

Law (192 /) Department.

Education
ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No.

679

DATED

27-4-21

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Schools Government Training---Facilities for games--
Provisions of G.O. 443 Edl., dated 14-5-13--extended


PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S. C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.




Home (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

C.N. 3182 A

191 . Registered 11.11.1920



Subject.

Provision of facilities for games.

Requesting that the provisions of G.O. No. 443 Educational dated 14th May 1913 may be extended to Government Training Schools also.

ENCLOSURES	
No.	
Spare copies	

Ref. on Current No. 10352/20

Station Madras, Dated the 2nd November 1920.

From (Name) Hon'ble Mr. R.G. Grieve, M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

J
E
M

Sir, I have the honour to state that in G.O. No. 443 Educational dated 14th May 1913 Government extended the rules regarding the provision of facilities for games laid down in Government G.O.'s No. 716 Educational dated 28-10-1907 and No. 536 Educational dated 27-9-1909 to secondary schools as well as colleges and considered also that certain other items might be regarded as suitable objects for the expenditure of public funds. 2. I request that the provisions of G.O. 443 Educational dated 14th May 13 may be extended to Government Training Schools also in special cases at the discretion of the Director of Public Instruction. Students particularly those of the elementary grades cannot afford to buy for themselves the necessary equipment for games, such as footballs and badminton bats and balls nor can they be expected to meet the initial expenditure required for starting games.

3. It is particularly desirable that students under training should be afforded every facility for participating in games so that the teachers turned out of these schools may be themselves healthy and so that they may take a general interest in school games.

4. The cost in any particular case will not be great and no special budget provision will be required.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

N R Krishna 11/20

for Director of Public Instruction.

2-16.
C.F. 13.
3
NOTES.

4
Hamel Edw. Joseph

Extension of facilities for Games to
Govt. Training Schools.

(Cm. 3182A) In the D.P.D., N. No. 10352, D. 11-20

(J)

In G.O. No. 4643 Ed., 24-5-13.
The Govt. sanctioned the following concessions
~~the provision of the following facilities~~
~~at Govt. Training Schools~~
for the promotion of games in
Govt. Colleges and Secondary schools
~~in addition to the provision of recreation~~
~~grounds.~~

Para 2 of G.O. 4643
24-5-13 (J)

- (i) The provision and maintenance of hockey and football grounds, tennis courts, cricket grounds and inexpensive pavilions, and dressing and bath-rooms on play grounds where necessary.
- (ii) occasional gifts of tennis and cricket nets and goal posts to newly started recreation clubs in special need of initial assistance and
- (iii) annual grants-in-aid of recreation clubs not exceeding one-sixth of the amount of subscriptions raised by the members of the clubs.

2

The D.P.D. requests that he may

may be authorized to extend the ^{concessions} ~~facilities~~ to Govt. Training Schools in special cases at his discretion. ~~A~~

Apparently the Director means to extend the facilities ~~to~~ only in special cases and not in all ~~in~~ for Training institutions. ^(to be given with) He says that the cost in any particular case will not be great and that no special budget provision will be required. 2

3 A draft order is submitted which may be sent to the financial Dept. for acceptance.

LA.
1371.20

MS
1000

20.11.20


Considering the vast importance
of inculcating in teachers a love
for games I would even ^{have} welcomed a
proposal to extend the concessions
to all Govt training schools & aided
training schools. However the
draft goes as far as the
b.p. I want very

May 23/120

2 June

There are 79 Govt. Training
Schools for Masters and 150
for mistresses. It is ~~far~~ not
affordable possible to frame
~~any estimate of the extra~~
any estimate of the extra
cost involved. ^{Even if one is not}
~~able to prepare a model.~~
~~At present~~ ^{At present} ~~the~~ will
only be rough and liable
to vary from year to
year.

find of 5879B



Edn no. 3182A-1

23.11.20

Financial Deptt

The concessions allowed

(J) in G.O. no. 443 Edu. Dated 14.5.1913 in the matter of provision of recreation grounds etc. apply only to Govt. colleges and secondary schools and not (except in very special cases) to elementary schools. It is now proposed to extend these concessions in special cases to Govt. Training Schools. It would appear from the notes to G.O.

(J) no. 443 Edu. of 14.5.1913 that the case of such schools was not specifically considered - cf. the Honble Mr. Davidson's note of 7.9.1912 and Sir Murray Hammick's

note of 14.9.12 on pages 8-9 of the notes.

