

Horne (1911) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 271

DATED 22/2/1921

[Despatch Abstract.]

Archaeology - Ancient Monuments.

Papers regarding the question of declaring all
ancient monuments protected under the Act - recorded.


PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.



DEPARTMENT.

C.N. 2313B

Received



Registered 17-11-1920

Subject.

Forwarding a list of Government Monuments in this
Presidency which may be declared "Protected" under the
Ancient Monuments Preservation Act VII of 1904.

ENCLOSURES

No. One

Spare copies 141

Very urgent

No. 608

Station (Camp) F. P. O. K., Dated the 17th November '20 191x

From (Name) A. H. Longhurst, Esq.,

(Designation) Superintendent, Archaeological Survey
Southern Circle.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Paragraph 3 of G.O. No. 1387, Home
(Education), dated the 25th October 1920, I have the honour
to submit herewith a list of Government Monuments in this
Presidency which may be declared as "Protected" under the
Ancient Monuments Preservation Act VII of 1904. The Collec-
tors of the districts concerned have been requested to
direct to Government

11-3-21 inf a

Government as they are received from
who will have to consult the owners or trustees as to their
willingness to enter into an agreement with Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

A. H. Langhorne
Superintendent.



LIST OF GOVERNMENT MONUMENTS IN THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY
RECOMMENDED TO BE DECLARED PROTECTED UNDER THE ANCIENT
MONUMENTS PRESERVATION ACT VII OF 1904.

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
FIRST CIRCLE (P.W.D.).						
GANJAM DISTRICT.						
1	Berhampur	Jangada.	Asoka rock inscription ...	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th August 1891.	A.A.S. Report, 1908-09, App. C. Nos 84-96.

SECOND CIRCLE (P.W.D.).						
KISTNA DISTRICT.						
23	Bandar ...	Bandar ...	Dutch cemetery ...	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	
	Bandar ...	Masulipatam	Monuments in the fort known as Port and Customs Office, (2) Bakery, (3) Powder Magazine.	Government ..	G.O. No. 329, Home (Education), dated 13th March 1920.	
	Bezawada	Bezawada	Kirtatirajana pillar on the Indrakilla hill.	Do.	G.O. No. 238, Home (Education), dated 22nd February 1917.	

17	Bezawada...	Bezawada.	The small cave temples west of the town.	Government.	G.O. No. 404, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	Do.
18	Do. ...	Do. ...	Five rock-cut cave temples on the hill.	Do.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	Do.
19	Do. ...	Kondapalle.	Hill fort and ruined palace.	Do.	Do.	A.A.S. Report, 1899-1900, App. A. Nos. 207 and 208.
20	Do. ...	Mogalrajapuram.	Rock-cut cave temples on the hill.	Do.	Do.	A.A.S. Report, 1913-14, App. B. No. 151.
24	Ellore ...	Adamalleg.	Stone images ...	Do.	G.O. No. 181, Public, dated 13th February 1907.	
27	Gudivada.	Gudivada.	Mound containing Buddhist remains and ancient village-site.	Government.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 13th June 1910.	A.A.S. Report, 1893-94, App. A. Nos. 533-542.
29	Nandigama.	Jaggarayapetta.	Buddhist remains of a stupa on the hill.	Government.	G.O. No. 469, Public, dated 21st June 1906.	
30	Yernagudem.	Arugolam.	Mounds containing Buddhist remains.	Do.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	

GUNTUR DISTRICT.						
38	Guntur ...	Sitanagar.	Rock-cut cave on the hill.	Government.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	
39	Do. ...	Undavalli.	Four-storeyed rock-cut Hindu temple.	Do.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	A.A.S. Report, 1908-09, App. C. No. 46.
45	Ongole ...	Kanuparth.	Ancient mounds ...	Government.	G.O. No. 469, Public, dated 21st June 1906.	A.A.S. Report, 1899-1900, App. A. Nos. 533-542.
46	Repalle ...	Bhattiprolu.	Ruined Buddhist stupa ...	Do.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	
48	Sattana-palli.	Amaravati.	Ruined Buddhist stupa and other remains.	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	A.A.S. Report, 1897-98, App. A. Nos. 251-272.
49	Do.	Daranikota.	Daranikota fort ...	Do.	Do.	Do.
50	Do.	Do.	Inscribed rock to the west of Daranikota.	Do.	Do.	Do.

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

SECOND CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.

GUNTUR DISTRICT—cont.

53	Vinnukonda.	Vinnukonda.	Narasimha temple ...	Government.	G.O. No. 1020, Public, dated 19th August 1914.	A.A.S. Report, 1913-14, App. B. Nos. 527-531.
54	Do.	Ipura ...	Inscribed marble pillar near the Gopals temple.	Do.	G.O. No. 107, Public, dated 23rd January 1915.	A.A.S. Report, 1913-14, App. B. Nos. 532-537.

THIRD CIRCLE (P.W.D.).

ANANTAPUR DISTRICT.

55	Anantapur.	Anantapur.	Sir Thomas Munro's house and a well in the compound.	Government.	G.O. No. 105, Public, dated 2nd February 1910.	
56	Gooty ...	Gooty ...	Hill fort and buildings therein and the fortifications at the foot of the hill.	Do.	G.O. No. 883, Public, dated 8th December 1906.	A.A.S. Report, 1902-0, App. B. Nos. 83-90.
57	Madakapura.	Madakapura.	Large Dolmen on a rocky hillock about one and a half miles north-east of Kalyandrug.	Government.	G.O. No. 913, Public, dated 4th August 1913.	
58	Do.	Do.	Two oblong cairns on the north and west of the hill above referred to.	Do.	Do.	
59	Do.	Do.	Group of nine circular cairns half a mile to the north of the inspection bungalow.	Do.	Do.	
60	Do.	Do.	Group of three large cairns two miles north-east of the inspection bungalow.	Do.	Do.	
61	Madakasira.	Madakasira.	Hill fort ...	Do.	G.O. No. 913, Public, dated 4th October 1910.	
62	Do.	Do.	Two large bastions and an old gateway.	Do.	Do.	
63	Do.	Ratnagiri.	Hill fort and large well in the town.	Do.	Do.	
64	Do.	Hemavati.	Group of sculptures on the ancient site.	Do.	Do.	A.A.S. Report, 1898-99, App. B. Nos. 117-127.
65	Pennukonda.	Pennukonda.	Sethuthirtham well, with entrance in the form of a bull.	Do.	G.O. No. 115, Public, dated 28th January 1913.	A.A.S. Report, 1901-02, App. A. Nos. 326-345.
66	Do.	Do.	The hill fort and north gateway with inscriptions.	Do.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 19th May 1891; G.O. No. 115, Public, dated 28th January 1913 and G.O. No. 875, Public, dated 4th August 1913.	
67	Do.	Do.	The citadel and ruined buildings on the hill.	Do.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 13th June 1910.	
68	Do.	Do.	Watch tower, known as Rama's bastion.	Do.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	
69	Pennukonda.	Pennukonda.	Gagana Mahal ...	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	
70	Do.	Do.	Small pavilion and a ruined watch tower in a field near the last.	Do.	Do.	
71	Do.	Do.	Old gopuram ...	Do.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 13th June 1910.	
72	Do.	Do.	Old stambha or lamp pillar in the Sub-Collector's office compound.	Do.	G.O. No. 975, Public, dated 4th August 1913.	A.A.S. Report, 1912-13, App. B. No. 584.

