

Law (1925) Department.

Education

Ordering SERIES.

G.O. No.

67

DATED

19/11/25

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment - Miscellaneous appointments - Waiver of recovery of excess
pay - Orders passed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O. 921	18	Law	5-6-24	G.O. 562	11	Law	11-4-24
868	18	Law	28-8-24	G.O. 1083	18	Law	2-8-23
G.O. 647	18	Law	8-9-24	G.O. 385	18	Law	12-3-23
				G.O. 1587	18	Law	11-7-24
				G.O. 711	18	Law	11-7-24
				G.O. 215	18	Law	11-7-24
				G.O. 321	18	Law	11-7-24

01-23.

Law (Education) DEPARTMENT.

2

Received

24 OCT 1924

192

Registered

24.10.1924

192

4

Subject.

Excess drawn by certain subordinates holding miscellaneous posts of the department during the period from 1-10-22 to 31-7-23 Government requested to waive the --

ENCLOSURES.

No. 1 statement.

Spare copies.

R.O.C. No. 1352-C/24

Station Madras, Dated the 22-10-1924 192

From (Name) R. Littlehailes Esq. M.A.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No. 562 Law (Education) dated 11th April 1924, I have the honour to state that in G.O.No. 1177 Law (Education) dated 6th October 1922, Government were pleased to announce the revised scales of pay approved for the various miscellaneous posts in this department and to call for proposition statements in order to give effect to the revisions. Proposals relating to these posts were accordingly included in the proposition statement submitted with

By 10632 my letter R.O.C.No. 2485-C/24 dated 14th November 1922.

(B) 28.23

2. As effect could not be given to the revised scales before the proposition statement was sanctioned and as difficulties arose in filling acting vacancies in these appointments

appointments, I request Government to permit the continuance of officiating promotions to these miscellaneous posts in the old scale of pay until these posts were ^{re-organised} ~~reorganised~~ on a time-scale basis. Government accepted my recommendations and issued orders accordingly in G.O.No. 1587 Law (Education) dated 18th December 1922. I therefore allowed the incumbents of the miscellaneous posts to draw pay at the old rates till revision was sanctioned.

As soon as G.O.No. 1063 Law (Education) dated 2-8-23 sanctioning the proposition statement was received, the initial pay of these subordinates was fixed in the new scales and the excess drawn by them after 1-8-23 over and above the initial pay - the revision having been sanctioned in August 1923 - was ordered to be refunded. But in G.O.No. 562 Mis. Law (Education) dated 11th April 1924, Government have directed that excess payments made after 1-10-22 - the month in which the revised rates were announced - should be recovered. I, however, submit that the incumbents of

miscellaneous posts drew pay at the old rates until the date of sanction of the Proposition statement, and under the authority of G.O.No. 1587 Law (Education) dated 18th December 1922 as already stated above. It is therefore hard to call upon the subordinates concerned to refund the excess drawn during the period prior to 1-8-23. From the list enclosed herewith of the persons who are

affected by these orders it will be observed that all of them except No. 1 are, poor paid servants being Physical Training Instructors and Drawing Masters and that the amounts to be recovered are in many cases considerable. I have, therefore, the honour to

request that Government will be pleased to re-consider the orders

contained in G.O.No. 562 Mis. Law (Education) dated 11-4-24 and waive the excess drawn up to 1st August 1923 by the persons shown in the list. The cases would come under item 1 of para 2 of G.O.No. 647 Finance dated 6th September 1924. Nos. 11, 17 and 19 in the enclosed list come under item (4) of the same G.O.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servants.

Adh

for Director of Public Instruction.

G.M.22.10.24

Adh
For Director of Public Instruction

(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	3
25/-	7-0-0.	nil	7-0-0.	3N	
31/-	82-2-0.	nil	82-2-0.		
26.	40/-	nil	40.		Services terminated on account of amalgamation of the Training School at Coimbatore.
15 till 20-6-23. 26 from - do.	48-10-2	nil	48-10-2.		
25/-	12-7-0.	nil	12-7-0.		Services terminated.
As 25/- till 3-4-23. 26/- from 3-4-23.	41-2-0.	nil	41-2-0.		
25/-	5-11-0.	5-11-0	Nil		It has refunded.
25/-	50-11-0.	15-0-0	35-11-0		Gout. have waived the Balances.

