

Law (192 /) Department.
(Ed.)

and / SERIES.

G.O. No. 1264

DATED 9-9-21

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant - Building -- Rs46,993 - Church Mission College,
Tinnevely - sanctioned.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F.—29.

Home (Education) DEPARTMENT.



191 . Registered 7.1.1911

Subject.

Submitting plans and estimates amounting to Rs.79,420/- for the construction of a building for the college classes of the Church Mission College, Tinnevely, and recommending that a grant not exceeding 7/12ths of the total cost may be sanctioned.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Ref. on Current. No. 7662 of 1920.

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 6th January 1921

From (Name) R. G. Grieve, Esq., M. A.,

(Designation) AG. Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.

Sir,
I have the honour to submit herewith the plans bearing Nos.252(A) to (E) with estimates amounting to Rs.79,420/- for the construction of a building for the college classes of the Church Mission College, Tinnevely and to state that the necessity for the proposed work is explained in page 5 of the enclosed application. The plans were referred to the Principal of the Presidency College, for obtaining the opinions of the Professors of Physics and Chemistry. Their criticisms are contained in the Principal's letter R.C. No.443 of 1920 dated 4-9-1920 (Copy enclosed). The Correspondent who was asked to revise the plan with reference to these criticisms states that the necessary revisions have been made, vide the report attached to the estimate. As half the building is to be used for --

science subjects, I recommend that a grant not exceeding 7/12ths of the total cost may be sanctioned, to be paid, when funds become --- available.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

D. Subrahmanyaiah
for Director of Public Instruction.

nar/5-1-21.

Copy of letter R.C.No.443/20 dated 4th September 1920 from the Principal, Presidency College, Madras to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

" In reply to D.O.30-8-20 forwarding plans (herewith returned) for a new building for the College classes of the C.M. College, Tinnevely, for report on the suitability of the portion assigned to Science, I have the honour to quote the remarks of the Professors of Physics and Chemistry.

Physics There is no space allotted for a Mechanics room and workshop in the plan. The Physics room (lecture room) is too near the entrance which is likely to cause unnecessary disturbance from stray students and visitors. There is no possibility of getting sunlight on to the lecture table from the east for demonstration and other purposes (spectroscope work etc) There should be a small room adjoining the Physics and Laboratory for preparation of battery cells etc and storing sundries. It would be better if the science wing is lengthened and the Physics lecture room adjoined the Physics laboratory with possibility of reflecting sunlight on to the working tables from the north and south. The 'light room' is intended as a dark room and Optics room for physics and it is not contiguous to other Physics rooms. Detached rooms as are found in the plan will need more peons and attenders to look after the laboratory and render the supervision of one lecture insufficient. Rooms of any laboratory should adjoin each other and form one block.

(i) 30' x 31' room for Physics laboratory will do for about 24 students leaving sufficient wall space for setting up galvanometers and balance etc.

(ii) a room 28' x 30' will be sufficient for a lecture room with a gallery.

The location of the gas holder and still room and the battery room are not shown in plan.

Chemistry The Chemical laboratory as shown in the plans is suitable for teaching up to the Intermediate standard and can accommodate a maximum of 48 students.

The Chemistry lecture theatre is situated at a rather inconvenient distance from the main laboratory and this seems to be a defect --

defect in the arrangement. It may be remedied by removing the lecture room to where the Physics laboratory is located at present or if that were not possible to where the Physics lecture hall is shown in the plans."

(True copy)

D. Subrahmanyaiah
for Director of Public Works.

E. W. N O T E.

..... No 12 WKA/14-1
5-3-4

I. The Director of Public Instruction submits for sanction of Government drawings numbered as 252 (A) to (E) and detailed estimates amounting to Rs. 79,420 for the construction of a building for the College classes of the Church Mission College at Tinnevely.

II. The drawings and estimate have been examined in the Office of the Chief Engineer and they call for the following remarks:-

(A) Particulars of plans and estimate.

	Rs.
(1) Site plan D.P.I.No.252A.	
(2) Main block D.P.I. No. 252 B & C with flap 252E including architect's fees of Rs.2500 for preparation of plans and estimate.	69500
(3) Tender excess owing to rise in prices of materials at 10%	7220
(4) Fees for a retired Supervisor P.W.D. for supervising execution.	2700
Total Rs.	79420

(B) It is observed that the architect who has signed the plan refuses to take the responsibility for the foundations, the rates etc.,

From the trial pits shown in the site plan D.P.I.No.252 (A) however it is noticed that

(ent. of the 10 ft. of foundations)

that it is proposed to excavate 4 ft.

into hard 'kunker gravel' and replace it

by ~~filled up~~ ^{filling} sand. The Chief Engineer con-

siders ~~this~~ this unnecessary and recommends

that the Superintending Engineer may be

asked to satisfy himself about the suitability of foundations.

