

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1809, 17th December 1921

Medical inspection of pupils

Subsidy payable to local bodies limited to one-half of the expenditure incurred over and above the fees realized from pupils.

26

ORIGINAL

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1809, 17th December 1921

Medical inspection of pupils

Subsidy payable to local bodies limited to one-half of the expenditure incurred over and above the fees realized from pupils.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O. No. 208, Home (Education), dated 12th February 1920.

ABSTRACT.—*Medical inspection*—Secondary schools—System of Grant-in-Aid—Approved.

II

G.O. No. 1476, Education, dated 6th October 1921.

ABSTRACT.—*Medical inspection of schools*—Fees for inspection of schools—Prescribed.

Order—No. 1809, Law (Education), dated 17th December 1921.

It has been brought to the notice of Government that certain local bodies have not considered it necessary to remunerate private medical practitioners employed by them in the medical inspection of pupils in the schools maintained by them, according to the rates authorized in the Proceedings read above. While it is not the desire of the Government to fetter the discretion of local bodies in the matter, they direct that the subsidy from Provincial funds towards medical inspection will be limited to one-half of the expenditure incurred by the local body over and above the fees realized from pupils, subject to the prescribed maximum of four annas per pupil for the first inspection and two annas per pupil for every subsequent inspection.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

P. C. DUTT,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
„ the Accountant-General.
„ the Local Self-Government Department.
„ all Chairmen of Municipal Councils.
„ the President, District Board, Godavari.
„ all Presidents of other District Boards.
„ M.R.Ry. P. Subba Rao, L.M.P., Rajahmundry.
the Publicity Officer.

When received for Fair-copying.

When copied ..

When examined ..

When signed ..

When despatched ..

Date.

Initials.

Med.

Subsidy

DEPARTMENT.

Med. Insp. of pupils

Subsidy payable to local bodies limited to one-half of the exp. incurred over & above the fees realized from pupils.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 208, dated 12. 2. 1920. (X)
 " " " " 1476 " 6. 10. 1921. (E)

REAL of 30:-

C. No. 2558, From the Memorandum Medical Insp. of, No. , dated 20. 8. 1920.
 A-20
 3500/A, Sec. Schools in the Rajahmundry Taluk Board area.
 " " " " D. P. S. R.O.C. 2448/20, 20. 11. 1920.
 " " " " P. D. B. Godavari, B.D.S. 158/D.B. 21. 22. 11. 1921.
 2592/A-21 " " 455, 10. 5. 1921.
 " " " " " " 1921.

ORDER.

No. 1809, dated 17. 12. 1921.

Please issue to
 P. D. B. Godavari & memorandum
 above in MS. dated 16/12.

According to the rules
 authorized in the P.O.S.
 read above.

A- ~~Subsidy~~ has been
 brought to the notice of Govt.
 that local bodies have not considered
 necessary to remunerate private medical
 practitioners employed by them
 in the medical inspection of pupils
 in the schools maintained by them,
 while it is not the desire of
 the Govt. to fetter the discretion
 of local bodies in the matter,
 they direct that the Subsidy
 from Provincial funds towards
 medical inspection will be

limited subject to one-half

of the expenditure incurred
by the local body subject
to the maximum of four annas
per pupil for the first inspection
and two annas per pupil for
every subsequent inspection.

2. The memorial received
from the medical inspector
appointed for the inspection
of secondary schools in the
Rajahmundry Taluk Board
area will be transferred
to the Godavari Dist. Board
for necessary action.

8.12.21
9.12.21
11.12.21
12.12.21

pp 1-3, e-f
baird print
D. P. D.
A. S.
d. S. S. Dept.
all C. M. C. with
D. B. Govt.
all D. B. S.
M. R. Roy. S. P.
D. B. S. Rao, d. M. P.
Publicity

RECEIVED
17 DEC 1921
P.M.

ORDINARY.

PRESS SLIP.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

Seatt. II-38-1-17.

DEPARTMENT.

Current No. dated 191 ..

G.O. No. 1809 dated 17.12.1921.

Number of MS. pages sent
(b) Correspondence 2 pages
(b1) Notes nil not to be printed

Brief subject

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' tables which are retained in the Press).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.			
Madras Records	4	25	
Ooty Records			
For filing with original			
Total ..			
FOR ISSUE.			

