

Law (192 *1*) Department.

(Education)

SERIES.

Ordinary

G.O. No. *997*

DATED

4-7-21

Indexed.

(9)

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council meeting--March 1921--Resolution--Reduction
of the total demand under 31-Education-Papers recorded.

28 CN 1189A
16-3-21

Council office.

14th March 1921.

Resolution on the Budget.

M. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar

The Law (Education) Department
The Finance Department.

318. This council resolves that

grant of Rs. 1,55,63,000 under 31 Education

(page 120) be reduced by Rs. 1,50,000

*This is the entire
budget grant ~~under~~
for Education*

Law (Edn)

B.V.V

The M. d. c. proposes

a reduction in the entire
budget grant under 31 Education

It is not known under what
specific details he intends
the reduction. He may have to
be heard in Council and the
reply framed in the light of
the arguments that might be
addressed.

To
18-3-21
M
18/3/21
The same member
has proposed a reduction
of similar amount
31 E. (6) - 95

2
19-3-4
5

Mr. Res. withdrawn.

O² on 19. 3. 21.

56. This Council resolves that the grant of Rs. 1,56,63,000 under 31. Education be reduced by Rs. 1,50,000 (Budget, page 120)

In doing so, he said :—" In regard to the demand at page 129 of the budget, we find provision is made for teaching grants. In the cost of maintaining elementary schools, the provision for a Principal or Superintendent in addition to the Headmaster is also included. I submit that the cost of a Principal or Superintendent should be left out of consideration. As things are, there is the Headmaster who has been relieved of much of his teaching work. He has to supervise the classes and the teachers under him. So I think any supervision that may be required in regard to the schools can reasonably be expected to be satisfactorily done by the Headmaster. I do not object to the Principal or the Superintendent, if no Government grants are asked on their account. When they submit their demand for grant, the managers include the pay of this Principal or Superintendent and thus claim a larger grant than otherwise they can get. I object to this system. It is stated sometimes that this principal when he is a non-Indian imparts a superior tone to the high-school. But I prefer an Indian to a European to impart this tone.

"Just as we have often said that good Government is no substitute for self-government so also we should say that no foreign tone, however good it be, can be a substitute for an Indian tone. So I do not see the need for including in the cost of a high school the amount paid to the Principal for whom we have no necessity. I see no reason for including that amount in calculating the grant. Further what is the work that the Principal does? How many hours does he teach?"

apart from the religious instruction in the Bible or any other book, which is not of much concern to us. How many hours does he spend on secular teaching? If he spends only one hour and devotes the remaining hours to propaganda work, then I must emphatically object to the educational institution being made the means of supporting an agency which is not of an educational character."

The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur A. SUBBARAYALU REDDIYAR :—"I do not think, Sir, the Honourable Member has made out a case for reduction. Of course he dwelt at length on the possibility of the headmasters who are not engaged in teaching being able to control. All that does not bear on the point. It seems to me that there is not much to be said in favour of this resolution."

The Rev. E. M. MACPHAIL:—"I wish to say a few words upon this resolution because I believe to be the only member of this House who has been in the position of a superintendent of a school with a headmaster working under him. We both had a large amount of work to do and were quite worth the grants we received—no, we were worth a great deal more for, as a matter of fact, we got no grants, nor do I believe that I imparted a bad tone to the school of which I was the superintendent, for there was always great pressure for admission. Judging therefore from my own case I maintain that there may be plenty of work in a school both for a superintendent and for a headmaster, and they may both deserve grants."

The motion was then withdrawn by leave of Council.

The above is the extract from Council.

Notes on way to Order N-2 9970/42

ms.
Record of
July 19

My Tablet 29.6.64 [Copy to the SDs]

League ch. Meetings
— March 1921

Resolⁿ - Reduction
of the total

demand winter

31. Education.
Papers recorded.

ESPATCHE
6 JUL 1961

Center 1/2

My table

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
• 192 •

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER GOVERNMENT _____

STATEMENT WITH DIVTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.