

Law (1925) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1206

DATED 11-7-1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Raghava Achariyar Avargal, M.R.V. P.

Initial ~~max~~ pay in the Madras Educational Service raised
from Rs. 350 to Rs. 500 per mensem.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1828	L.E	24.11.24	SO	1644-42	L.E	16.11.21
SO 1699	L.E	5.11.24	Endr	46201-1	L.E	23-9-21
SO 98	L.E	21.1.24	SO	124243	L.E	7.9.21
SO 1179	L.E	21.8.23	SO	1583	H.E	23.12.20
SO 147	L.E	23-1-23	SO	793	H.E	23-6-19
SO 37	L.E	23-1-22	SO	1242	H.E	21-5-19
SO 360	H.E	27-3-19	SO	220-31	H.E	20-2-19
SO 861	H.E	17-3-19				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CF. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.



Received

Registered

192

Subject.

M.R.Ry. P. Raghava Acharya Avargal.--

A. En 3644
28/1/25

Memorial to His Excellency the Governor of Madras requesting higher rate of initial pay in the Madras Educational Service and confirmation in the Indian Educational Service.

(Law 28/1/25)

All papers relating to M.Ry. P. Raghava Acharya have been sent to the P.S. The return of the papers may be awaited.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

N. Ref on Cur No. 47 C/25.

Station Madras, Dated the 26th January 1925.

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esquire, M.A.

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sign

Submitted to Government, Law (Education) Department, with the following remarks :-

Paras 1 to 4 of the memorial.-- No remarks.

Para 5.-- The names and salaries of the officers appointed directly to the Indian Educational Service subsequent to Mr. Raghava Acharya's appointment are given below :-

N a m e.	Date of appointment.	Salary. Rs.
M.R.Ry. K. Ananda Rao Avl.	7- 7-1919	650
Dr. B.B. Dey	16- 4-1920	800
M.R.Ry. G. Nagaratnam Ayyar Avl.	16- 8-1920	1,000
M.R.Ry. S.R.U. Savoor Avl.	17- 2-1921	700
Mr. H.F. Saunders	21- 8-1919	800
M.R.Ry. K.C. Chackko Avl.	18-11-1921	700
Dr. John Matthai	1- 6-1920	1,000

It will be seen that only two officers namely Messrs. G. Nagarathnam Ayyar and John Matthai receive a salary of Rs. 1,000/-, both having been taken into the department on a higher pay than the minimum, in view of their qualifications and previous experience. Dr. Dey's initial salary was also fixed higher than the minimum in view of his previous service in the Indian Educational Service in another Province, while that of Mr. Saunders was fixed with reference to his war service. Mr. K.C. Chackko and Savor were recruited by the Secretary of State on a higher initial pay than the minimum in the Indian Educational Service. Every one of these seven officers has very much higher qualifications than has Mr. Raghava Acharya.

44-50f) Paras 6 and 7.-- The correspondence referred to did not pass through this office.


(P) Para 8.-- The communication referred to has reference to Government Memorandum No. 5099 A-1, Law (Education), dated 19th November 1921.

(V) Para 11.-- Attention is invited to G.O. No. R. 61, Home (Education), dated 17th March 1919.

4527af
(170.f) Paras 12 and 13 and the Annexure to the Memorial.-- Officers Nos. (1) and (3) mentioned in the Annexure were transferred with the colleges in which they were then employed, when the latter were transferred to Government control. No. (2) was specially recruited from North India (Aligarh) for employment as Headmaster, Government Madrasa-i-Azam in view of the lack of higher qualified Muhammadans in this Presidency. No. (4) was started on the minimum pay of the Provincial Educational Service (Now Madras Educational Service) but was afterwards promoted to officiate in the Indian Educational Service and drew the pay of an officer officiating in that service. No. (5) was first appointed in the Indian Educational Service and subsequently confirmed in the Madras Educational Service on Rs. 400 He was, at the time of his appointment under Government, drawing a similar salary in the Pachaiyappa's College, Madras. Nos. (6) and (7) were officiating in the Indian Educational Service till the

3
n 3
introduction of the District Educational Officers' scheme and they were confirmed in the Madras Educational Service on the pay they were then drawing in the Indian Educational Service. The case of Mr. Raghava Acharya is analogous to the cases of Messrs. Guruswami Reddi and Raman Menon. Between these three cases, which are the only cases that are strictly comparable, Mr. K. Guruswami Reddiyar is easily the least competent officer that we have in the Madras Educational Service; Mr. Raghava Acharya though not so good as Mr. V.K. Raman Menon is far superior to Mr. K. Guruswami Reddiyar. I am not aware of the reasons or principles that had weight in fixing the salary of Mr. Raghava Acharya below that of ^{Mr.} K. Guruswami Reddiyar.