Signature copies	Letter only	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O.	Notes.
India Office				
Govt. of India				
Revenue Department				
Public Works Department				
Local and Municipal Department				
Educational Department				
Public Dept.				
Judicial Dept.				
Political				
Financial Dept.				
Marine Dept.				
Ecclesiastical Dept.				
Total ..				

Spare copies	Letter only	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O.	Notes.
The D. P. D.				
The A. S.				
The d. S. S.				
The all C. M. C.				
The P. D. B.				
The Reserve				
The				
The				
The				
The				
The				
The				
The				
Total ..				

Copies distributed on (Press Clerk).
† Copies distributed on (Press Despatcher).

To be initialled and dated.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b1) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A
Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

B
Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.
at once.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

C
As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				

Section II-2.

DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

Current No.	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.
Please check with the original Recd. No. 1809 17.12.21				

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Papers of other departments.

PAGES

TO

SUBSTITUTE FOR DETACHED ENCLOSURE.

Law (E.S.) DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1809, dated 17.12.1991.

FORWARDED TO THE P. O. B. Godavari

with The G.O. mentioned above.

WITH The G.O. mentioned above.
G.O. No. _____, dated _____ 191__

DATE 9/28/2 1911

EXAMINER'S
DESPATCHER'S INITIALS

X 4

Home (Est) 12 Sept.

CR 2558A.

Post 2558A-1
39 to

Referred to the D.P.I.

for remark.

SK
2-9-20.
NY
219110

A
3-9-20

To the D.P.I. (with CR-2558A in orig. to be actd-).
Rai
Reg 27
DESPATCHED
4 SEP 1920


Reminder after 1 month.

A

DEPARTMENT.

Home/Education/

Received



191

Registered



1920

Subject.

Resubmitting with remarks Government Endorsement No. 2558 A-1 d/ 3rd September 1920 regarding the rate of remuneration to be paid to the Medical Inspectors of Schools.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Ref on Current No. 9448/20

Station Madras

Dated the 26th November

1920

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. R. G. Grieve, M.A.,
Acting:
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction
Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home/Education/ Department.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith the enclosures received with Government Endorsement No. 2558 A-1 dated 3rd Sep. 20, I have the honour to state that para 9 of the letter is incorrect. G.O.No. 208, Home, Education, dated 12th February 20 merely fixes the maximum rate at which aid will be given. It is open to Boards to pay the Medical Inspectors any sum they like provided they do not claim more than annas 4 per pupil for first inspection and annas 2 for second inspection from Government. At the same time they should pay what is reasonable. I suggest that the Surgeon-General's opinion on this point may be obtained.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

In direct of Public Instruction

N. V. S.

LINE (Medical) DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 192

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 27 mod, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 192

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

” ” ” ” ” ” 192

Memo
ORDER

No. 27-1 Medl., dated 14-1. 1921

The P. D.B. Godavari, is requested to ^{furnish} ~~submit~~ a copy of the resolution passed by the Rajahmundry Taluk Board ^{fixing} ~~on~~ the rate of remuneration to be paid to the Medical inspectors of ~~Government~~ secondary schools under its management.

$\frac{5/10}{8/12}$
 $\frac{100}{1000}$

11/4/21

To The P. S. B., Godavari

Sub
14/1/2

LOCAL FUND DEPARTMENT.

1561

Received.....192.....Registered.....192.....

Subject.

DESPATCHED

2.5 JAN 1921

Submitting a copy of the resolution passed by the
 Rajahmundry Taluk Board fixing the rate of ~~remuneration~~^{remuneration}
 ration, to be paid to the medical Inspectors of secondary
 schools under its management.

ENCLOSURES.

No. oneSpare copies nilD. Dis No. 58 / D.B. of 1921Station C o c c a n a d a, Dated the 22nd January 1921 1921.

From (Name) M.R.Ry. Diwan Bahadur D. Seshagiri Rao Pantulu
Garu B.L.,
 (Designation) President, District Board, Godavari

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL Department.

M A D R A S.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 27-1 Medl
 dated 14th January 1921, I have the honour to submit ---
 herewith a copy of the resolution passed by the Rajahmun-
 dry Taluk Board fixing the rate of remuneration to be
 paid to the Medical inspectors of Secondary schools, under
 its management.

2. In this connection, I may add that the medical Inspec-
 -tors put in a petition to me requesting instructions to
 the President Taluk Board Rajahmundry to pay them the ---
 maximum rate of fees fixed in Government Order No. 208-H.E.
 dated 13th February 1920 as the then President appointed
 them

X

Encl
 sent to Sg
 with file.