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

THIRD CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.

BELLARY DISTRICT.

84	Adoni	Adoni	Ruined fort and buildings therein, except the Ramzan Masjid.	Government.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 18th June 1910.	A.A.S. Report, 1915-16, App. B. Nos. 523, and App. D. Nos. 1-3.
87	Do.	Myakadoni.	Inscribed boulder bearing Andhra records of 150 A.D.	Government.	G.O. No. 479, Home (Education), dated 18th April 1917.	A.A.S. Report, 1915-16, App. B. Nos. 508 and 509.
88	Do.	Rayachuti.	Inscribed stone lying to the east of the Siva temple at Rayachuti.	Do.	Do.	A.A.S. Report, 1915-16, App. B. No. 551.
89	Bellary	Kudatini.	Cinder mound, three miles to the west of Kudatini.	Do.	G.O. No. 98, Public, dated 23rd January 1913.	
93	Hadagalli	Magalani.	Suryanarayana temple	Government.	G.O. No. 1237, Public, dated 27th December 1910 and G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 8th June 1905.	A.A.S. Report, 1913-14 App. B. Nos. 123-126.
102	Harpannahalli.	Uchchan-gidurgam.	Hill fort and ruined palace.	Do.	G.O. No. 904, Public, dated 26th July 1912.	A.A.S. Report, 1898-99, App. B. Nos. 135-139.
103	Hospet	Hampi Ruins.	Amruteswara temple on the road to Hospet.	Government.	G.O. No. 462, Public, dated 10th April 1913 and Annual Report of Archaeological Department, Madras, for 1912-13.	A.A.S. Reports, 1888-89, Nos. 10-51; 1893-94, App. A. No. 545; 1904, Nos. 1-16; 1907-08, App. B. Nos. 498-501.
104	Hospet	Hampi Ruins.	Vittala temple	Government.	G.O. No. 462, Public, dated 10th April 1913, and Annual Report of Archaeological Department, Madras, for 1912-13.	90. No. 4457 <i>Julian</i> 7/15.4.21.
105	Do.	Do.	Pattabi Rama temple	Do.	Do.	Do.
106	Do.	Do.	Achutarama temple	Do.	Do.	Do.
107	Do.	Do.	Krishna temple	Do.	Do.	Do.
108	Do.	Do.	Channagiri Jain temple	Do.	Do.	Do.
109	Do.	Do.	Chandra Sekara temple	Do.	Do.	Do.
110	Do.	Do.	Kadalaikallu Ganesa temple.	Do.	Do.	Do.
111	Do.	Do.	Maheswara Rameswara temple.	Do.	Protected—G.O. No. 704, Public, dated 22nd September 1903; G.O. No. 54, Public, dated 19th January 1907; G.O. No. 45, Public, dated 19th January 1908; G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 10th April 1913; and Annual Report of the Archaeological Department, Madras, for 1912-13.	
112	Do.	Do.	Narasimha Statue	Do.	G.O. No. 462, Public, dated 10th April 1913, and Annual Report of Archaeological Department, Madras, for 1912-13.	
113	Do.	Do.	King's balance	Do.	Do.	Do.
114	Do.	Do.	Inscribed Vishnu temple near the Vittala temple.	Do.	Do.	Do.
115	Do.	Do.	Hansa Ramachandra temple.	Do.	Do.	Do.
116	Do.	Do.	Basement of the King's Audience Hall.	Do.	Do.	Do.
117	Do.	Do.	Throne platform	Do.	Do.	Do.
118	Do.	Do.	Elephant stables	Do.	Do.	Do.
119	Do.	Do.	Guard house close to Elephant stables.	Do.	Do.	Do.
120	Do.	Do.	Large Stone Trough	Do.	Do.	Do.
121	Do.	Do.	Large stone door	Do.	Do.	Do.
122	Do.	Do.	Lotus Mahal Pavilion	Do.	Do.	Do.
123	Do.	Do.	South-east Watch Tower in the Zenana Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	Do.
124	Do.	Do.	North Watch Tower in the Zenana Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	Do.
125	Do.	Do.	Basement of Queen's Palace in Zenana Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	Do.
126	Do.	Do.	Water Pavilion in the Zenana Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	Do.
127	Do.	Do.	Guard house in the Zenana Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	Do.
128	Do.	Do.	Walls and Gateways of the Zenana Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	Do.
129	Do.	Do.	Stone Aqueduct.	Do.	Do.	Do.
130	Do.	Do.	Large underground temple.	Do.	Do.	Do.
131	Do.	Do.	Small underground shrine chamber.	Do.	Do.	Do.
132	Do.	Do.	Basement of a Palace near the last.	Do.	Do.	Do.
133	Do.	Do.	Muhammadan Watch Tower in Dannaik's Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	Do.

80.5467
25.5.21.

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

THIRD CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.

BELLARY DISTRICT—cont.						
134	Hospet ...	Hampi Ruins.	Band Tower in the Dan- naik's Enclosure.	Government.	G.O. No. 482, Public, dated 10th April 1913, and Annual Report of Archaeological Department, Madras, for 1912-13.	
135	Do. ...	Do.	Basement of a Palace in Dannai's Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	
136	Do. ...	Do.	Mosque in Dannai's Enclosure.	Do.	Do.	
137	Do. ...	Do.	Large Public Bath or Tank.	Do.	5467	Do.
138	Do. ...	Do.	Queen's Bath ...	Do.	26521	Do.
139	Do. ...	Do.	Octagonal Bath ...	Do.	Do.	Do.
140	Do. ...	Do.	Octagonal Water Pavilion.	Do.	Do.	Do.
141	Do. ...	Do.	Group of Sati Memorials.	Do.	Do.	Do.
142	Do. ...	Do.	Group of Jain Temples on the Hill.	Do.	Do.	Do.
143	Do. ...	Do.	Domed Gateway to the east of the citadel.	Do.	Do.	Do.
144	Do.	Do.	Fort Gateway on the Talarigatta Road.	Do.	Do.	Do.
145	Do. ...	Do.	Hangs temple ...	Do.	Do.	Do.
146	Do. ...	Do.	Sarasvathi temple on a rocky hillock.	Do.	5467	Do.
147	Do. ...	Do.	Linga temple near the Narasimha Statue.	Do.	26521	Do.
148	Do. ...	Do.	Vishnu Pad temple.	Do.	5467	Do.
149	Do. ...	Do.	Savikal Ganesa temple close to the last.	Do.	5467	Do.
150	Do. ...	Do.	Vishnu Temple No. 1 close to north end of Soolai Bazaar.	Do.	26521	Do.
151	Do. ...	Do.	Vishnu temple No. 2, close to the last, to the north.	Do.	Do.	Do.
152	Do. ...	Do.	Vishnu temple No. 3, faces south Gateway of Vittala temple.	Do.	Do.	Do.
153	Do. ...	Do.	Jain Temple on Hill side close to Vishnu temple No. 2.	Do.	Do.	Do.
154	Do. ...	Do.	Old Siva temple on the north-east side of Vittala temple.	Do.	Do.	Do.
155	Do. ...	Do.	Ruined Gateway with lofty pillars to the west of the Vittala temple.	Do.	Do.	Do.
156	Do. ...	Do.	Muhammadan Tomb and Dargah on the Road to Hospet from Kamalapur.	Do.	Do.	Do.
157	Do.	Do.	Soolai Well on the road to Hospet.	Do.	Do.	Do.
158	Do. ...	Do.	Mandaps in a field close to Hospet.	Do.	Do.	Do.
159	Do.	Do.	Ruined Tank at Soolai	Do.	G.O. No. 992 Home (Edm.)	31-8-1920
160	Do. ...	Do.	Two Storied Mandaps in the last.	Government.	Do.	Do.
161	Do. ...	Do.	Monolithic Bull near the last.	Do.	Do.	Do.
162	Do. ...	Do.	Sarasvati temple near water channel.	Do.	Do.	Do.
163	Do. ...	Do.	Tandikesvara temple ...	Do.	Do.	Do.
164	Hospet ...	Hampi Ruins.	Old water supply scheme ...	Do.	G.O. No. 1114, Home (Education), dated 13th September 1919.	
165	Do.	Do.	Bhima's gateway to the east of Ganasittai Jain temple.	Do.	G.O. No. 1057, Home (Education), dated 16th August 1918.	
166	Do.	Do.	Jain temple with inscriptions, north-east of elephant stables.	Do.	G.O. No. 164, Home (Education), dated 6th February 1919.	
167	Do.	Do.	Ruined Car street in front of Havara Rama temple.	Do.	Do.	
168	Do.	Do.	Bhejasa Sala or pilgrims' feeding place near the Octagonal Pavilion on Hampi road.	Do.	Do.	
169	Do.	Do.	Ruined bull dining of minute imp.	Do.	G.O. No. 992 Home (Edm.)	31-8-20