Statement re. the excess drawn by certain incumbents of miscellaneous posts (under G.O. No 562.L.E. 18-4-24). 3

	Name and designation	Date of appointment if it is after 1-3-21.	Period to which the excess relates	Rate of pay actually drawn.	Rate of pay admissible.	Total excess to be refunded.	Excess already refunded.	Balance to be refunded.	Remarks
1.	G. S. Ramaswami Ayyar, Asstt. Institute of Commerce, Madras	-	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	Rs 145/-	Rs 125/-	200/-	nil	Rs 200/-	He was permanent Asstt. in School of Commerce. As no officiating promotion is admissible to his present post he has to refund the ex. allowance drawn at old rate.
2.	Narasimha Patil, ag. Drawing Master, G.T.S. Berhampore.	8-1-23.	8-1-23 to 16-1-23.	Rs 40/-	25/-	Rs 17-0.	nil.	Rs 17-0.	
3.	N. Venkata Rao. - do -	17-1-23.	17-1-23 to 26-6-23.	Rs 30 + 10 till 30-4-23. Rs 25 from 1-5-23.	25/-	46-9-0.	nil	46-9-0.	
4.	Damadhara Kattis. - do -	2-7-23.	2-7-23 to 31-7-23.	Rs 40/-	25/-	14-9-0.	nil	14-9-0.	
5.	K. Suryanarayana, P.T.I. G.T.S. Chicac. Re.	-	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	Rs 40 from 1-10-22 to 5-11-22. 30/- from 6-11-22 to 28-1-23. 40 from 29-1-23 to 15-3-23. 30 from 16-3-23 to 31-7-23.	Rs 31 from 1-3-22 to 32 from 1-3-23.	12-6-0.	nil	12-6-0.	He has been acting as a higher pay of Rs 40/-
6.	K. Suryanarayana permanent attender + ag. P.T.I. G.T.S. Chicac. Re.	-	26-1-23 to 21-3-23.	Rs 30/-	Rs 25/-	9-6-0.	nil	9-6-0.	
7.	K. Haribandu, P.T.I. G.T.S. Parvatipur.	11-11-21.	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	(20+10) 30/-	Rs 25/- till 11-11-22. 26/- from 11-11-22.	41-5-4.	nil	41-5-0.	
8.	Muhammad Zakir, P.T.I. (Ag). G.T.S., Guntur.	6-9-21.	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	(30+10) 40/-	Rs 26/- from 6-9-22.	140/-	nil	140/-	
9.	Syed Abdulla Sahib, P.T.I. G.T.S., Ongole.	-	1-10-22 to 30-11-22. 1-12-22 to 31-7-23.	32/- 40/-	Rs 32/- till 1-2-23. 33/- from 1-2-23.	54/-	nil	54/-	
10.	Jaffer Hussain, Ag. Drawing Master, Govt. Muhammadan Elementary Schools, Madras	5-12-22.	5-12-22 to 31-7-23.	30/-	25/-	39-4-0.	nil	39-4-0.	
11.	M. Parthasarathi Naidu, Ag. P.T.I. G.T.S. Ranipet.	17-1-23.	17-1-23 to 31-7-23.	40/-	25/-	97-4-0.	15/-	82-4-0.	Has been discharged from service for want of a suitable appointment.
12.	T. Rama Rao. P.T.I. G.T.S. Bellary.	11-3-21.	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	(30+10) 40/-	Rs 26 from 11-3-22 to 11-3-23. 27 from 11-3-23.	Rs 74-8-3. Rs 61-2-11 135-11-2	nil.	135-11-0.	
13.	C. Gnanesagaya Rao. P.T.I. G.T.S. Hospet.	(4-2-21)	1-10-22 to 31-1-23. 1-2-23 to 31-7-23.	31/- 40/-	Rs 31/- till 1-2-23. 32/- from 1-2-23.	48/-	nil	48/-	
14.	Rashed Ahmed, Drawing Master, G.H.S., Kurnool.	20-8-21	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	30/-	26/-	40/-	nil	40/-	

C.No.2855 C - From the D.P.I. R.O.C., No.1552 C/24, dated 22-10-24.

Subject.- Excess pay drawn by the incumbents of certain miscellaneous appointments in the Educational Department - Waiver of recovery.