8-2-
them on that understanding during 1919-1920. I have
therefore requested the President, Taluk Board Rajah-
-mundry to place the matter before the Taluk Board for
reconsideration.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deebhagirew
24.1.21

President, District Board.

B.R.S. 24/1/21

9
Extract from the Proceedings of the Rajahmundry Taluk
Board passed at its meeting held at Rajahmundry on 17th --
July 1920.

5. Read:- Endorsement N.Ref Cur No.57/D.B. of 20 dated 23-6-20
of the President, District Board, Godavari requesting to --
obtain the opinion of the Taluk Board for the collection of
school fees from the pupils for the Medical Inspection of
schools during 1919-20 and the rate at which the fees ^{have} to be
collected.

G.O.No. 208, dated 13th February 1920 prescribing the
maximum of 0-12-0 per pupil for the 1st inspection and --
0-6-0 for the subsequent inspections.

The following rates are proposed by the Board.

1. 6 annas for the 1st inspection and 3 annas for the
second inspection if a sub assistant surgeon is appointed
and 12 annas for the 1st inspection and 6 annas for the 2nd
inspection for Medical degree holders.

2. Officers in charge of the Medical institutions (L.F.)
at the places where the schools are situated should be --
preferred to outsiders.

/Sd/ M.Rangiah

President, Taluk Board.

/True copy/

Deebhagirew

President, District Board.

B.R.S.24/1/21

We
24.1.21

The reply has been sent to Law (Gov) on receipt main file to Gov.

” ” ” ” ” 192

READ ALSO:-
C. No. 1561 P.H. From the P. N. B. , Gwalior No. 1582 P.B. dated 22-1-1921

192

2 77 1* " 192

Memo
~~ORDER~~

4758-1 P. H.
No. ~~1561-2~~, dated 10.8.192

Op. - return for
further action
Wps.

The P.D.B., Godavari, is requested to state whether the Rajahmundry Taluk Board has reconsidered its resolution No 5 dated 19th July 1920, fixing the rate of remuneration to be paid to Medical inspectors of secondary schools under its management. And if so, to submit a copy of the resolution ^{now} passed by it.

To Mr. P. D. B. Godavari. $\frac{100}{11-3}$

1672



192 . Registered . 192

Subject.

Submitting reply to Government Memorandum No. 4758-1 P.H.
dated 10th March 1921 regarding the rate to be fixed for --
Medical Inspection of Secondary Schools.

Forwarded to Law (Education) Dept in

Continuation of no no. 4758-2 P.H. rate 10-3-21.

P. H. no. 100 60-1 D

17-5-21

TJNR
25/5

ENCLOSURES.

No. One

Spare copies

R.C. No. 458/D.B. of 1921.

Station COCANADA, Dated the 10th May 1921. 192

From (Name) M.R. Ry. Diwan Bahadur D. Seshagiri Rao
Pantulu Garu, B.A., B.L.,
(Designation) President, District Board, GODAVARI.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL Department.

MADRAS.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Memorandum No. 4758-1 P.H.
dated 10th March 1921, I have the honour to submit here-
with a copy of Taluk Board Resolution No. 59 dated 23rd
April 1921 relating to the rate of remuneration to be -
paid to Medical Inspector of Secondary Schools under the
Rajahmundry Taluk Board and refusing to reconsider the
resolution already passed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signature)
For President, District Board.

MH/11-5-21.

11.5.21

14 12
Extract from the Proceedings of the Rajahmundry Taluk Board
passed at its meeting held at Rajanagaram on 23rd April 1921.

Present.

1. M.R.Ry. M. Rangiah Naidu Garu, B.A., B.L., President.
2. " R. Jayaramaswami Naidu Garu, L.M.&.S., Vice-President.
3. " K. Venkatramayya Garu.
4. " Shariff Abdul Khareim Sahib Bahadur.
5. " D. Venkateswarlu Garu.
6. " Marina Venkanna Garu.
7. " A. Seshagiri Rao Garu.
8. " M. Seetharamachary Garu.
9. " Eli Tirupatirayudu Garu.
10. " J. Ramanna Garu.
11. " Dantuluri Venkatanarasaraju Garu.
12. " Bikkina Ramayya Garu.
13. " Maddipoti Venkatramanna Garu.
14. " Dasari Dharmaraju Garu.
15. " D. Suryanarayanamurti Garu.