Ep. 415 Fin
7/15-4-21

A.A.S. Report, 1903-04,
App. B. No. 50.

Ep. 227 b 21

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

THIRD CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.

BELLARY DISTRICT—cont.

Do.	Do.	Do.	Two small Siva temples with inscriptions to the east of Patlabirama temple.	Do.	Do.	
Do.	Do.	Do.	Ruined tank to the north-east of Patlabirama temple.	Do.	Do.	
Do.	Do.	Do.	Two pillars and a group of mortar wheels outside Zenana enclosure.	Do.	G.O. No. 219, Home (Education), dated 26th February 1919.	
Do.	Do.	Do.	Basement of a large ruined building opposite to Muhammadan mosque and also the platform north-east corner of the Dannak's enclosure.	Do.	Do.	
Do.	Do.	Do.	Water tower of the city of Visayanagar.	Do.	Do.	
169	Rayadurg.	Rayadurg.	Large dolmen near the taluk office.	Government.	G.O. No. 115, Public, dated 28th January 1918 and G.O. No. 975, Public, dated 4th August 1918.	A.A.S. Report, 1913-14, App. B. Nos. 102-112.
170	Do.	Do.	Extensive hill fortress with outlying fortifications. Palace and two temples of Rama and Krishna.	Do.	G.O. No. 1135, Home (Edi.), dated 30th October 1918.	

CUDDAPAH DISTRICT.

✓ 172	Cuddapah.	Cuddapah.	Nawab's Towers at the Jail.	Government.	G.O. No. 1152, Home (Edi.), dated 4th November 1918.	
✓ 175	Jammalamadugu.	Danavulamadugu.	Remains of buried Jain temple.	Government.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	A.A.S. Report, 1905-06, App. B. Nos. 331-341.
✓ 176	Do.	Gandikota.	Fort with the enclosed ancient buildings.	Do.	G.O. No. 456, Public, dated 24th May 1904.	A.A.S. Report, 1906-07, App. B. Nos. 485-490.
✓ 177	Do.	Do.	Madhavaperumal temple.	Do.	Do.	
✓ 178	Do.	Do.	Tower known as "Nadar-sala."	Do.	Do.	
✓ 183	Do.	Peddammudiyan.	Ancient village site ...	Government.	Public Department Endorsement No. 4749, dated 11th November 1905 and G.O. No. 632, Public, dated 22nd November 1905.	
✓ 184	Rajampet.	Attirala ...	Parasurama temple ...	Do.	G.O. No. 514, Public, dated 13th June 1910.	A.A.S. Report, 1911-12, App. B. Nos. 398-406.
✓ 186	Kamalapuram.	Chilamkuru.	Vignesvara temple ...	Government.	G.O. No. 227, Public, dated 24th February 1914.	A.A.S. Report, 1904-05, App. A. Nos. 400-404.
✓ 187	Do.	Do.	Agastyesvara temple ...	Do.	Do.	A.A.S. Report, 1915-16, App. B. No. 564.
✓ 188	Siddhavattam.	Siddhavattam.	Fort with ancient buildings enclosed therein.	Do.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	

KURNOOL DISTRICT.

...	Kurnool	Kurnool	Gateways and bastions of the old Fort	Govt	G.O. No. 478 Home Edn. dated 22-4-1920.	
(21)	"	"	Gateway of Pappala	Govt.	G.O. no. 382 Home (E) 11.3.21.	
(22)	"	"	Gateway of Pami	Govt.		
(23)	"	"	Gateway of Kaddavolu	Govt.		
(24)	"	"	Bastion no. 1.			
(25)	"	"	Bastion no. 2			
(26)	"	"	Shammas			

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

FOURTH CIRCLE (P.W.D.).

MALABAR DISTRICT.

231	Kottayam.	Tellicherry	Fort	Government.	G.O. No. 474, Public, dated 3rd July 1905.	Ep. 237 H.E. 18.2.21. A.A.S. Report 1896-97 App. B. Nos. 120-124.
236	Palghat ...	Palghat ...	Fort	Government.	G.O. No. 913, Public, dated 4th October 1910.	
238	Wynaad ...	Sultan's Battery.	Jain temple	Government.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 13th June 1910.	

NILGIRI DISTRICT.

233	Coonoor ...	Hullikal-drug.	Ruined Fort	Government.	G.O. No. 761, Public, dated 4th October 1905.	Ep. 521 Fin 18.5.21.
234	Do. ...	Batlada Acheni, a hamlet of Konekarsi, 3 miles south-east of Kotagiri.	Group of Sculptured Dolmens.	Do.	G.O. No. 1036, Public, dated 20th August 1912.	
235	Do. ...	Banagudi Shola of Jakkaneri, 3 miles south of Kotagiri.	Group of large Dolmens	Do.	G.O. No. 1036, Public, dated 18th August 1912.	

SALEM DISTRICT.

238	Krishnagiri.	Krishnagiri.	Hill Fort	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th May 1901 and No. 434, Public, dated 10th June 1906.	Ep. 206 H.C.E. 18.5.21.
240	Attur ...	Attur ...	Remains of the fort with buildings therein.	Government.	G.O. No. 641, Public, dated 29th May 1912.	
241	Tiruchengodu.	Sankaridrug.	Fort and temple on the hill.	Do.	G.O. No. 1112, Public, dated 2nd September 1914.	

SOUTH KANARA DISTRICT.

