

Law (Edu) (1924) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 106

DATED 24-1-25

25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grants. Teaching Institutions newly admitted to aid provision for 1925-26 - papers Recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F. 30-18,658-3-10-23.

LAW (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



192

Registered

h. Cu 3854/192

18/10/24

Subject.

d. Cu 2933 B

18/10/24

Budget Estimate for 1925-26 - Part II -

Supplemental scheme - Submitting.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.P. No. A-5/24-25.

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 17th October 1924 192

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION)

Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.O.P.No. A-5/24-25 dated 4th October 1924, I have the honour to submit herewith Part II supplemental of the Budget Estimate of charges for 1925-26 relating to expenditure on new schemes and to request that the necessary provision for the scheme mentioned therein may be included in the budget for next year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Donner

for Director of Public Instruction

P.R.K. 17/10

Part II - 1925-26 Supplemental

2/3

Name of Scheme	Ultimate Cost	Cost in 1925-26	Remarks
31 B (b) (II) Education - Secondary Direct Grant to non Govt Secondary Schools. Indian Schools. Teaching Grant - Boys.	Rs	Rs	
Muslim High School, Triplicane	2400	2400	Based on probable requirements. The approximate receipts and charges are estimated at Rs 4720 and Rs 9450 respectively during the year 1924-25. The institution is a new one started this year and has classes IV and V and forms I to VI and will be eligible for aid only in 1925-26.

9450
14720
23650
23650

For Director of Public Instruction
Madras
16.10.24

Telephonic Message, dated 24th October, 1924. 3

From The Senior Superintendent,
Director of Public Instruction's Office,
Madras. 4

To
The Superintendent, Law (Education),
Madras.

REFERENCE:- Your Telephonic Message dated 23-10-24 regarding
Teaching Grants to Colleges and Schools in
1925-26.


The Intermediate classes of the Colleges and four out of
the five Secondary Schools will work for a full year during 1924-25
while the B.A. Classes of the Colleges and the other schools will work
only for nine months in 1924-25. Though the latter work only for less
than 12 months in 1924-25, they will earn higher grants in the initial
year 1925-26 than in succeeding years as the outlay by the management
after ~~excluding~~ items of expenditure for which special grants may be
sanctioned will be larger in proportion as compared with receipts.
Hence cost in 1925-26 is shown as the same as the ultimate cost. The
allotments will not therefore admit of any reduction.

Narayanabawa
Senior Superintendent,
Office of the Director of Public Instruction.

Post Copy to,-

The Superintendent,
Law (Education) Department,
Madras.

M.R.P.24/10.
h
mto.



No	Item	cost in 1925-26 Rs	ultimate cost Rs	Remarks
31 A	(a) (ii) Education - University			Transferred. Direct grants to Non-Government Arts colleges
+	<u>Teaching grants</u>			
✓	National College, Trichinopoly (1)	6000	-	The college which is affiliated in groups IV and VA, of the B.A. (pass) course will seek aid as a first grade college during 1925-26. its receipts and charges being taken as Rs 14,000 & Rs 26,000 respectively. It may be mentioned here that the institution is aided at present as a Second grade college, under chapter IV of the Grants-in-aid code; but on account of the excess fee collection in the School Department the amount of grant actually disbursed is small. It is not therefore, possible to assess with any accuracy the grants of previous years that could be allocated to the Intermediate classes. 6500 26000 x 3 45 19500 14000 2 55000
✓	Hindu College, Tirunelveli (2)	5000		The institution which has opened B.A. (pass) classes has been affiliated to the University in group VA, and its receipts and charges as a first grade college are estimated at Rs 12,900 and Rs 22,900 respectively. As regards the grant earned at present by the Intermediate classes the remarks under the National College, Trichinopoly hold good in this case also.
✓	Saint Agnes College for women Mangalore (3)	5000		The college which has been raised to the status of a first grade college with effect from July 1924 is affiliated in group VA. At present the college department is in receipt of a grant of Rs 3000 and an additional provision of Rs 2000 is proposed for the new classes. The probable receipts and charges on which the grants are estimated for next year are Rs 3000 and Rs 13,000 respectively. Assuming that the scheme is approved a reduction of Rs 3000 is proposed under Teaching grants to Secondary Schools - Boys - Transferred. Bottom of p. 2 info
		16,000	16,000	
		12000		

