

Law (1921) Department.
(*Educational*)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1711

DATED 2 - 12 - 1921

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question—October 1921.

Pandits and Munshis—pay.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2-16.

C.F. 19

2
NOTES.



1,000-22-7-21.

QUESTION.—No. 878

for the meeting of the Legislative
Council to be held on the *during the second session*
1921. En 4706 A

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the
Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.

18PM
27/9/21

L. D. S.
27-9-21

U.O. No. 2617-1 dated 27-7-21

To

The Law (Education) Department.

Council Office.

Question.

14th September 1921

Mr. Munshi Muhammad Abdur Rahman -
Sahib.

The Law (Education) Department.

878. To ask the Hon'ble the
Minister for Education to state:

(a) why the Pandits and
munshis alone ^{are} given biennial --
increments as stated in the new
General Re-organisation scheme;

(b) the action taken by
the Hon'ble the Vice Chancellor of
the Madras University in connection
with the memorial sent on 29th --
August 1921, by the Association of
Pandits and munshis in Government
service;

and (c) whether some more --
Pandits and at least two munshis -
teaching B.A. classes be appointed
as examiners and members of the
Board of studies.

S.C.

TV

Case 406A.

The presumption underlying the question appears to be incorrect. Under the hitherto existing scale of pay sanctioned in G.O. 1st. d. the 16. X. 12 the increment was biennial, but under the new time scale of pay proposed to be sanctioned, ~~the increment will be annual.~~ ~~it does not appear so.~~

⑦ ~~to~~ vide page 6 of G.O. 215 Fin. d. 1. 3. 21. ~~Where the increment is biennial the increment is denominated by 2 years.~~ The following draft answer is submitted for approval. It may perhaps go to Finance for acceptance:-

"The presumption underlying the question is incorrect. Under the ~~proposed~~ ~~scale~~ ~~sanctioned~~ ~~scale~~ the increment will be annual."

3 The above draft answer may be forwarded to Finance for acceptance.

CR
29.9.21
2997
Jusant 20

Finance Dept

The scales provided for parits on page 6 of S.O. 215 Finance 84.3.21 ~~pro~~ allow annual increments. The salaries

The scale given on page 4 of G.O. 215 Finance 84.3.21

Law (Ry) in 4906 A. 1
29.9.21.

Fin 4060 C
24/19

4 p13 gffle ⑦
Committee of the Legislative Council has
proposed slight modification in these scales
and the whole question of pay of pandits
has not been finally settled.

2. The Law Dept. may be requested to
modify the answer to the L.C. Question so
as to say that the scales mentioned on page 6
of G.O. 215 Finance & 4.3.21 provide
for annual increments.

255.11
Law (80%) 0.0. 30.8.21

4762H
30-9-21
Law (80%) Dept. 30-9-21

For orders as to circulation see answer

in pencil suggested by Finance
is for approval

3-10-21

30.9.21

Pandits and Munshis in general reorganization scheme.

835 Q.—Munshi MUHAMMAD ABDUR RAHMAN SAHIB: To ask the Hon'ble
the Minister for Education to state why the pandits and munshis alone are given
biennial increments as stated in the new general reorganization scheme.

A.—The presumption underlying the question is incorrect. Under the scale
given on page 6 of G.O. No. 215, Finance, dated the 4th March 1921,
the increment will be annual.

Order No 1711

Recases

1911/11

Copy to the D.O.

16 DEC 1921
DESPATCHED

Scales	1918-19	1919-20	1920-21
40-2-50	40-2-50	40-2-50	40-2-50
50-3-65	50-3-65	50-3-65	50-3-65
75-4-95	75-4-95	75-4-95	75-4-95
100-5-125	100-5-125	100-5-125	100-5-125

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

OVERSEEN No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DFTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEPARTURE
IN ORIGINAL.

N.E.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.