(6 am) Para 15.-- M.R.Ry. Raghava Acharya Avargal reverted to the Madras Educational Service on the expiry of the last sanction of the Secretary of State for his officiating appointment in the Indian Educational Service -- vide G.O. No. 1179, Law (Education), dated 21st August 1923.


for Director of Public Instruction.

M. S. S.



Cuddalore, N. I.

Dated... 11th January 1925

To

*L. No 107A
14/1/25*

**His Excellency The Right Honourable
Viscount Goschen of Hawkhurst,
G. C. I. E., C. B. E.
Governor in Council,
FORT ST. GEORGE**

**The Humble Memorial of M. R. Ry. P. Raghava Acharya Ayl.
DISTRICT. EDUCATIONAL OFFICER SOUTH ARCOT**

SHOWETH

May it please your Excellency

That your Excellency's Memorialist is the Son of SIR. P. RAJAGOPALA CHARIAR K. C. S. I. and the Grand son of P. VARADACHARIAR who have served the British Government in high offices for over the last Sixty years.

That Memorialist is a Graduate of the Madras and Oxford Universities, holds the Diploma in Education of the Oxford University and has had experience as a teacher in England.

That Memorialist was directly recruited to act in the INDIAN EDUCATIONAL SERVICE by HIS EXCELLENCY LORD PENTLAND P. C. G. C. I. E. with the approval of the Government of India with a view to permanent appointment therein.

That Memorialist's first appointment was Inspector of Schools VI Circle Tanjore with effect from 29th March 1919.

5) That subsequent to Memorialist's appointment several persons were appointed directly to the Indian Educational Service by the Secretary of State for India, some on the recommendation of the Madras Government and all of them are now drawing a salary of nearly Rs. 1000 a month. That twelve persons holding appointments in the Madras Educational Service were also appointed permanently to the Indian Educational Service by the Secretary of State for India on the recommendation of the Madras Government.

6) That in December 1920 after an acting service of nearly two years Memorialist addressed the Honourable SIR. LIONEL DAVIDSON Member in Charge of Education as to his prospects of confirmation and was assured by the Honourable Member after consulting the Director of Public Instruction that as Memorialist was doing good work he would certainly be confirmed as soon as vacancies arose in the Indian Educational Service Cadre.

7 That Memorialist continued in Service on the strength of that assurance, and continued to act in the Indian Educational Service for another three years without a permanent appointment.

8 That in November 1921, Memorialist received the following official communication from the Director of Public Instruction. "M. R. Ry. P. Raghava Acharya Inspector of Schools Tanjore Dt. is informed that the Government propose to recommend to the Government of India his confirmation in the Indian Educational Service....."

9 That Memorialist was recruited under the old regulations when the period of probation was only for six months. If at the expiry of the six months or within a reasonable time after that period Memorialist had been informed of the intention of Government not to grant him a permanent appointment in the Indian Educational Service, he would have had no cause for complaint. Memorialist is of most humble opinion that five years is a long time for the Government to decide whether Memorialist should be continued permanently or not in the Indian Educational Service and that Memorialist was quite reasonable, especially in view of the facts stated above, in assuming that he would be given a permanent appointment in that Service ultimately as a matter of Justice.

10. That in January 1924 in G. O. No. 98 Law. (Education) Department dated 21-1-24 Memorialist was confirmed in the Madras Educational Service as District Educational Officer Nellore and his salary was fixed at Rs. 350 even though his acting salary at that time was Rs. 550 per mensem.

11. That Memorialist was first appointed on a salary of Rs. 333½ only; after nine months' service he was allowed to draw Rs. 400 and in the subsequent years the usual increments which are only given for approved service. Memorialist most humbly begs to place before your Excellency that the salary of Rs. 550 which Memorialist was then drawing accrued to him only after a long and satisfactory record of service of five years in the Indian Educational Service and that Government by confirming Memorialist on a lower salary in the Madras Educational Service have caused him serious hardship :—

Firstly he was deprived of the benefits of the increments earned by him for approved service,

Secondly he was deprived of his status and seniority as persons who actually worked under him as his subordinates in the past have now become his seniors on higher salaries, and

Thirdly he was deprived of the advantages of the higher time scale of the superior service; the maximum he could get in the M. E. S. being only Rs. 800 while in the I. E. S. he would have received Rs. 1750 in due course.