No	Item	Cost in 1925-26 Rs	ultimate cost Rs	Remarks.
	31. B (ii) Gov. Secondary Transferred. Direct grants to Non Govt. Secondary Schools. Indian Schools.			
				Teaching grants
(4)	The Theosophical High School, Madanapalle (Chittoor Dist.)	3000.	3000.	The Institution has been recognised as a High School with Class V Forms I to VI during the current year. The estimate is based on the size, local conditions ^{and} efficiency & also on the average grant received by schools of similar size & status. The receipt & charges of the school for purposes of estimate have been taken as Rs 6200. & Rs 12,200 respectively.
(5)	The Vidya Parishad Secondary School, Chebrole (Guntur Dist.)	2000.	2000.	The School was recognised in 1924-25 as a Secondary School with classes IV & V & forms I to III. The estimate is based on the average grants earned by schools of similar size & standing. The anticipated receipts and charges are Rs 2500, & Rs 6500 respectively.
(6)	Nagarathar Secondary School, Devakotta, (Kannad. Dist.)	2500.	2500.	The Institution was started this year with class V & forms I to IV & the estimate is based on probable requirements with reference to local conditions, size, standing etc. The probable receipts & charges have been taken as Rs 2800 & Rs 7800 respectively.
	The Sarvagana Vidyalaya (High School) Belamedev Coimbatore District.	2500.	2500.	— 00 —
(7)	The Muslim High School, Triplicane	2,400	2,400	vide remarks on p. 2 of.
(8)	Total.	12,400 10000 - 3000.	12,400 10000 - 3000.	
	Subtotal Rs. 3000 with ref to the rules under 31 A (ii) Gov. University transferred	9,400 7000.	9,400 7000.	
	- grants to Non Govt. Arts Colleges. Teaching grants			

No.	Item.	Cost in 1925-26. Rs.	ultimate cost. Rs.	Remarks.	3/6	47 7
	31 A (h) (III) - Education - Special - Transferred - Direct grants to Non-fund. Special Schools - Indian Schools.					
	<u>Teaching Grant</u>					
	<u>Training - Masters.</u>					
(8) Sri Hanakshi Pandits Training Collg. Chidambaram.		3500	3500.	The institution was recently started for the training of Pandits (Sanskrit & Tamil) and this was a long felt desideratum. It will be seeking aid in 1925-26 under Chapter VI of the grant-in-aid Code. The net cost to the management has been taken as Rs 7000 and the grant for 1925-26 calculated accordingly.		
	<u>Training - Mistresses.</u>					
Secondary Training Class. for						
(9) Women attached to the S.T. Christophers Training Collg. for women Madras.		500	500.	Based on probable requirements and on the estimate of receipts and charges furnished by the Principal of the institution.		
	Total.	4000.	4000.			
(10) Sanskrit School.		3720.	3720.	Please see annexure No. (10) for details and the basis for the estimate.	Principal	

Teaching - Grants - Sanskrit Schools (new)

1925-26

7 6 11

No	Name of Institution	Amount provided for	Remarks
<u>Colleges - (New)</u>			
1	Sri Naenakkhi Sanskrit College.	1000.	Affiliated to the University of Madras in 1924-25-8. The probable net cost has been taken as Rs. 2000 and Rs. 1700 respectively for the purposes of estimate. All the institutions have been started in 1924-25. In this as well as in other cases, the estimates are chiefly based on probable requirements from earnings by institutions of similar size and standing have also been taken into account.
2	" " Jamil College - ^{Chidambaram}	850.	
<u>Sanskrit Schools: (New)</u>			
3	Badagara.	120.	
4	Bellurpunta.	50.	
5	Kuafada.	100.	
6	Peddamanthipalli.	100.	
7	Samanasa.	120.	
8	Durgam.	120.	
9	Nadakundam.	110.	
10	Velampai.	70.	
11	Ryali.	120.	
12	Kandukur.	120.	
13	Annedu.	70.	
14	Aranta pur.	120.	
15	Mannur.	120.	
16	Amambalam.	120.	
17	Kammurjai.	120.	
18	Afalapadi.	120.	
19	Vitala.	120.	
20	Katpadi.	50.	
Total		3720.	

Annexure No. (18)

Please find a
draft and return
cv
13/10/24

8 Law (Edn)
31-Edn. - Part II of Budget for 1925-26
Teaching grants.

All the ten items on pp. 1-3
ante relate to 'teaching grants'. The
provision proposed by the D.P.S.
under all these items may be
accepted. It may be mentioned
here that, in connection with the
budget of the current year, the
D.P.S. included ^{similar} provision for teaching
grants to schools newly admitted to aid,
~~similar purpose~~ in Part II of the
budget ~~but~~ that it was finally
decided that they ^{might} be included
in Part I - vide pp. 41-53 of notes
to G.O. 1450 of 11.9.24, ~~and~~ especially
Sir Charles Goddard's note (marked
A) on p. 50 ibid.