It would also seem that the intention of Govt. in limiting the scope of the orders to Govt. colleges and secondary schools was only to keep the expenditure as low as possible.

In so far as even elementary schools ~~are~~ enjoy the benefits of the

(J) concessions in G.O. no. 443 Edu. of 14.5.13 in very special cases, there seems to be no objection to admit the Training Schools also to these benefits in special cases.

X

2.

P.2 ante

P.2 cf.

It is stated that there are now 79 Training Schools. The D.P.I. states that the cost in any particular case ~~will not~~^{be} great. Before the proposal can be accepted in financial, it seems necessary to have an idea as to the expenditure likely to be involved in the proposal. Although it will not be possible to frame an accurate forecast, it would suffice if a rough estimate of the extra cost involved is worked out by the D.P.I. It is for consideration whether the Home (Edn.) Dept may be requested to obtain this information in the first instance.

8/12/20.

he may should accept the proposal on the understanding that the D.P.I. will exercise care in granting the concession in special cases only.

C.S. 2/14/20

Is it a case of delegating power to the D.P.I.? I cannot find any specific delegation in the

previous orders

RSL

16/12/20

(J)

The orders in G.O. no. 443 Edl
Dated 14.5.1913 specify

(i) what educational institutions
may look for aid from the Govt. for
the provision of games and

(ii) what objects in relation to
games may be considered suitable
for incurring expenditure from
general revenues.

(J)

The institutions specified in that G.O. are
colleges, secondary schools and elementary
schools in very special cases. It is under-
stood that with reference to ~~these~~ para. 3
of the order and para. 60 of the grant-
in-aid code, grants up to Rs. 200 are
sanctioned by Inspectors and up to Rs.
2,500 by the D.P.I. himself. It is only
when the grant exceeds Rs. 2,500 the
case is submitted to Government
for sanction.

(J)

The draft order purports to
extend this concession of provision of
games in schools at Govt. cost to

Training

9

Training schools also in special cases
 What schools should receive subven-
 tions from Govt. is left to the discretion
 of the D.P.I. As in the cases of colleges
 and secondary schools, the authority
 for sanctioning these grants will
 apparently vest ~~in the~~ with the
 Inspectors, D.P.I. or the Govt according
 to the amounts of the grants. It will,
 therefore, be seen that no delegation of
 powers is contemplated in this case.

[Signature]
 12/1/21

I think it would be well if Law
 would specify more clearly in the
 draft what it is proposed to
 sanction.



I would also suggest some indication
 of what the D.P.I. may regard as
 "special cases". Is the discrimination
 to be solely with regard to the
 ability of the institution to provide
 its own games, to the strength
 of the institution or the class of
 the members?

RCL
 16/1/21

to Law

I think there is a delegation -
 since the D.P.I. has not got
 the power it is ¹⁰⁰ p.p.s.
 asked to grant him.

The delay is due to the office
 of the D.P.I. not furnishing
 information which was called
 for on the 29th Decr 20 (among
 also were sent on 3rd, 4th, 6th
 1921).



File No. 5879B-1
 17.1.21

ES. C. No. 213A
 18.1.21

[Faint handwritten notes, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side]

1-28982-00
 15-1-21

Law (con.) Dept.

With reference to para 2 of the
Finance Secretary's note on page 6 ante,
a draft u.s. note to the D.P.D. is subd.

Issued as no. 31824/10-2
26-1-21


D.A.
20-1-21
23/1/21

CR
25/1/21

26/1/21

There is a delegation
to the D.P.D. for the purpose
of the D.P.D. to the D.P.D.

There is a delegation
to the D.P.D. for the purpose
of the D.P.D. to the D.P.D.



11 8
Law (ed.) Sept.

Subject: Provision of facilities for games
in Govt. Training Schools.