12 That Memorialist further begs to bring to your Excellency's notice that within the last three years several persons after short periods only of acting service in the Indian Educational Service, and without even that in some cases were appointed by Government to the Madras Educational Service on salaries ranging from Rs. 400 to 600 (as shown in the annexure overleaf).

13 That Memorialist is not aware of the reasons which moved the Government in his particular case to fix his salary at a lower figure than what he was actually receiving at the time.

That on 5-8-1924 Memorialist addressed the HONOURABLE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION requesting that Memorialist's Salary in the Madras Educational Service may be fixed at Rs. 600 which he was then actually in receipt of or else that Memorialist may be permanently appointed to the Indian Educational Service. But Government in G.O. No. 1699 Law (Education) dated 5-11-24 were pleased to state that they saw no reason to modify the orders already passed fixing petitioner's salary in the Madras Educational Service; no orders were passed regarding petitioner's alternative request, viz-confirmation in the Indian Educational Service.

That G. O. No. 98 Law (Education) dated 21-1-24 did not materially affect Memorialist at the time it was passed as he was allowed to continue in the Indian Educational Service on Rs. 600 with prospects of confirmation therein. But on 21-8-1924 Memorialist's acting appointment in the Indian Educational Service was terminated without notice or G. O. while others with shorter Services were allowed to continue and Memorialist had to revert to his appointment in the Madras Educational Service on Rs. 350.

That after a long, continuous and satisfactory service of over five years and a half in the Indian Educational Service and after all the fair promises of permanent appointment therein, the present position of Memorialist is very deplorable, now that he has lost all his Seniority, all his Service and all his Prospects.

That Memorialist most humbly prays that your Excellency will be pleased to enquire fully into the circumstances of his case from the very beginning and see that Justice is done to him.

That Memorialist further most humbly prays that your Excellency will be pleased

(1) to fix his salary in the Madras Educational Service at Rs. 600 and


(2) to address the SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA and have

Memorialist appointed permanently to the Indian Educational Service with retrospective effect from the 29th. March 1919.

For which acts of kindness and charity Memorialist shall as in duty bound be ever grateful to your Excellency.

I have the honour to remain

Your Excellency's most humble and obedient Servant


District Educational Officer,

South Arcot.

Memorialist

Copy submitted to the Honourable Minister for Education, Fort St.,
George, Madras.


District Educational Officer,

South Arcot.

4
ANNEXURE

List of Persons appointed to the Madras Educational Service on Rs. 400--600.

		Present Pay.
1.	M. R. Ry. P. Sankunni apptd. on 1-4-1919. confirmed in the M. E. S. 25-7-21 on Rs. 450	550.
2.	„ Muhammad Sahib Bahadur Apptd. 10-4-20 confirmed in the M. E. S. 10-4-20 on Rs. 400	450.
3.	„ K. S. Vaidyanatha Ayyar Apptd. 1-6-19 confirmed in the M. E. S. 25-7-21	425.
4.	„ C. T. Parthasarathi Mudaliar Apptd. 1-6-1919 on Rs. 400 Now retired	—
5.	„ T. B. Krishnasaway Mudaliar Apptd. } confirmed 10-7-1922 to act in the I. E. S. } in the M. E. S. 11-12-22 on Rs. 400	425.
6.	„ K. Gurusamy Reddiar Apptd. 15-12-1919 confirmed in the M. E. S. 1-2-1923 on 600	650.
7.	„ V. K. Raman Menon Apptd 10-1-1921 } confirmed to act in the I. E. S. } in the M. E. S. 1-2-23 on Rs. 500	550.

Memorialist- Apptd. 29-3-1919 to act in } confirmed in
the I. E. S. } the M. E. S.
Pay from 29-3-1919 Rs. 333 1/3 } with effect
to 20-8-24 to 600 } from 1-9-23 on Rs. 350 375.

[Signature]
District Educational Officer,
South Arcot.

Cuddalore, N. I.

Dated... 11th January 1925

To

His Excellency The Right Honourable
Viscount Goschen of Hawkhurst,
G. C. I. E., C. B. E.
Governor in Council,
FORT ST. GEORGE

The Humble Memorial of M. R. Ry. P. Raghava Acharya Ayl.

DISTRICT. EDUCATIONAL OFFICER SOUTH ARCOT

SHOWETH

May it please your Excellency

That your Excellency's Memorialist is the Son of SIR. P. RAJAGOPALA CHARIAR K. C. S. I. and the Grand son of P. VARADACHARIAR who have served the British Government in high offices for over the last Sixty years.

That Memorialist is a Graduate of the Madras and Oxford Universities, holds the Diploma in Education of the Oxford University and has had experience as a teacher in England.

