

Law (1921) Department.
(Educative)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1721

DATED 2 - 12 - 1921

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question—October 1921.

Subordinate Educational Service—Collegiate Branch—
reorganization.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

830
875

QUESTION.—No. 875

for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held *during the Second session* 1921.

En 4533
4532A


SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.

done
15/9/21

L. D. S.
15-9-21

U.O. No 2508, dated 15/9/21



To

The Law (Education) Department.

very urgent

✓
Rao Sahib E.C.M. Mascarenhas.
The Law (Education) Department.

No. 875. To ask the Hon'ble Minister for Education to state:

1. What was the average number of years taken by officers of the Subordinate Educational Service Collegiate branch in the old scale of pay to reach the maximum of that scale.

2. Whether the revised scale of pay enables them to reach the maximum within the same period if not why the period has been -- lengthened.

3. Whether it is a fact that these officers are all B.A.B. Ts. and whether there is any difference in the nature of the -- work done by them and that done by the vast majority of the officers of the Indian Educational Service and Madras Educational Service in the various Government Colleges.

4. Whether all the officers of the Subordinate Educational Service Collegiate branch will be taken into the Madras Educational Service automatically.

5. Whether the officers of the Subordinate Educational -- Service Collegiate branch have -- submitted

submitted memorials asking for
revised pay Rs.150--10--250 --
and whether the Government pro-
pose to sanction the same.

S.G.

his

Clause (1)

It is hard to arrive at a definite average under the existing grading system. Sometimes an officer of comparatively short service reaches the top grade sooner than expected. At other times there is a block in promotion. It all depends on the flow of promotion in the M.S.S. The Quarterly lists of officers of the Ist. Dept. have been looked into and it has been found that, taking the case of six officers promoted to the top grade during the last 5 years, the average period of service works out

with start at ship (6) to $10\frac{1}{2}$ years, but this average must be taken as a safe criterion.

Please see in this connection, the resolution passed by the S. S. S. Board, Rajahmundry (College Branch) at ship (6) wherein it is stated that it takes 10 to 12 years under the existing graded system to reach the maximum.

Clause (2)

Under the new time scale of pay viz Rs 125 - 5-175 - $7\frac{1}{2}$ - 250 proposed to

be adopted by Govt. (para 6 of G.O. 215 D.4.3.21),
 the maximum can be reached after
 20 years of service. The work done by
 the ^{members} officers of the Collegiate branch is
 similar and a time scale of pay
 is advantageous in that all the officers
 may expect to reach the maximum
 after a uniform number of years of
 service. It may be mentioned incidentally
 that the Asst. Professors of the Pray.
 College will wait in deputation on
 M.S. on Monday the 26th inst. in
 connection with their pay and prospects.

Clause (3).

From the Quarterly list of Officers
 of the Govt. Dept. traced up to the
 1st April 21 it will be seen that
 all the officers are not M.A. & 75
 In the fifth grade there are 4 officers
 who are B.A. (Hons.) & 75 (Nos. 3, 8, 14 & 16).

But as these officers will ~~soon~~ ^{soon} become
 automatically M.A. after the 15 years from
 the date of passing the ^{Govt.} examⁿ. they
 may perhaps be classed as M.A. & 75.

21st 15 - M.R.P. U. Mathurji Nayak - doc

not appear to have taken the LT degree
 the work done by these officers and that
 expects of I.S.S. and M.S.S. officers is

Chapter XIII
 - Page 16 -
 Para 209
 of Vol I of
 University
 Calendar

Certainly not the same. The officers
 of the S. S. S. are designated as
 Asst. Professors ^{teachers} and their ~~work~~ ^{work} is
 not so meritorious as that done by the
 I.S.S. and M.S.S. men. The latter
 hold more responsible posts.

Clause (4).

It is not the intention of Govt.
 to promote automatically all officers
 of the I.S.S. - Collegiate branch. to the
 M.S.S. and there is no such proposal
 before Govt. It is only those ~~and~~ ^{and} officers
 who prove themselves efficient and
 show marked ability that can
 expect to be promoted to the M.S.S.
as vacancies occur.

Clause (5).

