. are submitted for approval.


SA 16.3.25

17.3

Thrs Finance (Codes) Para 2

Thrs Budget (Genl) Para 3

L-En 766B C R 5. 12.3.25



Finuo 9353 B821

14.3.25

17/3

G.O. No. 2866 B/m-b. 17.3.25



17 29 19 2 Law (Education) Department.

Transfer of control of the Reformatory and Certified schools from the Educational to the Jails Department.

It has been decided to transfer the control of Reformatory and Certified schools from the D.P.I. to the I.G. of Prisons. The A.G. may be consulted u.o. as to whether the budget provision for these schools may continue to be under the head "31 Education" or should be transferred to "25 Jails". If the latter is the case, he may be requested to advise whether it is sufficient if the change in classification is introduced from the year 1926-27.

SA 16.3.25

16/3

17.3

Acc^y Gen. u.o.

U.O. No. 1860

Office of the Accountant General, Madras, Dated 26th March 1925.

U.O. No. T.M.4914

There is no objection to the charges relating to the Reformatory and certified schools being recorded under "25 Jails" and convict settlements" and to the new incidence being given to from 1926-27. As however, the foot-note (131) of Appendix 7 to the Audit Code require that "Reformatory schools should appear under '31 Education', I have referred the case to the Auditor General and I place below a copy of my reference.

Shirchull

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

T.S.V. 17/3

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LAW EDUCATION. U. O.

No.



OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS.

Port St. George, 26th March 1925

L. Cou 8793
27/3/25

Enclosures.

COPY OF THIS OFFICE LETTER NO. T.M. 30-4915 TO THE
AUDITOR GENERAL IN INDIA, DELHI, dated 26th OF --
MARCH 1925.

Subject:--Exhibition of the transactions relating
to Reformatory and certified schools in the Madras presidency
under "Jails and convict settlements."

I have the honour to state that the Madras Govern-
ment have decided to transfer the control of the Reformatory
and certified schools from the Director of Public Instruction
to the Inspector General of Prisons. The local government -
enquire whether expenditure on these schools may continue to
be accounted for under the head "31 Education" or should be -
incurred under the head "25 Jails" and if the latter is the
case whether it is sufficient to introduce the new classifica-
-tion xxx with effect from the year 1926-27. I propose to -
advise the local government that when the transfer of control
is given effect to, the charges of these schools should be re-
corded under "25 Jails" and that there is no objection to the
new

31-19
new classification being introduced from 1926-27. The reasons for the above view are that the classification should have closer reference to the department controlling the expenditure rather than to the object--vide Article 181 (1) of the Audit Code and that para 4 on page 276 of the Finance and Revenue Accounts for the year -- 22-23 recognises the classification of the charges--relating to these schools under heads other than 31 Education if they are not under the control of the Education Department.

In view, however, of the fact that the last para of foot-note (131) of Appendix 7 to the Audit Code requires that Reformatory schools should be shown under 31 Education, I request the favour of your approval.

The favour of early reply is requested.

TRUE COPY.

B. Derani

T.S.V.

Manager, Correspondence Section.
Accountant-General's Office, Madras.

ends

Presumably the A.G. will address the Govt further on receipt of a reply from the Auditor General. The receipt of the communication may be awaited.

Also the proposals for fixing effect to the transfer called for from the I.G. of Prisons may be awaited.

COA
31.3.25
8/4
31.3.25.

1/4

Ch 994 B From the I.G. of Prisons no. G 221 of 4.25

US

416-8 CF

The I.G. of Prisons has submitted only an interim reply to the reference on page 5 CF.

The I.G. is agreeable to take over the Reformatory & Certified schools from 1st April 1925 but he has not yet submitted the necessary amendment to the rules under the Act called for from him. They may be awaited.

Paragraph 20
memo on 45 CF

As regards the establishment the I.G. has made no reference to the

existing. The establishment of the Reformatory
and certified schools. They will have to be
transferred to the Jail Dept. in order
presumably. The Jail Dept. however
has no additional clerk on its
35-60 grade to attend to the clerical
work which will be involved in this
transfer of these schools to
his control. This request appears
reasonable and may be agreed to if
the extra expenditure on acct. of the
clerk can be met from the Jail
allotment for the current year.