(D)

The provision for the
ten items on pp. 1-3 ante may
therefore be included in Part I
with the concurrence of the Finance
dept. to whom the file may be
sent no.

✓ Ann 2860B
13/11/24

CV
Ti. com 5 VTK
13-10
Fin. do

Law (Edn) no 2860B-1
13-X-24
9.10.24
8A
11.10.24
BET
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO
13 OCT. 1924
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
Fin. ch. 38155-1
13-10-24

Last year, Finance noted that though under the Grant-in-aid Code the Director of Public Instruction was competent to admit new colleges and schools for grants, he should not exercise this power until provision had been made in the budget. Consequently, if a college or school is to be newly admitted to a grant under the grant-in-aid code, its request can be complied with only after the proposal had been brought up as a Part II scheme for provision of funds and necessary funds had been allotted.

The Hon. the Minister for Education pointed out that "the principle and policy was approved already and any expenditure incidental thereto will be standing sanctions - Part I".

Sir Charles Rodd Hunter wrote as follows:-

"In the case of -- teaching grants I agree that where it is proposed to give them in pursuance of a declared policy that has been approved by the council they do fall within the category of standing sanctions but in order to ensure that they do it is clear that Finance should be able to scrutinise particulars and that they cannot accept a lump provision based on probable requirements."

Finance accordingly entered provision in Part I for such new expenditure in the current year after verifying that the provision proposed was reasonable.

2. But in para 19 of G.O. 527 Fin., dated 17th July 1924, a new procedure has been laid down. In the case of grants which involve a recurring expenditure on accepted lines of development each new case need not be placed before the Finance Committee but a consolidated notes should be prepared for all schemes of a similar kind, the cost of individual items being clearly set forth.

of the grants under the Grant-in-aid Code.

Page 19 of 20. 11.50 (amended)
① 11-9-24

Para 1, page 50 ibid.

②

10
The provision included in Part I should also be specified.

The items mentioned on pages 1-4^{ante} should be treated as Part II and a note should be prepared. Separate consolidated notes may be prepared for each class of institutions, ~~for~~e.g. Colleges, Secondary schools, and Special Schools.

3.(a) Under rule 29 of the Grant-in-aid Code, an institution which applies for admission for teaching grants has to do so before the 1st of July of the year for which it requires aid. The grant is actually disbursed only in the following year.-- Rules 37, 43 and 45 of the Code. All the institutions mentioned on pages 1-4^{ante} have been opened in the current year and the D.P.I. has presumably received applications for admission to aid. Against item 1 on p.1 ante -- National College, Trichinopoly, and item 8 on page 3 ante Meenakshi and Pandits' Training College, Chidambaram, the Director of Public Instruction states that they will be seeking aid in 1925-1926. It is presumed that what he means is that they have applied for aid for 1924-25, but that the grants for this year will be paid in 1925-26. If this is not what he means, these two colleges will not be eligible for any grant for 1924-25 in 1925-26 but only for a grant for 1925-26 in 1926-27. The Law department may be requested to verify this point.

(b) Against all the institutions, the D.P.I. has given the same amount for cost in 1925-26 and for ultimate cost. It is not clear how the cost of teachers for only ~~a~~ nine months in 1924-25 (the school year usually commences in July) will be the same as the ultimate cost for 12 months in the year. If the D.P.I. has received applications for these institutions under rule 29 of the Code ~~and~~ with the information mentioned in Appendix E, he must be in a position to work out the proportionate cost for the nine months of 1924-25. It should not be calculated arithmetically.

not put up

Ascertained from the D.P.I. office that this is the case
Sta

3. 8 11 11
for 9 months based on 12 months' receipts and expenditure. The procedure is elaborated below. Only one case is taken for example as the same principle should be applied to all.

Item (1) plate
For the National College, Trichinopoly, the D.P.I. wants Rs.6,000 in 1925-26 and for all years and he has arrived at the figure as follows:-

	RS.
Total charges for the ⁹ years	26,000
Deduct total receipts.	14,000
Net excess expenditure.	12,000
Half grants.	6,000

This is not correct. If the charges for the whole year are Rs.26,000, the charges for the first year will be only three-fourths, as the teachers will not be employed until July. But the receipts will remain the same as fees are collected only from July. The calculation should be:-

	RS.
Total charges for 9 months in 1924-25 ($\frac{3}{4}$ of Rs.26,000)	19,500
Deduct total receipts for 1924-25.	14,000
Net excess expenditure	5,500
Half grant.	2,750

There may be other reasons for a higher amount than Rs.2,750, but they must be explained.

4. The Law Dept. may be requested to modify their proposals on these lines and to prepare consolidated notes for the Finance Committee.