The case of miscellaneous appointments in the Educational Department was not dealt with by the Reforms Commissioner in his general scheme detailed in G.O.No. 215 Finance, dated 1-3-21. Time scales of pay for these appointments were decided on and communicated to the D.P.I. and the A.G. in G.O.No.1177 Education dated 6-10-22. Proposition statements based on the time scales of pay were approved in G.O.No. 1063 Education, dated 2-8-23. In G.O.No.1587 Education dated 18-12-22 the Government allowed the grant of officiating promotions in respect of such of the posts as had not been dealt with by the Reforms Commissioner until such time as their pay came to be organised on a time scale basis. The D.P.I. says that he acted on this authorization and allowed acting promotions even after 6th October 1922 when the time scales were announced. This resulted in the Officers drawing higher rates of pay than what they were entitled to according to the rules for the fixation of initial pay (Vide G.Os. 711 Finance, dated 11-7-21 and 389 Education dated 12-3-23). The question of the recovery of over-payments is now for consideration.

2. This case came up for consideration early this year. The Finance Department held that the

15.	Havildar Asadulla Baig Sahib P.T.I. G.T.S. Chidambaram (Temporary)	19-6-23.	19-6-23 to 31-7-23.	Rs 30/-
16.	T. Kunkavali, P.T.I. G.T.S. Malapuram.	-	1-10-22 to 9-10-22 10-10-22 to 31-7-23.	30 40
17.	M.C. Angappa Chetti. Ag. Drawing Master. G.T.S. Coimbatore.	20-9-21.	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	30/-
18.	J.D. Migait, Drawing Master Victoria College, Palghat.	20-6-22.	25 till 20-6-23. 26 from 20-6-23.	30/-
19.	R.O. Paul, P.T.I. - do -	17-7-23.	17-7-23 to 31-7-23.	30/-
20.	M. Ayappa puttu. Ag. P.T.I. Reformatory School now at G.T.S. Calicut.	4-4-22.	1-10-22 to 31-7-23.	30/-
21.	A.G. George, P.T.I. G.T.S. Mangalore.	14-6-23.	14-6-23 to 31-7-23.	35/-
22.	R. Prabhakara Bata P.T.I. G.T.S. Mangalore.	4-4-23.	4-4-23 to 31-7-23.	38/-

P2 Cf.

216
authorization for the grant of officiating promotions accorded in G.O.No.1537 Education, dated 18th December 1922 should not have been acted on in respect of miscellaneous appointments as time scales in ^{main} this case had been announced in G.O.No.1063 1177 Education, dated 6-10-22, no matter the proposition statements were sanctioned later. It was therefore ordered in G.O.No.562 (Edn) dated 11-4-24 that overpayments after 1st October 1922 should be recovered.

3. The D.P.I. asks for a reconsideration of the orders and recommends that the recovery of overpayments between the 1st October 1922 and the 1st August 1923 in which latter month the proposition statements were sanctioned may be waived. He says that the case comes under paragraph 2 (1) of G.O. No.647 Finance dated 6th September 1924. This is doubtful, ^{Suing} however, that the persons affected except No.1 in the Director's list are low-paid servants it is for orders whether recoveries may be waived on the analogy of the orders in paragraph 4 of G.O. No. 647 Finance, dated 6-9-24. Finance may be consulted. The total amount involved is Rs. 132

8.11.24
10.11

Finance

Finance (E.T.)

The question raised in this file has already been examined and orders were issued in G.O. No. 562 L.E. &

pp 6-7 of G.O. 562

(E)

(K)

G.O. 1063 (Edn) of 2.8.23

(W)

p.2 cf.

(2)

pp 3-4 cf.

(2)

Revised 28.5.24
W.W.A.

Fin 1879 E.T.
12.11.24

(W)

(W)

217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

2 The D.P.I. has now submitted a list of 22 persons affected and recommends reconsideration of these orders on the ground that the cases of 19 persons would be covered by para 2(1) and of the remaining three (items 11, 17 and 19 in the list) by para 2(4) of G.O. No. 647 Fin & 6.9.24.

Para 2(1) of G.O. 647 Fin & 6.9.24 does not apply to the present case, as the overpayments relate to the period from October 1922 when timescales were already sanctioned - vide G.O. 1177 L.E. & 6.10.22.

3 With reference to A on page 2 ante, it is submitted that para 4 of G.O. 647 Fin & 6.9.24 applies only to menials and the cases of ^{these} low paid teachers cannot be said to be analogous to those of menials.