The Asst General has
not sent in his final memo after
consulting the Auditor General as
promised on page 17 ante.

The reply from the D.G. & the
A.G. may be awaited for another week.

RMW.
19.4.25

Remind them on 27th

then
22/4

A above.

For the establishment of
the Reformatory and certified schools,
please see p. 229 of the C.B.E.

B above.

Submitted no - vide also
the page

What is the establishment?
then

116-7 CF

I doubt if the work will
be so heavy as to necessitate
an additional clerk.


then
Has the D.P.I. or the
Chief Insp^r of
schools a clerk
on this account?
VTK
22/4

Telephone to
1.4.

VTK
22.4

Done

CV
22/4



(put up below)

[The Insp^r of European
schools is also the chief
Insp^r of certified schools.
He has not been given
any additional staff.

22 34

The last paragraph of the Director's
letter on p. 8 CF.

CV
22.4.25

Replies from 16 & AG may be
awaited.

then
VTK
23.4/4

Ch 1065

From the Asst General to No 18 of 20.4.25

Remind them on 27th
then
22/4

For the establishment of
the Reformatory and certified schools,
please see p. 229 of the C.B.E.
B above.
also vide - or further p. 20

23 35 23
Office of the Accountant General,
Fort St. George, Madras 20th April 1925.

100 No 18

No. T.M.30-1/25-26-269.
Exhibition of the transactions relating to Reformatory
and Certified Schools.


In continuation of my U.O. Note No. T.M.4914 dated
26-3-25 on the above subject I forward for the informa-
tion reply received from the Auditor General approving of
the exhibition of transactions relating to Reformatory
and Certified Schools under "25 Jails and Convict Settle-
ments."

[Signature]
D. A. G.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department 26

L. En 1065 B
23/4/25



C.V.V.
Pls. send me
the, as per
in at 03.
18/4

*
Copy of letter No. Ac-1236/150-25 dated 7th April
1925 from the Auditor General, Delhi to the
Accountant General, Madras.

Exhibition of the transactions relating to
Reformatory and Certified Schools.

With reference to your letter No. T.M. 30-4915 dated
the 26th March 1925 on the above subject I have the ~~honor~~
honour to state that, as the control of the Reformatory
and Certified Schools has been transferred to the Ins-
pector General of Prisons, the charges of these schools
may be booked under the head "25 Jails etc.,"

(True Copy)

[Signature]

M a n a g e r

Correspondence Section

[Faint handwritten notes, mostly illegible]

[Faint handwritten notes, mostly illegible]

1874 E.R.
14/7/25

1874 E.R.
14/7/25

I think office
should do this.
I do not see
how the D.P.I. or
the I.C. is in a better
position than
the Secy to this.
Take it up please

School
12/2
V.T.
11.5
- non-addition on
suppl of 25.25
13 MAY 1925
SECRETARY TO GOVT
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Law (Est) no 1866 E.R. 7
13.5.25

1874 E.R.
14/7/25

1874 E.R.
14/7/25

Gr 1110 From the D.G. of Prisons no G 259 of 24.4.25

The D.G. of Prisons has not forwarded
his amendments to the rules under the
Reformatory Schools Act & the Madras Children
Act called for from him. He now states
that the D.P.I. has been asked to
propose them and on their receipt will be
forwarded to Govt. they may be awaited.
As regards the transfer of the control it
may perhaps take effect from date of
order. Mr. Ferreira has already been
ordered to continue as Supl of the
Reformatory School Chingleput.
A draft order sanctioning the
proposals is out for approval. The
Finance Dpt has to see.

K.M.V.
8.5.25
V.T.
11.5
Finance u.o.

Finance (E.A.)
Previous notes are on pp 15-16 and
The proposal embodied in para 2
of the draft order results in an
average saving of Rs. 62 1/2 p.m. or
Rs. 745 p.a. The draft order may

1874 E.R.
14/7/25

Average pay of 1 M.I.S. Officer - 528 3/4
Supdt. Reformatory School - 466 2/3
995 1/2
Average pay of 2 Officers - 933 1/2
400 - 20.500
62 1/2

be accepted, the sanction being registered as no. 69 of 1925-26.