243	Kasaragod.	Bekal	Fort	Government.	G.O. No. 1002, Public, dated 10th November 1903 and No. 1141, Public, dated 15th November 1901.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 13th June 1910.
244	Do.	Hosdrug	Hill Fort	Do.		
246	Mangalore.	Mangalore.	Sultan's Battery situated 3 miles to the north of Mangalore Town.	Government.	G.O. No. 1264, Home (Edn.), dated 27th November 1916.	
247	Mudabidri.	Mudabidri.	The inner courtyard of the Chowtar's Palace.	Do.	G.O. No. 551, Public, dated 6th May 1914.	A.A.S. Report 1900-01, Nos. 28-55.
248	Do.	Do.	17 Jain tombs	Do.	Do.	
249	Do.	Do.	The great Chandranath temple.	Do.	Do.	
250	Do.	Guruvayankar	Two Jain temples—Santesvara and Chandranath—with a little mandapa over a Stambha.	Do.	Do.	A.A.S. Report 1900-01, Nos. 72-80.
251	Do.	Venur	Jain Statue, 35 feet high, and Santesvara temple.	Do.	G.O. No. 1141, Public, dated 15th November 1901 and No. 551, Public, dated 6th May 1914.	
252	Udipi	Karkal	Jain Statue of Gummatesvara.	Do.	Do.	
253	Do.	Do.	Chatarmuka temple	Do.	Do.	A.A.S. Report 1900-01, Nos. 62-65. E. 1, Vol. VIII, p. 122. A.A.S. Report 1900-01, Nos. 66-71.
254	Do.	Haleogadi.	Great Mana Stambha	Do.	Do.	
255	Do.	Nada	Jamalabad Fort	Do.	G.O. No. 551, Public, dated 6th May 1914.	

G.O. No. 513
27.7.21.

Serial number.	Talak.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

FIFTH CIRCLE (P.W.D.).

NELLORE DISTRICT.

259	Udayagiri.	Udayagiri.	Hill Fort with ancient buildings therein.	Government.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	
260	Do.	Dasara-palli.	Cave	Do.	Do. Do. 407 Nov 1905	A.S. Report 1892-98, App. A. No. 210.

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT.

261	Chingle-put.	Chingle-put.	The "Ther Mahal" used as Reformatory school.	Government.	G.O. No. 968, Public, dated 7th December 1905.	
264	Do.	Mahabali-puram.	Two small monolithic temples known as the Pidariamman Rathas. (Unfinished.)	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 18th May 1901.	Go. b. 13 Finance 16.6.24.
265	Do.	Do.	Small monolithic temple known as Valaiyankuttai Ratha. (Unfinished.)	Do.	Do.	
266	Do.	Do.	Eight stone images on a masonry platform known as the Seven Pidaris.	Do.	Do.	
267	Do.	Do.	Aleva Shore temple	Do.	Do.	
268	Do.	Do.	Mahabasura Rock standing in the sea to the north of the Shore temple.	Do.	Do.	
269	Do.	Do.	Two small carved rocks to the south of the Shore Temple.	Do.	Do.	
270	Do.	Do.	Dolotsava Mandapa	Do.	Do.	
271	Do.	Do.	Krishna Mandapa	Do.	Do.	
272	Do.	Do.	Unfinished rock-cut cave temple, north of Krishna Mandapa.	Do.	Do.	
273	Do.	Do.	Arjuna's Penance	Do.	Do.	
274	Do.	Do.	Stone sculpture representing a group of monkeys.	Do.	Do.	
275	Do.	Do.	Triple-celled rock-cut shrine with Gopi's churn in front of it.	Do.	Do.	
276	Do.	Do.	Unfinished excavation close to the last.	Do.	Do.	
277	Do.	Do.	Rock-sculpture representing a group of elephants, monkeys and peacock.	Do.	Do.	
278	Do.	Do.	Krishna's butter-ball	Do.	Do.	
279	Do.	Do.	Rock-cut Ganesa temple.	Do.	Do.	
280	Do.	Do.	Rock-cut Varaha temple containing Varaha and Yamana incarnations of Vishnu.	Do.	Do.	
281	Do.	Do.	Royagapuram (Unfinished).	Do.	Do.	
282	Do.	Do.	Dharmaraja's rock-cut throne.	Do.	Do.	
283	Do.	Do.	Droupadi's Bath	Do.	Do.	
284	Do.	Do.	Unfinished Excavation to the south of the last.	Do.	Do.	
285	Do.	Do.	Mahisha Mardhani rock-cut Mandapa.	Do.	Do.	
286	Do.	Do.	Irrava temple (built on the rock above the last).	Do.	Do.	

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

FIFTH CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT—cont.

287	Chingleput.	Mahaballipuram.	Unfinished Batha and stone Conch (to the east of the last).	Government.	G.O. No. 373, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	
288	Do.	Do.	Droupadi's Ratha (one of the five Ratha, situated in a group).	Do.	Do.	
289	Do.	Do.	Arjuna's Ratha (Do)	Do.	Do.	
290	Do.	Do.	Sabadeva's Ratha (Do)	Do.	Do.	
291	Do.	Do.	Bhima's Ratha (Do)	Do.	Do.	
292	Do.	Do.	Dharmaraja's Ratha (Do)	Do.	Do.	
293	Do.	Do.	Huge stone figures of a Lion, Elephant and a Bull.	Do.	Do.	
294	Do.	Do.	Large unfinished rock sculpture similar to Arjuna's Penance, near the Light house.	Do.	Do.	
295	Do.	Do.	Two unfinished Excavations near the last.	Do.	Do.	
296	Do.	Do.	Kotakal Mandapa.	Do.	Do.	
297	Do.	Do.	Two rock-cut Cave temples at the north-east corner of the Kourai Pallam tank.	Do.	Do.	
298	Do.	Do.	Mukundamayenar temple (half buried in sand to the north of the village).	Do.	Do.	
301	Do.	Sadras.	Ruined Dutch Fort and Cemetery.	Government.	G.O. No. 403, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	
329	Saidapet.	Pallavaram.	Mounds and prehistoric sites on the hill.	Government.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	
332	Madurantakam.	Kadamalai-puttur.	Cairns in and out of the forest reserve at the foot of the Perambair Hills.	Government.	G.Os. No. 83, Public, dated 23rd January 1907, and No. 1014, Public, dated 21st December 1908.	
334	Ponneri ...	Fulicat ...	Dutch Cemetery in the fort.	Government.	G.O. No. 835 Home (Edn) 22-7-1920 G.O. No. 878, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	

80.613 Fin
16.6.21

X S.R. 453/21

80.613 Fin
16.6.21

80. Fin 82

MADRAS DISTRICT.

835	Madras ...	Madras ...	Old Town Wall ...	Government.	G.O. No. 723, Public, dated 22nd August 1904.	
836	Do. ...	Do. ...	Cornwallis Memorial near the Custom House.	Do.	G.O. No. 791, Public, dated 21st November 1907.	
837	Do. ...	Do. ...	Obelisk standing to the north of the western extremity of the Old Madras Town wall at a distance 700 yards.	Do.	G.O. No. 1356, Public, dated 5th December 1911.	
838	Do. ...	Do. ...	Tomb of Elihu Yale and Joseph Hyman in the compound of Law College.	Do.	G.O. No. 730, Public, dated 10th May 1915.	
839	Madras ...	Madras ...	Pillar, R.S. No. 1816 ...	Government situated in railway land.	G.O. No. 1462, Public, dated 2nd December 1912.	
840	Do. ...	Tondiyarpet.	Pillar, R.S. No. 1793 ...	Government situated in Corporation land.	Do.	
241	Do. ...	Washer-manpet.	Slab, R.S. No. 1764 fixed in the basement of H. Police Station.	Government.	Do.	