There is, therefore, no case for reconsideration of the orders issued in G.O. 562 L.E. & 11.4.24. The case of the three persons (Nos. 11, 17 & 19 in the list) is covered by

para 2(4) of G.O. 647 and C.G. 24, as their services have been dispensed with.

PVP
17.11.24

one
17.11.24

Please vide G.O. 647. 8.6.9. 24

- 2. Para 5 of that G.O. covers the present case, even if it were not excluded by para 2.
- 3. Only doubtful cases should be referred to the Finance department (para. 3)

Amo
18-11-24.

Law.

Law (Edn.) Dept.

VAS

For up. 1879 E.T.-1
25/11/24


A draft order on the lines of the Income note is subtd. No order as to Circulation.

21.11.24
21.11.24

V.TK
24.11

M2

L. En 3137C
25/11/24



These are cases & recommendations as explained to D.D. This may be circulated by H.A. with C.A. & D.A.

C4 V.TK
25/11



I do not understand how the pay of these men can have been reduced when their pay was revised. An explanation in detail of how this happened in a few typical cases would facilitate decision.

It is generally inadvisable to go back on general orders in particular cases. One leads to another & we cannot contemplate a complete upset.

R.S.

26/11/24



pp 3 & 4, etc.

The following cases are examined.

Nos. 2, 3, 4, 10, 15 in the Director's list.

1. These are either Drawing masters or Physical Training Instructors entertained by the Director after the time scale was announced on the 6th October 1922 but before the proposition statement was sanctioned on the 2nd August 1923. The revised rate of pay is Rs. 25 - 1 - 50 but the old rates were Rs. 30 in some cases and Rs. 40 in others--a figure in either case greater than the minimum of the new scale. The subordinates were recruited by the Director on the old rates of pay on the authority of G. O. No. 1587 Law (Education), dated the 18th December 1922, which authorised officiating appointments on the old rates of pay until such time as the posts came to be organised on a time scale basis. The

(K) - 20.11.23 Ed. 14/6.10.22
(D) - 20.10.23 Ed. 2/2.8.23
P. 75 & (K)

(E)

2.11.1

point of the Finance Department is that the appointments in question should not have been made on the old rates of pay but on the minimum of the revised scale, namely, Rs. 25. The Director's contention is that G.C.No. 1587 Law (Education), dated the 18th December 1922 authorised him to operate on the old scales till the proposition statement to give effect to the revised scale was sanctioned. The appointments having been thus made, the teachers in question drew pay (Rs. 40 or Rs. 30 as the case may be) from the date of appointment till the 1st August 1923. From that date their initial pay was fixed at the minimum of the new scale, namely Rs. 25. The recovery of the difference between Rs. 25 and Rs. 30 or Rs. 40 which the teachers had been drawing from the date of appointment till the 1st August 1923 has to be

waived. Please see G.O.No. 921 dt. 15.6.24 in which under circumstances similar to those detailed above the excess pay drawn by Mr. Prabhakaran Baidya was waived. Nos. 7, 8, 12, 14, 18.

These were in service prior to the 6th October 1922 when the time scale was announced. Otherwise, the remarks in paragraph 1 above apply to these. According to the Finance Department these should have been allowed to draw pay on the old rate, namely, Rs. 30 or Rs. 40 only up to the 1st October 1922 when they should have gone down to Rs. 26 or Rs. 25 according as they had or had not, prior to that date, put in one year's service as Drawing master or Gymnastic Instructors. As a matter of fact, they have continued to draw pay at the old rate (Rs. 30 in some cases and Rs. 40 in others) up to the 1st August 1923. The recovery of the excess drawn from the 1st October 1922 to the 1st August 1923 has to be waived.

3. No. 1.

Prior to the 1st October 1922 he was permanent assistant, School of Commerce, Calicut, on Rs. 79 plus 18 (temporary pay) and acting as assistant in the

P. 67 Es. 3
(W) 4

(XII)

10p 314

A

P. 3, c. f.

Institute of Commerce, Madras, on Rs. 100 - 10 - 150 and was drawing Rs. 120 in the ^{lowest} scale plus Rs. 28 (special pay) on Rs. 145. ^{on the whole} he continued to draw this pay till the 1st August 1923 in which month the proposition statement was sanctioned. Finance held that he became ineligible to draw the officiating allowance from October 1922 when the time scales of pay having been announced the G.O. authorising acting promotions ceased to be in force. The Director's point is that the time scale definitely took effect only from August 1923 when proposition statements were approved and that ^{therefore} he should be allowed the benefit of the pay of the acting appointment till August 1923. In fixing the initial pay, the Director confirmed him as Assistant in the Institute of Commerce, Madras, on Rs. 120 - 10 - 175, this being the revised scale of pay of the appointment.

