

Law (1921) Department.

Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No.

921

DATED

18.6.21

[Despatch Abstract.]

orary allowance---granted to Assistant Inspectresses of school
in G.O.700 Financial dated 12th August 1920--Government of
India addressed.

High Court.

T.R.N. 4-2-21

The A.C.'s request is I think in accordance with what is quoted by him and we may ask the administrative authorities concerned to take action at once.

2

H.C. 7-3-21

The gazetted officers who have been admitted to the scheme of temporary additions and who have applied for admission to these benefits are the following:

- (1) Officers mentioned in the annexure to G.O. 4/2-8-20.
- (2) Dy. and Asst. Registrars of the High Court.
- (3) City Civil Judge and the Judges and Judges of the Small Cause Courts and
- (4) Sub-Engineers in the P.W.D.

In the case of officers mentioned as (1) the question of addressing the Govt. of India should be addressed. If so, the sanction of the Secy. of State, Govt. of India should be addressed. If so, the sanction of the Secy. of State, Govt. of India should be addressed. If so, the sanction of the Secy. of State, Govt. of India should be addressed.

(Education & Legislative), Revenue and Finance

L & S. for (1) Second Asst. to the Chemist, (2) Civil apothecaries.

Law (Judl.) for - non-I.M.S. Supdt. of Jails.

Law (Education) for (1) Asst. Supdt. School, (2) Asst. Inspectresses.

Law (Legislative) for Translators.

Revenue - for 1st Asst. Board of Rev. (Rev. & Finance (Central) for Income-tax Inspectors.

respect of the appointments under the scheme submitted in Finance Dept. about the same time including P.W.D.

2. As regards officers in (2),

address the Govt. of India.

3. With regard to (3) and (4) it is

filed with Finance that the Govt. of India

authority competent to sanction temporary

action will presumably be taken in the

T.R.N. 4-2-21.

Finance Department.

Temporary increases of pay to the Dy. and Asst. Registrars of the High Court.

(C. No. 830 C) From the Accountant-General No. G.A. 5648, 3815, dated 11th February 1921:-

With reference to G.O. No. 788 Financial, dated 30th August 1920, admitting with effect from 1st April 1920, the Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court original and appellate side, to the benefits of the temporary allowance sanctioned in G.O. No. 700 Financial dated 2nd August 1920, I have the honour to enquire whether the Government of India have been addressed with a view to obtain the sanction of the Secretary of State with reference to the last sentences of the Secretary of State's telegram dated the 3rd March 1920 recorded in G.O. No. 286 Financial, dated the 24th March 1920 and the Government of India letter No. 2604 E.A. Finance Department dated 6th December 1920.

Please see para: 1 of letter 2377 C-1 Finl., d/7-7-20 and the Govt. of India's telegram on pages 2 - 3 of G.O. 700 Finl., d/2-8-20. The Govt. of India sanctioned the grant with effect from 1-4-20 of temporary allowances on the scale proposed by the Madras Government to "all full-time subordinates not dealt with by the Public Services Commission". It is not known whether the Govt. of India have reported to the Secy. of State with reference to the latter's telegram on page 2 of G.O. 286 Finl., dated 24-3-20.

2. The case of the Dy. and Asst. Registrars of the High Court's letter referred to by the Acctt. Genl. is part of the scheme sanctioned by the Govt. of India (although the order admitting them to the benefits of the scheme was issued separately and shortly after the issue of G.O. 700 Finl., d/2-8-20). The Local Govt. have not specifically referred this case to the Govt. of India and it is not clear why this should be done in view of the general sanction accorded for the scheme. The Accountant-General does not refer to the cases of other gazetted officers who have been admitted to the benefits of the scheme.

4. The Acctt. Genl. may, it is submitted, be requested to kindly state why, in view of the general sanction referred to above, the Local Government should move the Govt. of India to obtain the sanction of the Secy. of State for the grant of the temporary increases to the Deputy and Asst. Registrars of the

Please see A.C. as suggested at "a" on page 1 also in the first instance. It will be awkward if India should refuse sanction in any particular instance.

