

Education)
Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1201

DATED 11 - 7 - 1925

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question.

Municipal High School, Tiruvannamalai--Mr. T. Adinarayana
Chettiyar.

2
Council Office.

3
30-9-24.

Question.

Mr. T. Adinarayana Chettiyar.

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

1299
1254. Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state--

(a) whether it is a fact that the Municipal High school at Tiruvannamalai was recognised some two years back;

(b) whether there is another High school maintained by the mission;

(c) whether the people petitioned the Director to recognise the Municipal High school as it is non-sectarian; whether the recognition was delayed for three years and the Minister had to interfere;

(d) whether the municipal school is not self-supporting; what is the net cost for the year 1922-23 for the municipal high school;

(e) what is the amount of contribution paid to the municipality on this account; what is the amount of grant given to the

Clause (a) of the question.

Attention is solicited to G.O., No. 1934 L & M, dated 14-10-22, in which the Government approved the action of the Municipal Council, Tiruvannamalai, in having opened higher forms in the secondary school under its control. Apparently the D.P.I. accorded recognition to the school after the above G.O. was issued.

Clause (b) of the question

There is another high school in Tiruvannamalai, maintained by the Danish Mission, known as the Danish Mission High School.

Clause (c) of the question.

Please see pages 1 and 2 of G.O., No. 1934 L & M, dated 14-10-22 and the note to the Council stitched with the G.O. It will be observed therefrom that the Council addressed the D.P.I. for the recognition of the higher forms in June, 1921. The matter was under correspondence between the Government, the D.P.I., and the Council till 14-10-22 when the opening of the higher forms was approved by Government. It is not known when exactly the recognition was granted by the D.P.I.

Clause (d) of ^{the} question.

The Municipal high school is not self-supporting. The receipts and expenditure of the Council on account of the school in 1922-23 were Rs 5,033 and Rs 7,793, respectively. Therefore the net cost of the school was Rs 2,760.

Clause (e) of the question.

The Municipal school receives a fixed subsidy of Rs 492 a year. ~~The Government do not make any contribution to the Municipality towards the net cost of the~~

page 9 of G.O. 17 L & M
3-1-24

(B)

(L)
last page of (L)

taken from the
administration report
of the Municipality

(B) G.O. 17 L & M
3-1-24

Rs. 1173
18/7/24

(A)

4
~~3~~. The D.P.I. may be requested to give information about the grant given to the Mission high school.

Clause (f) of the question.

The D.P.I. may be requested to furnish the information.

Clause (g) of the question.

No application for equipment grant for the Municipal high school is traceable in this department. L.S.G. may be consulted. / A

2. The D.P.I. may be requested U.O. to furnish the particulars asked for in clauses (a), (c), (e) and (f) and to state whether any application for equipment grant was received from the Municipal Council.

CPA
13.10.24
SIX
15.10.24

CA
16.10.24

V.TK
17.10
D.P.I. UO

Law (En) no 2794 B-1
18-10-24



P.T.O.

Question 1299
at the meeting
held on 3.12.24

5

U.O. Reply No. 2014 B/24 21-11-24

The facts are as follows:-

Clause (a). Forms IV and V in the school were opened in 1921 and 1922 respectively and Government approved the action of the Chairman, Municipal Council in G.O.No.1934 L & M dated 14-10-1922. The Chairman thereafter applied for recognition of those forms and the Inspector of schools recommended it. The Inspector was informed that no formal recognition was necessary as the classes were opened with the permission of Government. Last year the Director accorded permission for the opening of Form VI on 27th July 1923 and the Chairman, Municipal Council, was asked to apply for recognition within 6 months. No application for recognition has yet reached me.

Recognition dates from the issue of the Government Order approving the action of the Chairman of the Municipal Council that is, from the 14th of October 1922.

Clause (c). No petition from the people for the recognition of this school was received in the office of the D.P.I. The Chairman of the Municipal Council applied for recognition in April 1921 and the matter was under correspondence in view of the facts that the conditions of accommodation, staff, etc., did not comply with the rules on the subject. Government however approved the opening of Forms IV and V in G.O.No.1934 L & M dated 14th October 1922 which disposed of the question.

The answer to both queries in this clause is in the negative.

Clause (e). The teaching grants paid to the Mission school during the last three years are as follows:-

1922-23	Rs. 5058
1923-24	5472
1924-25	5776

Government

Government may desire to know that according to the latest printed returns the strength of the Mission school was about 450, while that of the Municipal school was about 150.

Clause (f). No building or equipment grants have been given to either schools since the 14th October 1922 when permission was given to the Municipal school to open forms IV and V.