That Memorialist was directly recruited to act in the INDIAN EDUCATIONAL SERVICE by HIS EXCELLENCY LORD PENTLAND P. C. G. C. I. E. with the approval of the Government of India with a view to permanent appointment therein.

That Memorialist's first appointment was Inspector of Schools VI Circle Tanjore with effect from 29th March 1919.

That subsequent to Memorialist's appointment several persons were appointed directly to the Indian Educational Service by the Secretary of State for India, some on the recommendation of the Madras Government and all of them are now drawing a salary of nearly Rs. 1000 a month. That twelve persons holding appointments in the Madras Educational Service were also appointed permanently to the Indian Educational Service by the Secretary of State for India on the recommendation of the Madras Government.

That in December 1920 after an acting service of nearly two years Memorialist addressed the Honourable SIR. LIONEL DAVIDSON Member in Charge of Education as to his prospects of confirmation and was assured by the Honourable Member after consulting the Director of Public Instruction that as Memorialist was doing good work he would certainly be confirmed as soon as vacancies arose in the Indian Educational Service Cadre.

2
That Memorialist continued in Service on the strength of that assurance, and continued to act in the Indian Educational Service for another three years without a permanent appointment.

8 That in November 1921, Memorialist received the following official communication from the Director of Public Instruction. "M. R. Ry. P. Raghava Acharya Inspector of Schools Tanjore Dt. is informed that the Government propose to recommend to the Government of India his confirmation in the Indian Educational Service....."

9 That Memorialist was recruited under the old regulations when the period of probation was only for six months. If at the expiry of the six months or within a reasonable time after that period Memorialist had been informed of the intention of Government not to grant him a permanent appointment in the Indian Educational Service, he would have had no cause for complaint. Memorialist is of most humble opinion that five years is a long time for the Government to decide whether Memorialist should be continued permanently or not in the Indian Educational Service and that Memorialist was quite reasonable, especially in view of the facts stated above, in assuming that he would be given a permanent appointment in that Service ultimately as a matter of Justice.

10 That in January 1924 in G. O. No. 98 Law. (Education) Department dated 21-1-24 Memorialist was confirmed in the Madras Educational Service as District Educational Officer Nellore and his salary was fixed at Rs. 350 even though his acting salary at that time was Rs. 550 per mensem.

11 That Memorialist was first appointed on a salary of Rs. 333½ only; after nine months' service he was allowed to draw Rs. 400 and in the subsequent years the usual increments which are only given for approved service. Memorialist most humbly begs to place before your Excellency that the salary of Rs. 550 which Memorialist was then drawing accrued to him only after a long and satisfactory record of service of five years in the Indian Educational Service and that Government by confirming Memorialist on a lower salary in the Madras Educational Service have caused him serious hardship:—

Firstly he was deprived of the benefits of the increments earned by him for approved service,

Secondly he was deprived of his status and seniority as persons who actually worked under him as his subordinates in the past have now become his seniors on higher salaries, and

Thirdly he was deprived of the advantages of the higher time scale of the superior service; the maximum he could get in the M. E. S. being only Rs. 800 while in the I. E. S. he would have received Rs. 1750 in due course.

12 That Memorialist further begs to bring to your Excellency's notice that within the last three years several persons after short periods only of acting service in the Indian Educational Service, and without even that in some cases were appointed by Government to the Madras Educational Service on salaries ranging from Rs. 400 to 600 (as shown in the annexure overleaf).

13 That Memorialist is not aware of the reasons which moved the Government in his particular case to fix his salary at a lower figure than what he was actually receiving at the time.

14 That on 5-8-1924 Memorialist addressed the HONOURABLE MINISTER FOR EDUCATION requesting that Memorialist's Salary in the Madras Educational Service may be fixed at Rs. 600 which he was then actually in receipt of or else that Memorialist may be permanently appointed to the Indian Educational Service. But Government in G.O. No. 1699 Law (Education) dated 5-11-24 were pleased to state that they saw no reason to modify the orders already passed fixing petitioner's salary in the Madras Educational Service; no orders were passed regarding petitioner's alternative request, viz-confirmation in the Indian Educational Service.

3
7(c)
13
That G. O. No. 98 Law (Education) dated 21-1-24 did not materially affect Memorialist at the time it was passed as he was allowed to continue in the Indian Educational Service on Rs. 600 with prospects of confirmation therein. But on 21-8-1924 Memorialist's acting appointment in the Indian Educational Service was terminated without notice or G. O. while others with shorter Services were allowed to continue and Memorialist had to revert to his appointment in the Madras Educational Service on Rs. 350.

