Scott. II-42—33,702—27-11-23.

Law (1925) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 22

DATED 8-1-1925

5

[Despatch Abstract.]

SCHOOL.

Government Training School, Cuddalore--feeding of pet animals--excess expenditure--sanctioned.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.P. 30—18,658—3-01-23.

Law(Education) **DEPARTMENT.**

Received .... 192 . *Registered* .... 192 .

Subject.

Cost of feeding the pet animals in the Government Training School for masters, Cuddalore.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies.

R.O.C. No. 103 A/23

Station Madras, *Dated the* 1/12/24 192 .

From (Name) R.Littlehailes Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law(Education) Department.

Through the Accountant General, Madras.

Sir,

In G.O.No.1064 Edl.Mis dated 27-9-15, sanction was accorded for an expenditure of Rs.3/- per mensem on the feeding of pet animals in the Government Training School for masters, Cuddalore.

2. When checking the statement of charges of the school for July 1923 it was noticed that expenditure on this account was incurred at the rate of Rs.5 per mensem without proper sanction. Thereupon, the District Educational Officer was requested to instruct the Headmaster to restrict the expenditure to the amount

sanctioned

sanctioned viz Rs.3 per mensem and this is being done with effect from November 1923.

3. It has further been ascertained that a total excess expenditure of Rs.47/- had been incurred during the period from 1-4-1920 to 31-10-23 as detailed below

Year.	Total expenditure	Total expenditure admissible	Excess expenditure
	Rs.		
1920-21	48	36	12
1921-22	60	36	24
1922-23	35	36	-
1923-24	47	36	11
			Rs.47

The officers responsible for the excess expenditure are Messrs T.S.Subrahmania Iyer and T.S. Krishnamurti Ayyar who were the District Educational Officers during the period in question.

4. M.R.Ry T.S.Subrahmania Ayyar who sanctioned the expenditure of Rs.5 per mensem from 1-9-1920 reports that his attention was not drawn at the time to his limited powers of sanction and that the paper under reference was perhaps almost/the first dealt with by him after his transfer to the Inspectorate.

5. Mr.T.S.Krishnamurti Ayyar who succeeded Mr.T.S Subrahmania Ayyar states that the amount of Rs.5 had been drawn from 1-9-1920 without audit objection and that he was under the impression that this was done under proper authority. There was no occasion for him to refer to the Madras Treasury Manual on the subject.

6. Taking into consideration the small excess expenditure involved, I consider that at this distance of time, the officers mentioned above need not be made

liable

liable for such excess and I have accordingly the honour to recommend that the recovery of the sum of Rs.47/- may be waived.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

for Director of Public Instruction

A.R. 3/12/24

4

L. Ed. 3405 C

No. S.A.4-538.

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF MADRAS LAW DEPARTMENT 14 DEC 1924

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS.

Fort St. George, 13th Decr. 1924

Enclosures.

4 sheets

F O R W A R D E D.

There is no audit objection to the waiver of the recovery of Rs.47/ being the excess expenditure incurred on account of feeding pet animals in the Government Training school for Masters Cuddalore, being sanctioned by Government.

Dy. Accountant-General.

To

The Secretary to Goverhment

Law Education.

Sectt. II-10 (a)—36,502 27-11-23. (6)

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		[illegible] 9/11.
When examined ..		
When signed ..		[illegible] 9/11
When despatched ..		

4

Law ([illegible]) DEPARTMENT.

School.

Govt: Ty: School, Cuddalore
— Feeding of pet animals —
excess expr. — Sanctioned

READ AGAIN G.O. No ...., dated ....192 .

" " " " .... " ....192 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 3405/c, From the D.P.I.; R.O.C. No. 103-A/23, dated 1. 12. 1924.

" " Endt: of the A.G., S.Am-4-538, 13. 12. 1924.

" " .... " " .... " " ....192 .

ORDER. No. 22 dated 8-1-1925

Ms.

In the circumstances stated the Government ratify the ~~[illegible]~~ irregular expenditure of Rs 47 in the aggregate incurred ~~[illegible]~~ in the years 1920-21 to 1923-24 on the feeding of pet animals in the Government training School for masters, Cuddalore.

[illegible] 22.12.'24

[illegible] 22-12-24

V.T.K. 22.12

To the D.P.I. (G.O.)

" A.G. (M.O.)

Copy to the Finance Dept. (G.O.)

[illegible] 9/1.

Note:- Sanction is asked for for waiving the recovery of the excess expenditure from the officer

2

concerned. It seems sufficient to issue an order ratifying the excess expenditure.

2. Draft may go to Finance w/o for acceptance.

22.12.24 21.12.24

V.T.K. 22.12

Finance u.o.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVT. 23 DEC 1924

Law Edn. u.o. 3405-C.7 23-12-24.

5047 acts. 24.12.24.

Finance (acts)

This Dept. may agree to ~~as~~ the recovery of the sum of Rs 47/- being waived.

3/1

It is for consideration whether the draft order may not be amended so as to be in terms of the A.G's endt. on p. 4 C.7.

3/1 3-1-25 4/1

Law

Law (Ed. M)

Fin. u.o. 5047 acts-1 6.1.25.

All that is required is Govt. ratification of the irregular expr. It seems unnecessary to amend the draft which may issue.

L. Ed. 332 6/1/25

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVT. LAW DEPARTMENT 8 JAN 1925

6.1.25

There seems to be no great point in putting the draft in another way. Both mean the same.

7.1.25

V.T.K. 8/1

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

Read again G.O. No. 192 dated

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government . . . . . . A A A A A A A

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only