

Law (192/) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. ~~1312~~ 1312

DATED 14th September 1921

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council Resolution---Teachers in secondary schools
increase of pay-- recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

L. & M. (iv) 9-500-4-3-21.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OFFICE.



99
Sum 2478 A
22-7-21

Resolutions on matters of General Public Interest.

SUBJECT.—No. 99
149

for the meeting of the Legislative

Council to be held on the (1st August-1921).

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Resolution may be forwarded to the
Law (Edn) Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the
rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Resolutions in the
Legislative Council. The Resolution has been admitted

admission order for Mr.
L.D.S.
22/7

U.O. No. 1870-4 dated 22-7-21

To

The

Law (Edn)

Department

3
2
Council Office.

15-7-21.

Resolution.

Mr. M. C. Raja.

The Law (Education) Department.

99 ^{That} This Council is of opinion that the sum of one lakh of rupees allotted to schools in the last official year for increasing the pay of teachers in second-ary schools was inadequate and that the benefit of it did not reach all the aided secondary schools in the province and in view of the hardening economic conditions recommends to the Government that the allotment be raised to five lakhs and that that amount be distributed to the schools, in such a manner that every one of the secondary school teachers may have his or her pay increased substantially.

R.B.

Ans

Legislative Council Office, Fort St. George,

23rd July, 1921.



O. No. 1878-1 Leg. C. 23-7-21

Edn 3502 A
m 7. n.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to inform you that your Resolution regarding enhancement of the provision for improving the pay of the secondary school teachers is not admissible as motions for ^{the} appropriation of funds ~~cannot~~ can be made only on the recommendation of the Governor communicated to the Council (Vide Rules 30 and 32 of the Madras Legislative Council Rules).

The Hon'ble the President is, however, prepared to admit the Resolution if recast as shown in the accompanying copy. The revised Resolution is being entered in the ~~revised~~ list of Resolutions, and in the absence of any intimation from you to the contrary it will be assumed that you accept the alteration.

Yours sincerely,

L. S. Swamikanni

Secretary.

To

Mr. R. Ry. M. C. Raja Avargal, M.L.C.
(with a copy of the revised Resolution).

✓
Copy to the Law (Education) Department
(with a revised copy of the Resolution).

10-7-21.

Resolution.

Mr. W. C. C. C.

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

149. That this Council is of opi-

nion that the sum of one lakh of rupees allotted in the last official year for increasing the pay of teachers in secondary schools was inadequate and that the

benefit of it did not reach all aided secondary schools in the province and this Council therefore recommends to the

Government to take action to distribute a sufficient sum to schools and

in such manner as will ensure every secondary school teacher having his or her pay increased substantially.

The sum of one lakh of rupees referred to in the resolution was distributed among aided secondary schools in the Presidency. The circumstances under which that sum was allotted by Govt are briefly explained below.

2. At the meeting of the legislative Council held in February 1920 Mr. P. Siva Rao moves a resolution asking for a provision of Rs 3 lakhs for raising the pay of teachers in secondary schools. Mr. Siva Rao, in his speech on the resolution, referred ^{particularly} ~~to the~~ to the case of teachers in schools under private management. He pointed out that ^{the} ~~the~~ system of ^{grant equal to} ~~half~~ the net cost ~~of~~ of schools authorised by the grant in aid Code should not be applied to the increase of pay of teachers asked for ^{him} & that any grant that the Govt ^{might make} ~~would~~ pay for this purpose should be outside the provisions of that Code. The D.P. I pointed out in his reply that, though ~~it was~~ he agreed that the condition of teachers in these schools might be improved, he was not in favour

Slip (A)

p. 3 of (A)

of any suggestion which deviated to any great extent from the principles of the grant in aid code. He also suggested that the ~~add~~ a portion, at any rate, of the additional amount required to raise the teachers' salaries, should be met by raising the rates of school fees. There was considerable divergence of opinion on this ~~suggestion~~ suggestion among the members of the Council. The Hon'ble Mr Knapp thereupon stated that the question of paying grants outside the provision of the grant in aid code was under consideration + that when a final decision was ^{arrived at} ~~reached~~, a sum of Rs 1 lakh would be given towards the payment of such grants ~~to~~ for raising the pay of teachers in ~~aided~~ aided secondary schools, ~~towards~~ ~~the raising of the salaries of teachers~~.

3. The distribution of this sum of Rs 1 lakh was ordered in G.O. No 1486 dated 11th December 1920. Out of a total ^(in the Presidency) of 255 aided schools in 1919-20, 125 schools received a share of this subvention. The main principles on which the distribution of the amount was based were stated by the D.P.S

p. 7 of (A)

(A) 11/12

(K)

6

as follows: -

- (i) that there has been local effort "on the part of the management to "raise funds,
- "(ii) the teachers in the school, formerly "considered are more poorly paid "than those in other schools,
- "(iii) the schools are good schools, & "therefore deserving of special "aid, &
- "(iv) the schools have not been run "at a profit.

