

Law (1921) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 548

DATED 6-4-1921

INDEXED

①

[Despatch Abstract.]

Examination

Permanent exemption - S. Asancharana Sastri - Revenue
Board's office - up to Rs. 100 - refused.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Abstract.

M.R.Ry. S. Apadharana Sastri- Employment in clerical posts carrying pay up to Rs.100/- Sanction requested.

Refce., No. G.ii-61/21-1

Madras.

Dated the 2nd March 1921.

From

The Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement.

Ll. E. Buckley Esq., C.S.I., I.C.S.,
Commissioner of Land Revenue and Settlement.

Reference.

In their Order No. 1198 Home (Education) dated 10th September 1918 Government were pleased to exempt M.R.Ry. S. Apadharana Sastri, then a typewriting clerk in the Settlement branch, from the provisions of Art. 1 of the Public Service Notification with a view to his employment in clerical posts on pay not exceeding Rs.60 a month. He is now a permanent clerk on Rs.60.

The above Order was passed before the great rise in prices which has necessitated temporary additions ranging from 50 to 15 per cent to the pay of all non-gazetted subordinates. The Board therefore considers it necessary now to permit M.R.Ry. S. Apadharana Sastri to hold appointments in the clerical line carrying pay up to Rs.100 exclusive of allowances. It accordingly requests that Government will be pleased to modify to this extent their Order of 10th September 1918.

P. Karaganamawar
Secretary.

Law (Edu)

C No. 750 B. From The Board of Revenue
Land Rev. & Sett. No G. II-61/21-1
72-3-21

Subject Exemption of S. Apadhana
Sastri —

In the Reqs. M

Please see the G.O. 1198
Home (Edu) of 10-9-18 and the notes
connected thereto. The present pay
limit fixed by the Board is Rs 100/-
exclusive of allowances. The remarks
of the Rev. Dept. may ~~be~~
~~prob not~~ be worked up in
the first instance

4.3.21
JL
4.3.21


GA
10/3/21

Rev. Secy

Rev. Dept.

To Under-Secretary

Please see the Board's
Ref at page 1 of. As G.O. No. 1198 Home
(S. H.) we'll stand in the way of Apadhana
Sastri being given any
promotion beyond Rs 60, the Board



Edu no 750 B-1
-3-21


Rev. 440 E
12.3.21

(M)

of Revenue requests that the ~~order~~ may be modified and that ^{Mr.} Appadharana Sastri may be permitted to hold appointments in the clerical line carrying pay up to Rs 100 exclusive of allowance, which comes up to Rs (100 + 25).

Mr. Appadharana Sastri is a clerk of the lower division and is drawing Rs 60 + 18 p.m. The pay of the lower division clerks in the Board's office is fixed at Rs 40-40.5/2-65-3/2-80-
The Mr. Appadharana Sastri has reached the maximum in the scale of pay fixed for the lowest division clerks in the Board's office. The Board's recommendation amounts to Mr. Appadharana Sastri being taken to the upper division and given promotion in that grade - the ^{scale of} pay of which is Rs 65-65-4-125. It is submitted that the Board's proposal may be ~~accepted~~ supported in Rec and the file ~~transferred~~ returned to Law Education Dept.

S/ I don't think Mr. Bd. has made out much case for ~~Rs 100~~ exclusive allowance. I shall be inclined to limit it to lower division appointment.
Law (Edt.)
25-3-21



Rec 4402 - 12
21
25/3/21

Conf

108

Yes
Mm

233

Law (Son)

To the Regs.

Revenue does not support
the exemption applied for. A response
negating the request is submitted
for approval.

JH.
3/3/21

CA
3/4/21
5

JH
3/3/21

Is the draft right? We want to go up to 80.
The previous 80 is too it is not right to
say that that 80 is not to be modified

W 4/4/21

Subd. that it was to meet a pointed
objection I amended the draft.
We don't say that we are not
modifying the f.o. I purposely
inserted the word "as desired
by the Board," to indicate that
while we are not modifying
the f.o. to the extent desired by
the Board, we are doing a little
in the direction. The latter is
made plain by the subsequent

reference of the order. By limiting
his authority to the House Div, the clerk can go up to 80.

W 4/4/21

W 4/4/21

Examination

Permanent exemption - S Apadharana Sastru
Res Board's office - up to Rs 100 - refused

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 11982, dated 10-9 1918

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 750 B From the B5 g6 Rev. No. 9-11-64 dated 2-3 1921
Rev. Sett. 24-1

" " " " " "

192

" " " "

192

ORDER

No. 548, dated 6.4. 1921

His

founder that

The Govt ~~see no~~
~~sufficient reason to modify~~
~~the orders passed in G.O.~~
~~No 1198 Home (Em) 8/10-9-18~~
~~granted by the Board of Rev.~~
~~of the exemption from~~
art 1 of the P.S. Notification
granted to S. Appadharana
~~should be limited~~
District ~~to clerical~~ the lower
division ^{of} clerical posts in
the office of the Board ~~Pro.~~

$\frac{27}{31.3.21}$
 $\frac{9}{3/4/21}$

5/4/21

DESTACHED
7 APR. 1961

To the Brog Rev
Rev Settlt.
" Rev Dept

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

192 .

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED
 192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEPARTURE
 IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 F = Copy abstract only.
 C = Copy heading only.