S.G.



Finance 38825-1
20.10.24

Law

RWD

19-x-24

12 9

M. 45-50 76

8. $\frac{1450}{11.9.24}$ (D)

• (D)

Figures taken from
the last going periodical
Report on LTV in this Period

Thus, with the limited funds available with Government, it is possible to run a larger number of aided institutions than institutions under direct Government management. In consideration of these facts and ~~W~~ ~~MAN~~ of the large need for the expansion of education in the Presidency, the policy of the Government has been to rely mainly on private agencies for the expansion of secondary and collegiate education and to encourage such agencies with grants from Provincial funds towards the cost of maintenance of institutions opened by them. This policy is embodied in the Grant-in-aid Code issued by Government. The Code lays down the conditions on which schools and colleges opened by private individuals or associations will

$12,900$
 $22,900 \times \frac{3}{2}$
 $66,8700$
 17175
 12900
 $\underline{4275}$
 2
 2138

be admitted to departmental recognition and aid, and when an institution satisfies these conditions, it is admitted to aid by the Director under the powers vested in him. The Government have till now considered it more or less obligatory on their part to provide the amount required for the payment of the teaching grant, or grant due under the Code towards the cost of maintenance of the institution.

If the Government should go back on this and treat the provision required for such teaching grants as optional (or Part II) expenditure, it will unsettle the whole position ~~and may retard the progress of education in the Presidency~~. Private agencies cannot then open ~~new~~ schools as at present in the hope that grants admissible under the Code will be given to them as a matter of course provided they satisfy the prescribed conditions, but will have ~~to~~ postpone the opening of schools till it is known that funds will be provided for the payment of the grants. The Director cannot admit institutions to aid unless he is authorized to do so in each individual case. Further, the change in procedure may eventually give rise to loud complaints against Govt. In consideration of all these facts, it seems very desirable to adhere to the decision arrived at last year and to provide for the grants in question in Part I

of the Budget. *The go regarding budget procedure (which was issued without consulting this Dept) cannot be made applicable to Ed. institutions on this point.*

3. As regards the remarks of the Finance Department ^{the} amounts provided for the several institutions [paragraph 3(b) of the Finance Department note] the D.P.I.'s office has been asked for certain particulars. The question will be noted on after a reply is received from the Director's office.

4. In the meanwhile, the file may be circulated to M-2 and C-4 for orders on the point ^{dealt with} ~~discussed~~ in paragraph 2 above.

C.V.V.
8th
23.10.24. In connection with the budget proposals for

E^x If the funds available in any year are not found sufficient for the payment of the full amount admissible to all the institutions, the grant payable to each institution is proportionately reduced.

note Recognition to a school is applied for within the first year of its opening but after it has worked for some months and aid can only be applied for after regularly with state grants & expenditure after the first year's working. So seeing that promise of aid before opening of the institution is impossible.

*(B) 14.7.8 and
Reply received from the D.P.I. office is not quite complete. Hence a no. note is suggested.*

** on the authority of paragraph 19 of G.O. 527 d 17th July 1924 (B)*

1924-1925, the Hon^{ble} the Finance member decided that teaching ¹³ grants which are given in pursuance of a declared policy approved by the Council come under "standing sanctions" and should be included in Part I. The Finance Dep^t now state that provision for teaching grants for institutions newly admitted to aid should come under ^{*} Part II of the Budget and be placed before the Finance Committee. The arguments against this position have been set out at great length in the notes to G.O. 1450 dated 11.9.24 - pp 46-50 - and I do not think it necessary to restate them. The question whether these should be shown in Part I or Part II is submitted for orders.

M 2
C 4

There is no doubt that these come under Class I. The Finance Dept shd not move the existing practice, handicap the Dept -

24.10.

Par 15
-11-
B
K
C

Paragraph 19 of G.O. No. 527 refers specifically to Part II schemes. I think, however, we must follow Sir Charles Todhunter's ruling with regard to teaching grants and admit the grants now in question into Part I.



The file may be sent to the Finance Department for perusal and return.

