

Law (1921) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1398

DATED 23-9-1921

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Resolution.

Budget—travelling allowance—recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

L. & M. (iv) 9-500-4-3-21.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OFFICE.



Mr. Brindley

Resolutions on matters of General Public Interest.

SUBJECT.—No. 45

for the meeting of the Legislative

Council to be held on the 21st March 1921.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Resolution may be forwarded to the
The Law Education Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the
rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Resolutions in the
Legislative Council. The Resolution has been admitted. Orders of admission
will follow.

L. D. 8
14/3

U.O. No. 1136-6, dated 14/2/21

Res

Ue

To

The Law Education Department Res No. 45

Council Office.

Resolution on the Budget. 1921-22.

L.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur Venkatramier, A.M.L.

The Law (Educational) Department.

The Finance Department.

45. That the sum of Rs. 2,36,500 provided for travelling allowance in page 140 be reduced to 1,00,000. No 31 E.



Law (Govt) Dept

The budget provision in the current year under travelling allowance for ^{the} Inspecting ^{staff} is Rs 1,77,500/- and the revised estimate is Rs 1,79,500/-. The increased provision of Rs 2,36,500/- made for the next year is in excess of the current year's provision roughly by $33\frac{1}{3}$ per cent and is intended to meet the increased expenditure due to the enhanced rates of travelling allowances admissible to officers under G.O. No. 163 Finance of 18.2.21. The budget provision does not therefore seem susceptible of reduction.


①

2. The resolution may be opposed ^{for former remarks, if any}
 15.3.21 ^{15.3.21} 16/3/21

UO No 1115A-1

16/3/21

U.O. Reply.



I have no further remarks to offer. The above explains the position.

UO No 160

17.3.21

ES. C. No. 1221A

18.3.21

O on 19.3.21

Nad 17.3.21

Submitted

18/3/21

44

Rao Bahadur K. S. VENKATARAMA AYYAR :—" I beg to move the motion which stands in my name as follows :—

46. That the sum of Rs. 2,36,500 provided for travelling allowance on page 140 be reduced to Rs. 1,00,000—No. 31-E.

"My reason in moving this motion is this: I was able to follow the remarks of the Hon'ble the Director of Public Instruction when he said that if each sub-assistant inspector were to spend three or four days in inspecting each school, he would not be able to do the work satisfactorily and inspection would not be complete. I do admit that. But it proceeds on the assumption that he will have to inspect completely all the schools at least once a year. I submit that it is unnecessary that the schools should be inspected once a year. There are so many agencies which could look after the business. If the inspection is made thoroughly by staying three or four days in each village and making the village people understand the value of education and co-operation with the Educational authorities in the matter of education in these rural areas, I think a great deal can be done by these inspectors than by merely going and inspecting the school and passing remarks as to whether the school has been maintaining all benches or the number of planks which would be necessary to maintain the school is sufficient. My idea is that the travelling should be done not merely for the purpose of getting travelling allowances or showing that a large number of schools has been inspected. He will not have the necessary time to stay in the place for doing any useful work. He goes to a place in the morning. He has time only to look after his comforts and inspect the place. Then, again, he leaves it either that evening or the next morning if the travelling is restricted to a certain place. I think there must be sufficient time for him to get in touch with the people of the village and he can do much useful work. The amount of Rs. 2 lakhs and odd provided for travelling allowance is not quite necessary. Of course, I am aware that recently the scale of travelling allowance is increased, and therefore increased provision should be made. I think the inspection should proceed on different lines and on the lines which I have submitted for the consideration of this House."

Mr. A. RAMASWAMI MUDALIYAR :—" The travelling allowance provided for under this head is for all grades of inspecting officers, and as the Honourable Member has himself pointed out in the course of his speech that the rate of travelling allowance has been increased, the additional amount, if placed at our disposal under this item in the budget, will not be just sufficient. As regards the general question whether inspection should be less frequent (which is practically what the Honourable Member's suggestion comes to), that is to say that a school should be inspected once in three or four years, I leave it to the general sense of the House to decide whether it is a feasible suggestion at all. It is possible that if this suggestion is accepted, we may have to enormously increase the cadre of inspecting officers. Then, again, comes the question of finance. Further, to say that it is sufficient that the inspecting officers inspect the schools once in three or four years is an impracticable suggestion."

Rao Bahadur K. S. VENKATARAMA AYYAR :—" I have left the suggestion to the consideration of the Director of Public Instruction and, therefore, I do not press the motion."

The motion was by leave withdrawn.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Order
1378 of 23.9.21
Reserve Council
Resolution Budget
Travelling
allowance
Records
22.9.21
23.9.21
DESPATCHED
20 SEP. 1921
889
Copy to the D.P.
23.9.21