That after a long, continuous and satisfactory service of over five years and a half in the Indian Educational Service and after all the fair promises of permanent appointment therein, the present position of Memorialist is very deplorable, now that he has lost all his Seniority, all his Service and all his Prospects.

That Memorialist most humbly prays that your Excellency will be pleased to enquire fully into the circumstances of his case from the very beginning and see that Justice is done to him.

That Memorialist further most humbly prays that your Excellency will be pleased

(1) to fix his salary in the Madras Educational Service at Rs. 600 and


(2) to address the SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA and have

Memorialist appointed permanently to the Indian Educational Service with retrospective effect from the 29th. March 1919.

For which acts of kindness and charity Memorialist shall as in duty bound be ever grateful to your Excellency.

I have the honour to remain

Your Excellency's most humble and obedient Servant



District Educational Officer,

South Arcot.

Memorialist

Submitted to the Director of Public Instruction

Madras.


District Educational Officer,

South Arcot.

ANNEXURE

List of Persons appointed to the Madras Educational Service on Rs. 400-600.

		Present Pay.
1.	M. R. Ry. P. Sankunni apptd. on 1-4-1919. confirmed in the M. E. S. 25-7-21 on Rs. 450	550.
2.	„ Muhammad Sahib Bahadur Apptd. 10-4-20 confirmed in the M. E. S. 10-4-20 on Rs. 400	450.
3.	„ K. S. Vaidyanatha Ayyar Apptd. 1-6-19 confirmed in the M. E. S. 25-7-21	425.
4.	„ C. T. Parthasarathi Mudaliar Apptd. 1-6-1919 on Rs. 400 Now retired	—
5.	„ T. B. Krishnasawv Mudaliar Apptd. } confirmed 10-7-1922 to act in the I. E. S. } in the M. E. S. 11-12-22 on Rs. 400	425.
6.	„ K. Gurusamy Reddiar Apptd. 15-12-1919 confirmed in the M. E. S. 1-2-1923 on 600	650.
7.	„ V. K. Raman Menon Apptd 10-1-1921 } confirmed to act in the I. E. S. } in the M. E. S. 1-2-23 on Rs. 500	550.

Memorialist- Apptd. 29-3-1919 to act in the I. E. S. confirmed in the M. E. S. with effect from 29-3-19 Rs. 333 1/3 to 20-8-24 to 600 from 1-9-23 on Rs. 350 375.

District Educational Officer,
South Arcot.

Law (Education) Department

Memo No 364 A-4 8/1-6-25

The D.P.I. is informed that in modification of the orders issued in G.O.No.98 Law(Edn) dated 21st January 1924, it is proposed to fix the initial pay of M.R.Ry.P. Ragava Achari in the M.E.S at Rs.500 p.m. with effect from the 21st August 1924. The Director is requested to submit through the Acct. a re-appropriation statement to meet the extra expenditure to be incurred in the current offl.year.

The D.P.I.

Remind after three weeks

DESPATCHED

2 JUN 1925

V.T.R.
15.5

Law(Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received.....192 . Registered.....192 .

Subject.

M.R.Ry P.Raghava Acharya Avergal,
 Reappropriation statement for meeting the extra cost
 on account of raising the pay of- in the Madras
 Educational Service-

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R. C. No. 47 C/25

Spare copies.....

Station Madras, Dated the 10/6/25 192From (Name) R.G.Grieve Esq., M.A.,(Designation) g. Director of Public InstructionMadras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education)

Department.

Through the Accountant General, Madras

Sir,

With reference to Government Memo No.364 A-4
 dated 1- 6- 1925, I have the honour to submit herewith
 a reappropriation statement for meeting the extra
 expenditure to be incurred in the current official
 year in connection with the fixing of the initial pay
 of M.R.Ry P.Raghava Acharya Avergal in the Madras
 Educational Service at Rs.500 per mensem with effect
 from 21- 8- 1924.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

A Rama Ray 13/6

for Director of Public Instruction

Copy to the A section with a copy of reappropriation statement.

A.R. 12/6/25

T. & A. 32.

RE-APPROPRIATION STATEMENT to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget,
 or for additional allotments.

DEPARTMENT OR OFFICE.

Major, minor, sub and detailed heads of budget (a).	HEADS OF ESTIMATE PROPOSED TO BE INCREASED.				HEADS OF ESTIMATE PROPOSED TO BE REDUCED				REMARKS
	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount of additional allotment required.	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount available for re-appropriation.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
31. E(b) Education-General-Inspection i-Inspection Men's branch- Pay of officers- voted.	Rs. 1,03,000	1,05,460		Rs. 2460	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	(a) Directors letter to Govt R.C.No.287 A of 25 dated 22- 5- 25 as amended by and Go.1054 Law (Edy.) 26/6/25
31. D(a) Education-special-Government special schools-i- Training schools masters- Pay of establishments-voted.								2460	

(a) The same detail must be given as in the printed Civil Budget Estimate.