59. Read:- Letter Ref on Cur No. 458/D.B. of 1921 dated 29th March 1921 of the President, District Board, Godavari requesting to reconsider Taluk Board Resolution No. 5 dated 17th July 1920 regarding the rate of payment of fees to the Medical Inspectors for the Medical Inspection conducted by them during 1919--1920.

Note of the President to sanction renewal of payment of Medical Inspection fees which the Board and the students have to pay to M.R.Ry. R. Jayaramaswami Naidu Garu and S.V. Ramarao Garu as their appointments are approved by the Director of Public Instruction.

The Board does not see any reasons to reconsider the resolution
p. 9 ante already passed in Resolution No. 5 dated 17th July 1920.

Claims sanctioned.

Two thirds of the amounts claimed by them will be paid now and the remaining one third will be paid after the amount is received from Government.

13
M.R.Ry. R. Jayaramaswami Naidu Garu is out of Council being
interested in the subject.

/Sd./ M. Rangiah,
President, Taluk Board,
Rajahmundry.

/True Copy/

Ch. Narasimha Rao

For President, District Board.

MH/11-5-31.

15
NOTES.

10 C

Home (Ed?) Dept

CN-2558A. From Mr. S. V. Rame Rao & others of 20.8

CN-3500. From Mr. D. P. J., D.O. 9448 of 26.11.20



Subject - Rate of remuneration to be paid to medical inspectors of schools

The D.P.J. suggests that the Surgeon-General's opinion may be obtained on the point raised in the memorial on pages 1 to 3 of c.f. The file may be sent to him (ho.) through L.M. that dept.

⁸⁶
11.12.20.) may be asked to put up a copy of the Taluk Board's resolution referred to in the memorial.

Home (Ed) no.
3550-A-1
22.12.20

MS
13/12/20
27/12/20
L.M. no

~~10363~~
27 Med
4-1-20

Local (Medical) Dept

A draft memo to the P. D.B. forawari asking him to submit a copy of the Taluk Board resolution is submitted for approval. This may be consulted after the copy of the Resn. is received.

8/1/21
MS

B.R.R.
11/1/21

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
3 FEB 1921
HIGHER GOVT. OF MADRAS

2
[Memo No. 27-1 Medical dated 14-1-1921]
No. 1561 from the P. D. B., Godavari No 158
dated 22-1-21

pg of.

us
A copy of the resolution of the
Rajahmundry Taluk Board fixing the
rate of remuneration to be paid to the
Medical Inspectors of schools has been
received and added to the file. The file
may, it is submitted, be sent up to
S.G. for his opinion. It may be
[Signature] noted that the P.D.B., Godavari, has
21-1-21 asked the Rajahmundry Taluk Board to
reconsider its resolution.

pg of 1 FEB 1921

essentially
op
up

kor
mru.

TBR

S.G. no. 203 of 21/2

Let the memo. 1561 P.H.
22.21.

S.G. no. 203-265

4th March 1921.
7 MAR 1921
OFFICIAL SECRETARY

I cannot be a party to
creating medical inspectors
I hope but they will all
adhere to the low rates
[Signature]

1 MAR 1921

4758

us

P.H. Dept

See
No pg of
pg of

The P. D. B., Godavari has asked the
Rajahmundry Taluk Board to reconsider
its resolution fixing the rate of remuneration
to medical inspectors of schools. A

18
3
A draft memo to the P.D.B. Godavari
Asking him to submit a copy of the
resolution is submitted for approval

8/10/21 whether the taluk board has reconsidered
the matter is submitted for approval. After
issue the case may be returned to

Law (Edn) no. with the intimation
that the D.B.'s reply will be forwarded to them
on receipt

Ln. non. 4758-2 P.H.
10.3.21

ES. CN. 1081 A
11.3.21

KR
9/3/21

Law (Edn)

TJR

10/3

[Memo No. 4758-1 P.H. dt 10.3.21]

The receipt of the
P.D.B.'s reply refd: to above
may be awaited.

11.3.21

11/3/21

15/3/21

16/3/21

Page 11 + 12 of CF

Page 12 of CF

Schedule V to
the Local Boards
Act, 1920

'A'

(C.No. 2592-A) From the President, Dt. Bd. Godavari,
R.C.No. 458 dated 10th May 1921.