(C) Main Block D.P.I.No.252 B & C & 252E.

The Chief Engineer wishes to point out that the dimensions noted on the plan do not correspond with the scale and that if the 20" girder shown in section C C (which is only 12" by scale) is made 24" as shown in (2) below, then the headway under the arch which is only 7' 3" will be still further reduced and ~~may~~ ^{may} interfere with the light of the chemical laboratory.

(2) Girders and joists have been calculated for a live load of 80 lbs. per foot. Attention is invited to Circular No.116-C dated 9-1-1908 issuing instructions for the guidance of ~~educational~~ educational and other bodies in accordance with which a live load of 110 lbs. per square foot should be adopted for class rooms while in the case of the library a total load of 345 lbs. per square foot should have been taken into account. The girders and joists should be redesigned accordingly.

(3) It is also observed that in the cases of all the piers of front rooms and those in the rear wall of mathematics room the pressure at ~~xxxxxx~~ ^{window sill} level exceeds the permissible limit of 4 tons per square foot for brick-in-mortar. All these piers will

will have to be either built with brick-in -
cement or the piers must be redesigned suitably.

(4) The Chief Engineer considers that it
would be desirable to have the library room
on the bottom floor instead of on the top one.

(5) As shown in the longitudinal section
vide D.P.I.No.252 (B) there is hardly any space
for joists to rest on. These are to be placed
immediately over the crown of the arches. With
a view to avoid this, the height to springing
of arch may be reduced from 7' 9" to 6' 9"
or the height of 1st floor raised to about
15' 3".

(6) Calculations in support of the sec-
tions for the members of the iron trusses
carrying the roof are given. From these it
is seen that the section for the principal
T. Rafter $3\frac{1}{2}" \times 3\frac{1}{2}" \times \frac{1}{2}"$ x 11.08 lbs, is
insufficient as the ratio between the free
length of the rafter (one end fixed and the
other free) and the least radius of gyration
exceeds the permissible limit of 120.

(6) As the detailed estimate is based
on current rates the Chief Engineer considers
there will be no necessity for making an
extra lump sum provision of Rs.7220 for rise
in price of materials.

(7) The special provision of Rs.2700 for
engaging a retired supervisor during excava-
tion may not be necessary in addition to the
provision of Rs.1000 under supervision charges
which may be raised to the usual $2\frac{1}{2}\%$ if neces-
sary.

III. With these remarks the plans and estimate of Rs.79420 may be returned for revision.

10/3/21

20/3/21

Handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the right page, including a signature that appears to be "R. S. S." and some illegible text.

Home Con. Dept.

C. No 837B

Name No 837 B. 18th 16th 3rd

With reference to his
Letter Rec. No 7662/20 of the 6th
Jan^y 21, the plans and estimates
for the construction of a building
for the College classes of the Church
Mission College, Tinnervelly, are
returned to the D.P.D. for
resubmission after revision
in accordance with the remarks
contained in the Chief Engineer's
note No 92 Wks A /21-1 27.3.21
(Cops enclosed)

DISPATCHED
10 MAR 1921
with Encls
20/3/21

20

to the D.P.D. (with plans & estimates
& Cops of Encl. note p 42-45)

Rec after 2 months

CR

20
16.3.21

TH
14.3.21

CR
15/3/21

H O M E (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.



191

Registered

191

Subject.

Replying to Government memorandum No.837B-1 dated 16th March 1921 in connection with the construction of a building for the college classes of the Church Mission College, Tinnevely.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Ref. on Cur. No. 7662 of 1920

Spare copies

Station M a d r a s, Dated the 13 th M a y 191From (Name) R. Littlehales Esq., M. A.,(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to your memorandum No.837B-1 dated 16th March 1921 in connection with the construction of a --- building for the college classes of the Church Mission --- College, Tinnevely, I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of the letter No.30 dated 25th April 1921 of the --- Correspondent with the Architect's reply referred to --- therein.

2. The plans and estimates are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Subrahmaniam Chell
for Director of Public Instruction.

SAR..