NOTE.- If no re-appropriation is possible, the urgency and necessity for the expenditure must be fully explained.

Dated


192

G.A.70-937

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, 22nd. June 1925.

Enclosures.



Forwarded to the Secretary to Government,
Law Education Department.

2. There is no audit objection to the pay of
the officer being fixed at Rs.500/- in the Madras Edu-
cational Service ^{scale} from 21-8-1924 under Fundamental
Rule 27.

3. The reappropriation proposed requires the
sanction of Government in the Finance Department.

Assistant Accountant General.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law (Education) Department.

V.B.



GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

Madras Governor's Camp.
14th January 1925.

Dear Mr. Krishnamachariyar,

I am to ask you please to send
me the file relating to P.Raghava Acharya,
District Educational Officer, South Arcot for
His Excellency's perusal.

Yours sincerely,

Elwood

M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur V.T.Krishnama Achariyar Avl.,
Secretary to Government,
Law Department, Fort St.George,
Madras.

Complete papers relating to the appointment
of Mr. P. Raghava Acharya in the I.E.S.
submitted and may be forwarded to the P.S.G.

A précis of Mr. Raghava Chari's case
will be found on pp 11-17 of G.O. no. 1828
Law (Education) of 24. 11. 1924. (Please see
also routine notes on pp 15-17 of G.O. 1699 of 11.2.25)
Law Ed. no 107A-1/17.1.25 V.T.K. 19.1 P.S.G. uo

These are ^{the} papers
about P. Ragava Acharya
which H.M. wanted.

V.T.
20.2

C₃

Thanks.

I see that the case is
under reference to the
Secy of State.

If the Hon Minister has
no objection, I should
like to see it at the
next stage.

R.L.

20/2/25

(vide p 6 c.f.)

(vide pp 2-3 c.f.)

Mr. Ragava Acharya requests that his
Salary in the M.E.S. may be fixed
at Rs. 600, and the D.P. ^{apparently} [support]
the request. Submitted for orders
whether the consideration of this
question should ~~also~~ be deferred
till the receipt of the orders of the Secretary of State and
~~be sent to the Secretary of State for confirmation~~
his ~~request~~ for confirmation
in the Indian Educational Service.

Encl
24.2.25

NK
24/2/25

24.2.25

V.T.
25/2

I took this case from P.S.G. to show
to H.M.C.

This is H.M.'s intention.

2) I obtained the file from the P.S.G.
to show to H.M.C. It may now go
to him.

V.T.
27.2

P.S.G. u.o.



I agree we may await the
orders of S.O.S.

9.

2.iii.25

The Government of India have
since communicated the orders of

(vide copy at K)

of the Secretary of State ^{declining} ~~that~~ ^(K)
~~unable~~ & sanction the confirmation

of Mr. P. Raghava Chariyar in
 the I.E.S. A copy of this order
~~has been~~ ^{is being} separately communicated

to the D.P.I. The remaining outstanding

question on which ~~are~~ ^{are} required is

the pay to be granted to Mr. P.

Raghava Chariyar in the M.E.S.

Attention is attracted to the facts on pages 15-19 of notes to Govt. 1699 of 5-11-24 and
 the file is resubmitted for order. also to the notes on pgs 11-13 ^{also}

This
 12/3/25

Nos
 1513

R
 12.3.25

Reference is requested, on this
 point, to the D.P.I's recommenda-
 -tion in paragraph on page 3. c.f.

2) There are three officers in whose
 case it has to be decided what salary
 they should draw in the M.E.S.

These are:- ① P. Raghava Achariyar

② G.K. Chettur

③ D.S. Reddi

④ Started Service
 in the M.E.S.

These officers started service in the
 I.E.S and are now ~~confirmed~~ ^{re-appointed} to the M.E.S.

3) According to the orders passed
 now (against which numbers 1+2
 above have protested) their pay
 would be:-

Name of Officer
 (1)

(1) M.R. Ry. P. Raghava
 Achariyar

(2) " G.K. Chettur

(3) " D.S. Reddi

Mr. Reddi's memorial
 in the M.E.S. file

Period for which
 officiated in -
 the I.E.S

Y M D

Date of -
 reversion
 to M.E.S

(3)

Pay in the
 I.E.S be-
 fore rever-
 sion.