The Rajahmundry Tk. Board is not prepared
to reconsider its original resolution allowing
Sub-asst. Surgeons employed as Medl. Inspectors
only half the rates of fees sanctioned for Medl.
Inspectors holding University Degrees. It is
observed that, under the new Local Bds. Act, all
secondary schools are now under the direct
control of Dt. Bds. and it appears open to the
Dt. Bd. Godavari to sanction full amount of fee
claimed by the Sub-Asst. Surgeons employed as



Medical Inspectors. The L. & M Dept may be asked whether the above view is correct and, if so, to address the Dt. Board, Godavari in the matter.

Ed. No. 2592/A-1
11.6.21

11/6/21

11/6/21

11/6/21

188

11803

L.S. G(P.H.)

u.s.

(X)

In his order dated 12.2.20, the Govt approved certain maximum rates of remuneration to be paid to medical inspectors of schools. These were 12 annas per pupil for the 1st inspection and 6 annas for subsequent inspections. This scale gives full discretion to local bodies to adopt any scale they think fit subject to the above maxima. It is now proposed to ask the local body to sanction the maximum rates.

2. If anything less than the maximum rate is deemed inadequate remuneration, then the proper course seems to be to make the maximum a fixed rate. It seems rather unreasonable ^{first} to give the local bodies discretionary power to fix the



NOTES.

Page 5

rates as they like and then to interfere with their discretion in the manner now proposed.

3 Submitted that the law (Edy) Dept may be requested to consider whether fixed standard rates should not be fixed

for
not for.

J.
B.N. N.
1/7/21

I see nothing in G.O. 208 Home of 12-2-20 to prevent a local board from levying a fee of 12 annas for each pupil for a first inspection, paying 6 annas and drawing 2 annas as Government grant if they so wish. The only question for the board to consider would be whether it could get the services of a ^{qualified medical officer} at 6 annas. The terms on which the inspectors are paid are, I take it, merely a matter of open contract.

P. H. note 11803-18
9.7.21

L.M. 3222 A
11.7.21

Law (Ed) is

Law (Ed) is

L. S. G. take the view that the engagement of medical inspectors by local bodies as a matter of open contract; and in that sense - Govt. have simply to tell the petitioners that they are not prepared to interfere in the matter. For orders.

B
12.7.21
M.S.

A
12/7/21

I agree with Secy (L.S.G) except on one point. Under the figures cited by him the local board incurs no burden. I would limit the Govt expenditure (Subject of course to the maxima laid down) to $\frac{1}{2}$ of the expenditure in excess of the fee. Otherwise, to take origin the figures cited, the local board can give 8as to the medical practice & take credit for the maximum grant without incurring any financial responsibility itself.

L.S.G.

16/7/21

L.S.G (P.H.) Je

V.S.

Law (Educ) Secy agrees to the view that the terms on which the medical inspectors are paid are merely a matter of open contract and that the Govt. must therefore interfere. The case may be transferred u.o. to Law (Educ) for issue of orders on the petition.

2) As regards the question (raised by the Law Secy) whether the Govt. grant



14653

Edw w/o 2592/A-2
18.7.21

CVR 3.8.21



I agree with Secy (L.S.G) except on one point. Under the figures cited by him the local board incurs no burden. I would limit the Govt expenditure (Subject of course to the maxima laid down) to $\frac{1}{2}$ of the expenditure in excess of the fee. Otherwise, to take origin the figures cited, the local board can give 8as to the medical practice & take credit for the maximum grant without incurring any financial responsibility itself.

L.S.G.

16/7/21

CVR 3.8.21

may not ^{be} limited (subject of course to the maxima laid down in C.O. 208 Educ. 12.2.20) to one-half of the expenditure in excess of the fee, it is submitted that this limit seems only reasonable, as otherwise it will be possible for a local body to evade all financial responsibility in the matter, by levying a fee equal to the expenditure in excess of the Govt. grant now admissible. The underlying idea seems to be that the cost of medical inspection should be ^{equally} divided as far as possible, between provincial funds, managements' funds and fees. This Dept. may agree to the limit proposed by the Law Secy; and the case may be returned to Law (Educ.).

(X)

para. 5 of the DPP's
letter on p1 in C.O. 208
12.2.20 (X)

R
11

Through C section

C.O. 208
139

with refce to A m p. 4 Repra,
Under the new Local Boards Act, 1920, ^{are} secondary schools ~~come~~ under the direct management of the district board. ~~That~~ ^{it} is ~~open to that body~~ ^{open to that body} ~~body may therefore be asked to consider the desirability of sanctioning~~ ^{to} full rates of fees for medical inspectors in the present case. With reference however to the view ~~that~~ ^{that} the matter being one of open contract the Govt. need not interfere, it seems unnecessary to make a reference

reference to the district board.