Letter from the Correspondent, C.M. College, Tinnevely, No. 30 dated 25th April 1921 to the Director of Public Instruction.

Reference your R.C.No.7662/1920 dated 24th March 1921, I have the honour to submit the following:-

1. With regard to the questions of foundations under heading (b) we are prepared to accept sanction for a depth of 6 feet only as suggested by the Chief Engineer. When the foundations are actually dug, we shall invite the Executive Engineer to inspect same and report whether greater depth is necessary.

2. With regard to questions raised under headings (e), (2) (3) & (5) the plans have been submitted to the architect and his reply is attached herewith.

3. With regard to the question under heading (4) I have to submit that it is not uncommon to have libraries on the first floor and that to transfer the library to the ground floor would dislocate the carefully thought out arrangement of lecture rooms laboratories etc.

4. With regard to the question raised under heading (6) I have to bring to your notice that the estimates were made out in 1919 and were based on "Current Rates" of that time. I have to submit that since then cost of labour and of most materials have considerably increased and that the addition of ~~Rs.~~ Rs. 7220/- to the original estimate is fully justified.

I have the honour to request that sanction be granted for the ~~pmi~~ plans and estimates as now revised.

Jackson & Barker
Architects & Civil Engineers,

22 Broadway, Madras,
18th April 1921.

The Church Mission College, Tinnevely, Proposed College.

Architects note on the Chief Engineers No. 92 Wks. dated 8-3-21.

Para 2 Item-b.- If there is a good foundation of kunker gravel the sand filling to trenches is unnecessary. In the revised statement attached to this note, the sand filling has been omitted.

Item-c. The Rolled Steel joists do not come over the arches they

are carried by piers. The height to soffit of arch, 7' 6" will not be affected.

Remark 2:- Girders and joists have been redesigned as per revised details.

Remark 3 :- Provision is now made for building the piers in ~~concrete~~ cement.

Remark 4:- This is a matter of opinion. There appears to be no objection to the Library being on the first floor, except that it imposes heavy loads on a supported floor. The supporting girders and joists consequently have to be of comparatively heavy section such heavier sections are provided in the revised details.

Remark 5:- Height of springing to arches will be reduced by 1 ft.

Remark 6:- The estimates were worked out at rates current in 1919 and we consider that the extra provision of 10% for rise in ---- price of materials is too low rather than excessive.

Remark 7:- We understand that the 2½% referred to by the Chief Engineer is the usual P.W.D. allowance for petty supervision by Maistries and does not include the salary of the Sub-Divisional Officer or his Overseer. In this case, if the work is to be let to contract, a clerk of works on say Rs.150/ per month for 18 months Rs.2700/ will be required; if it is proposed to do the work departmentally, a further provision of 2½% or Rs.1750/- should be included for petty supervision.

A comparative statement showing the deductions from and additions to the original estimate in view of the Chief Engineers remarks is attached.

15-17

/True Copies/

D. Subrahmanya Chell

for Director of Public Instruction.

JACKSON & BARKER,
Architects & Civil Engineers

22, Broadway,
Madras.

THE CHURCH MISSION COLLEGE, TINNEVELLY

PROPOSED COLLEGE

Architects Note on the Chief Engineer's No.92 Wks

Dated 8th March 21

Parah 2, Item b:- If there is a good foundation of kunkur gravel, the sand filling to trenches is unnecessary. In the revised statement attached to this note, the sand filling has been omitted.

Item C:- The Rolled Steel Joists do not come over the arches; they are carried by piers. The height to soffit of arch, 7' 6" will not be affected.

Remark 2:- Girders and Joists have been redesigned as per revised details.

Remark 3:- Provision is now made for building the piers in cement.

Remark 4:- This is a matter of opinion. There appears to be no objection to the Library being on the first floor, except that it imposes heavy loads on a supported floor. The supporting girders and joists consequently have to be of a comparatively heavy sections; such heavier sections are provided in the revised Details.

Remark 5:- Height of springing to arches will be reduced by 1 foot.

Remark 6:- The estimates were worked out at rates current in 1919; and we consider that the extra provision of 10% for rise in price of materials is too low rather than excessive.

Remark 7:- We understand that the 2½% referred to by the Chief Engineer is the usual P.W.D allowance for petty supervision by Maistries and does not include the salary of the Sub-Divisional Officer or his Overseer. In this case, if the work is to be let to contract, a Clerk of Works on say Rs.150 per month for 18 months, Rs.2700, will be required; if it is proposed to do the work departmentally, a further provision of 2½% or Rs.1750 should be included for petty supervision.

