

Home (1921) Department.  
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 29/

DATED 28/2/1921

(13)

INDEXED

13

[Despatch Abstract.]

Archaeology

Sir John Marshall's visit to Madras in - Notes of discussion  
recorded.

[illegible]

பாதுகாப்பு பிரிவு

செய்யுணிபுயவர் பெயர் : ரீ. அ. அ. அ. அ. அ.

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் 09/2009

கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள். 01/2010  
செய்யுமிடம் முடிந்த நாள்.

|                                               |                                             |                                     |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 25. 25/1/10                                   | 26. 26/1/10                                 | 27. 27/1/10                         |
| சரிபார்க்கும்<br>முதல் குறிப்பாள<br>கையொப்பம் | சரிபார்க்கும்<br>2ம் குறிப்பாள<br>கையொப்பம் | ஆராய்ச்சி<br>உதவியாளர்<br>கையொப்பம் |

|                 |               |            |
|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| 25. 20/11/10    |               |            |
| சரிபார்க்கும்   | சரிபார்க்கும் | ஆராய்ச்சி  |
| முதல் குறிப்பாள | 2ம் குறிப்பாள | 1-தவியாளர் |
| கையொப்பம்       | கையொப்பம்     | கையொப்பம்  |

|                                             |                                           |                                     |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| சரிபார்க்கும்<br>முதல் முநிபாள<br>கையொப்பம் | சரிபார்க்கும்<br>2ம் முநிபாள<br>கையொப்பம் | ஆராய்ச்சி<br>உதவியாளர்<br>கையொப்பம் |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|

|               |               |            |
|---------------|---------------|------------|
| சரிபார்க்கும் | சரிபார்க்கும் | ஆராய்ச்சி  |
| முதல் முதிபாள | 2ம் முதிபாள   | 1-தவியாளர் |
| கையொப்பம்     | கையொப்பம்     | கையொப்பம்  |

|                            |                          |                         |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| முதல் முநிபாள<br>கையொப்பம் | 2ம் முநிபாள<br>கையொப்பம் | 1-தவியாளர்<br>கையொப்பம் |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|

|                            |                          |                        |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| முதல் முற்பாள<br>கையொப்பம் | 2ம் முற்பாள<br>கையொப்பம் | உதவியாளர்<br>கையொப்பம் |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|

|           |           |           |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| கையொப்பம் | கையொப்பம் | கையொப்பம் |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|

291

31.1.21  
3071/21

No. 90/c

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF  
ARCHAEOLOGY IN INDIA.

VE

From

Sir John Marshall, Kt., C.I.E., Litt.D., F.S.A.,  
Director General of Archaeology in India,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
Home (Education) Department, Madras.

Calcutta, the 28th January 1921.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that I propose to  
visit Madras between 30th January and 4th February 1921  
and shall be glad to know if there is anything in which  
I can be of assistance to the Local Government.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir  
Your most obedient Servant,

J. H. Marshall

Director General.

Imperial

2. The question of the transfer of the Archaeological  
Department to the Imperial Department and assignment  
of Imperial funds may perhaps be talked over.
3. The transfer of head quarters of Spt. Archaeological Survey  
to Coimbatore may also be under the subject
3. The H. S. G. may be informed of the above
4. The S. A. S. may be asked to report whether the Dy  
has arrived & where he stays.
5. This may be sent to the Imperial

3071/21. (The H. S. G. file)

2 2 3

B.S.

File recd

1. The file regarding the transfer of the Mch. Dept. to the Imperial Dept. was submitted to C<sub>2</sub> on 22-1-21.

2. The file re transfer of S.A.S's headquarters <sup>(to Coimbatore)</sup> is submitted linked. — File I

3. Draft no. note to the M.S.G. and t.m. to the S.A.S. submitted.

File 31-1-21

Other files that are pending ~~unsubmitted~~ are —

(1) Hiring of a bungalow in <sup>Masas</sup> for the office of Mr. <sup>Govt. Epigraphist for India.</sup> Krishna Sastri, <sup>File now in P.W.D.</sup>

File 31-1-21

(2) Publication of the late Mr. Gopinatha Rao's manuscript <sup>(and the gift of Pandit Natesa Aiyar for the work.)</sup> on <sup>File with</sup> Sdpra Sastri the chief secretary.

(3) Protection of an ancient monuments under the Reforms scheme — ~~File~~

He stays at Fort House

File 31-1-21

File 31-1-21

File 31-1-21

unnecessary Rtl 31/1/21

2/3 3/4  
Sir John Marshall saw me today and  
discussed the following matters with me,  
with the permission of the Finance  
Secy, the files concerned hereunder may  
be sent to him through me

- (1) The headquarters of the A. A. S.
- (2) The policy of declaring monuments protected
- x (3) The question of DPW changing of charge's  
for conservation work
- x (4) The strengthening of the executive staff of  
the A. A. S.
- || (5) The examination of Mr. Gopinatha Rao's MSS.
- (6) The control of Archaeological establishments  
by the Local Govt.