(4)
 Rs.

Pay in
 M.E.S
 after
 rever-
 sion.

(5)
 Rs.

5 4 25 21-8-24

600

350

2 3 0 27-1-25

500

300

1 6 0 12-12-24

450

275

4. Nos (1) and (2) request that, following precedent,
 their pay in the M.E.S may be fixed at what they
 were drawing at the time of their reversion to
 the M.E.S. As the D.P.I points out, the prece-
 -dents support this request. In the cases of ~~Mr.~~
 V.K. Raman Menon and K. Guruswami Reddiyar, this
 principle was followed and their cases are -
 analogous to those of the officers now in -
 question. Every one of these officers has spent
 several years in English Universities and it
 seems to me, subject to a report from the D.P.I
 about the work of officers ~~and~~ ^{Mr.} (3), that it would
 be equitable to apply to them the principle
 followed in the case of the other two officers.
 This course will not cause any embarrassment as
 there is no other case of this sort likely to
 come up before the Govt. The only other acting
 officer in the I.E.S (not belonging to the M.E.S.)
 is Mr. Parameswaran whose ~~the~~ acting term has
 been extended with the sanction of the Govt. of
 India and who has been allowed recently the
 benefit of the 'pay by age' rule.

On (1) there is a
 report in the c.f.
 and on (2) in the linked file



For 11020

12.3.25

Recd for

27/3/25

VTK
 18.3

Finance U.O.

Of the three officers mentioned in the note of the Law Department in p. 16 supra, only two have put in further representations. This file concerns ^{the} memorall of Mr. P. Raghava Achariyar. The linked file relates to Mr. Chettur. These officers were acting in the I.E.S. ~~in the~~ ^{without} substantive appointments. In (D) Mr. Raghava Achariyar was confirmed in the M.E.S. with an initial pay of Rs 375. Mr. Chettur concerned in the linked file was given an initial pay of Rs 275 in the M.E.S. without any substantive post. Law (Education) propose to fix their initial pay and that of Mr. D.S. Reddi, who has ~~not~~ ^{not} petitioned, in the M.E.S., at the rate of officiating pay which they were drawing in the I.E.S. without a substantive post. The only argument adduced in favour of the proposal is that similar treatment was accorded in the case of Mr. Raman Menon and Mr. Guruswami Reddiar. Law have not put up these cases but it is mentioned in Finance note in p. 8 of (D) that the cases are not to be followed as precedents. ~~They~~ ^{They} say that if those precedents are followed in the present cases, it will not cause much embarrassment as there is only one other officer, Mr. Parameswaran, who acts in the I.E.S. without a substantive appointment. On merits it will not be right to fix the initial substantive pay of any officer on the basis of the officiating pay drawn by him. If we do so in this case, other departments may come forward for similar treatment in regard to their officers and the treatment may have to be extended to the higher and lower ranks of any department.

Messrs Raghava Achariyar and Chettur would ordinarily have had to start at the bottom of their ^{Cadre} M.E.S. ladder on Rs 250 and they already received the concession of being started at stages which they

to 119 Land (on)
27. 1. 25

(B) in the linked file.

(X) since petitioned -
via known file

(Y)
10/1/25
31.1.23

18
would have reached if they had put in the years they had spent in the I.E.S. in the M.E.S. cadre. Finance have ~~can~~ to resist the proposal. Further Concessions which the present proposal involves.

K.R

2/4/25 The note of Sir Chas. Todhunter at PP12-13


790 98/21.1.24 deals fully with the principles that should be applied to such cases

2 It has been decided that Mr Raghava Acharya is not fit for the I.E.S. & that he is fit only for the M.E.S. The proposition that his pay should be fixed as if he had spent all his service in the M.E.S. is quite a fair one. & there is no justification for starting him on the pay he was drawing in the I.E.S. which was more than his qualifications deserved. The principle suggested by Law Dept is of general application & it would be a very dangerous matter to admit it in these cases.

(2)

File no. 11020-1 631
4/4/25

L. En 1245H
4/4/25



Law (Enr)

Submitted

C.R.
6.4.25

Are there any cases other than these three

V.TK 6.4

M2.
C2

8/19

14

In the case of Mr Raghavachari who acted for 5 years and 4 months in the I.E.S. is different from those of Chettur, Reddi & Paramaswami. These latter are not entitled to any concession unless the provisional scale is revised.