80.386 L
7.4.12

G.O. No. 956 (Ls) 20.4.21

SIXTH CIRCLE (P.W.D.).

ANJENGO DISTRICT.

342	Anjengo...	Anjengo.	Fort	Government.	G.O. No. 578, Public, dated 7th August 1909.	
-----	------------	----------	------	-------------	--	--

80.93 (Ls) 20.4.21

82.1417

4/12/20

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monuments.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	--------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

SIXTH CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.

MADURA DISTRICT						
844	Dindigal	Dindigal.	Fort on rock ...	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 18th May 1891.	A.A.S. Report, 1893-94, App. B. Nos. 1 and 2.
850	Madura...	Madura...	Tirumal Nayak's palace ...	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 18th May 1891.	
362	Do. ...	Do. ...	Ten pillars ...	Government.	G.O. No. 135, Public, dated 22nd February 1906.	Go. 15 Fin. S.R. 1st 7.21
363	Do. ...	Do. ...	Remains of Queen Mangammal's palace.	Government and private.	G.O. No. 904, Public, dated 29th July 1912.	
359	Madura ...	Thiruparankuram.	Cavern with Panchapandava beds on the western slope of the hills and similar beds behind the Sikander Mosque on the top.	Do. ...	G.O. No. 37, Public, dated 15th January 1910.	
362	Melur ...	Alagarkoil	Alagarmalai cavern with Panchapandava beds midway between Alagarmalai and Kidaripatti.	Do. ...	G.O. No. 37, Public, dated 15th January 1910.	A.A.S. Report, 1908-09, App. B. No. 334; 1909-10, App. C. Nos. 70-73.
363	Do. ...	Do.	Fort enclosing the temple.	Do. ...	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 18th May 1891.	A.A.S. Report, 1900-10, App. C. No. 80.
365	Do. ...	Do.	Remains of Tirumal Nayak's palace.	Do. ...	Do.	
366	Do. ...	Kilavulavu.	Panchapandava beds, Jain statues and Brahmi and Vattelottu inscriptions on the Panchapandavamalai.	Do. ...	G.O. No. 746, Public, dated 8th July 1911.	A.A.S. Report, 1907-08, App. B. No. 395.
367	Nilakottai.	Mettupatti.	Jain statues, rock inscriptions and Panchapandava beds on the hill.	Do. ...	Do.	
368	Do.	Do.	Cave in Sisharmalai ...	Government.	G.O. No. 875, Public, dated 14th December 1909.	A.A.S. Report, 1907-08, App. C. Nos. 44-47; 1909-10, App. C. Nos. 58-62.
370	Periakulam.	Uttamapaliyam.	Karuppanasami rock and Jain sculptures.	Government.	G.O. No. 329, Public, dated 16th March 1914.	A.A.S. Report, 1906-08, App. B. Nos. 732-733.
372	Tirumanagalam.	Kappalanattam.	Jain sculptures on the hillock.	Government.	G.O. No. 37, Public, dated 15th January 1910.	

RAMNAD DISTRICT.

381	Srivilliputtur.	Srivilliputtur.	Tirumal Nayak's palace ...	Government.	G.O. No. 840, Public, dated 23rd October 1909.	Go. No. 221 Home (S.R.) 11.2.21
-----	-----------------	-----------------	----------------------------	-------------	--	---------------------------------

TINNEVELLY DISTRICT.

401	Srivilliputtur.	Adichanallur.	Prehistoric remains ...	Government.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 18th June 1910.	Go. 426 H (S.R.) 19.3.21
402	Do.	Tuticorin.	Dutch cemetery ...	Do.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905 and Proceedings No. 1215-W, dated 16th June 1913.	

TRICHINOPOLY DISTRICT.

415	Kulittalai.	Vayanallur.	Nagendra on a rock ...	Government.	G.O. No. 406, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	A.A.S. Report, 1912-13, App. C. Nos. 44-50.
417	Musiri ...	Musiri ...	Head sluice Periaivoikal alias Nattuvoikal.	Government.	G.O. No. 913, Public, dated 4th October 1910.	A.A.S. Report, 1890, App. A. No. 70.
419	Do.	Srinivasanallur.	Koranganathan temple ...	Government.	G.O. No. 174, Public, dated 25th February 1904 and G.O. No. 584, Public, dated 30th September 1904.	A.A.S. Report, 1904-05, App. A. Nos. 583-588.
427	Trichinopoly.	Puttur.	Cholamparai rock with inscriptions.	Government.	G.O. No. 811, Public, dated 24th September 1904 and G.O. No. 22, Public, dated 18th January 1905.	A.A.S. Report, 1890, No. 51.

Go. 423 Finance 3.5.21

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
----------------	--------	-----------	-------------------	------------	---	---------------------------------

SEVENTH CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.

SOUTH ARCOT DISTRICT—cont.

514	Gingee ...	Gingee ...	Fortress comprising a hill fort on the Rajagiri, the inner and lower fort, and lines of fortifications connecting the Rajagiri, Krishnagiri and Chakkildurgam (Orme's St. George's Mountain) Hills.	Government.	G.O. No. 868, Public, dated 10th August 1911.	A.A.S. Report, 1904-05, App. B, No. 57.
515	Gingee ...	Gingee ...	On the Rajagiri:—Two Granaries, a Magazine, Flag staff, Ranganatha temple, Kamalakanni Ammal temple and the sacrificial slab in front of it, a big Cannon, a Treasury, and an Audience Hall.	Government.	G.O. No. 868, Public, dated 10th August 1911.	
516	Do. ...	Do. ...	On the Krishnagiri:—Two granaries, well for storing ghee, well for storing oil, two temples and an Audience Chamber.	Do.	Do.	
517	Do. ...	Do. ...	In the inner and lower Fort:—(a) Inner Fort—Vennugopala temple called the "Bajana Kudam" with large polished slab and five carved figures in three panels, a granary, a gymnasium, Kalyana Mahal, Stables and Barracks, the remains of Mahabut Khan's Mosque, and Anaikulam tank.	Do.	Do.	
			(b) Lower Fort—Chakkarakulam and Chettikulam ponds, a dais with two idols representing Kamalakanni Ammal and with two symbols of Serpent God, the masonry platform of Deising's funeral pyre, and his girl-wife's sati, Idol of Hanuman, Saad-at-alla Khan's Mosque with Persian inscriptions, inscriptions in Persian on Pondicherry Gate (A.D. 1719); Venkatramana temple with inscriptions in Tamil, Prisoners well, Old Jail near the Pondicherry Gate and two Persian inscriptions on the outer face of the last two bastions in the south of the eastern wall of the inner fort.	Do.	Do.	
518	Do. ...	Narasimharayanpettai. Sirukadambur.	Outside the fort:— (1) Pataharanna temple. (2) Twelve-pillared Mandapa. (3) Twenty-four Jain figures in two rows, a standing rude figure, two fragments of a sitting figure, and two inscriptions on Tirunathakannu.	Do.	Do.	

G.O. No. 1457
u.u.u.
A.A.S. Report 1903-04, App. B, No. 240.