2. With reference to paragraph 3(b) of the Finance Department's note on pp. 7 & 8 ante, it has been ascertained from the D.P.'s office that ~~some~~ ^{some} of the institutions mentioned in the statements on pp. 1-3 ante, ~~work for~~ ^(were started prior to the current year and work therefor) ~~12 months~~ during 1924-25, though they were admitted to ~~aid~~ ^{aid} only during the current year. In the case of these institutions, the grant payable for the current year will be based on 12 months' charges. In the case of the other institutions, it is understood that grants higher than those based on 9 months' charges will be payable in the first year, as charges ~~relating~~ ^{relating} to 'initial equipment' and 'petty construction and repairs' will be included in the financial statement for that year. It is therefore doubtful whether the allotments provided by the Director under any of the institutions will admit of ~~any~~ ^{should} reduction. If however, it is considered that the Director ~~may~~ ^{should} be specifically consulted, in the matter, a draft u.o. note to him is placed below.

curv
3.11.24
8/11
3.11.24
he may write to reference to the
D.P. & inform the file may be
forwarded to Finance
CR 4.11.24
V.T. 5.11
Finance
Law (Encl) 2860 B-2
B.S.P. 5/11/24

12/16
Finance (B.E.I.)
[Finance noted last on pp 6-8 ante] 14

p. 11 ante

As ordered by L₄, teaching grants involving new expenditure will be included in Part I on receipt of information as to the actual amount required for the cases mentioned. A note ^{should} be made in Part I explaining these items of new expenditure.

A

p 54 (B)

The amounts included in Part I, will of course have to be specified in the Consolidated Note on Part II demands for grants as prescribed in G.O. 527 F.d. 17.7.24 - para 19.

p 54 (B)

* Under the grant in bid book

[Extract of G.O. No. 527 F.d. 17.7.24 - para 19]

L. En 313/B
7/11/24


Fin. No. 175 B.E.I.
6.11.24

2 It seems necessary to modify para 19 of the G.O. 527 F.d. 17.7.24 so as to make an exception of teaching grants for aided institutions involving new expenditure which under L₄ orders are not to be treated as Part II. This question will be taken up separately.

CS
6/11/24


Rest
6/11

Law.



Very urgent.

ct. 2860B



U.O. Note

Law (Education) Department

The D.P.I asks for the following provision in the next year's budget for teaching grants to institutions newly admitted to aid:-

Head of charge	Provision for 1925-1926 RS.
31 A(a)(ii)-Grants to non-Govt. Arts colleges	16,000
31 B(b)(ii)-Grants to non-Govt. Secondary schools	9,400
31D(b)(iii)-Grants to non-Govt special schools	
Training schools for masters.	3,500
-do-for mistresses	500
Sanskrit schools	3,720

33120

In the statements sent by the Director with part II of his budget, the same amount is entered against the name of each institution in the columns headed 'cost in 1925-26' and 'ultimate cost'. If the institutions were newly started in the current year, the grant due in 1925-26 should be based on the charges for 9 months in 1924-25, while the grant due in the subsequent years will be based on 12 months' charges. The fee receipts will ^{be} practically ~~be~~ the same in the first and succeeding years. The amount required for 1925-26 should thus be less than that required in succeeding years. If the D.P.I. has received applications ~~for~~ ^{from} the several institutions under ^{rule} 29 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, with the information referred to in Appendix E, it may be possible to work out the proportionate

18

14

cost for the 9 months of 1924-25. The Director may be requested u.o. to state at a very early date ^(whether any and if so) what alterations should be made in the amounts provided for next year in the case of the several institutions.

CVV
3.11.24
8/11
3.11.24
V.T.K.
5.11
D.P.1 u.o.



Law (Eon) no 2860 B.3
5/11/24



L. E. 2223B
18/11/24

U.O. Reply No. 2216.5/24/17.11.24

The estimates prepared by my office were worked on a 9 months' basis of working during the year 1924-25. The income in 1926-27, however, is estimated to be larger than the income in 1925-26 owing to increased strength consequent on the stability of the school following its recognition, this resulting in increased attendance and increased fee receipts. The assumption in the Secretariat note appears to be that the income of the school is stationary. My estimates consider the income as increasing. To give a concrete example the strength of the IV form of a school which is just seeking recognition may be 20, while the year following its recognition its strength may be as much as 30 or even 40. The recurring cost of maintaining a class of 40 is very little more than the recurring cost of maintaining a class of 20 since no additional teacher is required.

I cannot advise that any alterations be made in the amounts provided.

17.11.24

Law (Eon) dept

The Director states that the estimates submitted by him were worked on a 9 months basis of working during the year 1924-25. In view of

Per contra
Students in single
other schools will
be less

Not necessarily.
On the other hand the
strength in secondary
schools increases
from year to year.

(First sentence
of the reply above)

6

15 19 16

this statement and the other remarks contained in the above note, the Director's estimates may be accepted.

the Finance dept. may be requested u.o. to include the amounts specified at B on p. 13 ante in Part I of the budget under the minor heads concerned.

2. With reference to portion A of Finance dept. note on p. 12 ante, it may be pointed out that paragraph 19 of G.O. 527 Fin. of 17.7.24 refers specifically to Part II schemes and is not therefore applicable to the present case, as the provision for 'teaching grant' comes entirely in Part I, according to G.O.'s orders on p. 11 ante.