But the case of Mr Raghavachari, who could have been confirmed in the ordinary cadre but for a report to the D.O. & who which I did not see, deserves special consideration and the recommendation report may be sent -

8/4.25

I find it very difficult to get away from the opinion expressed by Sir C Todhunter in the notes to G.O. 98 of 21.1.24 & by myself in the notes to

(D)

(N)

G.O. 1699 dated 5.11.24.

The differences between the cases

of the three officers are of degree rather than of kind.

It does not appear right to fix a man's pay near the top of the provincial scale when he has been found unfit for the all India service.

I am willing to accept H.E.'s

A.

decision on the point

and in case of R.S.

10/4/25

Submitted

[Memorials from Messrs. Chellam + Sadasiva Reddi are in the two linked files below]

The Case of Mr. Raghavachari

is quite different from the

one of Mr. R. C. S. + Mr. S. S. S.

and I think the Registrar the

Memorial of Mr. Raghavachari

is justifiable in Equity -

29/4/25


As a general rule where Confirmed in the I.E.S. was not guaranteed or assured, the no claim for higher initial salary or reason to M.E.S. can be sustained and amount shd not grant any increase.

This general principle covers the cases of Messrs Chellam + Sadasiva Reddy -

2. The Case of Mr. P. Raghavachari is slightly different as he acted for five years and when the provincialisation of services was not contemplated; as the decision was so long pending that some concession in his case would appear justifiable -

It is therefore suggested that the average salary for 52 years in I.E.S. or Rs 500 as initial salary which was lower may be fixed as the initial salary in his case.

3. In the case of Vankarman as it would raise the case of large number of other officers



It is inadvisable to make 16
a Censor in his particular
Case, though he has a fair
Case.

His case is otherwise being considered
for promotion.

16/5.25.

31

1/5/25

9.

7.11.25

Law (Education) Department

With reference to M's orders at 'A' on p.10
ante, it is submitted that the pay admissible to
an officer of the I.E.S. ^{who has put in} 5 years' service
is Rs.600 per mensem. Mr. Ragavachari was also
in receipt of a pay of Rs.600 p.m. at the time of
his reversion to the M.E.S. In accordance with the
orders of M. ^{and his Executive} Mr. Ragavachari's initial pay in the
M.E.S may be fixed at Rs.500 p.m. from the 21st
August 1924, the date on which he reverted to that
service. The extra expenditure to be incurred in
the current year will come to about Rs. 3,353.
The D.P.I may be asked to submit a re-appropriation
statement ^{to} the Accountant-General. Draft o.m.
submitted for approval. It may issue with the
concurrence of Finance.

19187



Law (Education) 362 A-3 13/5/25
18.5.25

14.5.25 16.5
Finance 11.0

Finance (C.S.V)

The note of Law (Edu) at "B" in p 11 supra seems to express the intention of the decision of the Hail M2 at "A" at p 10 supra which was agreed in by H.E. (Cf. the scale of Basic pay for S.E.S. officers as per schedule I, p 6 in the Superior Service Rules (E2)). The draft may be accepted. ~~and it is~~
~~not possible to say if it is~~
~~not possible to say if it is~~
~~not possible to say if it is~~



CLG, 24/5/25

Now how please circulate to 24?

Law (Edu)

26/5/25

Finance No 19187 (C.S.V)-1
26/5/25

Submitted.

CL 26.5.25 V.T.K. 27.5

M2

27/5-25

C4

The case is decided. I have nothing to say.

Let

25/5/25



Issued as memo. no. 364 A-48 1.6.25
to the S.P.S., Madras.

[C.No. 2196A] from the S.P.S., P.C. no. 47 of 25
2/10.6.25.

The S.P.S. has sent a reappropriation statement & met the extra cost on account of the raising of the pay of Mr. P. Maghavan Chavira to draft order sanctioning the revision of the pay already fixed is submitted. It may be sent to Finance for acceptance. The reappropriation proposed requires the sanction of Government in the Finance Dept.



26.6.25

26/6/25

CL 26.6.25

V.T.K. 29.6

Fin 11.0

* P. 12 ante
+ P. 8 C. 7.

Law S. no 364 A-5

30.6.25



File 23703

27/25

Finance C.S.R.

The draft may be accepted

1/9/25

This Finance Budget

Finance (C.S.V)

The reappropriation statements on pp. 10 & 11 C.F. have been amended with reference to the remarks on the last referred to therein in the Remarks Column. The Law (Edu) may therefore be.

$\frac{2416}{29.6}$ V.T.C.

for copying
ed
ned
d

192

D. No.
192

, DATED

No.

C. No.

ERNMENT

A A A A A A A

VERNMENT.

SH DITTO.

ILED OF, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
NAL.

opy in full.
ot to be copied.
opy abstract only.
py heading only.