14 14

Serial number.	Taluk.	Locality.	Name of Monument.	Ownership.	Reference concerning Government Orders.	Reference concerning Epigraphy.
SEVENTH CIRCLE (P.W.D.)—cont.						
TANJORE DISTRICT.						
523	Arantangi.	Arantangi.	Fort	Government.	G.O. No. 513, Public, dated 13th June 1910.	A.A.S. Report, 1901-02, App. B. No. 409.
542	Mayavaram.	Tranquebar.	Danesborg, and Town gateway.	Government.	G.O. No. 466, Public, dated 6th June 1905.	
543	Negapatam.	Negapatam.	Dutch Cemetery	Do.	Do.	
556	Tanjore ...	Tanjore ...	The Sivaganga Little Fort enclosing the great temple.	Government.	G.O. No. 378, Public, dated 13th May 1891.	
559	Do. ...	Do. ...	Palace buildings	Government.	G.O. No. 159, Public, dated 17th February 1911 and G.O. No. 138 W., dated 3rd February 1912.	
			(1) Sangitha Mahal ...	Do.	Do.	
			(2) Maharajah Sabah or Durbar Hall.	Do.	Do.	
			(3) The Statue Hall ...	Do.	Do.	
			(4) The Arsenal ...	Do.	Do.	
			(5) The Clock-tower ...	Do.	Do.	
			(6) Krishna Vilas tank with the wooden pavilion in it.	Do.	Do.	
			(7) Jarathkhana (square building with large dome).	Do.	Do.	
			(8) Mottai Gopuram ...	Do.	Do.	
			(9) Two domed halls on the west of Sangitha Mahal.	Do.	Do.	


ES-C.18.2253B
12-11-20

O.D.No. 325-193-55

Office of the Director General of Archaeology in India.

Sarai kala (Dist:Rawalpindi)

The 6th November 1920.



My dear Sir,

I enclose, herewith, a copy of a letter No.325-167-C, dated the 2nd November 1920, which I have written to your Archaeological Superintendent, regarding the protection of ancient monuments under Section 3(2) of the Ancient Monuments Act. Will you be so good as to remind Mr. Longhurst for the list, if it does not reach you by November 15th and then take steps to have the monuments notified as protected under the Act.

Yours sincerely,

W. H. Marshall

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department, Madras.

16 2
Copy of a demi-official letter No. 325-167-C, dated the 2nd November 1920, from Sir John Marshall, Kt., C.I.E., Director General of Archaeology in India, to Mr. A.H. Longhurst, Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Southern Circle.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 471, dated the 30th August 1920, I am desired by the Government of India to remind ^{you} that all monuments which are henceforth to be maintained or repaired by the Archaeological Department must (if this has not already been done) be notified as protected under the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act before the 1st January 1921 and to request that you will immediately take whatever steps may be necessary to that end.

You say in paragraph of your letter under reference that "under existing orders Government did not consider it necessary to ~~do~~ declare these monuments as "Protected" since there are no owners or trustees with whom an agreement could be entered into, in the proper sense of the Act." In the conditions hitherto obtaining it may perhaps have been unnecessary to declare all monuments protected, but it must be clearly understood that from now onwards no monuments which has not been protected under the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act will be any longer ^{under} the control of the Archaeological Department, nor will any funds for its maintenance be provided by the Government of India. Accordingly, it is imperative that your list of monuments which are to be maintained by this Department should be completed immediately and that any monuments which have not already been notified as protected, should, as stated above, be notified without delay. As one month must elapse between the issue of the preliminary notification and its confirmation by the Local Government, and as the notification must be confirmed before January 1st, 1921, it is necessary that your list of monuments to be protected should reach the

18 3
before November 15th, 1920, and that the Local Government should be ~~to~~ to take immediate action to notify the monuments in the local official Gazette and to have copies of the notifications fixed up at the monuments to which they relate in accordance with Section 3(2) of the Ancient Monuments Preservations Act, so that the notifications may be duly confirmed before the end of the year.

18 4
Home (2nd) Dept

Telephone Message.

Form

The Secy. to Govt., Home (2nd) Dept.

To

The S.A.S.

Reference para 3 of

8. 1237, Home (2nd), 23.10.20

and Sir John Marshall's

D.O. No. 325-167-C, 2nd

instance, ~~addressed to you~~

Please submit immediately

a list of the ancient

monuments which you now

propose to declare 'protected'

together with the necessary

draft notifications.

DESPATCHED

10 NOV. 1920

PC. 16.

affair, Rye will

have speak some

Spoken by
CR
17/11

Cur
15.11.20

Id
15.11.20

CR
15/11/20
16/11/20

19 5
Pt. 1-14 CF. [C. 2313B] From the S.A.S., No. 608 of 17.11.20

Regr.

The S.A.S. forwards a ^{long} list of govt. monuments that may be declared 'protected' and states that the collectors will submit to govt. notifications for the same. As regards private monuments, the S.A.S. is addressing collectors and will submit proposals as they are received from the latter.

2. According to ~~the~~

Sir John Marshall's D.O. on pp. 2-3 ante, the final notifn. has to issue before the 1st Jan. 1921. It is doubtful whether this would be practicable, as the notifns. ~~have~~ ^{have} yet to be recd. from the collectors, & approved by govt., a copy ~~being~~ ^{being} affixed at or near the monument and as the final notifn. could issue only after 1 month from the date of such affixing. In order, ~~to~~ however, to minimise the

20. 6

the delay, it is submitted that
~~each case may be dealt with as~~
~~as soon as it is received~~ and
it is received, that as soon as
the notifn. is approved, a
telegram may be sent to the
collector intimating the date of
notifn., asking him to affix
a copy thereof ^{immediately} at or near the
monument, and to report the
date of such affixing.

For orders.

Cov
18.11.20
JH
18.11.20

The policy in respect of the preservation of ancient monuments, so far as this Presidency is concerned has hitherto been to use the provisions of the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act of 1904 mostly in respect of private property and ~~where~~ even in regard to them ^{where} it is essential to enter into agreements with owners of these properties.

In regard to the others, a list ^{of} monuments selected for conservation is maintained, and such of the monuments as are considered worthy of preservation by Govt. are included in this list. From a perusal of this list it will be seen that quite a large number of these monuments are Govt. property and an appreciable number also belong to private owners. In the case of these latter, it had apparently been considered - needless to invoke the aid of the Act in respect of agreements etc, the private owners evidently agreeing to abide by the conditions imposed by the department without a formal agreement being executed. The Govt of India, have, however, been for a long time urging on this Govt the desirability of ^{getting} bringing the monuments belonging to Govt also declared protected under the Act. They, however, left it to the discretion of the Local Govt to take action where necessary, but action has been taken only in respect of comparatively few cases. The present orders of the Govt. of India to declare all monuments ^{selected} ~~selected~~ for preservation - protected under the Act are apparently due to a desire to revive their old orders on the subject and to give effect to them ~~as~~ immediately, now that they are to take the control of the department in their own hands.

