

Law (1921) Department.

Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1824

DATED 19. 12. 21.

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council meeting - November 1921 - Question -
Vacant Assistant Professorships - Number of applications.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

6069

✓

1,000-22-7-21.

QUESTION.—No. 1049 for the meeting of the Legislative
Council to be held ~~on the~~ *during the second session* 1921.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the

Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.

U.O. No. 2749 *dated 10-10-21.*
L. B.

To

The *Law (Education)* Department.

Very respectfully

Council Office.

XXXXX question.

3rd October 1921.

Alien Bahadur Muhammad Sadulla Badsha Sahib.

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

1049. To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for

Education to state:- the filling up of the

(a) the number of applications re-

ceived for the two vacant Assistant

Professorships in the Law College, Madras;

(b) how many of them were from,

(1) Brahmans, (2) Non-Brahman Hindus,

(3) Muhammadans, (4) Indian Christians and

(c) why no Muhammadan was appointed to

the vacancy caused by the appointment of Mr.

Zynuddin Sahib Bahadur, as a JUDGE of the

Small Cause Court, Madras.

given in the statement referred to above

it is not possible to say in many

cases whether the applicant is a Hindu

A.M. Brahman or not. In many cases

applicants there were 3 Hind. Christians

and 3 Muhammadans.

Clause (c)

To provide in the Law College

been reserved for Muhammadans, and

it is not therefore incumbent on the

Govt. to appoint a Muhammadan

to vacancy caused by the appointment

of Mr. Zynuddin Sahib Bahadur as Judge

Law (M.) Dep't.

Pro. 4929A.

W.S.

Clause (a)

The file of application received in connection with the filling up of the two recent vacancies in the Law College has been returned to the S.P.D. But from the statement at pages 19-25 of S.O. 1266 D. W. 9.21, it ~~has been~~ found that the total number of applicants for the post of Asst. Professorship only was 73

Clause (b)

From the names of the applicants given in the statement referred to above, it is not possible to say in many cases whether the applicant, if a Hindu, is a Brahman or not. Among the applicants there were 3 Ind. Christians and 3 Muhammadians.

Clause (c)

No post in the Law College has been reserved for Muhammadians, and it is not therefore incumbent on the Govt. to appoint a Muhammadian in the vacancy caused by the appointment of Mr. Gynuddin Sahib as Judge of the Court of Small Causes, Madras.

Recommendations in respect of appointments in the College are usually made by the Law College Council and the applicants who are considered to be best qualified are usually appointed.

2. The file may go to the S.P.D. as

app. to (a) & (b)

14.10.21
14.10.21

14.10.21
5

15.10.21

S.P.D. Sec

Law (M) no 4929 A-1
15.10.21

U.O. Reply No.

The Secretariat in its note above appears to have considered, in arriving at the figure 73, applications for the Professorship as well as applications for Assistant Professorships.

From the information available in my office the answers to (a) and (b) are as follows:-

(a) 68;

(b) 48 Brahmins
16 Non-Brahmins
2 Muhammadans
2 Indian Christians.

Em 5289 A
28.10.21

Law (M) Dept.

his

With ref. to the S.P.D.'s note above, it is sub. that office did not take into consideration applications for the Professorship. It is not clear how the S.P.D.

arrives at the figure 68. As the file of application was returned to him, the figure furnished by him may be correct. It may however be stated that the application ^{from Nour-ud-Din} was received ^{in 4000.12.66 D. 10-9-21} by Gov. direct vide para 18 C.7. The S.P.D. is not perhaps aware of this. The following draft answer based on the figure furnished by the S.P.D. 5 sub. for consideration:-

68 plus the application sent by Gov. direct

(a) 69

(b) 48 Brahmins
16 Non-Brahmins
3 Muhammadans
2 Indian Christians

(c) Applicants who are ~~not~~ ^{best} qualified ^{are} considered for appointment.

"And Sinter"

14.11.21
14.11.21

14.11.21
5

14.11.21

14.11.21

at all & C "And Sinter" Afford with this Amm. 14.11.21

Copy to
Convent
and
DEPT

The Madras Law College.

1047 Q.—Khan Bahadur MUHAMMAD SADULLA BADSHA SAHIB: Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) the number of applications received for the two vacant Assistant Professorships in the Law College, Madras;

(b) how many of them were from—

- (1) Brahmans,
- (2) Non-Brahman Hindus,
- (3) Muhammadans,
- (4) Indian Christians; and

(c) why no Muhammadan was appointed to the vacancy caused by the appointment of Mir Zynuddin Sahib Bahadur as a Judge of the Small Cause Court, Madras?

A.—(a) Sixty-nine.

- (b) Forty-eight Brahmans.
Sixteen Non-Brahmans.
Three Muhammadans.
Two Indian Christians.

(c) Applicants who are considered best qualified and suited are appointed.

*The papers may be
Recorded.*

NO 1824 of 19-12-21

*dh
17/12/21*

*dh
17-12-21*

Copy to me D.P.S.

*+d
19/12*

L.C. Meeking, 19-12-21

*Question - vacant Asst.
Professorships - Number
of applications.*

20 DEC 1921

When given for copying—
When copied—
When examined—
When signed—

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.