THE CHURCH IN WHICH COLLIERIES, TINKERLY

PROMOTED COLLIERIES

Architect's Note on the Chief Engineer's No. 98 Was

Dated 24th March 21

Item 1:-- It is a good foundation of
Kankar gravel, the sand filling to trenches is unnecessary. In the
revised statement attached to this note, the sand filling has been
omitted.
Item 2:-- The Rolled Steel Joists do not come over
the arches; they are carried by piers. The height to soffit of
each "V" 8" will not be affected.
Remark 1:-- Girders and Joists have been redesigned
as per revised details.

Remark 2:-- Provision is now made for building the
piers in cement.
Remark 3:-- This is a matter of opinion. There ap-
pears to be no objection to the library being on the first floor,
except that it imposes heavy loads on a supported floor. The sup-
porting girders and joists consequently have to be of a compara-
tively heavy section; such heavier sections are provided in the
revised details.
Remark 4:-- Height of springing to arches will be
reduced by 1 foot.

Remark 5:-- The estimates were worked out at rates
current in 1915; and we consider that the extra provision of 10%
for rise in price of materials is too low rather than excessive.
Remark 6:-- We understand that the C.E. referred to
by the Chief Engineer is the Annual P.W.D. allowance for petty super-
vision by Materials and does not include the salary of the Sub-
divisional Officer or his Overseer. In this case, if the work is
to be let to contract, a Clerk of Works on say Rs. 150 per month
for 12 months, Rs. 1800 will be required; if it is proposed to
do the work departmentally, a further provision of Rs. 500 or Rs. 1250
should be included for petty supervision.

14 12
A comparative statement showing the deductions from
and additions to the original estimate revised in view of the Chief
Engineers remarks is attached.

18th April 1921

Jackson & Baker
Architects & Civil Engineers

E. W. Note.

No. 1123 Wks.A/21-1 dated 9th August 1921.

The Director of Public Instruction re-submits, for sanction, the plans and estimate of Rs 31,320 for constructing Church Mission College at Tinneyally, with the Architects' (Messrs. Jackson & Barker) replies to the remarks in the Chief Engineer's note No. 92 Wks.A/21-1 dated 3-3-1921.

II. The plans and estimate have been examined with reference to para iii of G.O. No. 1532 Home (Educational), dated 20th December 1920, and the remarks thereon are summarised below.-

(a) Particulars of plans and estimate.--

(1) Site plan D.P.I.No.252A	
(2) Main block D.P.I.No.252B & C with correction slip D.P.I.No.252-E	71,400
(includes a sum of Rs 2500 as fees for preparation of plans and estimate)	
(3) Extra owing to rise in prices of materials about 10 %	7,220
(4) Charges for engaging a retired Supervisor for 18 months at Rs 150 per mensem besides the supervision charges of Rs 1000 included in the amount of Rs 71400	2,700
Total Rs	81,320

(b) The depth of foundations has now been reduced from 10' to 6' in the estimate by cutting out the 4' of sand under concrete though the plans D.P.I.No.252 B & C have not been corrected

checked by C.E.
Rs 80,580
Public Works

(X)

accordingly. The site plan D.P.I. No.252-A showing the results of trial pits has still to be signed by the Architects or a qualified Engineer. The Supg.Engineer is requested to examine the site before work is started and ~~not~~ satisfy himself as regards the suitability of foundations with reference to the nature of the soil and sub soil at site. The site plan does not show the levels of the ground at the site of the proposed building. The levels of the road, and the floors of the existing building in the vicinity, have not also been shown. The suitability of the basement level proposed in the case of the new block should also be examined by the Supg.Engr.

(c) The plans D.P.I. No.252 B & C have not been corrected with reference to the remarks made in para **II** (c) of the E.W. Note No. 92 Wks/A/21 dated 8-3-1921. The Architects say that the height of ~~xx~~ 7' 6" to soffit of arches (section C C) D.P.I. No.252B) will not be affected whereas the height ~~xx~~ to the soffit of arches according to the estimate is 11' 3 1/4" (7' 9" to springing + 3' 6 1/4" rise for semi-circular arch). If as shown on section CC, ~~xxx~~ D.P.I. No.252 B and as stated now by the Architects the height to the soffit of arches in the chemical laboratory be only 7' 6" then there may not be sufficient light for the laboratory. If on the other hand, semi-circular arched openings are ~~x~~ intended as provided in the estimate, the plan requires correction. The stability of the abutments of all

all arches requires careful examination. The arches over the openings in the main wall of the chemical laboratory (D.P.I. No. 252 B) carry walls of the first floor and it would be desirable therefore to substitute lintels over these openings at a suitable height as these will also allow more light for the laboratory work. The Architects should satisfy themselves as regards the adequacy of the sections of the members of roof trusses and timber scantlings.