The issue is still
confused. Will
plan speech
24.8

Cox
8/8/21

27
Mca
17.8.21

Seay ✓

L.S.G. (P.H.) Dept.

As. Subject: Medical inspection of schools. Rates for school.

Orders have issued on the subject
in Sp. 1476 Law (Edn) of 6-10-21. The file
may, if it is submitted, be referred to Law (Edn).


GP
16/11/21
NR
16-11-21

They do not relate to the appoin-
ment of the collector from the
local body which is raised in the
Law Secy's note in para 6 & agreed to
by our Secy in para 7. The file
may be forwarded to Law (Edn) as

Mca
17.4.21

Law (Edn) is.

14653-1 P.H.
18/11/21



Law (Edn)

A draft order bringing out the
point ~~has~~ ^{is} referred to in the Secy's note
at p. 6 ante is subd. The memorial at
pp 1-3, c.f., is proposed to be transferred
to the P.D.B. Rajahmundry, since Secy's
Education according to the new local
Boards Act is a charge on Dist. Board

GP
11/12/21
M3

12/12/21
8.12.21
11.12.21
Submits that para 1 of the order alone may be forwarded
and communicated to the P.D.B. & all c.m.s. The notes need not
be forwarded.

24

Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1809, 17th December 1921

Medical inspection of pupils

Subsidy payable to local bodies limited to one-half of the expenditure incurred over and above the fees realized from pupils.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O. No. 208, Home (Education), dated 12th February 1920.

ABSTRACT.—*Medical inspection*—Secondary schools—System of Grant-in-Aid—Approved.

II

G.O. No. 1476, Education, dated 6th October 1921.

ABSTRACT.—*Medical inspection of schools*—Fees for inspection of schools—Prescribed.

Order—No. 1809, Law (Education), dated 17th December 1921.

It has been brought to the notice of Government that certain local bodies have not considered it necessary to remunerate private medical practitioners employed by them in the medical inspection of pupils in the schools maintained by them, according to the rates authorized in the Proceedings read above. While it is not the desire of the Government to fetter the discretion of local bodies in the matter, they direct that the subsidy from Provincial funds towards medical inspection will be limited to one-half of the expenditure incurred by the local body over and above the fees realized from pupils, subject to the prescribed maximum of four annas per pupil for the first inspection and two annas per pupil for every subsequent inspection.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

P. C. DUTT,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
„ the Accountant-General.
„ the Local Self-Government Department.
„ all Chairmen of Municipal Councils.
„ the President, District Board, Godavari.
„ all Presidents of other District Boards.
„ M.E.Ry. P. Subba Rao, L.M.P., Rajahmundry.
„ the Publicity Officer.



Government of Madras

LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1809, 17th December 1921

Medical inspection of pupils

Subsidy payable to local bodies limited to one-half of the expenditure incurred over and above the fees realized from pupils.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O. No. 208, Home (Education), dated 12th February 1920.

ABSTRACT.—*Medical inspection*—Secondary schools—System of Grant-in-Aid—Approved.

II

G.O. No. 1476, Education, dated 6th October 1921.

ABSTRACT.—*Medical inspection of schools*—Fees for inspection of schools—Prescribed.

Order—No. 1809, Law (Education), dated 17th December 1921.

It has been brought to the notice of Government that certain local bodies have not considered it necessary to remunerate private medical practitioners employed by them in the medical inspection of pupils in the schools maintained by them, according to the rates authorized in the Proceedings read above. While it is not the desire of the Government to fetter the discretion of local bodies in the matter, they direct that the subsidy from Provincial funds towards medical inspection will be limited to one-half of the expenditure incurred by the local body over and above the fees realized from pupils, subject to the prescribed maximum of four annas per pupil for the first inspection and two annas per pupil for every subsequent inspection.

(By order of the Governor in Council)

P. C. DUTT,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" the Accountant-General.
" the Local Self-Government Department.
" all Chairmen of Municipal Councils.
" the President, District Board, Godavari.
" all Presidents of other District Boards.
" M.R.Ry. P. Subba Rao, L.M.P., Rajahmundry.
" the Publicity Officer.

RECEIVED 30

THE SECRETARY OF THE

1901

1901

1901

1901

1901

1901

1901

1901

1901

1901

Page 10