With reference to his letter
P.O.C. No. 10352, of 2-11-20, regarding the
extension of the provision of facilities
for games to Govt. Training Schools in
special cases, the D.P.I. may be
requested to state what ^{can} he
~~proposes to treat as special~~
~~cases, to be special cases~~, whether
the discrimination is to be solely with
regard to the ability of the institution
to provide its own games or to the
strength of the institution or the class
of the members. He is also requested

(1)
DA
26-1-21

(2) to state the approximate amount
likely to be spent on ~~training~~
~~institutions~~, ~~and~~ the classes
of officers ^{now} competent to incur expense
there ^{on games} and the limits of their powers of
sanction

(3)

Encls. 3182A/20-2
26-1-21

MS
23/1/21

25/1/21

26/1/21

h8ank

As regards the first query of the Secretariat Note as to what would be regarded as special cases, I should like ^{ultimately} ~~alternatively~~ to provide some facilities for all training institutions beginning with the largest and ~~of~~ most importance.

h8ank

As regards the second query, if each training school is provided with ^a tennis court with net, and a badminton court with net the initial cost would be Rs.22,000. It is not anticipated that this sum would be incurred all at once. The expenditure would be spread over a number of years and would be non-recurring. The recurring expenditure would be small and would only be incurred in such few cases as would be justified by subscriptions levied from students under training.

h8ank

As regards the 3rd query the officers competent to incur expenditure would be the heads of institutions subject to limit of the amount put at their disposal by the sanction of the Director.

I need hardly reiterate the desirability on general grounds of providing students under training with facilities for participating in games.

RAR
14/2/21



SPN CN 722A
15-2-21
RA
Surya

13
Law (Edⁿ) Sept

CN 3182A/20

Please see the first
only part of the D.P.D.'s note on page 9
ante. In his letter in reply, he
proposed the extension of facilities for
games to training schools in special
cases only, and cited as an
example that students particularly of
the Elementary grade could not
afford to provide themselves with
the necessary equipment, but now
he states that he would like
ultimately to provide some
facilities for all training schools
beginning with the ~~most~~ largest and
most important. It is now for
consideration whether the concession
should be extended to all training
schools or only in special cases
as originally proposed by the D.P.D.
If it is to be extended only in
special cases, the D.P.D. may be
requested to state ^(u.s.) specifically what
cases he proposes to treat as special.


cf
my notes on pages 8 & 9
in p. 1

cf + notes from page 8 only
Should go to D.P.D.
24/2
25.2.21
25.2.21

CR
25.2.21
5
OP 21/21

24/21

Wono 121
25.2.21



ES. C. N. 888A
28.2.21



KA
Super

IX 11
I cannot define with precision special cases. The sanction would not be indiscriminate & discretion might be left with the D.P.I. to decide in particular cases whether the concession is really necessary & likely to be taken proper advantage of.
Naa
25/2/21.


Lawl adj sent.

Submitted for order. Please see the Finance Secretary's note on page 6 ante. It would probably be

to extend the concessions to all training schools but

28/2/21

preferable to limit the expenditure on grant part from grants to subscriptions to a specified sum, say Rs. 3,000/- in a year.



NH
Hstm

15/3/21

My countenance of "Special cases" is "cases regarded as special by the D.P.I."

16/3/21

Freece / wj

File 2008B

15/3/21
NS2


ES. C. N. 3182A/20-4
17.3.21

B

with reference to Secy's note on page 6
ante, attention is invited to the D.P. 15
notes on pages 9 and 11 supra. The D.P. 1
has not indicated what cases will be
regarded as 'special' for the purpose of
granting the concessions in G.O. No. 443 Ed.
of 14.5.13. But he requests that discretion should
be given to him to decide in particular
cases whether the concession is really necessary
and likely to be taken proper advantage of.
2. The D.P. 1 also states that he would
like ultimately to provide some facilities
for all training schools. The initial cost
of extending the concessions to all training
schools is estimated at Rs 22,000. which
would be non-recurring and spread over a
number of years. It is stated that the scheme
will not involve any large recurring
expenditure.

3. For orders whether the proposal to gradually
extend the concessions in G.O. No. 443 Ed of 14.5.13
to all training schools will be accepted.

the D.P. 1 may be restricted to "special
cases" for the present - the power to be
exercised only within the budget grant.



File no. 200815-1
11.4.21
Edu. Cr. 1983/A
12.4.21

(J)

p 11 ante

p 9 ante

p 9 ante

LA

29.3.21.

CRS
29.3.21

11.4.21
12.4.21



16
Law (con) Dept.

The draft order has been added to
with reference to the Finance Asst-Secretary's
note on page 14 ante. For order as to issue.

Cm 31894 pro

12/11/21
12/11/21
12/11/21

20/4/21
24/4/21



23 / 11 / 20

When given for copying—
When copied—
When examined—
When signed—

1921

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.