(d) The section B.B. D.P.I. No. 252 C ~~am~~ shows that the walls in the first floor are ~~centrally~~ ^{centrally} located. If this be so then the width of the rooms in the first floor should only be 22'-4" against 22' 6 1/2" shown in First floor ~~xx~~ plan D.P.I. No. 252 C.

(e) All verandah piers should be built with specially selected bricks as the pressure anticipated is about 4.15 tons per square foot.

(f) The following are some of the differences noticed during the general examination of the estimate and plans.

(1) The plan D.P.I. ~~252~~ ²⁵² B shows only 41 windows in the ground floor and 12 doors in the first floor against 44 x 14 in the estimate pages 19 and 20 thereof.

(2) In deductions under brick work, 88 windows and 31 doors in all in both the floors are accounted for on pages 19 and 20 of the estimate while under windows and doors items
page page

4. 15 18
page 25 of estimate, there are 35 windows and 29 doors.

(3) The number of ventilators on pages 19 and 20 of estimate is 112 against 114 on page 26 thereof.

(4) The total number of semi-circular arched openings in the ground floor according to the estimate page 19, is 21 and the span of 20 of these is 7' 6" while that of the remaining one is 7'; whereas as shown on the plan D.P.I. No. 252 B, three of these have a span of 7' 11", 17 of 7' 6" and one of 6' (the opening in rear verandah passage). In section B B, however, no arch is shown over this 6' opening.

(5) The opening marked R in red chalk on D.P.I. No. 252 B is a rectangular one. The section BB agrees with the plan in this respect while an arch has been shown on flap D.P.I. No. 252 B. This opening may preferably be spanned by a lintel and the ^{height} ~~span~~ 3' 4" next to ~~the~~ this increased suitably to take the thrust of the arch of 7' 6" span.

(6) There are only 2 semi-circular arched openings in staircase room first floor according to plan D.P.I. No. 252 C while in the estimate page 20, the number of openings is three.

(7) There are only 2 openings of 4' 9" span in the rear verandah first floor D.P. No. 252 C against 3 in the estimate page 21.

(8) The rate ~~at~~ for flooring with cement mortar is Rs 15-15-11 or Rs 16 in the data while it is only Rs 15 in the estimate on pages 36 & 39 thereof. It is stated in page 37 of estimate

5 16 19
that the local rate for iron trusses is Rs 322-8-0 as per details, while no details are available.

(g) The thickness of cutstone lintels over ventilators should be increased to 6"

(h) The sizes of cut stone templates under girders should be enlarged suitably in order that the pressure on the brick masonry immediately under the templates, does not exceed 4 tons per square foot. No slope for terraced roof is shown on plan and no provision in the estimate for camber piece.

(i) The rates of Rs6-8-0 and ~~xx~~ Rs0-7-0 ~~xxx~~ for teakwood wrought and put up and W 1. work respectively are considered high when compared with the rates of Rs5-6-0 and Rs0-3-0 in the local Fund schedule (1920-1921). The rates should be revised with reference to the market rates at the time of purchase. The rate of Rs1-4-0 per square foot of ~~xxx~~ teak boarded floor should also be revised accordingly. The rate of Rs35 for terrace flooring with 1 course of flat ~~fix~~ tiles ceiling only plastered is also high when compared with the rate of Rs39 for 2 courses of flat tiles ~~xxx~~ ceiling and top plastered 2 coats. The rate of Rs39 according to the data, page 37 of estimate, is for terrace roofing with 2 courses of flat tiles while in the abstract sheet page 4 of estimate the rate is for terrace roofing with 3 courses of flat tiles.

6. 90
(j) The rate for Mangalore tiled roofing with flat & tiles and air spaces should be only Rs36-14-0 according to the Local Fund Schedule against Rs38-14-0 in the data page 37 of estimate.

(k) As the plans are not original designs of the Architects but only revision of designs furnished by the College authorities, the Architects fee has been passed for 2½ % instd instead of 3½ % provided in the estimate. The amount of the estimate has consequently been reduced from Rs81320 to Rs80560.