The Govt of India in their letter No. 284, dated the 17th September 1920 stated that henceforward the Central Govt would provide the whole ^{Cost} ~~cost~~ of conservation of protected monuments. In the same letter, later

Letter in G.O. 360 P.O. (D)
21.3.14

ind

P.O. G.O. 1227 P.O. (V)
23.10.20

para 4 on p. 2 n
 fr. 1237 (V)
 23.10.20

'A' on p. 2 ante

c.g. vide p. 2 n
 fr. 259 (M)
 1.3.4

later on they asked the Local Govt to ~~be~~ declare as protected such of the monuments as had hitherto been in charge of the Archaeological Department; and in the copy of the letter addressed to the Supdt. by Sir John Marshall it is now stated that no - monument which has not been protected under the Act "will be any longer under the control of the "Archaeological Department nor will any funds for "its maintenance be provided by the Govt. of India". This is ~~therapeutic~~ a position to which we cannot easily agree to. It is not possible to go through the formalities of this Act and to declare protected all the monuments included in the Conservation list before the date prescribed, viz; 1st January 1931. We have not got the necessary materials here to prepare the notification. Even in the case of Govt. monuments like a description of the locality, its boundaries etc, should be entered in the notification and these have to be called for from the Collectors and Collectors will naturally have to consult - Divnl. Officers and Tahsildars, and in the case of private monuments a much longer period is needed, as it will be necessary to get the owners to consent to the agreements to be executed by them. As a rough estimate, it may not be before six months that the whole thing will be completed. It is really surprising that the Govt of India did not appreciate this difficulty.

As explained above, nothing could be done here until we get the proposals from the Collectors. In the meantime we may explain the position to Sir John Marshall in reply to his d.o. of the 6th instant on p.1 supra.

20-11-20

Draft
 20/11/20

27

Rep

9

A draft D.O. to Sir John Marshall on the lines of the Registrar's note supra is submitted: -

D.O. N. 2313 B-1

[Dear Sir,] 23.11.20

With reference to your

p. 1 ante

D.O. N. 325-193-C of 6.11.20, enclosing copy of your letter to Mr. Longhurst, N. 325-169-C of 2.11.20, regarding the protection of ancient monuments under Section 3(2) of the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act, 1904, I am desired to offer the following observations for your favourable consideration.

pp. 2-3 ante

2. The policy in respect of the preservation of ancient monuments, so far as this Presidency is concerned, has hitherto been to use the provisions of the Ancient Monuments Act mostly in respect of private property, and even in this case, where it is essential to enter into specific

agreements with the owners. In regard to the others, ~~a list of monuments selected for conservation is maintained and such of these monuments as are considered worthy of preservation by Govt. ^{will} (are) included in ~~the~~ a list of monuments selected for conservation maintained for the purpose.~~ This list (at present) comprises quite a large number of Govt. monuments and an appreciable number belonging to private owners. In the case of the latter, this Govt. have not so far ^{deemed} considered it essential to invoke the aid of the Act in respect of agreements etc, the private owners evidently agreeing to abide by the conditions imposed by the Arch. dept. without a formal agreement being executed. As regards ^(always no remain without being) ~~govt. monuments~~ ^{declared} ~~protected under the discretion vested in the local Govt. by the P. India, (in their Econ. dept.) letter~~ No. 83 (Arch^y.) of 7.3.1914, suggested that they should be declared 'protected' but left

365 P. 4 (0)
21.3.14

~~left it to this Govt. to decide whether all such monuments should or should not be so protected declared; and action has been taken by Govt. only in respect of comparatively few cases. The position at present, therefore, ~~in the result~~ is that there are a large no. of monuments, Govt. as well as private, which should now be declared "protected" under the Act.~~

P. 1. 2. 1237 EN (V)
23. 11. 20

P. 2. 1237 (V)

3. In para 2 of their letter No. 284 Cor (A. + E.), of 17. 9. 20, the Govt. of India stated that henceforward the Central Govt. would provide the whole cost of conservation of 'protected' monuments; and in para 4 of the same letter, they asked ~~that~~ ^{the} local Govt. to declare as protected such of the monuments as had hitherto been under the control of the Archl. Dept. and had not yet been protected under the Act. In accordance with these orders, this

pp. 2-3 ant

Jan'y

and that no monuments which has not been ^{reported by 1921} protected under the Act with the ^{proper} ~~control~~ ^{control} of the Public Dept. nor will any funds for its maintenance be provided by the Govt of India.

20 12

this Govt. have directed the S.A.S. to submit necessary proposals.

4. In your letter of the 2nd instant, referred to in para 1 above, it is stated that ~~all monuments which are henceforth to be maintained by the Public Dept. must be notified as protected under the Act before~~

~~by the 1st January 1921.~~ I am to observe that, having regard to the large number of monuments which have to be thus notified, it is ~~not~~ ^{be} possible to go through the formalities prescribed by the Act and to declare ~~the whole thing~~ ^{all the monuments protected} before the prescribed date. This Govt.

have not with them the necessary materials to prepare the notice. Even in the case of Govt. monuments, a description of the locality, the boundaries etc should be entered in the notice and these particulars are awaited from the collection concerned who will naturally have to consult their Divisional ^{and other subordinate} officers. Further and in the case of private monuments

monuments, a much longer period is needed as it would be necessary to get the owners to consent to the agreements to be executed by them. I am to state that, at a rough estimate the whole matter will take ^(at least) six months to ~~be~~ completed.

5. I am to request that, in these circumstances, the date prescribed by you may be deferred ^{to some future date} ~~at least~~ ~~not later than~~ ~~30th April 1920~~.

yours sincerely

my 23/11/20

22.11.20

22.11.20

22.11.20

PTO

DESPATCHED
23.11.1920
R 287120

Sir John Marshall,
Kt., C.J.E., D.G.N.
Arch. in India

88-C.N. 2502B

D.O.No. 325-345-C

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF
ARCHAEOLOGY IN INDIA.

Camp Saraikala, Distt. Rawal-
pindi.
The 18th December 1920.



Dear Sir,

Will you please refer to the correspondence resting with your D.O.No. 2313. B-1, in which you ask that the date prescribed for the notification of monuments as protected under Act VII of 1904, may be postponed, as it is not practicable for the Madras Government to complete the notifications before the beginning of the new year. The position ^{is} this. As soon as the Reforms Scheme comes into operation, all monuments not declared protected will in accordance with the rules issued under the Reforms Act pass automatically under the control of the new ministry of Public Works, and the subsequent transfer of them to the Archaeological Department will certainly prove a very inconvenient matter and may involve difficulties which cannot now be foreseen. Moreover, unless these monuments are protected, there will be no provision for their maintenance from the date when the Reforms Scheme comes into operation and the date when they are subsequently taken over by the Archaeological Department. This difficulty could, no doubt, be surmounted by including the cost of their upkeep in the Public Works' budget for the ensuing year; but it seems a far simpler plan for the Madras Government to hurry through the notifications, as other Governments are doing, before the Reforms Scheme comes into operation.

2. Should it not be possible for you to do this, I shall be glad if you will let me know what steps it is proposed to take to transfer these monuments from the care of the new ministry of Public Works to that of the Archaeological Department and what provision will be made for their upkeep, payment of chaukidars etc., etc., in the meantime.

Yours sincerely,

John Marshall

The Secretary,
Home Department,
Port St. George - Madras.

15
29 Home (Son) Sept

Regr.

Please see Sir John
Marshall's D.O. on p. 14 supra.
with reference to para 1 thereof,
it is submitted that it is quite
impossible to declare all the
monuments protected before the
1st January 1921. Preliminary
notifications have been so far
received only from a few collectors
and in the majority of these
cases, the notifications have been
found to be defective and
have been returned to the
collectors for ^{(furnishing explanatory} ~~provision~~ information.

