

Law (1921) Department.
Education
Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1492

DATED 12. 10. 21

30

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspectors - Sub Assistant - Permanent retention of 33

Temporary ----- sanctioned.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


✓

CURRENT FILE.

HOME (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



191

Registered



Subject.

Requesting to obtain the sanction of Government for the employ-
ment of 18 additional Sub Assistant Inspectors of schools with the
necessary establishment with effect from 1st December 1920 and for
the permanent continuance of the 33 temporary Sub Assistant Inspectors
and their establishments.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C. No. 4266 of 1920.

Station Madras, Dated the 13th November 191From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. R.C. Grieve, M.A.(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

/Through/ The Accountant-General, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to address Government regarding
the utilisation of the ^{balance of the} lump provision of Rs. 41,000/- for
additional Sub Assistant Inspectors ^{and Sub-Inspector} under 22-B-Inspection
in the current year's budget and to submit proposals for
the permanent employment of 18 additional Sub Assistant
Inspectors, and also ^{to recommend} the permanent continuance of the 33
temporary Sub Assistant Inspectors and their establishments.
It was my intention to make this latter recommendation in

a separate

a separate communication. It has, however, been incorporated in the present letter, as the Accountant-General in his No.3pl.15-Edn-2112 dated 30th October 1920 objected to the proposals for additional Sub Assistant Inspectors and for the permanent continuance of the temporary posts being submitted separately and stated that they should be treated as forming parts of a single scheme for the strengthening of the inspecting agency.

2. As regards the additional Sub Assistant Inspectors, Government in G.O.No.448 Home (Education) dated 9th April 1919 sanctioned certain proposals of Mr.Littlehailes for affording relief to Sub Assistant Inspectors of schools by the creation as a temporary measure of 33 new ranges, 21 of these being filled by the transfer of the Personal Assistants to Inspectors and Assistant Inspectors of schools (sanctioned in G.O.No.620 Home (Education) dated 11th May 1917) to ranges as Sub Assistant Inspectors of schools. Thus only 12 new Sub Assistant Inspectors were actually sanctioned in 1919. The charge of several Sub Assistant Inspectors of schools is still so heavy or their jurisdiction so extensive that relief in their case is imperatively necessary. Sir Henry Stone stated in para 3 of his letter Ref. on Cur. No.1188/1916 dated 12th February 1917 read in G.O.No.620 Home (Education) dated 11th May 1917 that the maximum number of schools which each Sub Assistant Inspector could look after with the assistance of Supervisors was 150 on an average. The appended statement shows that we are still far from having attained this standard. If no Sub Assistant Inspector should have more than 150 schools to look after, 18 additional officers will have to be employed. This is with reference to the number of schools in existence on 31st March 1920. Between 31st March 1919 and 31st March 1920 the number of elementary schools for boys increased by 1051, but with the enactment of the Elementary Education Act and the opening during this year of a further instalment of elementary schools under public management out of the current year's budget provision of 3 lakhs and the opening of night and continuation schools under public management out of the current year's budget of

Rs.22,000/-

Rs.22,000/- a much more rapid expansion of elementary education may be looked forward to in the near future. Moreover the District Educational Councils to be formed under Chapter II clause 4 of the Act should have a sufficient number of Sub Assistant Inspectors to whom they can refer for information and advice on local questions. The provision of an efficient and adequate inspecting agency is an essential part of the problem of elementary education and it is necessary that the spread of elementary education and the strengthening of the inspecting agency should go hand in hand. In these circumstances, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the employment of 18 additional Sub Assistant Inspectors with the necessary establishment with effect from 1st December 1920. I request that permanent sanction may be accorded to the proposal, as from what I have stated above it is clear that there is no possibility of the additional officers now proposed being found superfluous.

3. If Government approve this recommendation it follows as a corollary that there is still greater justification for the permanent retention of the 33 temporary ranges of Sub Assistant Inspectors and I request that the sanction may be accorded to this proposal also. I would repeat that there is no possibility of these appointments ever becoming unnecessary.