2.12.24
3.12.24
J.T.K. 4.12

M2
C4

In the light of foregoing note, the Casereview full considered -

The note does not really answer my question, which relates to the men who were already in service when the new rates were announced. How does A on page 4 above fit in with G.O. 711?



(M)

8/12
2 For the men taken on after 6.10.22, I do not think there is a ghost of a case. It was absolutely inexcusable for the D.P.J., knowing that a time scale starting at Rs 25 had been sanctioned, to take men on at Rs 30 & Rs 40.

3 The case of No. 1 seems to be expressly excluded by G.O. 1587 dated 18.12.22 (E)

Rel.
7/12/24

Paragraph 1 of the Honble Member's note (p. 7 ante)

The new rates of pay were announced

in G.O. No. 1177 Ed., dt 6th October 1922. (K)

Nos. 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18 & 20 in the

Director's list were those who were

in service prior to the 6th October 1922.

Of these, the cases of Nos. 7, 8, 12, 14, 18 & 20

have been explained in paragraph 2

of office note at p. 6 ante. G.O. No. 711

Finance, dt 11th July 1921 does not

apply to these as they were all entertained

after the 1st March 1921. These remain

the cases of 5, 6, 9, 13, & 16, the case

of No. 1 having been ruled out by the

Honble Member.

9 12 9
2. Nos. 5, 6, 9 and 16 were in service prior to 1-3-1921. The initial pay of these is governed by paragraphs 2 to 4 of G.O., No. 389 Edn., dated 12-3-23 which are extracted below:-

(M) "The initial pay in the new time-scale should be calculated according to the principles laid down in G.O. 711 Finance, dated 11-7-21 on the basis of the pay drawn by the existing incumbents of the various posts during the three months prior to the 1st March 1921.

"The existing incumbents will be started in the revised scales of pay from 1-3-22 at the point which they would have reached, had the revision been given effect to from 1-3-21 but no arrears of pay will be allowed from 1st March 1921 to 1st March 1922.

"Any excess pay drawn by the subordinates in the shape of acting allowance or otherwise during the period from 1st March 1921 to 1st March 1922 will be waived."

3. The cases of officers who were in service prior to 1st March 1921 are examined below:-

No. 5. The temporary pay of this subordinate on 28th February 1921 was Rs 30. He was acting on higher pay in the old rates as shown below:-

Rs 40 from 1-10-22 to 5-11-22.

Rs 40 from 29-1-23 to 15-3-23

Under the rules quoted above the initial pay of the teacher was fixed after August 1923 at Rs 30 in the scale of Rs 25-1-50 with effect from 1st March 1921. The excess pay of Rs 12-6-0 is arrived at as follows:-

Acting allowance drawn from 1-10-22 to 5-11-22 at Rs 10 a month. ----- Rs 11-10--0

-----do--- from 29-1-23 to 15-3-23. --- 15-12--0

Deduct increment due to the teacher at Rs 1/- from 1-10-22 to 1-3-23 and at Rs 2/- from 1-3-23 to 31-7-23. ----- 15--0--0

Net excess. ----- 12--6--0

The point for consideration in this case is whether the acting promotion to Rs 40 in October 1922 and after was justified seeing that in that month the new time-scale of pay had been announced. The D.P.J. holds that acting promotions on the old scale were not valid till the date of sanctioning to promotional scale.

No. 2. W. Aug. 1923

10/14

No. 6.

He was a permanent attender in 1921 and appointed to act as Physical Training Instructor from 26th January 1923 to 21st March 1923 on Rs 30, the old rate of pay. His initial pay in the time-scale was fixed at Rs 25 from 1-3-21. It is held that after 6th October 1922 the Director should not have allowed the man to draw pay at the rate of Rs 30 while the minimum of the new scale was only Rs 25.

No. 9.

