

Law (1921) Department.

Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1448

DATED 29th September 1921

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council -

Question - Brahman and Non-Brahman Officers in the Imperial service in Education Department.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

66,193-3-17.

62-19.

NOTES.

3
Legislative Council Office.

Question No. 117 for the meeting of the Legisla-
tive Council to be held on the 21 August 1921.



Submitted that two copies of this Question may be
forwarded to the Law (Education) Department. The
question has been admitted.

Sm 3650 A

L.D.S.

1-8-21

U.O.No. 1980-1 dated 1-8-21

To The Law (Education) Department.

TO MR
18/21

Council Office

Question. 20--6--21.

Munshi Muhammad Abdur Rahman Sahib Bahadur
Law (Education) Department.

117. To ask the Hon'ble the Minister
for Education how many Brahman and non-
Brahman officers have been taken into
the Imperial services in the department of
Education during the regime of the pre-
sent Ministers.

S.S.

Law (Edn)

The question as originally admitted was as
shown in slip (A) & addressed to the Public
Dept.:. When that question was refd. to this
Dept. by Public, it was ^{noted} ~~noted~~ on in detail
and ^{the office note} pointed out that no officers had been
appointed permanently to the D. & S. on
the recommendation of the Ministers and the
answer was appd. by M3

2 It has now been ascertained that
^{subsequently} the question was ~~put~~ ^{put} up and the above as
~~the~~ portion ^{has been sent} ~~sent~~ to the
Education Dept. ^{for the framing of the answer} The answer to the

4/8



question may be as follows
 "No officers have been
 permanently appointed to the Indian
 Educational Service ~~after the 15th~~
~~December 1920~~ on the recommendation
 of the Ministers"

(It is understood that
 the original question
 which contained this Dept.
 notes as with M3)

4.8.21
 4/8/21
 The above
 is not a direct answer like question
 asked viz how many taken in ^{Imperial} his services
 in his department of ~~education~~ ^{secret}
 during his regime of the present ministers
 - ^{Equivalently} Perhaps the words "services" & "ministers"
 used in the plural are mistakes.
 If by "present ministers" he meant M3
 then we can answer "none" however
 "taken in" does not necessarily mean
 "permanently appointed" ... on the
 recommendation of his minister
 I think it will be a correct answer
 to say only "none"

Answer - "None"

M3

Please keep answer
 to L.C. office.
 3650/A-1
 4.8.21
 8/11

Carver
 4.8.21

Approved
 8/11/21
 5 AUG. 1921

592 Q.—Munshi MUHAMMAD ABDOU RAHMAN SAHIB Bahadur: To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for Education how many Brahman and non-Brahman officers have been taken into the Imperial services during the regime of the present Minister in the Department of Education.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

} 192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

• DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A
-----------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DIWTO.

PAYERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.