4. The 18 additional posts may be distributed in the Sub Assistant Inspectors' cadre as follows:-

4	(175)
3	(150)
3	(125)
7	(100)
1	(75)

I suggest increasing the number of posts in the higher grades as the distribution of the new posts created till recently has been among the lower grades. This has swollen these grades out of all proportion to the size of the cadre, leaving but 12 per cent of the total number

in the top

in the top three grades. I am strongly of opinion that at least 20% of the cadre should be distributed among these three grades, and the suggestion made above is intended to carry this out in part at any rate. I may add that Mr. Littlehailes recognised this defect and was opposed to any further inflation of the lower grades so long as the higher ones remained as they are now.

5. I would now deal with the question of the cost of the proposals detailed above. So far as the 33 temporary ranges are concerned provision exists in the current and next year's budget and consequently no additional expenditure is required on this account. With reference to the 18 additional posts, taking the distribution suggested in para 4 above an expenditure of Rs.58,785 or Rs.59,000 in round figures will be required for 1921-22. The details are shown below. To meet this expenditure there is a provision of Rs.52,000 in the budget estimate for next year included in Rs.93,000 for additional Sub Assistant Inspectors and Sub Assistant Inspectresses' ranges under 22-B-Inspection.

Salaries 4(175), 3(150), 3(125), 7(100), (75)	2,300 per mensem
Establishment-clerks 18(20), attenders 18(10)	666 -do-
Peons 18 (7)	
	2,966 X 12 or
	Rs.35,592 per annum.

Allowances--

Travelling Allowance at Rs.35 per mensem for each office 7,128 ✓

Local Allowance for High prices 10,305 ✓

Contract contingencies at Rs.200 for each office 3,600 ✓

Non-contract contingencies at Rs.120 for each office 2,160 ✓

58,785 or
Rs.59,000 in round figures.

I request that provision for the additional amount required i.e., Rs.7000 may be caused to be inserted.

The amount required for the current year (assuming that effect will be given to these proposals from 1st December 1920) is Rs.21,700 and this can be met from the lump provision of Rs.41,000 for Additional Sub Assistant Inspectors and Inspectresses in the Civil Budget Estimate

Salaries	Rs.6,900
Establishment	1,998
Travelling Allowance	1,782
Local Allowance for High Prices	2,576
Contract Contingencies	900
Non-recurring contract contingencies for furniture etc., at Rs.300 for each office	5,400
Non-contract contingencies (rent) Books and Maps etc.	2,160

21,716 or
Rs.21,700 in round figures.

6. A proposition statement showing the alterations involved by the two proposals is submitted herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Krishnam
13.11.20

For Director of Public Instruction.

No. Edn-2/4715

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, 1st December 1920.

Enclosures.


Sm

Forwarded.

2. The proposals would require the sanction of the Secretary of State under rule III(6) of the Main Audit Resolution on page 4 of the Book of Financial Powers, so long as the rules in the Book of Powers continue to be in force.

3. The entries in the column "Present scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office and are correct with the exception of the items altered in red ink.

4. Necessary additional provision will be ~~extended~~ included in the Budget estimate for 1921-22 on receipt of orders of Government in the Financial Department.



Deputy Accountant General

hs.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

R

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

P and A 10.