31/1/21

Finance Secy.

P.S.

Sir John Marshall wishes to see Sir Lionel  
Davidson. He returns from camp on 3 Feb  
morning. Sir John would be obliged if  
a telephone <sup>message</sup> be sent to Sir Lionel enquiring  
if he can see Sir John, or for at what  
hours + if the reply be telephoned  
to Sir John Marshall who is staying  
at Govt. House. I shall be obliged if  
Mr. Graham will kindly do this. 31/1/21  
Sir Sir L Davidson is beyond

the reach of the <sup>lx</sup> <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup> ~~Madras~~ telephone  
I will write to him & ask him  
to wire

RdL  
31/1/21

Law

It may be as well to inform  
Sir / Marshall that Sir Davidson's  
portfolio does not now include  
Archaeology. Will Sir Law  
do this?

In which case perhaps he  
may not care to see Sir Lionel  
Dundas. I have written to Sir John  
Marshall  
31/1/21

Secy.

with reference to Secretary's  
note on p. 3 supra, the following papers  
are submitted:-

- (1) The Headquarters of the S.A.S. —  
linked file I. (New) (New)
- (2) The policy of declaring ancient  
monuments protected — File II (New)
- (3) The question of the D.P.W.  
charging centage charges for  
conservation

Notes

Notes

Flag (N)  
~~Conservation~~

(Paper put up with  
another case)

conservation work.

There is no pending file on this point. In para 2 of India's letter on 11.2.42, 1237 C.M. of 23.10.20 <sup>that the P.W.D. should continue to carry out the work on the conservation of monuments</sup> that Govt. states that they would be prepared to meet <sup>any extra</sup> ~~the~~ expenditure on establishment charges of the <sup>(that might be required in carrying out the work.)</sup> local P.W.D. ~~for two things~~ <sup>for two things</sup> ~~concerned~~ <sup>concerned</sup> ~~the conservation of monuments~~.

the usual charges in such cases are <sup>23</sup> ~~20~~ per cent of the capital outlay (2 1/2 per cent for establishment and 1 1/2 of. for tools and plant). It may be stated <sup>here</sup> that <sup>under the scope of the P.W.D.</sup> ~~been provided~~ <sup>been provided</sup> for in the conservation Budget, 1921-22, which was recently submitted to the Govt. of India.

(4) the strengthening of the executive staff of the A.A.S.

The secretary is perhaps referring to the scheme for the reorganisation of the Epigraphical Branch, contained in the correspondence with

Reg (K) in  
linked file IV  
(removed)

Notes

Noted

with 8. 1236-7 Est. of 9. 10. 19.  
No final order on this <sup>have</sup> ~~appear~~  
yet been received from the  
Govt. of India. There are no  
other papers on the subject in  
the office.

(5) The examination of the  
late Mr. Gopinatha Rao's MSS.  
— linked file V (removed)

(6) The control of the Archd.  
Dept. by the Local Govt. —  
File VI. The case has been  
marked for C. (removed)

CVV  
1.2.21

1.2.21.

x Done.  
CV  
5/4/21

For file noted: Sent to  
Finance Secy.

Queens 304 Reg. Please speak  
to me 4/3/21

Reg.

With reference to the Registrar  
oral instructions, the following note is  
submitted.

submitted

2/ Question (3) divy of centage charges

The Secretary is apparently referring to the revised rules for the distribution of expenditure on establishment and tools and plant, annexed to G.O. 548 <sup>Final.</sup> of 15.6.1920, under rules 12 and 13 of the rules, the charges on account of P.W.D. establishment should be distributed among the several major heads of account in the same proportion as that borne by the works expenditure under each head to the total works expenditure under all the heads. The note on Todhunter's note referred to by Secy. was perhaps written in that connection, but it has not been possible to verify this as no complete notes <sup>copy</sup> of the G.O. is available. [Finance officer was also consulted but is unable to help].

(5)

3/ It is however submitted, that, under para 2 of the G.O., it has been recognised that it is not practicable to apply these revised rules in the preparation

preparation of the schedule of demands  
under the Imperial heads; and  
that, in these cases, the charges  
should be calculated at 23 per cent  
on works outlay (2 1/2 % for establish-  
ment and 1 1/2 % for tools and plant).

The expenditure on archt. works  
which comes under 45-Imperial  
will thus be covered by this receipt  
and the centage charges for them  
will be 23 % on works outlay.

This is the basis in which <sup>centage</sup> charges have been provided in the  
conservation budget for 1921-22.

recently submd. to India - vid.  
note under (3) on p. 5 ante.