2. Para 2 of the D.O.

The D. G. of Archaeology
may be informed that special
provision would have to be
made ^(the subsequent transfer to the Arch. Dept) for those cases in which
it was ^{would} not been possible to
fulfil the formalities of the
Act before the 1st Jan'y. 1921.
As regards provision for the
up-keep ^{of} these monuments,
it is submitted that the conservation
budget

p. 14 supra

A

B

Pp 14-13 in Report
at ⑤

B

budget for the current year, which
provides for conservation work till
the 31st March 1921, with ~~provisionally~~
have to be depend on. ^{There is no other help} The
P.W.D. may be requested to advise
on these two points.

3. For orders.

Cur
6.12.20

Lu.
7.12.20

PM

8
7.12.20
Sent to
Ming 12/20

Ed. no. N. 23 13 B-2

8.12.20

P.W.D.



U.S.

§ Please see D.O. from the Director
General of Archaeology at p 14 ante
and the Home (Edn) Dept's notes on
pp 15-16 ante.

Cur 1073 Adl
8.12.20

How?

Ans.

Explained separately -
Vide Routine Slip
(Reg K)

Thro B

1
V.2
Dec 21/20

With reference to A on p 15 ante,
to asking the D.G. of Archaeology for
this department may agree to some
special provision being made for the
subsequent transfer to the Archaeological
dept of those cases in which it would
not be possible to fulfil the formalities
of the Ancient Monuments Preservation
Act before 1st January 1921.

Reference B above. The Council
7.12.20

Suggested therein may be agreed to

UK
9.12.20



AWR
9.12.20

7A

S Home (Reduced) 16th
17.12.20

Orig 4073 Aio 1
17.12.20

ES-2 C.N. 2656 B
18.12.20



Home (ES) Dept

Regr.

A draft reply to Sir John
Marshall is submitted:-

D.O. N. 2313 B-2
20.12.20

[Dear Sir,]

With reference to the
correspondence ending with your D.O.
N. 325-345-C of 12th December, I

D. 14 ante

say that all possible
objection is being put
to in post declaring
monuments protected.
~~Not~~ Notifications
of a number of
have been issued
and information
sent to others that
come up is being
to called. In view
of the large
number of cases, to be
settled out of the
22.

am divided, ~~the~~ again point out
that it will be ~~extremely~~ impossible to
notify all the ancient monuments
in this Presidency protected under
the Act before the 1st Jan^y.
I am, Sir,

32/18

for the subsequent transfer, from the control of the new Ministry of Public Works to that of the Archl. Dept., of those ancient monuments in whose cases it would not be possible to fulfil the formalities of the Ancient Monuments ^{Preservation} Act before the 1st January 1921.

2. I am to add that the expenditure on the upkeep etc. of such monuments will in the meantime ~~be~~ be met from the Archl. Budget for conservation work during the current year, which provides for such work till the 31st March 1921.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]
DESPATCHED
 20 DEC. 1920
[Signature]

Sir John Marshall,
 Kt., C.S.E., D.G. of
 Archl. in India

[Signature] Mr 20/12/20

COV
 18.12.20.

[Signature]
 18.12.20

I explained the situation to Sir John Marshall & he accepts the situation. He is however of opinion that eventually the loss of unprotected

(unintended) monuments may be
done away with. Expenditure on such
monuments cannot be incurred out of
the Acknowledged budget & cannot be
reasonable. Many exceptional cases
arise, also for the local food, eventually
in the PWD which has to examine
repairs, to decide if it should spend
money on repairs.

A Solis idea is to go on with
the publication of published lists on
as large a scale as possible

my
4/2/21

Home Secy's remarks in the file
regarding his interview with Sir
John Marshall.

Send to Finance Secy.

R.R.R.
4.2.21

As

Submitted. Action as at A
above is already being taken.

C.V.
5.2.21

P.O.

ESN CN 394 B

D.O. No. 325-^{110/c} 20

Office of the Director General of Archaeology in India.

Camp Madras, the 3rd February 1921.


My dear Sir,

Will you please refer to your demi-official letter No. 2313-B-3, dated the 20th December 1920, regarding the declaration of protected monuments. Various monuments will from time to time have to be transferred from the control of the new Ministry of Public Works to that of the Archaeological Department. When the occasion for such transfers arises the Madras Government will no doubt consider what particular procedure is to be adopted.

Yours sincerely,

John Marshall.

Diwan Bahadur R. Ramchandra Rao Avargal,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.



35 21
Law (S.) Sept

As

This was one of the
files discussed by the Honble Member
with ~~the~~ ^{Sir} John Marshall on the 5th
instant; the notes of discussion
sent in by H. M. do not contain
any remarks on this.

2. Please see Sir

John Marshall's reply on p. 20 ante
to the D.O. on pp. 17-18 ante. The
~~difficulty regarding the~~ transfer
of monuments from the control of
new Ministry of Public Works to
that of the Arch. Dept. is not likely
to be peculiar for Madras alone;
it is sure to arise in other Provinces
also. ~~The~~ ^{the} Govt. of India ^{will} ~~have~~ ^{therefore}
therefore ^{have} to make some special
provision ~~to provide~~ for it. Such
provision ^{should} ~~also~~ also cover the case
of any buildings which might in
the future be found to be
architecturally or historically
important and have therefore ^{to be}
declared protected monuments under
the

Copy flag (S)
p. 26 infra

The Ancient Monuments Preservation Act.

3. The question may, as pointed out by Sir John Marshall, be taken up when occasion for such transfers arises and the Govt. of India addressed, if necessary. In the meanwhile, no immediate action seems called for on these papers.

p. 20 ante

Feb

CVV
7.2.21

19th
9.2.21

T.L.
8.2.21
B

[Except to get on with the
declarations

R.L.

16/2/21

As

'B' above has been noted and every expedition is being made in regard to the declaration of all ancient monuments ^{as} protected under the Ancient Monuments Preservation Act.

2. These papers may now be 'recorded' and brought on the Index for future reference.
Draft

98 23

draft submitted :-

4.0. mis N. 27 8 22 2 21

Recorded.

Atk
20/2/21

2313, 2253, 2502
394/21

Archaeology - Ancient
Monuments.

Paper regarding the
question of declaring all
ancient monuments
protected under the Act -

Recorded.

cro
16.2.21

TH
17.2.21

Notes of a discussion with Sir John Marshall
(Mr. Graham also being present) dictated
in the presence of Sir John Marshall and
accepted by him.

Mr. Longhurst is entitled by his agreement to be in the hills for three ^{months only} ~~month~~. If he also spends six months in camp, he can only be in Madras for three months, and that at a time when the Government are not here. If the Government are to exercise control, they can do so best if his headquarters are transferred to Ootacamund. The objection to this is the expense. Sir John Marshall would ^{be} prepared to support a scheme under which his office was transferred to Ootacamund and he was given free quarters there.

2. It is desirable that the headquarters of the Epigraphist should be at the same place as those of the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey.

3. Sir John Marshall lays stress on the necessity for more touch between officers of the Archaeological department and the local Government.

4. Mr. Natesa Sastri is not ~~likely~~ likely to be available for the examination of Mr. Gopinatha Rao's manuscripts, but Mr. Krishna Sastri might be instructed to examine and report on the manuscripts and he might also be asked their value with a view to their purchase on behalf of the Imperial Government, if that is recommended. The question of publication may then be decided.

5. Sir John Marshall lays stress on the desirability of pressing the employment of Conservation Assistants.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

191 .

MIN G.O. No.
191

, DATED

CURRENT No.

CLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

MENT WITH DITTO.

PAYERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.E.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.