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.												PROPOSITION.								Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent		Temporary.						
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.				
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
			RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.			
Head of Sub-ass't. Inspector	5	Officer I class (Rs 200)	-	-	-	1500	-	1500	5	Officer I class (Rs 200)	-	-	-	1500	-	-	-	-			
Of Boys' Schools	11	" II class (175)	-	-	-	1925	-	1925	15	" II class (175)	-	-	-	2625	-	-	-	-			
800. 700. 428 H. E 9-4-4	30	" III class (150)	-	-	-	4500	-	4500	33	" III class (150)	-	-	-	4950	-	-	-	-			
" 76. H. B. 16-1-20	37	" IV class (125)	-	-	-	4625	-	4625	40	" IV class (125)	-	-	-	5000	-	-	-	-			
" 298 " 10-3-20	58	" V class (100)	-	-	-	5800	-	5800	85	" V class (100)	-	-	-	8500	-	-	-	-			
" 40 " 10-1-20	136	probationary class (75)	-	-	-	10200	-	10200	150	probationary class (75)	-	-	-	11250	-	-	-	-			
" 837 " 28-7-20																					
" 794 " 9-7-20	277					28050	328						33325	5275							
" 1108 " 23-9-20		Temporary						Temporary													
	2	" III class (150)	-	-	-	300	-	300	2	" III class (150)	-	-	-	300	-	-	-	-			
	10	" IV class (125)	-	-	-	1250	-	1250	10	" IV class (125)	-	-	-	1250	-	-	-	-			
	35	" V class (100)	-	-	-	3500	-	3500	15	" V class (100)	-	-	-	1500	-	-	-	-	2000		
	60	probationary class (75)	-	-	-	4500	-	4500	47	probationary class (75)	-	-	-	3525	-	-	-	-	975		
	107					9550	74						6675	-				-	2975		
		Total				37600							39900								
	162	Final T.A. is 134(25) 11(35) 12(37 1/2) 14(50) 17(27 1/2) Temporary				44228	-	44228	213	Final T.A. is 135(25) 11(35) 13(37 1/2) 14(50) Temporary				56978	-	56978	1275	-			
90655. 7119 17-7-20	33	Final T.A. at Rs 25.				825	-	825											825		
	3	Total allowances at Rs 25				60	-	60	4	Total allowances at Rs 25				80	-	80	-	20			
	1	Total allowances Temporary				20	-	20											20		

5275
1895
7170
86040

2975
825
20
1229
5049
60588

845
5049
396

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article.—M. T. M. para. 67.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government,

Department

thru the Accountant-General

Signature

Officer's Designation

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Statement of Proposition for

Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article

57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

NATURE OF CHANGE.

Present scale.

Proposed scale.

Office to which the proposition refers.

Number. Designation. Pay. Average cost.

Number. Designation. Pay. Average cost.

PROPOSITION.

Permanent.

Temporary.

Average cost.

Increase per month.

Decrease per month.

Increase per month.

Decrease per month.

Order sanctioning authority.

20

Establishment Permanent.

