

Law (Education) (1925) Department.

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 84

DATED 22-1-1925

6

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grants

Building — paid to Harris High School, Royapettah
— Recovery — ordered.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Law (Lor) Sept

C. 2372 B

Memo No 2372 B-2

The D.P.I. is requested

to report whether any steps have been taken for the recovery of the building ^{given} ~~part~~ ~~of~~ the Harris high school, Royapettah, while it was under the management of the Church missionary Society.

For notes, please see H 8-9 of No. 1438 (H) 10.9.24

8.9.24

8.9.24

18.9.24

To The D.P.I. (memo) 18.9.24

Remind agree one month.

8.9.24

8.9.24

18.9.24

Received 192 . Registered *L. En 2622 B* 192 .

Subject.

grants - Recovery - Harris High School,
Royapettah.

Reminded on 9.12.24

27
9/10/24

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	<i>nil</i>
Spare copies	

Law (En) Dept
Lodged.

The D.P.T. may be
reminded on the 10th October

next if necessary.
R.O.C. No. 3433 D/22 *22-9-24* *23-9-24* *24-9-24*

Station *Madras*, Dated the 17th September 1924.

From (Name) *R. Littlehailes Esq., M.A.*

(Designation) *Director of Public Instruction.*

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LAW (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memo No. 2372 B-2,
Law (Education) dated 10th September 1924 regarding the
recovery of building grant given to the Harris High
School, while it was under the management of the Church
Mission Society, I have the honour to state that the
District Educational Officer, Madras has already been
instructed to obtain from the Public Works Department,
the present value of the works carried out with the help
of Government grant and a recommendation on the subject
will be made to Government as regards the amount to be
refunded *by* the Church Mission Society on receipt of the
necessary particulars from the Public Works Department.

3
Public Works Department.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.K. 18/9

16/18/9.

C.F. 30-41,000-8-9-24.

Law (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered 192

Subject.

Late Harris High school, Rayapettah - Recovery of building grants. *h-En 3533B*

ENCLOSURES.

No.

R.O.C. No. 3433D/22

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 18th December 24 192

From (Name) R. Littlehales, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education)

Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 2372 B-2

Page 1 ante dated 10th September 1924 and in continuation of my letter

Page 2 ante R.O.C.No. 3433 D/22, dated 17th September 1924, I have the

honour to state that the building grants shown in the following

statement were paid to the Harris High school, Rayapettah while

it was under the management of the Church Missionary Society.

The Executive Engineer, South Presidency Division, Madras

estimates, as shown against each item, the present value of

the several works for which grants were given, the total thereof

is nearly equal to the cost of the works.

Name of work.	D's Pros.sanction ing payment of grant.	Amount of grant sanctioned.	Executive Engineer's estimate of pre- sent worth.
1. Construction of a shed	Pros.R.C.No.710/14 dated 19-3-44	Rs.600	Rs.1,100
2. Extension	Pros.R.C.No.3924/13 dated 21-12-15 and G.O. 581 Edl./31-5-15	4350	9,133
3. Alteration	Pros. Dis. 3942/19-6-19	588	1,055
4. Extension	Pros.R.C.No. 2612/20 dated 27-5-21	1370	2,576
Total		6908	13,864

2. The District Educational Officer, Madras, suggests that it will be equitable under rule 55 of the Grant-in-aid Code to recover from the Church Missionary Society in respect of each item in the statement, an amount of grant proportionate to the unexpired portion of the period of 40 years, for which the building has yet to be used for an educational purpose. On this basis, he estimates the amount to be recovered thus.

Item 1 (10 years expired)	$\frac{600 \times 30}{40}$	or Rs.450
Item 2 (8 years expired)	$\frac{4350 \times 32}{40}$	or 3480
Item 3 (5 years expired)	$588 \times 35/40$	or 514/8
Item 4 (3 years expired)	$1370 \times 37/40$	or 1267/4
	Total	5711/12

I.e. Rs.5712 in round sum.

3. I consider that the course suggested by the District Educational Officer is reasonable and therefore recommend that the amount to be recovered from the Church Missionary Society be fixed at Rs.5712/-.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant.

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 20/12.

Sectt. II-10(b) 14,002-19-4-13.

Grants

Building - Paid to Harris High
School, Royapettah - Recovery - Ordered.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 19

” ” ” ” ” 19

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 3556 B, From the D. P. I. R.O.C, No. 3433 D/22, dated 18-12-21-19

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 19

" " " " , " , " 19

ORDER.

Min

No. 84, dated 12-1-1926

As the Harris High School,
~~Royapettah, has been closed,~~ The Govern-
ment direct under rule 55 of the Grant-
in-aid Code that the management of the
Harris High School, Royapetta, which has been closed
~~school~~ shall refund a sum of Rs 5,712
out of the building grants sanctioned
to the school during the years 1911-12 ^{to 1921} ~~1911-12~~
The D.P.I. is requested to take steps
for ^{the} recovery of the amount.

To

The D. P. I.

[illegible]

U. A. G.

Secretary, Church Missions
Saints Version.

Срп

8.1.25

$$\frac{819}{29}$$

16.

[Handwritten signature]

1.57

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot 2$$

Under Rule 55 of the grant in aid Code, the amount to be refunded should be fixed @ 8 foot. The amount of grant paid should be deducted only if the building for which the grant was sanctioned has

depreciated in value owing
to lapse of time or other
causes. In the present case
the P.W.D report that the present
worth of the property ~~has~~ is
more than double the amount
of grant that was paid: ~~it~~ conse-
quently there is no depreciation
in value. The property is still
worth what was spent on
it. There is therefore no reason
why the full amount of
grant paid should not be
recovered. Why the Govt should
allow the management
to make a profit out of
the transaction at the cost
of public funds. The suggestion
to make a deduction in
proportion to the unexpired
period of mortgage, ~~on the basis~~
of the ^{present} worth of the property,
is too empirical to be adopted.

Ca
14.1.25
5

A.S.

A revised draft
order is submitted for
COA approval.

16/1/25
S.M.
1.1.25

M₂

Ca
17.1.25
5

V.T.K.
19.1



I agree.
V.T.K.
15.1

While I agree that strict
rule should be applied
the course suggested by the
D.O. is also reasonable.
The sum the record will
be Rs 57 1/2 as calculated
by him D.19/1/25

The draft on page 1 ante is for approval.

8/4
21.1.25

Ca
21.1.25

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
 192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED OF, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.