* Part I of the budget under these heads has already been passed on to Finance

(B)
The heading of para 19 of the G.O. (B) & first sentence of G.O.'s note on p. 11 ante

CVV
Law (Eon) no 2860 B.4
18.11.24
8/11
18.11.24

CVV
18.11.24
8/11
19.11.

Finance u.o.



Fin. Ct 258B E.I.
C.G. 11.24

(Finance notes are on pages 6-8 and 12 ante.)

The point under discussion at present is whether provision should be entered in Part I for teaching grants for institutions newly admitted to aid as summarized by the Law department on page 13 ante, which is the ultimate cost per annum as reported by the D.P.I.

2. The contention of Finance was that if the amount denoted the ultimate cost (12 months' expenditure minus fees receipts for a full year), the amount in the first year should be less as it should be 9 months' expenditure minus fee receipts for a full year.

3. The D.P.I. states that his figures were worked out on a 9 months' basis of working in 1924-25 but that he expects a higher fee income in succeeding years which will exactly counterbalance the additional 3 months' working charges in those years. But he does not support this statement with actual working even in a single case.

4. This is not consistent with his remarks in the remarks column on pp 1-2 ante from which it is clear that he calculated only the annual charges and receipts and made no adjustment on account of the smaller amount of working expenses in the first year.

5. Experience also proves that in matters of this kind the D.P.I. often goes wrong. For example the following statement shows the figures relating to schools newly admitted to aid last year, for which grants were disbursed in 1924-25.

21 17

	Grant based on 9 months' charges & full year's receipts in 1923-24 as reported by the D.P.I.	Actual amount paid in 1924-25.	D.P.I.'s estimate of 12 mths. charges - receipts.	Amount proposed for 1925-26.
1	2	3	4	5
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
College classes of the Theosophical College, Madanapaltee.	4,000	4,100	4,000	4,600
St. Christopher's Training College.	6,000	5,300 (including charges for initial equipment.)	6,000	4,100
Training section of the R.C. Fort Girls' School Trichy.	4,000	1,800	4,000	2,000

In the circumstances explained above, half the amounts which the D.P.I. asks for should be sufficient for 1925-26 as worked out by Finance in an individual case on p 8 ante.

⊗ This seems to be arbitrary & not fully borne out by the examples above.

6. The amounts will then be as follows:-

31. A. a. Education - University - Transferred.

Direct Grants to non-Government Arts Colleges.

	Amount proposed by D.P.I.	Amount proposed by Finance.
	Rs.	Rs.
National College, Trichinopoly.	6,000	3,000
Hindu College, Tinnevely.	5,000	2,500
St. Agnes College for Women, Mangalore.	2,000 for B.A. 3,000 for intermediate classes.	1,000 for B.A. classes 3,000 for intermediate classes.
	16,000	9,500

31. B. b. Education - Secondary - Transferred.

Direct Grants to non-Government Secondary Schools.

Theosophical High School, Madanapaltee.	3,000	1,500
Vaidya Parishad Secondary School, Chelod.	2,000	1,000

	Amount proposed by D.P.I.	Amount proposed by Finance.
	Rs.	Rs.
Nagarathar Secondary school, Devakotta.	2,500	1,250
Sarvajana Vidyasala Peelamedu, Coimbatore district.	2,500	1,250
Muslim High School, Triplicane.	2,400 12,400	1,200 6,200
Deduct amount transferred to University for St. Agnes College, Mangalore.	-3,000 9,400	-3,000 3,200

31. D. b. Direct Grants to non-Government Special Schools - Masters.

Meenakshi Pandits Training College, Chidambaram.	3,500	1,800
--	-------	-------

Mistresses.

Secondary training class attached to St. Christopher's Training College for women, Madras.	500	300
--	-----	-----

Schools for the study of Oriental Languages.

Meenakshi Sanskrit and Tamil Colleges Chidambaram, and 18 other Sanskrit schools.	3,720	1,900
Total.	7,720	4,000

The amounts proposed by Finance may be entered in the B.E. for 1925-26, copy of the notes on pages 16-18 being sent to the Law department with the main file.

C.A.S.
13-12-24.

If the


23 19

If the D.P.I. and Law decline to work out,
or to explain the working out of their estimates,
the Finance proposals must be adopted.

A

R. W. D.
16-12-24.

RR.



Additional provision as
proposed by Finance has been
entered under the respective
heads. The file may be
returned to Law (Govt.).

A-En 3527B
19/12/24

18.12.24

Law (Govt.) no.