J.M.H. 22-3-21.

U.O. Note of the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Govt. of Madras, Finance Department, No. 48, dated 25th/26th April 1921:-

A reference is invited to the last sentence in the Secy. of State's telegram dated 3rd March 1920 recorded in G.O. No. 286 Financial, dated 24th March 1920 from which it is clear that a report should be subsequently made to the Secy. of State of sanction by Govt. of India in excess of their normal powers under the audit resolution. The special power vested in the Govt. of India was intended only to obviate the necessity for previous sanction by the Secy. of State and the sanction first accorded by Govt. of India in such cases cannot, therefore, be treated as final. As the amount of expenditure involved in all revisions of pay on account of high prices has been passed provisionally in audit, subject to report to the Secy. of State, as per instructions of the Comptroller General in para: (2) of his letter No. 851 A & A, dated 8th October 1920 of which a copy is enclosed herewith, it is necessary for the Local Government to move the Govt. of India to obtain the necessary sanction for the grant of Temporary increases of pay to the Deputy and Assistant Registrars of the High Court.

Copy of letter No. 851 A & A, dated 8th October 1920, from the Comptroller and Auditor General, Delhi, to the A.C., Madras:-

Owing to a rise in prices, all Local Govts. have taken up the question of the revision of pay of menials and subordinates establishments under them. It has been brought to my notice that some audit officers have accepted the sanction of the Local Govt. to the revisions of pay of individual establishments provided that the total extra cost involved in the case of that establishment is within their powers of sanction. This is not the correct point of view. I have the honour accordingly to inform

following instructions for the guidance of the Secy. of State.
 2. All increases of salary should be considered as part of a general scheme requiring the sanction of the Secy. of State. By the special powers vested in the Govt. of India by the Govt. of State's telegram dated the 2nd March 1920, the Govt. of India are competent to sanction these revisions of pay subject to subsequent reports to the Secy. of State. The sanction of the Govt. of India should therefore, be called for in all cases. When that sanction is accorded, the amount should be passed provisionally in audit, but it should be entered in the Register of insufficient sanction prescribed in Article 985 C.A.G. On receipt of a copy of the Govt. of India's report to the Secy. of State (which I am arranging to be sent to the Audit Officer concerned) the amount should be accepted finally in audit and removed from the Register, referred to above.

Finance Department.

Please see notes on pages 1-4 ante. With reference to A.G. note on page 3 ante, it is submitted that Finance had not contended that a report to the Secy. of State about the grant of temporary increases is not necessary. In the note on pages 1-3 ante, it was presumed that the Govt. of India would take the necessary action and it was therefore held that no action was necessary on the part of the Local Govt.

3. As the A.G. however holds that the case of the Dy. and Asst. Registrars of the High Court should be specifically reported to India, the A.G.'s request has to be complied with. It is not however clear whether the A.G. will hold that the cases of the other gazetted officers who have been admitted to the scheme of temporary increases in G.O. No. 700 Financial, dated 2-8-20 should also be reported to India. Submitted that before Law Dept. is requested to take action about the Dy. & Asst. Regs. the A.G. may be requested to offer his remarks with reference to A above.

T.R.N.H. 7-5-21.

Acctt. Genl.



Note from the Accountant-General, Madras, to the Govt. of Madras, Finance Department, No. 95, dated 14th/16th May 1921:-

It was presumed from G.O. No. 700 Financial, dated the 2nd August 1920 that the cases of gazetted officers who were admitted to the benefit of temporary increases of pay had already been reported to the Government of India. If, however, this has not been done, these cases also should be reported to the Government of India.

Finance Department.