Ch 1316
12/19.5.25

Thro' Finance (Codes)
with ref. to para 3 of the
draft order.

Finance (Codes)

After issue of the S.O., the file may be sent to Finance (Codes) for issue of necessary amendments to S.O. 110 Fin d. 11.2.25. It is presumed that during the current year the charges will continue under 31 Education, although the control is transferred to the D.G. of Prisons.

A

Ch 1316
12/19.5.25

22.5.25

Definite information is required on A
I presume the charges will be booked under
Jails - vide P23 supra

Ch 142713
29/5/25
Two 18744 ER 4/5/25
29.5.25 30.5.25



Ch 1326 From the D.G. of Prisons No G. 328
8.14.5.25

Law (Edn) Dept

With reference to A of Finance Dept
remarks about the following order is sub-
mitted. In this department no work
on page 17 with reference to the
a.g. the proposed transfer of control
of the Reformatory and Certified schools
the was asked to say whether the budget

Finance Dept
General Office
Criminals
reference to the file
has been made in
no amendment to
sub. under which
act. as the file has
been linked with
it + order]

provision for the schools might continue
under 31 Edn or should be transferred to
25 jails and if the latter the change
might be introduced from 1926-27.

The Audit General in referring
the matter to the Auditor General
stated as follows:-

18-19 and

"I propose to advise the local
Government that when the transfer
of control is given effect to, the charges
of these schools should be recorded
under 25 jails and that there is
no objection to the new classification
being introduced from 1926-27.

24 and

The Auditor General in his
reply has confined himself to the
question of classification of accounts and
approval of the charges of these schools
being booked under 25 jails.


It may be presumed
therefore that the a.g. views that
the classification may be introduced
from 1926-27 has been accepted
by the Auditor General. Submitted
therefore that Finance Dept may be
informed that during the current
year the charges will continue
under 31 Education even though the
control is transferred to the D.G. of Prisons.

In paragraph 1 of his L.G. of Prison's letter on page 11 of the report he refers to the need of issuing instructions in the matter of controlling expenditure and maintenance and submission of accounts and returns on the lines in force in Jail. The rules framed under the Madras Children Act amply provide for the matters referred to by the L.G. eg. rule 28, 49, 50 etc. ~~Thereby~~ The schools were managed ~~well~~ hitherto under these rules of the Education Dept. It is not likely therefore that the Jail Dept will encounter any difficulties in managing them with these rules. If any defects are however found later they may be remedied then.

The L.G. in paragraph 2 of his letter again pleads the necessity for the appointment of a clerk ~~in connection~~ for looking after the work of these schools. In his present letter the L.G. asks for a clerk on Rs 60-4-80 while in his letter of the 8th April he wanted only a clerk on Rs 35-60 grade. Apparently only one clerk is ~~needed~~ desired for this additional work. It is not clear why the L.G. wants a higher paid clerk now.

(Y)
Go 8012(50)
9.6.25

P. 12 of
M 6-7 of



not read in P.

28 26
with reference to U.S. who on page 21 asks it is perhaps not intended to sanction any additional establishment for this work.

The request ^{of the L.G.} for the continuance of Educational Officers for the inspection of certified schools is dealt with in the linked file below.

Finance Dept may see again. Upon issue of orders.

11.6.25

No separate clerk is in charge of the papers relating to Certified Schools in the D.P.I.'s office & it may therefore be difficult to justify the employment of an additional hand in the 16's office. It has however to be remembered that the 16 has stated that the new Scheme for Control of expenditure would lead to an increase of work. While the extra work involved by taking charge of Certified School may not by itself be very great, it is submitted the work in connection with it plus the work in connection with the Control of expenditure ^{may probably} require the services of another clerk. A clerk on 35-60 would seem sufficient.



Law (Em) no. 2866 B/M-8
19.6.25

22623 GR
20.6.25

Finance may see ~~not~~ ^{ref}

VTR
13/6
Fur u. 14/6

Finance (K.R.)