En no. 258381-1
19.12.24.

Law (Govt.) dept.

Please see the Finance dept. notes
from page 16 onwards - with reference to
portion marked A in the Finance Secy.
note above, the D.P.I. may be requested
to explain, by actual working in a few
cases, how the provision proposed by
him has been arrived at. Draft no.
note submitted.

22.12.24

Draft reminder to Mr D.P.I.
submitted.

9.1.25

9.1.25

Very urgent

[C. 2860B]

Law (Education) Dept.

19

Teaching grants to institutions newly admitted

to aid - Provision for 1925-26 - correspondence ending
with U.O. reply No. 2216-D/24 dated 17-11-24.

The D.P.I. states that the estimates prepared
by his office were framed on a 9 months' basis of
working during the year 1924-25; he expects that there
will be higher fee income in 1925-26 and succeeding
years which will counterbalance the additional three
months' working charges in those years. The D.P.I.
may be requested U.O. to explain how the ~~figures~~ have
been worked out, by furnishing details in a few of the
cases for which budget provision is proposed for the
next year. He may be requested to treat this reference
as very urgent.

CW

8/12

22.12.24

22.12.24


22.12.

Law (Edu) no 2860 B-5.
22.12.24

D. P. I. no.

U.O. Reply No. 2216-D/24 18.1.25

The following indicate how the estimates
for two schools have been worked out.



En 596/9.1.25

PTC.

Teaching grants to the Sarvajana Vidyasala Peelamedu -

1925-26.

A Receipts - for the minimum strength at standard rates.

Class.	Strength	Standard rate per annum.	Amount.
IV form	10	Rs. 42	Rs. 420
Forms I to III	70	22	1540
Class V	30	10	300
Total			2260
Deduct irrecoverable fees @ 5% of the S.R. (the probable average loss)			113
Net receipts			2147

B. Expenditure.

Item 1 Teaching staff:-			
2 L.T's (120) (90)	210 per mensem		
4 Secondary Grade teachers.			
1 Intermediate trained	at Rs. 50		
3 Secondary Grade S.S.I.C.	trained at 40 each	120	170
1 Pandit		40	
1 Combined Physical Trg. and Drawing instructor		40	
460 x 9 months			4140
2. Servants including writer .			
1 clerk (35), 2 peons 2(12), 1 combined sweeper and Night watchman (12) and 1 waterman (12)	83 x 9 months		747
3. Rents			
4. Rates and taxes			
5. Ordinary repairs and Upkeep - minor alterations etc. to an existing building to make it suitable for school purposes			
6. Contingencies - Purchase of account books, initial printing, stationery, office expenses, Auditors fees, class texts, reference books etc.			
			1000
			6667

Code grant	B-A	6667 - 2147	4520
	2	2	2
			2260

Add 10 per cent of S.R. for B.C. concessions under rule 92 M.E.R.

Total grant

2486 or Rs. 2500 in round figures.

Cost in 1926-27.

A. Receipts for the maximum strength given by management

the strength of the IV form being taken at 15 instead of at 10.

IV form	15 x 42	630
I - III forms	88 x 22	1936
Class V	30 x 10	300 - 2866

Rs. 2866

Deduct 5 per cent for irrecoverable fees

142

Net receipts

2724

B Expenditure.

Item 1 Teaching staff	460 x 12	5520
2 Servants etc	83 x 12	996
3 Rents		Nil
4. Rates and taxes		30
5. Repairs and upkeep (Annual repairs)		100
6. Contingencies (recurring) (excepting initial printing)		600

Total

7246

Code grant

B.- A

7246 - 2724

4522 = 2261 plus 286

2547 or 2500 in round figure. (The same as for 1925-26)

Q Backward concessions at 10 per cent of the standard rates.

Example 2.

Teaching grants - Muslim High school, Triplicane.

Cost in 1925-26 for 9 months.

A Receipts for the strength in 1924-25

Class.	Strength	Standard rates.	Amount.
Forms IV to VI	95	42	Rs. 3990
I to III	75	22	1650
Classes IV and V	65	10	650
Total			6290

Deduct 5 per cent for irrecoverable fees

315

Net receipts

5875

B Expenditure.

Item 1 Teaching staff.

1. Headmaster at Rs. 125	
3 L.T. Assistants at Rs. 75 each	225
1 Inter trd. Asst.	50
4 Secy. Grade teachers at Rs. 35 each	140
3 Pandits, Munshi and Instructor at Rs. 35 each	105

Total per mensem 645 x 9 months

5805

Item 2 1 clerk at Rs. 30 plus 1 peon 15
45 x 9

405

Item 4 Rates and taxes

200

Item 5 Repairs and upkeep for the conversion of an existing building into a school house

750

Item 6. Initial contingencies, equipment including auditors fees, printing etc.