Orders are solicited whether a copy of the C.F. and notes may be sent to the departments of the Sectt: noted

L.S.G.	in the margin for taking the necessary
Judicial	
Law (Education)	action with reference to the A.G.'s notes
.. (Legislative)	above.. It does not appear that the case
Revenue.	of the gazetted officers dealt with in
Finance (Central)	

G.O. 700 Financial, dated 2-8-20 has been reported to India.

T.R.N.H. 21-3-21.

J.M.H. 23-5-21

S

Yes.

P.L.M. 26-5-21.

Fin: U.O. 2330 C-1, d/28-5-21.

To

L. S. G.
Judicial
Law (Education) ✓
Law (Legislative)
Revenue
Finance (Central)

2622/8-1
 21.6.21


① Issued as G.O. No. 921 d/18.6.21

21.6.21

② After issue the case may go to Development who will have to take action in respect of the No. 921 d/18.6.21. Supdt. School of Arts. Issue & then to

21.6.21, Dec 7/21

Don Edm action was to be taken only in respect of the 3 Asst. Inspectresses of Schools who were admitted to the benefit of the allowances under G.O. 700 of 2-8-20. A draft letter is subd. After issue the case may go to Development who will have to take action in respect of the No. 921 d/18.6.21. Supdt. School of Arts. Issue & then to



For D.C.

Action with regard to the Asst. Supdt. of the School of Arts is being taken separately in this Dept. With this information the file may be returned to the Law (Education) Dept.

U.S.P.

22.6.21.

D.O. 174311/21-1
28-6-21.

Lil
25.6.21

Law (Edu) u.o.

Law (Ed 2)

Subd: for perusal. The

papers may be put away.

10
30.6.21

yes.
21/7/21

Development Dept.

Temporary Allowance

Grant to Asst. Inspectresses of Schools in G.O. 700 Hyderabad 2.8.20
G.O. S. O. S. address.

16/6

Re: Dr. N.



These are not welcome

18/6

Page 2 of 70
28-20

Pages 1 + 2 of ibid

one on Rs 250/- and
two on Rs 200/- per m

Law (Education) Dept.

Letter.
MCS.

921 D/18 2/21

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India
Department of Education

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference to the correspondence ending with the telegram from the Govt. of India Finance Dept, No. 1427 E.B., dated the 12th July 1920, sanctioning the grant of tempy allowances to all full-time subordinates not dealt with by the Public services Commission on the scales suggested in this Govt's letter No. 2377 C-1 Pinl., dated the 7th July 1920.

2. Under the authority conveyed in the above telegram, the Asst. Inspectresses of Girls' schools in this Presidency were granted tempy allowances at the rates sanctioned with effect from the 1st April 1920. As stated in para 3 of my letter No. 1350, dated the 1st November 1919, there was no P.E. S cadre for women in this Presidency at the time of the visit of the

Public Services Commission and the
~~three~~ posts of Asstt. Inspectress of Girls
schools, though treated as gazetted
posts, were kept outside the regular
cadres. With the formation of a
Women's Branch of the M.E.S. ^{in this Presidency} ~~with effect~~
effect from the 1st March 1921 (vide
my letter No. 990 A-1, dated 8-3-21)
the ~~three~~ posts in question have been
included in the cadre of that service.
The Asst. Inspectresses thus drew the
temp. allowance sanctioned by the Govt.
of India from the 1st April 1920 to
the 1st March 1921.

3. The Acct. Gl., Madras, states that
the grant of the allowance to the ~~three~~
officers in question, who ~~are~~ ^{are} gazetted
~~as~~ ^{both} should be reported to the
Govt. of India in order to enable them
to obtain the sanction of the Secretary
of State to the arrangement. He invites
attention to the last sentence of the
telegram from the Secretary of State
to the Govt. of India in the Finance Dept
dated the 3rd March 1920. I am accordingly ~~directed~~
to request that the Govt. of India will be
pleased to ~~take~~ ^{take} the necessary ~~action~~ ^{steps with retroactive effect} in
the matter with a view to satisfy audit
requirements.

DESPATCHED
18 JUN. 1921
809