1 Clerk 20 1 25 23 12

185 Clerks 1(25) 184(20) 3705 - 3705 - 236 Clerks 1(25) 235(20)

11 Duty allowance at Rs 5 55 - 55 - 11 Duty allowance at Rs 5/-

6 Local allowance 1(10) 3(5) 1(2) 30 - 30 7 Local allowance 1(10) 4(5) 1(2) 1(2)

172 Attenders at Rs 10/- 1720 - 1720 - 223 Attenders at Rs 10/-

3 Local allowances at Rs 2 6 - 6 - 4 Local allowances at Rs 2

184 Peons 5(8) 179(7) 1293 - 1293 - 235 Peons 5(8) 180(7)

3 Local allowance at Rs 1/- 3 - 3 - 4 Local allowances at Rs 1

6835 12

Temporary

33 Clerks at Rs 20/- 660 - 660 -

1 Local allowance at Rs 5 5 - 5 -

33 attenders at Rs 10/- 330 - 330 -

1 Local allowance at Rs 2/- 2 - 2 -

33 Peons at Rs 7/- 231 - 231 -

1 Local allowance at Rs 1/- 1 - 1 -

1229

5 23 12 25 - 4725 - 1020

5 - 55 5 - 55

5 - 25 - 5 -

20 - 2230 - 510

5 - 8 - 2

50 - 1656 - 35 -

4 - 4 - 1 -

8720 12 1895 -

660 -

5 -

330 -

2 -

231 -

1 -

1229 -

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension

to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government, Home

thru' the Accountant-General

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

13.11.20

For Director of Public

Home (Hon) Dept

6-3519A

Memo No. 3599A-2

31/1/21

The D.P.I. is informed that the proposals for the strengthening of the subordinate inspecting agency submitted with his letter Rc No. 4266/20 of the 13th November 1920 require the sanction of the Secretary of State ^{under the existing rules}. The Local Govt. are likely to get enhanced powers of sanctioning expenditure from the 1st April 1921. The D.P.I.'s proposals will accordingly be taken up for consideration ^{about} that date.

DESPATCHED
2 FEB. 1921

Rc 8124

The D.P.I. (memo only)

by 27.1.21
MS 27/1/21
Cg 28.1.21

2/1/21

Very urgent.

C.F.—29.

Law / Education / DEPARTMENT.

Received

1 FEB 1921

191

Registered

1 FEB 1921

191

Subject

requesting that for the reasons stated early orders may be passed on the proposals for the employment of additional Sub Assistant Inspectors of Schools.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Ref on Current No. 4 2 6 6 / 20

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 31st January 1921

From (Name) R.G. Grieve, Esquire, M.A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law / Education / Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that you will

be so good as to let me know when orders may be expected on my letter

Ref on Cur No. 4266 of 20 dated 13th November 1920 submitting proposals for the employment of 18 additional Sub Assistant Inspectors of Schools with the necessary establishment and for the permanent continuance of the 33 temporary Sub Assistant Inspectors and their establishments. In view of the accepted policy of abolishing posts of District Assistant Inspectors and in view also of the creation before long of District Educational Councils under the Elementary Education Act, it is desirable that each Inspector should have an adequate staff of Sub Assistant Inspectors under him, and that these should have time to settle down before the changes mentioned above come into force.

Early orders on my proposals are therefore earnestly requested.

I have the honour to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

D. Subrahmanya Chell

For Director of Public Instruction.

n. V. s.

C.F.-29.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.



191

Registered

191

Subject.

6 APR 1921

Requesting sanction of Government for the permanent retention of the 33 temporary posts of Sub Assistant Inspectors.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.C.No. 4266/20.

Station Madras, Dated the 5th April 1921. 191

From (Name) R.G. Grieve Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

HOME (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 3519 A-2 Home (Education) dated 31st January 1921, I have the honour to invite attention to my letter R.C.No. 4266/20 dated 13th November 1920, particularly to paragraph 3 thereof and to request the early sanction of Government for the permanent retention of the 33 temporary ranges of Sub Assistant Inspectors of Schools.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. Subrahmanya Chell

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.K. 4/4.

H O M E (Education) DEPARTMENT.



191

Registered

191

Subject.

Enquiring when the orders of Government may be expected on the proposals for the strengthening of the Subordinate -- Inspecting agency.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Ref. on Current No. 4266 of 1920

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 16th May 1921.

From (Name) R. Littlehales Esq. M. A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 3519A-2 dated 31st January 1921 and in continuation of my letter R.C. No. 4266 of 1920 dated 5th April 1921 I have the honour to enquire when orders may be expected on the proposals for the strengthening of the subordinate inspecting agency which were submitted in this office letter R.C. No. 4266/1920 dated 13-11

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

N. R. Vishnu 14/5/21

for Director of Public Instruction.

SAR.

NOTES.