4. Besides this sum of Rs 1 lakh, a sum of Rs 15,000 was also distributed among 25 aided secondary schools for girls - G.O. No 457 dated 28.3.21.

(H)

5. The M.D.C states that the grant distributed last year was inadequate & did not benefit all aided secondary schools, & recommends that a large sum should be devoted for the purpose in the current year. It is submitted that no provision in excess of that spent last year has been provided in the current year's budget. ~~That is, it was not intended that all schools should~~

para 2, p. 4 of
No. 1486 (K)
11.12.20

para 2, p. 4 of
No. 1486 (K)
11.12.20

While admitting the fact that the aid already given was inadequate and did not reach all schools, as it showed, it may be pointed out that the amount available for such purpose being limited in view of the needs of other branches of education, a selection of schools to benefit by the grant had necessarily

~~been made of course, & it was not intended that all schools should~~
benefit by the grant had necessarily

to be made and the amount concerned not 8
 be distributed as a matter of course to all
 schools. The criterion proposed by the D.P.I. indicated on page
~~above was the most acceptable.~~

As explained by the D.P.I. in the Council
 meeting last year, the managers
 of schools were also expected to raise
 funds by local effort towards the
 object in question. Again, the question
 of raising the standard rates of
 fees prescribed for secondary schools
 is separately under consideration.
 If the fees are eventually raised
 it may bring in a larger income to
 managers ~~who~~ who may pay
 better salaries to their teachers.

In these circumstances it is submitted
 that the resolution cannot be accepted.

b. Orders are solicited as to whether
 the resolution should be discussed
 in the cabinet, whether further in-
 formation should be called for &
 as to who will be in charge of the
 resolution.

26.7.21. 27.7.21
 26.7.21. 27.7.21
 26.7.21. 27.7.21

It is clear how the amount
 paid to each school was
 arrived at. 27.7.21

In this connection attention
 is solicited to the former
 Minister (Mr. R. R. Diwan
 Bahadur A. Subbaraya
 Reddiyar's) remarks
 on this subject - p. 2 of C

(K)

The Secretariat has no information as to how the amount was actually arrived at in each case. The principles which governed the distribution are given on page 4 of G.O. No. 1486 of 11.12.20 (para. 2) and the Director obtained the prior approval of Govt. to his scheme - See on pages 7 & 8 of notes with the above G.O. The D.P.D.'s circular to inspectors on pp. 2 & 3 of the G.O. gives some further idea of the method adopted by the D.P.D. in fixing the figures for each school.

ED-NO. 3478A-1
28.7.21.

27.7.21
28.7.21
D.D. No.

U.O. Reply No.

NO NO 405
30.7.21

The amounts paid to each school were arrived at after considering the proposals made by the manager for increase in ^{the} pay of his teachers and the recommendations of the Inspector, with regard to each school separately and were based upon the principles which have already been communicated to Government. It was impossible to give the full grant asked for by each school manager and the amounts which they requested had to be reduced so as not to exceed the total allotment available for the purpose, so as to give those schools which ask for very little the full amount, so as to give

the schools

the schools containing the larger number of teachers larger amounts than those containing the smaller number of teachers and so as to give such amounts as would not be likely to permit of extravagant increases being given in some schools to the detriment of other schools.

Handwritten signature
20.7.21

Handwritten: 28
Stamp: 1 AUG 1921

Handwritten: £m 38 33 A
8.2

Law (252) Dept.

Submitted for orders with reference to para 6 of office - note on page 8 ante

Handwritten: SA
1.8.21.
MS
1/8/21

Handwritten: A
1.8.21

M3

28 21

J.D.D. will take charge of this
valuation -

Handwritten signature

Stamp: SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
-3 AUG-1921
HOME DEPARTMENT

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

The Law (Edn) DEPARTMENT

The following is the result of the debate on Resolution No. 299

Resolution.

Allotment to improve the pay of teachers in secondary schools
99 [149] That this Council is of opinion that the sum of one lakh of rupees allotted in the last official year for increasing the pay of teachers in secondary schools was inadequate and that the benefit of it did not reach all aided secondary schools in the province and this Council therefore recommends to the Government to take action to distribute a sufficient sum to schools and in such manner as will ensure every secondary school teacher having his or her pay increased substantially.

The Hon'ble member not being in his place the resolution was deemed to have been withdrawn.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL OFFICE,

29 1921

K. S. Swamikannu

Secretary to the Legislative Council.

Order 1312 7/14-9-21
Min

Recorded.

8-9-21
8/9/21

Leave Council Room
— Teachers in
Secondary schools —
increase of pay —
Recorded.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No.

192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.