Clause (g). No application for equipment grant has been received in my office on behalf of the Municipal High School, Tiruvannamalai since its recognition.

Li. "nt



Law (Edu) Deptt.

The following draft answer is submitted for approval:—

Answer.

Clause (a) The Govt approved the opening of forms IV & V in the Municipal Secondary School, Tiruvannamalai on the 14th October 1922. No application has yet reached the D. P. I. for the recognition of form VI.

L in linked file T p 3+5 ante

(b) yes.

(c) No.

~~(c) No further recognition given~~

~~for the recognition of form VI~~

~~which was recommended by the~~

~~Govt. The Government of the~~

Clause (d)

Page 3 ante

Clause (e)

Page 3 ante

The strength of school is 450 as against Municipal school 150

Clause (f)

Clause (g)

No; the net cost of the high school for 1922-23 was Rs. 2,760.

The Municipal Council receives a fixed subsidy of Rs. 492 a year towards the cost of maintenance of the ^{Municipal} High school. ~~.....~~ The teaching grants paid to the Mission High school during the last two years and the current year are given below:—

1922-23	Rs. 5,058
1923-24	Rs. 5,472
1924-25	Rs. 5,776

No building or equipment grants have been given to either school since the 14th October 1922 when Govt. approved the opening of forms IV and V in the Municipal school.

The answer to the first part of the question is in the negative."

2. The file may be sent u.o. to L.S.G. for acceptance of the draft answer and with reference to A on p. 4 ante.

C.P.A.
S.K.
10.11.24.

10.11.24

V.T.K. 11.11

L.S.G. u.o

L.S.G. sept.

A on p. 4. ante.

No paper refusing equipment grant to the mil. high school, Tiruvannamalai is traceable in this dept either. It is however for consideration whether instead of giving a categorical answer in the negative to the



31985

The draft ^{answer} may be accepted in this
dept & the file returned to Law (Edu) no.
That dept. may be requested to circulate
the file to M, also.

Cen

13.11.24

Cen.
13/11

To Mr. X. M.

L.S.G. No 31985-1 L.M.
14.11.24.

From

14.11.24.


Sey

Law (Edu) no.

Law (Edu) Dept.

May be circulated to M & M for

approval.

CR
15.11.24M₂M₁M₁ 19.11.24Law (Edu) no 2794 B.3.
20/11/243207
L. E. 3206 B

15/11/24

Please despatch
answer to the
on 16-7 to Ch. office.M
20/11 C.P.D.
20/11/24

Local Boards and Municipal Councils.

The Municipal High School at Tiruvannamalai.

1299 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government and the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

- whether it is a fact that the Municipal High School at Tiruvannamalai was recognized some two years back;
- whether there is another high school maintained by the mission;
- whether the people petitioned the Director to recognize the municipal high school as it is non-sectarian, whether the recognition was delayed for three years and the Minister had to interfere;
- whether the municipal school is not self-supporting, what is the net cost for the year 1922-23 for the municipal high school;
- what is the amount of contribution paid to the municipality on this account and what is the amount of grant given to the mission school;
- what is the amount of building and equipment grants given to both the mission high school and municipal high school after recognition as high schools up to date; and
- whether it is a fact that the municipal high school applied for equipment grant after recognition and it was refused; if so, why?

A.—(a) The Government approved the opening of forms IV and V in the Municipal Secondary school, Tiruvannamalai, on the 14th October 1922. No application has yet reached the Director of Public Instruction for the recognition of form VI.

(b) Yes.

(c) No.

(d) No; the net cost (deficit) of the high school for 1922-23 was Rs. 2,760.

(e) The Municipal Council receives a fixed subsidy of Rs. 492 a year towards the cost of maintenance of the Municipal High school. The teaching grants paid to the Mission High school, the strength of which is 450 as against 150 pupils in the Municipal school, are given below:—

	Rs.
1922-23	5,058
1923-24	5,472
1924-25	5,776

(f) No building or equipment grants have been given to either school since the 14th October 1922 when Government approved the opening of forms IV and V in the Municipal school.

(g) The answer to the first part of the question is in the negative.

Law (Edu) Dept.

Legislative Council Question.

Municipal High

School at Tiruvannamalai.

Mr. T. Adinarayana

Notes recorded.

Chettiyar

Dr.
8/7/25K.M.
2-7-25

The notes may be

Order 1201 d/11-7-25

Rise

Recorded.

CR
9.7.25

P

3

don (am) 2004

Order 1061 11-5-12
Rear

Legation Council
Municipal
Mr. J. Abington
Chapman

Office
11/11/12

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.--

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.