Strengthening of the Subordinate
inspecting agency

Home (Edu) Dept

C. 3579A - From the D.P.I. R.C. No. 4226/20

13.11.20

Subject Strengthening of the
Subordinate Inspecting
agency

For the reasons explained
para 2-3 of in para 2 of his letter, the D.P.I.
requests sanction for

(i) the permanent
para 3, p. 3 of retention of the 33 ^{temporary} posts of Sub Asst
Inspectors sanctioned in Govt's No. 620
Home (Edu) 11.5.1917 and No. 448
(G)
(E) Home (Edu) 9.4.1919

and

(ii) the creation
of 18 additional posts of Sub Asst
Inspectors with effect from the
1st December 1920.
para 2, p. 3 of

2. The need for the
strengthening of the subordinate
inspecting agency has already
been recognised by the Govt. as will
be seen from para 6 of the draft
letter on the ~~re~~ reorganisation of
the Inspectorate which was to

slip (L)
(in a minute)

No. of ^{public} elementary schools
 on the 31st March
 1919 = 29,938
 Do on the 31st March
 1920 = 30,989
 Strength on the 31st
 March 1919 = 12,49,926
 Do on the 31st March
 1920 = 12,69,012
 P. 34; Supplemental
 Statistics, Vol II of the
 Report on Public Instruction
 (put up)

if also para 3
 of the D.P.I. is taken on
 p. 1 of G. No. 620 (61)
 11.5.17
 not put up
 p. 3 of

have been sent to the Govt of India
 in 1918. With the continuous in-
 crease in the number of ^{and strength in} schools
 there is no doubt that the 33 posts
 of Sub-test Inspectors sanctioned in
 1919 (G.O. No. 448 of 9.4.1919) will have
 to be made permanent if the
 work of inspection is to be done
 satisfactorily. The D.P.I.'s proposal in this
 regard may ^{perhaps} be accepted.
 As regards the 18 additional
 posts proposed by the D.P.I., it may
 be noted that the Govt have recognised
 the principle that the maximum
 number of schools which a
 Sub-test Inspector can look
 after with the ~~aid~~ assistance
 of Supervisors is 150 — para
 14 of the letter to the Govt of India
 No. 853 Est. of 2.12.1911. On this
 basis the D.P.I. estimates that
 18 addl. Sub-test Inspectors
 will be required and he requests
 sanction for the proposal as a
 permanent measure. As the
 D.P.I. considers that there is no
 possibility of the posts ever
 becoming superfluous, it is ruled
 that the proposal may be agreed to.

3 The proposals under Establishment, Travelling allowance etc general follow those sanctioned in Gov's Nos.

(M) 1293 Home (Edn) of 27.9.18 and 448
(E) Home (Edn) of 9.4.1919 and may be appra

4 The D.P.I. considers that at least 20% of the Cadre of Sub Asst Inspectors should be in the first three grades and accordingly recommends that the 18 additional posts be distributed as follows:—

para 4, Pt 3-4 of

4	m	Rs 175
3	"	150
3	"	125
7	"	100
—		
1	m	75
18		

The following statement shows the existing and proposed grading of the Cadre.

Existing scale				Proposed scale			
Grade No	Percent	Temp	Total	Grade No	Percent	Temp	Total
200	5	—	5	200	5	—	5
175	11	—	11	175	15	—	15
150	30	2	32	150	33	2	35
125	37	10	47	125	40	10	50
100	58	35	93	100	85	15	100
75	136	60	192	75	150	47	197
	277	107	384		328	72	400

If the Director's suggestion is accepted, the number of posts in the first three grades will be ^{about} 14% of the total number as against the existing 12%. The proposal seems moderate and may be accepted.


The A.G. states that the proposals would require the sanction of the Secretary of State. ^{It is however understood} that on the introduction of the Reforms the Local Govt. will have to be sent to him for acceptance of the proposals and for making an additional provision of Rs 2,000 in the next year's budget. The Director has indicated the source from which the extra expenditure in the current year can be met.



It may however be remarked that if a time scale of pay is sanctioned for Sub-Asst. Inspectors the question of re-grading is not of much consequence p. 7 cf

p. 4 cf

ibid



Ed. no. 3519A1
11-12-20

9.12.20
M
10/12/20

10/12/20
5
11/12/20

[Signature]

File 1133C

Finance Dept.

