

Law (192 /) Department
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. *1400*

DATED *23-8-21*

5

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council-Resolution---Budget---additional Sub-assistant
Inspectors---R e c o r d e d.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ES: C-1155 A
15-3-21
Council office. 8
16/3

14th March 1921.

Resolution on the Budget.

Rao Bahadur A.S. Krishna Rao Partulu

The Law (Education) Department

142. The Finance Department.

145. That in the demand under 31

(b) (ii) Education--General--Inspection,

the grant of Rs. 42,000 proposed for the appointment of additional Sub-Assistant Inspectors be omitted.

C.V.V.

Home (Education) Department

A sum of Rs.41,000/- was provided in the current year's budget for the employment of 10 additional Sub Assistant Inspectors and four Sub Assistant Inspectresses. The employment of four Sub-Assistant Inspectresses has since been sanctioned. In submitting the budget for 1921-22, the D.P.I. proposed a provision of Rs.93,000 for a further addition of 10 Sub-Assistant Inspectors and six Sub-Assistant Inspectresses. Excluding the cost of employment of four Sub Assistant Inspectresses already sanctioned, the balance of the provision suggested by the D.P.I. was .83 lakhs. The Finance dept. ^{has} however cut down the provision to .42 lakh.

2. It has been recognised that the maximum number of schools which a Sub-Asst. Inspector can look after with the assistance of supervisors is 150; but, as will be observed from the statement appended to the Director's letter No.4266, dated 30-11-20 in the line file ^(No I) the number of schools within the jurisdiction of many of the Sub-Assistants is considerably in excess of the above number. The D.P.I. has accordingly proposed the strengthening of the subordinate inspecting staff ^{by} the employment of 18 additional Sub-Asst. Inspectors. He has also proposed the employment of three additional Sub-Assistant Inspectresses to cope with the inspection of the increased ^{ing} number of girls' schools. In the next year, ~~the~~ ^{the} budget a sum of ~~Rs~~ about 8 lakhs will be available for the opening of new elementary schools under local bodies and, when these schools are opened, the inspecting staff will have to be strengthened still further.

3. ^{The} provision of Rs.42,000 made in the next year's budget is sufficient only to meet one half

Para 2 of the D.P.I.'s letter in linked file No I

vide linked file II

Page 132 of the C.O.E.
For opening new elementary schools ^{Rs} 3
Expansion of elementary education and cost of D.P. Educational Councils ^{Lakhs} 6
Deduct cost of D.P. Educational Councils - 1
Net ^{Lakhs} 8

4-2-10

Rao Bahadur A. S. KRISHNA RAO PANTULU :—" Sir, I have the honour to move—
48. That in the demand under 31-E (b) (ii) Education—General—Inspection the grant of Rs. 42,000 proposed for the appointment of additional Sub-
stant Inspectors be omitted (Budget page 140).

What I am anxious to know is about the necessity for that provision notwithstanding the
in the Inspectorate.

I find that a lump provision alone is made. I wish to be satisfied whether any scheme
has already been sanctioned will be given effect to in the budget year. We find a provision
41,000 under the same head in budget estimate of 1920-21 and nothing appears to have
utilised; and again we find a similar provision repeated for the next year, i.e., Rs. 42,000.
Explanation is therefore required why even after one year it has not been found necessary
to incorporate the provision in the general establishment of Sub-Assistant Inspectors. If it
is not found necessary to do so during the course of this one year, what necessity is there for
having a lump provision without incorporating it in the general scheme. In the absence
of that information I take it that there is no immediate necessity for this amount. Therefore
the provision may be deleted and thus a retrenchment effected."

"The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur A. SUBBARAYULU REDDIYAR :—" The number of Sub-
assistant Inspectors to be employed is 18. When these 18 Sub-Assistant Inspectors are added
to the existing staff, we will have a sufficient number to inspect and supervise at the rate of 150
schools per head. This provision is necessary because the 224 supervisors are kept untouched.
We have no certainty as to what the District Educational Councils will work like. We
depend upon their success for absorbing this number. So far as the Sub-Assistant Inspectors
are concerned they are a necessary addition."

Rao Bahadur A. S. KRISHNA RAO PANTULU :—" I am not anxious to proceed with the matter
further. But I expected the Hon'ble the Minister for Education to give an indication as to his
difficulty in incorporating this provision in the general scale. There is this provision made
in the current year, i.e., 1920-21, and we find the lump provision repeated for 1921-22 also.
If the department had any definite scheme ready, I do not know what prevented them from
incorporating this in the general scale. That the lump provision is repeated for two years
without being incorporated in the general scale is a position which requires explanation."

The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur A. SUBBARAYULU REDDIYAR :—" I will personally give the
member the details later on."

Rao Bahadur A. S. KRISHNA RAO :—" I understand from the Honourable Minister that he
will furnish the details later on as he is not ready with them at present. I am not therefore
anxious to press the motion to a division."
The motion was by leave withdrawn.

Order

His

1400 of 23.7.21

Recorded

22-9-21

22-9-21

23/9/21

Dr

Legis Council

Resolutions - Budget

- add Sub
Asst Inspectors

Recorded

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.

193

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Finance has been ~~asked to~~ ^{consulted}
whether he ~~will~~ ^{may} be asked to
revise his proposals so as to keep
the extra cost within the budget
allotment