

Law (1921) Department.
(Education)

ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1414

DATED 26-9-21.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Question - September 1921.

Engineering College, Guindy. — Admission

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



San 3482 A
7-21.

Legislative Council Office.

Question No. 331 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held in August 1921.

Submitted that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Law (Edu.) Department. The question has been admitted.

R.
21/7

L.D.S.
21/7

U.O.NO. 1854-6 dated 21-7-21

To The Law (Education) Department.

3 Council Office,

13th July 1921.

Question.

Mr. T. C. Thangavelu Pillai.

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

560 331. To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for Education --

(a) to furnish a statement showing the number of non-Brahman applicants from each district for admission to the Engineering College, Guindy, Madras;

(b) to state why in the admission to the Engineering College, an overwhelming number of Brahmans are selected; and

(c) whether the Government ^{have} ~~will~~ be pleased to direct that admissions to ~~all~~ backward communities in preference to Brahmans ^{be given} ~~be given~~.

KNV.

Law (Ed.) Dept.

C.No. 3482 A

his

The case may go to the
S.P. 9. in the first instance for
furnishing the required particulars and
for the framing of a draft answer.

(B)

28.7.21
ms
2000

26.7.21

209 (20)

2774

Law (Ed.) No. 3482 A-1
27.7.21

No No 403
30.7.21

U.O. Reply No.

The following is the statement asked for by
the questioner with reference to the current year.
This statement includes Muhammadans, Indian Chris-
tians, Parsees and Anglo-Indians:-

District	Engineering class	Subordinate class
1. Madras	3	1
2. Chingleput	1	3 (RL)
3. Ganjam	1	3
4. Vizagapatam	1	1
5. Godavari	1	6
6. Kistna	1	7
7. Guntur	1	3
8. Nellore	1	2
9. Cuddapah	1	1
10. Anantapur	1	-
11. Bellary	2	-
12. Tanjore	-	1
13. Trichinopoly	4	-
14. Madura	1	-
15. Tinnevely	2 (RL)	-
16. Malabar	7	5
17. South Kanara	2	5
18. South Arcot	3	1
19. North Arcot	-	2
20. Salem	-	1
21. Travancore	11	1
22. Cochin	6	1
23. Hyderabad	-	1
24. Bombay	1	-
25. Ceylon	-	1
26. Coorg	-	1
	49	47

I suggest



Sm 8639 A
C.8m.

District.	Engineering class.	Subordinate class.
21. Travancore.	11	1
22. Cochin.	6	1
23. Hyderabad.	-	1
24. Bombay.	1	-
25. Ceylon.	-	1
26. Coorg.	-	1

(b) The presumption underlying the question is incorrect. In the Engineer class 308 Brahmins applied and 32 were selected; 49 non-Brahmins applied, 12 of whom were ineligible for admission, and 13 out of the remaining 37 were selected.

In the subordinate class 48 out of 120 Brahmin applicants were selected as against 27 out of 47 applicants who were non-Brahmins.

(c) Preference is already being given to students belonging to backward communities.

DESPATCHED
5 AUG 1921

Copied to
Cancell Office
28.9
4/8

CA
21.8.21

M3

3821

2/1/21

2/1/21

2/1/21

Admission to the Engineering College.

560 Q.—Mr. T. C. TANGAVELU PILLAI: To ask the Hon'ble the Minister for Education—

(a) to furnish a statement showing the number of non-Brahman applicants from each district for admission to the Engineering College, Guindy, Madras;

(b) to state why in the admission to the Engineering College an overwhelming number of Brahmans are selected; and

(c) whether the Government cannot direct that admission be given to backward communities in preference to Brahmans.

A.—(a) The following statement gives the particulars required. The statement includes non-Brahman Hindus, Muhammadans, Indian Christians, Parsis and Anglo-Indians:—

District.	Engineering class.	Subordinate class.
1. Madras	3	1
2. Chingleput	1	3
3. Ganjam	1	3
4. Vizagapatam	1	1
5. Godavari	1	6
6. Kistna	1	7
7. Guntur	..	3
8. Nellore	1	2
9. Cuddapah	..	1
10. Anantapur	1	..
11. Bellary	2	..
12. Tanjore	..	1
13. Trichinopoly	4	..
14. Madura	1	..
15. Tinnevely	2	..
16. Malabar	7	5
17. South Kanara	2	5
18. South Arcot	3	1
19. North Arcot	..	2
20. Salem	..	1
21. Travancore	11	1
22. Cochin	6	1
23. Hyderabad	..	1
24. Bombay	1	..
25. Ceylon	..	1
26. Coorg	..	1

(b) The presumption underlying the question is incorrect. In the Engineer class 208 Brahmans applied and 32 were selected; 49 non-Brahmans applied, 12 of whom were ineligible for admission and 13 out of the remaining 37 were selected.

In the Subordinate class 48 out of 120 Brahman applicants were selected as against 27 out of 47 applicants who were non-Brahmans.

(c) Preference is already being given to students belonging to backward communities.

Leg. Council Quashed - Sept. 1921
 Engineering College, Guindy
 - Admission
 Order No. 1414, d. Ke. 26-9-21
 Records
 25/9/21



When given for copying—
When copied—
When examined—
When signed—

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING KEPT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.