Was acting as Physical Training Instructor prior to 1921 and was drawing in February 1921 Rs 21/- in the old time-scale of Rs 20-1-30 (old scale) + a temporary pay of Rs 10 or Rs 31 in all ^{he} was acting on Rs 30 + 10 (temporary pay) in the old scale from 1st December 1922 to 31st July 1923. This acting promotion which enabled him to obtain an excess of Rs 54 is questioned. According to the orders re: initial pay, his initial pay was fixed as follows after July 1923:-

Rs 31/- ^{from} on 1-3-1921.

,, 32/- on 1-3-22

,, 33/- on 1-3-23

No. 16.

Remarks under No. 13 apply with some change of dates.

22 cases are reported by the D.P.I. for orders. ^{Three} of these relate to teachers who have left the service & under para. 2(a) of G.O. 711 B 7.21 recovery is waived in their cases. One (no. 21) has actually refunded the excess drawn. Of the remaining ^{fourteen} cases, six are cases of teachers appointed after 6.10.1922 on the old rates. The D.P.I.'s justification is that he had authorization to appoint men on old rates up to the date

Nos. 11, 17 & 19 - Pp 324, 14

(2)

Nos. 2, 3, 4, 10 & 15 - Pp 324, 14

(E)
G.O. 1587
18.12.22

This plea is again based on the interpretation of the words "until such time as their pay is reorganised on a time scale basis" used in G.O. no. 1587 of 18.12.22. In respect of these ^{six} cases, G.O. no. 711 B 7.21 will not apply as it contemplates cases of appointments made at least ~~for~~ three months prior to 1st March 1921.

Nos. 7, 8, 12, 14, 18 & 20

- Pp 324, 14

(B)
G.O. 1063
2.8.23
(C)
G.O. 389
12.8.23

Out of the remaining twelve cases, ^{to the permanent} six were appointed after 1st March 1921, (the date from which effect was given to the revision) but before 6.10.1922. In this case also G.O. no. 711 B 7.21 will not apply. The D.P.I.'s justification here is the same as stated above. The A.G. has not objected to the payment on the old rates up to 6.10.22 but only after that date.

Nos. 1, 5, 6, 9, 13 & 16

- Pp 324, 14

The remaining six cases relate to ^{permanent} who were holding appointments on lower rates of pay ~~but~~ acting in higher grade prior to 1.3.21. It is only in these cases G.O. no. 711 B 7.21 will apply. The D.P.I. has applied it actually. But as the fixation of pay according to the G.O. ~~was~~ came to be made only in 1923 after the proposition that was sanctioned, they were allowed to continue to draw acting pay on the old rates even after 6.10.22 on the "Authorization" cited above.

12
The Acc't Genl's office could have taken
the objection earlier in these cases

V.T.F.
13.12

M2

C4

In the circumstances stated by D.D. I
no refund can be insisted on
the mistake in the D.D. I
I am a Sinner's blood brother
I am to blame for not
working out more carefully &
the A.S. Nic's hand bills
The poor men might not suffer
in the end -

18/12/24

I think we must definitely rule out
all persons appointed after 6.10.22. There
was no excuse for giving them more than
the pay sanctioned in G.O. 1177. These are
h^{os} 2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 15, 19 & 21. Of these
h^{os} 11 & 19 however apparently get off
because they are no longer in service
Orders have been passed about h^o 22
& h^o 21 has paid
Also I do not think we can forego
the recovery of acting allowance drawn



13 17
after 6.10.22. To do so would be
unfair to other departments or would
mean reopening many claims. This
rules out h^{os} 5, 6, 9, 13 & 16. 10^N

3 There remain only h^{os} 7, 8, 12, 14, 17,
18 & 20 about whom I feel some
difficulty (17 has gone however)

A
If their appointment was necessary
& the pay given them on appointment
was actually the pay of the posts
to which they were appointed, I do
not think it was intended that they
should lose in pay on the revision

I do not quite know why h^{os} 8 & 12
got Rs 40. Otherwise I should be
inclined to let them start on 6.10.22
on the pay they were then getting

4 It is true that all revisions are
somewhat distasteful, but revisions
have had to be made in all departments
& the amounts with which we are
now concerned are not comparatively
large

R.S.