1000

Total

8160

Code grant

B - A
2

8160 - 5875
2

2285
2

1142

Add 20 per cent of the S.R. for B.C. concessions under rule 92 M.E.R as the school is one for Muhammadans

1258

Total grant

2400

Directors estimate on p. 2 ante

Cost in 1926-27 for 12 months.

A Receipts - for the anticipated increase in strength:-

	Class.	Strength.	Standard rate.	A mount,
Forms	IV - VI			
	increase being in forms IV and VI.	120	42	5040
"	1 to III	90	22	1980
Classes	IV and V	75	10	750
				7770
Deduct 5 per cent for irrecoverable fees				389
Net receipts				7381

B Expenditure.

Item 1	Teaching staff	645 x 12	7740
Item 2	clerks and servants	45 x 12	540
Item 4	Rates and taxes		200
Item 5	(Annual) repairs		100
Item 6	Contingencies (recurring)		600

Total

9180

Code grant

B - A
2

9180 - 7381
2

1799
2

899

Add 20 per cent of S.R. for B.C. concessions under rule 92 M.E.R. for Muhammadan pupils

1554

Total grant

2453 or Rs. 2400 in round figures.

Same as for 1925-26

11. 21. 25

24/28 23

Law (Edu)

The Director has clearly explained the working of his estimates in two cases. It is seen that the estimates for 1925-26 have been framed on a 9 months basis of working during the year 1924-25 and that the 'estimate for 1925-26' will be practically the same as the 'ultimate cost', as there will be higher fee income in 1925-26 and in succeeding years which will counterbalance the additional three months working charges in those years.

The case may now be sent to Finance no with reference to A of Finance Secy's note on page 19 ante and that dept. may be requested to provide ^{in full} the amount recommended by the D.P.I., which are given at B on page 13 ante.



Law (Edu) no 2860 B-6/24 cur

1248 ¹⁶ ²⁵ 9.1.25
1.95
 B.R. I

Q 10.1.25 VTK 13.1
 Finance U.O

Grants for schools newly admitted to aid

The following is a summary of the foregoing notes:-

The D.P.G. asked for a provision of Rs. 33,120 in Part I of the BE for 1925-26 for grants to colleges and schools newly admitted to aid in the current year. His demand was reported to be the usual half grant of 9 months' expenditure minus 12 months' receipts in 1924-25. But as this coincided with the ultimate cost based on 12 months expenditure minus twelve months' receipts, the D.P.G. was requested to explain the working out of his estimates. Meanwhile Finance allowed a sum of Rs. 16,700 representing roughly half the D.P.G.'s demand.

2 The D.P.G. has now explained his figures in two out of the 10 cases, from which it is observed that in the first year the institutions are allowed half grants towards the non-recurring

pp 21-23 ante

recurring expenditure on alterations to buildings and for initial equipment. The absence of this in succeeding years coupled with increased fee receipts owing to the anticipated increase of students makes the first year's cost and the ultimate annual cost nearly the same.

In the circumstances, the D.P. is full demand of Rs. 33,100 may be allowed against Rs. 16,700 already allowed.

Rs. 33,000
 21.1.25
 22.1.25

The total provision proposed by the D.P. for the several classes of institutions amounts to Rs. 33,120, against Rs. 33,000 approved by Secy above. The provision of Rs. 33,000 may be distributed as follows:

	Provision proposed by the D.P.	Provision not agreed by Finance
Colleges	16000	16000
Secondary schools	9400	9400
Training schools - masters	3500	3500
" - mistresses	500	500
Sanskrit schools	3720	3600
	<u>33,120</u>	<u>33,000</u>

The

The increased provision has been made under the respective subheads in the B.E. for 1925-26. This file may be returned to Law (Secy).



Fin no 1268 B.E. 1
 22.1.25

21.1.25
 22.1.25

22.1.25

Law (Secy) no.

Law (Secy)

No further action is called for in this ~~case~~ file. The Finance dept. will issue separate orders to the effect that provision for teaching grants for aided institutions, involving new expenditure, may be included in Part I of the budget estimates in future - vide paragraph 2 on p. 12 ante. These papers may be

Grant.
 Teaching - ~~aided~~
 Institutions newly admitted to aid -
 Provision for 1925-26 -
 Papers recorded.

80. No. 106 of 24-1-25

Recorded.

23.1.25
 24.1.25

25.1.25
 V.T.K.
 24.1

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
192

DATED

SUBJECT No. _____

ENCLOSURE TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETS.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.