The proposal ^(for the creation of) 18 additional posts of Sub Asst. Inspectors of Schools and the permanent retention of the existing 33 temporary posts involves an extra cost of Rs as shown below:-

Extra cost under Establishments	Rs 7,170 pm
" " " fixed C.A.	1275
Total	Rs 8,445 pm
or Rs 1,01,340	
Despatch Savings under temp. Salts.	Rs 5,049 pm or Rs 60,588 pa
Net extra cost	= Rs 3,396 pm or Rs 40,752 pa

9

p. 7 CF

2 Under Existing rules, the scheme requires the sanction of the Secy. of State. It is expected that the Govt. will be given enhanced financial powers in regard to transferred subjects with effect from 1-4-21. The scheme can also, it seems, bear postponement till next year. ~~However~~ A definite decision will also be probably arrived at by that time about the revised rates to be introduced for the several subordinates - cf. para 4 of D.P.'s letter on pages 3-4 CF. For orders whether Home Dept. may be requested to defer consideration of this question till about March 1921. Meanwhile the question of making the additional provision of Rs 7,000 in the C.B.E. for 1921-22 will be noted in connection with the budget for next year.

Till April I think.
 R.H.
 29/12/20.

p. 4 CF

B.S. to note

Recd
 28-12-20.

RSL

he may say at once that no extra expenditure can be accepted for the current year. As regards next year everything will depend on our ways & means it is very likely that we will not be able to afford any extra establishments. We may ask that this proposal be brought forward in April next.


S. H.
 29/12/20

It may be considered in connection

with the budget

20


RSL
1/1/21



Law

11. 11. 3361

3-1-21



20-A

32

11/24.1.21

Law (20) Sept

Submitted for order

4/1/21

5/1/21

4/1/21

I give up the proposals for
Grading as this question will
be covered by the new proposals
about reorganisation of
salaries.

2. I give up the entertainment
of 18 adol hands for the balance
of the current year, as the time
is short one can manage to
shift

3. Can Fiscal sanction
the conversion of the 33 temporary
offices into permanent? I note
that Fiscal will consider with the

budget the creation of 18 additional hands?

6/1/21

July 1921



no 32A-1
6.1.21

File 77C

Finance Dept.

Please see notes from page 4 rule. The permanent retention of the 33 Sub Asst. Inspectors will involve extra expenditure as shown below under ~~per~~ permanent Establishments. (although there will a corresponding reduction under temporary Establishments).

	Extra cost per under pay.
	Rs
33 Sub Asst. - 20 (100); 13 (75)	2975
33 clerks (20)	660
33 attenders (10)	330
33 peons (7)	231

Total Rs 4196 p.m. or
Rs 50,352 p.a.

(This sum will be exceeded if allowances are also included)

2 For purposes of financial rules, the savings under temporary Establishments are not to be taken into account in determining the sanctioning authority and as such the present proposal of the Ed. Dept. requires also the sanction of the Secy. of State under existing rules. Further ~~the sum to be saved~~ it is not clear why the question of permanent retention of these posts

Rule 11(b) on page 4 of the Book of Civil Powers and note 2 hereunder.

should be taken up in advance.

3. For orders whether ~~the~~ Dept. may be requested to defer consideration of this question also till April next.

4. A lump provision of Rs 93,000 has been proposed by the D.P.S. in the next year's budget for additional Sub Asst. Inspectors and Sub Asst. Inspectresses. The provision has to be raised by Rs 7,000 (to Rs 1 lakh) according to the present proposals of the D.P.S. The whole provision is being ~~and~~ treated as an optional item for purposes of next year's budget.

B.S. to note

CRS
22/12

True
13.1.21.


20/1/21

The local Govt can not sanction the conversion of the 33 temporary posts into permanent posts now, but probably will have the power to do so from 1st April. Practically nothing can be gained by going ahead with the proposal before then.