The memorials of the I.S.S. (Collegiate
 Branch) have been recorded in
 G.O. 1152 D. 5.5.21. It will be seen
 that the scale of pay prayed for
 therein is Rs 150 - 25 - 250 and not
 Rs 150 - 0 - 250. No other memorials
 have been received by Govt.

21. The file may go to the S.P.D.

Law (2nd) no. 4533 A-1
 26.8.21

27/9/21
 2697
 D.P.D. 210

U.O. Reply No.

The Department insists upon all members of the Subordinate Educational Service who take up collegiate work being M.A.L.Ts or persons who are already qualified to take the M.A. and L.T. degrees although they may not have actually taken these degrees. Exceptions are made only in the rare case where it is not possible to obtain a sufficient supply of qualified men, for example, in Chemistry.

That being so the answers to the questions asked are as follow:-


- (1) from 10 to 12 years within recent years;
- (2) The answer to the former part of the question is in the negative. The maximum in the new scale is higher than the maximum in the old scale;
- (3) The answer to both parts of the question is in the affirmative;
- (4) The answer is in the negative;
- (5) The answer to the former part of the question is in the affirmative and the latter part in the negative.

The above appear to me to be the straightforward answers to the questions asked. I must, however, place it on record that I consider that the members of the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Branch have a real grievance and so long as that grievance remains the service will continue to remain discontented, the work will suffer and the general standard of education of this presidency will be lowered.

En 47 65 A
3.10.21

Law (Eds) Dept

The memorandum submitted by the members of the D.E.S. (Collegiate Branch) in respect of the revised scale of pay



DESPATCHED
4-10-21
H.C.

to be sanctioned has been referred to the D.D. for remarks. It is for consideration whether the draft answer to clause (5) suggested by the D.D. may not be amended as follows:-

- 1 "The Memorials are under consideration"
- 2 Draft answers to clauses (1) to (4) may be approved

MS
8/10/21

CR
3.10.21

M3

Draft answers to cl 1 to 5

Approved -

This better the straight forward in the matter - If for revision in the scale, it is well & good I don't

3/10/21

14th October 1921]

Subordinate Educational Service.

830 Q.—Rao Sahib E. C. M. MASARENHAS: To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for Education to state—

(1) what was the average number of years taken by officers of the Subordinate Educational Service (Collegiate branch) in the old scale of pay to reach the maximum of that scale;

(2) whether the revised scale of pay enables them to reach the maximum within the same period, if not, why the period has been lengthened;

(3) whether it is a fact that these officers are all M.A.-L.T.s and whether there is any difference in the nature of the work done by them and that done by the vast majority of the officers of the Indian Educational Service and Madras Educational Service in the various Government colleges;

(4) whether all the officers of the Subordinate Educational Service (Collegiate branch) will be taken into the Madras Educational Service automatically; and

(5) whether the officers of the Subordinate Educational Service (Collegiate branch) have submitted memorials asking for revised pay Rs. 150—10—250, and whether the Government propose to sanction the same.

A.—(1) From ten to twelve years within recent years.

(2) The answer to the former part of the question is in the negative. The maximum in the new scale is higher than the maximum in the old scale.

(3) The answer to both parts of the question is in the affirmative.

(4) The answer is in the negative.

(5) The answer to the former part of the question is in the affirmative and the latter part in the negative.

Order No 1721, d. the 2-12-21

Recd.

11/12/21


Lg. Council Quashi - Oct 24

Subordinate Ed. Serv -

Collegiate Branch -
Kanyakumari.

DESPATCHED

16 DEC. 1921



Name of Officer -

Date of first appt.

Date of vacat.
6th Dec -
(120 20/1)

No of years
Served.

1) R. Satachaya

19-1-1905

2-4-15

16-2-13

2) P. Anantacharya
Ayya

28-3-1906

1-4-16

10-0-3

3) K. Venkatesan

1-2-1910

1-4-19

9-2-0

4) S. Balakrishna Ayya

11-7-1907

1-16-19

11-10-20

5) P. Narasimham

20-1-1909

31-7-19

10-6-11

6) K. Subrahmanyayya

14-2-1911

16-2-21

11-0-2

62-9-19

Average - 10-5-17

(10 1/2 yrs.)
in the service.

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.

192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DFTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.