The Law Dept. for reasons given in this note on p. 28 and recommends the appointment of a clerk on Rs 35-60 in the Office of the I. G. of Prisons for work connected with

① the Scheme for the Control of expenditure sanctioned with effect from 1.4.25

and ② the Certified Schools, the Control of which is to be transferred from the D.P.I. to the I. G. of Prisons.

As the extra work thrown on the I. G.'s Office cannot be shirked at present, it seems premature to sanction the additional clerk as a permanent measure. For the present it seems sufficient if a clerk on Rs 35 p.m. is allowed till the end of the current year. The Law Dept. may be requested to mine orders accordingly.

2. Fund: The extra expenditure involved during the current year will be about Rs 280 and should be met from the appropriation of Rs 17100 for the current year under "25 a - Fails & Convict Settlements - Fails - Superintendence - Pay of Establishment."

③ It seems unnecessary to place

Para 12 on p. 6 of
Go 110 Fin. (S)
11.2.25

end February.

374

35 x 8 = 280

P. 148 C.B.E.

place the proposal, as modified in Finance, before the Finance Committee.

On 30.6.25

Mr. Finance (Codes)

No remarks

21/30.6
30/6/25

L. En 1715 B
6/7/25

Fincl. 22623 ER7
6.7.25

30.6.25

30.6.25

Stampy. Clerk on Rs 35/- may be sanctioned

till the end of Feb'y.

2 The I.G. should give full details in

justification of further retention of the clerk after experience, that the clerk is required.

374

Law

Law (En) Dep.

Finance. The order is to be a clerk on Rs 35 a month only till the end of Feb'y 1926 for the present.

A paragraph has been added to the draft order, accordingly.

For orders as to issue in circulation.


Kov

7.7.25

8.7.25

VTK 9.1

C3 Mh 13/7/25



When despatched

Administration of the D.G. of Prisons -
Control of the schools under the Act also
transferred from the D.P.I.

[illegible]

22 22 1110 22 22 29. 9 Prisons 22 9 259 22 26. 4. 1925.

ms

No. 1234 dated 15-7- 192 5

The Government have decided that the Reformatory Schools Act, 1897 and the Madras Children Act, 1920 should henceforward be administered by the Inspector General of Prisons and accordingly direct that the Reformatory and Junior Certified School, Chingleput and the Junior Certified School, Ranipet and the other schools certified under the Madras Children Act be transferred from the control of the Director of Public Instruction.

22 44
The Secy of the D.G. of Prisons.
Amendment to the rules under
the Act consequent on the
above orders will be issued
separately.

2 With the transfer
of the control of these schools
to the Jail Department the
two posts of the Supd of the
Reformatory and Senior Certified
School, Chingleput and of the
Junior Certified School, Ranipet
will be removed from the
cadre of the Madras Educational
Service and included in the
cadre of Superintendents of
District Jails on Rs 400-20-500.

The establishment now
employed in the Reformatory
and Senior Certified School,
Chingleput and the Junior
Certified School, Ranipet
will also be borne on
the Jail Department list.
This sanction is registered
as No. 69 of 1925-26.

3 The Govt also
sanction the appointment of
a clerk on Rs 35 a month

filed already and
referred.
(under no. 111 of 1925)
D.G. of Prisons)

This clerk will ^{in addition} be in charge
of the papers relating to

DESPATCHED

16 JUL 1925

19

The D.G. of Prisons
D.P. 9

Accd from the Finance
Finance Dept
Law (S) Dept

now
7.7.25

VTK
8.7

4 Necessary amendment
to G.O. No. 110 Finance of 11.2.25
will be issued in the Finance
Dept transferring the control of
expenditure connected with these
schools to the D.G. of Prisons.

29 25 44
temporarily till the end of Feb
1926 in the D.G.'s Office to
attend to the work connected
with the scheme for the
control of expenditure. ~~as before~~
The certified schools now transfer
to this control. The extra
expenditure involved should
be met from within the
budget allotment under "25th
Jails and Court Settlements -
Jails - Superintendence - Pay
of Establishments - other".

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN C.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

RETURN TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH EXTRACT.

PAPER NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

a clerk on 16 35 27