RtL
21/1/21

25 Jan

Ad



Line. v. o. 77C-1
24.1.21.
Es. C. No. 328A
24.1.21

Submitted
CA
24.1.21

This has better be over Lk the

328A

label but the D.P.I. money he
apprised of the situation

W²⁵/1/21

4/11

A draft memo is subd

4/27.1.21

CR
24.1.21

NK
27.1.21

[O.H. N^o 3519A-2 d 31.1.21]
CN^o 431. From the D.P.I., R.O.C N^o 4266 d 31.1.21
" 1866. From the D.P.I., R.R. N^o 4266 d 5.4.21

p. 13 of c.f.

Page 128 of the
Madras Legislative
Council Manual.

The D.P.I. requests early orders on
the proposals for the employment
of additional sub assistant inspectors
and for the ^{permanent} retention of 33 temporary
sub assistants. The local govt have,
from 1st April 1921, been vested with
larger financial powers in respect
of transferred subjects and it appears
that the proposal of the D.P.I. in his
letter on pages 1 to 5 of current file
can now be sanctioned by this govt.
2. The net ~~cost~~ extra cost of the proposal
is Rs 40,752 per annum ~~in~~ (Fin. Dept. note,
page 4 ante) on establishment + fixed

para 5, p. 4 of c.f.

A proposal to employ 3
sub assistant inspectors
(is lying over.)

travelling allowance. Besides this, a sum of Rs 16,000 will be required for ~~for~~ temporary increases of pay + contingencies. In the current year's budget, however, there is only a provision of Rs 4,200 towards the cost of employment of additional sub assistant inspectors and sub assistant inspectresses. It is therefore, not possible to sanction the Director's proposals entirely. As the Director considers that the permanent retention of the 33 temporary officers is more urgent, it is for orders whether it may be sanctioned in advance of the other proposal. The Director may, at the same time, be asked to report how many additional sub asst should be sanctioned in the current year with reference to the restricted provision in the Budget. ~~Before~~

294 A
ASSISTANT SECRETARY
25 APR 1921
GOVT. OF MADRAS

File 19770

A draft order & a no. note to the D.P. are placed below. They may be sent to the Fin. Dept. for acceptance.

1866-1
23.4.21

REGISTRY
23 APR 1921

8th
15.4.21

18.4.21
5

21/4/21

10.4.21
16.4.21
21/4/21

Finance Dept.

Submitted with reference to the Finance notes on pp 7 and 8 anti.

2 It is true that the Local Agents are now competent to sanction the permanent retention of the 33 temporary Sub-assistant Inspectors with this establishment. It is however not known how the enquiry instituted with reference to G.O. no 158 Public of 21.3.1921 would affect this question. For this particular reason, it would seem preferable to postpone the consideration of the permanent retention of this establishment for some time more. For orders whether the Law (Edu) Dept may be requested to adopt this course.

3 For a similar reason, in the W.O. reference to be made to the D.P.I in regard to the utilisation of the budget allotment for addl Sub Inspectors, ~~proposals made~~ the D.P.I may perhaps

(IX)

B

40.
P.S. M.

Placed below the draft order)

C

C be asked to submit proposals for utilising only $\frac{4}{5}$ of the allotment. For orders.



H.T.
3/5/21

L.T.
4/5/21

42.
Pm
L.S.M.

DD Law (Cm) no.

Ed 2358A

Finance v. D. 1977C-1
11-5-21

Law (Ed) Dept.

For orders whether the course suggested in para 2 of the Finance Dept. note above should be adopted. It is a

question of mere simple calculation - so many inspectors and so many schools. It is not known how any reduction is possible so long as the schools are there.

(Please see us note placed below.)

2. With reference to para 3 of the Finance Dept. note, it is submitted that the provision made in the Budget is much less than what was proposed by the D.P.S. for additional sub assistant inspectors & inspectresses. It is very doubtful whether any portion of this restricted provision ~~at~~ can be made available for other purposes. For orders whether the us. note should be modified as suggested by the Finance Dept. or the file may lie over pending receipt of the D.P.S. reply to G.O.