4/ Question (4). - strengthening  
the executive staff of the S.A.S.

The Secy. is referring  
to the scheme of employment of  
"conservation assistants", set forth  
in the correspondence forwarded  
with India's letter No. 4 E. (A. F.)  
of 5.1.21. This question is mainly  
one for the consideration of the P.W.D.  
and

copy at (2)

9 10

and the file on the subject  
was passed on to that Dept. on the  
2nd instant.

Cov  
5.2.21

Ch  
7.2.21

Th  
7.2.21

Quash 3. all that I can add is that  
Sir John wished if possible to avoid  
the percentage charges as his budget  
was limited.

Quash 4 Sir John thought that  
the Assets would be of great use &  
would be grateful if the Local Govt  
would push the Mr Longhurst's  
proposals which are being submitted  
in consultation with himself

since we are  
passing on to the

Mun  
8/2/21

Free Mun

Adm. no. 337 B-1  
8.2.21  
Ch 249  
File C B. Smith

File 1043B



Finance Dept

Question no. 3 - Please see rule.

3(a) of the annexure to G.O. no. 548 P.W.  
dated 15.6.20. Percentage charges ~~must~~  
to cover the cost of establishment and  
tools and plant must be levied for  
work

10/29/11  
work done by the P. W. Dept. for other departments. The orders are absolute and ~~therefore~~ a waiver of these charges is therefore out of question.

Attention is also, in this connection, invited to the concluding sentence of para. 2 of the Govt. of India letter printed in G.O. 1237 Home (Edn.) 23.11.1920. It will be seen that the Govt. of India are prepared to pay the cartage charges on account of archaeological works executed by the P. W. Dept.

Mrs. Expt. with refer to Question 4.

(Paper in P. W. D.)

2-2-21

Question No. 4 - Please see para. 4 of note on pages 8-9 ante. No remarks are called for in Finance until definite proposals are made.

and 1921.

S. 11/2/21  
R. 11/2/21

File No. 1043B-1  
22-2-21  
28. CN 8634B  
22-2-21

Law W.S.

FD.

Reg.

Law (S.D.) Sept



Submitted. No further action appears to be necessary in regard to an N. (3) re cartage charges. Question N. (4) is under the

12#  
21 12  
11

consideration of the P.W.D

2. Please see the notes

of discussion of C2 with Sir John

at p 12 infra Marshall at flag (G). Action has

been separately taken on all the

points involved. <sup>thru</sup> Para 5 of these

(G) notes deals with question (4),

regarding conservation assistants.

3. These papers may

now be

4.0 N 290 728 2 1/2  
min

Recorded:

R  
24.1.21

W 2/21

Archaeology.

Sir John Marshall's

visit to mounds -

Notes of discussion -

Recorded.

C.V.

23.2.21

J.M.  
24.2.21

Note of a discussion with Sir John Marshall (Mr. Ginkham also being present) dictated and accepted by him - 5-2-21

Mr. Longhurst is entitled to be in Ootacamund for only three months. If he also spends six months, he can only be in Madras for three months and that at a time when the Govt. are not here. If the Govt. are to exercise control, they can do so best if his headquarters are transferred to Ootacamund. The objection to this is expense. Sir John Marshall would be prepared to support a scheme under which his office <sup>was</sup> transferred to Ootacamund and he was given free quarters there.

2. It is desirable that the headquarters of the Epigraphist should be at the same place as that of the Supt. Archeological Survey.

3. Sir John Marshall lays stress on the necessity for more touch between officers of the Archeological Department and the local Govt.

4. Mr. Natesa Sastri is not likely to be available for the examination of Mr. Gopinatha Rao's manuscripts, but Mr. Krishna Sastri might be instructed to examine and report on the manuscripts and he might also be asked their value with a view to their purchase on behalf of the Imperial Govt, if that is recommended. The question of publication may then be decided.

5. Sir John Marshall lays stress on the desirability of pressing the employment of Conservation Assistants.

Para 11, 12 & 13 -

Mr. Marshall's separate  
L.A.S. on 12/1/21 - R.Y.  
was to L.A.S. & A.A.S. by  
direct communication  
regarding the matter  
of the D.D. for money  
to be sent to the  
Supt. Archeological Survey.

Para 4 - Mr. Marshall's separate  
L.A.S. on 12/1/21 - R.Y.  
was to L.A.S. & A.A.S. by  
direct communication  
regarding the matter  
of the D.D. for money  
to be sent to the  
Supt. Archeological Survey.

Para 5 -  
Action and R.Y.

5/2/21



5.2.21

Home (S.M.) Secy

As Action has been separately taken on all the questions referred to above, this may be 'lodged'.

2-20-21

20/2/21

13