17/12/24

Paragraph 3 of C₂'s note

It has been verified with
reference to the Proposition Statement
inclosed in L.O. No. 1053 dated 11/12/24

(B)

1923 Hual
Nos. 7, 8, 12, 14, 18 & 20 no appointment
was the pay sanctioned for the appointments
in question prior to the 6th October 1922

A draft order based on C₃'s orders
as submitted. May be sent to Finance.

3.1.25
6.1.25
V.T.
7.1
Finance 11.0

Law Edu no. 2855C/24-2.
8.1.25
Fin 575 E.T.
8.1.25



Finance (E.T.)

[Previous Finance note on pages 2 to 4 ante]
The draft order is in accordance
with Hon. C₃'s orders on pages 13 ante.


The case may be processed and returned.
PPA 2
9.1.25 The effect of H.M.C₃'s
orders will be as follows:

- (i) recovery will be effected in
12 cases amounting to Rs 520-6-0.
- (ii) As the initial pay in the 6
cases of subordinates is to be fixed
on 6.10.22 at the stage corresponding
to the pay actually drawn by
them on that date, the overpayments
in their cases will be wiped off
and an additional provision to the
extent of about Rs 868 will be required
ent year on account of their
enhanced rate and arrears

② In no case recovery
has already been
effected.
para 2 of draft order

arrears salary from 1.8.23. Law
may be requested to obtain
a reappropriation statement
to meet the extra expenditure
in the current year.

PVP
10.1.25
one
16.1.25



Fin no. 575 E.T.1
14/1/25

Rwd

Law.

Law (Edu) Dept.

A.S.

With reference to 'A' above
an addition has been made to
paragraph 2 of the draft. For
orders as to circ. to H₂ reference

all
15.1.25
15.1.25
CR
15.1.25
V.T.
16.1



now for
incal.
19/1-

m2

17/1/25

When received for Fair-copying. *abg*
 When copied .. *abg*
 When examined ..
 When signed ..
 When despatched ..

Establishment - Miscellaneous
 appointments - Waiver of recovery
 of excess pay - Orders passed.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 562 Law (Edu.) dated 11. 4. 1924. W
 " " " " 647 Finance " 6. 9. 1924. Z

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 2855 C. From the S.P. L. R. No. 1352 of dated 22. 6. 1924.
 3137C
 1280.

ORDER.

Mhi.

No. 67, dated 19-1-1925.

Under paragraph 2 (4) of G.O. No. 647, Finance, dt. 6. 9. 1924, the recovery of the excess pay drawn by M. Parakkasasathi Nayadu, M.C. Angappa Chetti and K.V. Paul mentioned in the list above with the Director's letter read above is waived. The Govt. ^{direct} ~~order~~ that the subordinates whose names are mentioned in the margin ^{and who} had been appointed before the 1st March 1921 (ent) before the time scales of pay were announced in G.O. No. 1177 Law (Edu.) dt. 6. 10. 1922 and ~~that they~~ ~~shall~~, ~~as the case may be~~, be brought on the new time scale.

- 1) K. Haribandu, P.T. 3; G.T. 5; Parvatipur
- 2) Muhammad Yakub, P.T. 2 (RS); G.T. 5; Guntur
- 3) T. Rama Rao, P.T. 3; G.T. 5; Bellary
- 4) Rasheed Ahmed, Drawing Master, G.H.S. Kurnool
- 5) J.D. Higaid, Drawing Master, Victoria College, Palghat
- 6) M. Arayapathi, A.G. P.T. 3; Reform School, Chingapur

of pay, namely, Rs. 25-1-50.

and allowed to start on the 6th Oct: 1922

on the pay they were then drawing.

The question of the recovery of overpayments in their case

does not therefore arise.

3 The Govt. do not consider

that the Director was justified in having made appointments,

whether acting or temporary,

on the old rates of pay after

the 6th Oct. 1922 and they

accordingly direct that the

overpayments from Oct. 1922

in the case of teachers

shown as Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9,

10, 13, 15, 16 and 21 ~~shall~~

be recovered.

The S.P.S. is requested to ^{submit} ~~forward~~ for the sanction of Govt; if necessary, a re-appropriation statement to meet the extra expenditure involved by the ~~recovery~~ in the grant of arrears of pay to these subordinates

pp 12-13 RP

3-1-25
3-1-25
3-1-25

VTK
7-1-25

2

Na S.P.S. 9x0 ✓

A.G. The Finance Dept.
agru with copy of lit.

Finance Dept. 50.
MAY 11