(IX) N-158 Public, dated 21st March 1921

8/2
11-5-21

CR
11/5/21

2358A



13
Let the file lie over till the
revenue question is settled.
I presume that the D.P.'s reply
should have reached (Min; final,
revised) 30/5/21

Submitted

2. The D.P.'s report will
be found at H 35.7 of 80.1229,
Law (Edn) of 1st Sept 1921.

Piquette dis (Y)

It has been decided that no
retrenchment is to be made in
the Edul Dept.

3. ~~Please see A on p 40 and~~
~~and B on p 41 and~~

10.9.21

The file may be sent to Fin
for acceptance of the proposals

(1) That the 33 temporary Sub-
inspectors with their establishment
should be made permanent and (2)
that the D.P. should be asked ^{as in Memo dated} to
renew his proposals for the employ-
ment of additional sub-insp
inspectors so as to keep in column
the budget provision.

42,000 - 1539

1824

Fin 4190C

1719

2 Edn 2358A -

16.9.21

12.9.21

1594

12.9.21

Finance Sec

Finance Dept.

7/10

Please see notes from page 8 ante. The proposals of Law Dept. at X on page 13 ante may be accepted. The sanction for the permanent retention of 33 Sub Asst. Inspectors and their establishments may be registered as No. 277 of 1921-22.

259.24

26921

16.1.21


Law (Edn) Dept.
C4 to su plan.

No real extra cost

Est

Finance v. 41900-1
26-9-21

No



11/10

US.

Since issued

The so. is under time

This may be submitted to Secy for form of initials in the no note future D.P. 9 (before)

12.10.21

13.10.21

15.10.21

no 2

no 5016A-1

17.10.21

on the no. note before 17/10

I and ~~the~~ say the Temporary Sub Asst. Inspectors need just be made permanent.

But for what the Disputation of Divisional Council passed before that there should be more & better Supervision of School, & also Curricula and provision where necessary the Case is Sanctioned -

7/10.21

11/10

Law (Edn) Dept

US.

The draft order and U.O. note may not issue

7.10.21

7.10.21

En 4705-A
27.9.21
28/

M3.

C4

I find in flag of p. 7 printed page - a note that item 29. The post of sub Asst. Inspectors shall be held in suspense. The last page in the printed notes the over is "revised".

22/10/21
 22/10/21
 22/10/21

22/10/21
 22/10/21
 22/10/21



1-42102-21
 1-500-21

Inspector - Sub-assistant -
Permanent retention of 33 temporary
Sanctioned
 READ AGAIN G.O. No. 620, dated 11. 5. 1927
 " " " " 448, " 6. 4. 1929

RE LSO:-
 C. No. 3579, From the D.P.T. Re No. 4266/ dated 13. 11. 1920.
 431 " " " " " " 31. 1. 21.
 " " 1866 " " " " " " 5. 4. 1921.
 " " " " " " " " 192

ORDER. No. 1492 dated 12. 10. 1921.

Mis

22/10/21
 22/10/21
 22/10/21

33 clerk on Rs 20 each per month
 33 attenders on Rs 10
 33 peons on Rs 7

The Govt sanction the permanent retention of the thirtythree temporary posts of Sub assistant inspectors and of their establishments noted in the margin which were sanctioned in G.O. No 620 Home (Estt), dated 11th May 1917, and G.O. No 448 Home (Estt), dated 9th April 1919.

2. This sanction is registered as No 277 of 1921-22.

8x
 15.4.21
 18.4.21

The D.P.T. (9th)
 A.G. through Finance
 Dept.

run for copying — }
 pined — } 192
 amined — }
 when sned — }

G.O. No. _____
 192
 DATED _____

URGENT No. _____

DEURS TO C. No. _____

OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIVTO.

ISSUES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.