III. The designs have been examined by a recognised firm of Architects and therefore, the responsibility for structural stability rests with the firm.

IV. With these remarks the plans and estimate of Rs80560 as corrected in this office, are recommended for sanction.

F.E.M.
2-8-21.

(sd) W. H.
6.8.21.

200
11.8.21

6713
46,913

Law (Eon), Sep 16

Subject Grants towards the construction of
a building for the Church Mission
College, Trinnevelly.

(C no 7813) from the D.P.D. no 7662/20 of 6.1.21

(Plan kept back being
unwell)

X

Submitted the plans and estimated
may in the first instance be sent to the
P.W.D. for Scrutiny by Chief Engineer. Vide
para 2 (iii) of G.O. no 1582 of 20.12.19.

as
11.1.21

CA
12.1.21

P.W.D. no

P.W.D. with plans
and estimate

G.O. no 7813-1 Eon.
14.1.21

Back the plan
for P.W.D.

pp 42-45 of.

P.W.D.

Note by the Chief Engineer No. 92 Wko/21-1 of 6.3.21.

SECTION

DS.

14 JAN 1921

QUARTER NUMBER

92

The plans and estimates have been
scrutinised by the Chief Engineer, and his
remarks are contained in his note read
above. The file may now be returned
to Law (Eon) for necessary action.

901
10/1/21

12 MAR 1921

2.3.21
P.W.D.
Eon

Law (Edm)

P.W.D.
10/1/21

P.W.D. no 92 Wko A/c-2


(With
plans & estimate)

ES.C. no 83703

12 MAR 1921
3.21

Hour Day Depth

The plans and estimates may be returned for revision as suggested by the Chief Engineer in his note of 16.4.25 Cf. A draft memo is Sub 2



as 14.3.21
14.3.21

1573/21

p. 9 CF [Memo no. 837B-1 Ed. 2, 16.3.21]

p. 10 CF [C. no. 1600/3] from the P.D. no 7662/20, 13.5.21

Please see the D.P.D. letter of 10.7.21. The remarks of P.W.D. may be invited w.o.

as 17.5.21
17.5.21

1707/21


no 1600 B-1 Ed. 2
20.5.21

P.W.D. no

P.W.D.

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. no 1123 of 24.8.21

85



Before...
made...
made...

The revised plans and estimates have been once again scrutinised by the Chief Engineer and his remarks are contained in his note read above. The file may now be returned to the Law (Education) Dept. V.O.

1923770
P.W.V.O. no 1123 of 12.8.21

Law (Educ) no. 11/2

u.

Draft order submitted which may go to the Finance dept. for acceptance. The grant recommended by the D.P.J. is $\frac{1}{2}$ of the total cost ^{as half the buildings are for scientific work}. There is a provision of -11.1-2 CF.

Rs 83,500 in the budget estimate for 1921-22 under 31A(xii) Education - Grants to Non-Govt Arts Colleges - building grants. It is not likely that ^{any} grant will be required for payment towards the construction of the buildings in the present case during the current year, as no appreciable progress in the work can be expected.

16.8.21 $\frac{9}{1875/21}$

I believe usually half the cost is paid as grant. Any rule to say that $\frac{2}{12}$ the cost is to be granted in the case of buildings set apart for scientific work.

88
20824

Please see Rule 54 of the Grant-in-Aid Code. Buildings for scientific purposes can be given grants not exceeding two-thirds of the cost.

16.8.21

(y. v. N.E.D.
16.8.21)

52821

J Finance &
Household

Law (55) No. 1600 B-2
22.8.21


Since 5698 B
7/9 23-8.21



Since 5698 B-1
27.11



En 2507 B
2.9.21



Finance Dept.

~~Submitted~~ Please see
the Law (Education) Dept.
notes on page 3-4 above. The
draft order may be accepted.
The grant is to be paid only
when funds become available.

W.
24.8.21

Law

Law (Edn) Dept
Draft may issue

Lk.
3.9.21

A
5/9/21 6921

M3

7/9.21

Grant - Building.

Rs. 46, 993 - Church Mission

College, Tinnevely - sanctioned.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, dated _____ 191

" " " " "

191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 7873, From the D.P.O., No. 71124, dated 6-1-21 191

II no diagram

Memo: to the D.P.J N. 8372-1 of 16.3.21

191

PL 100-7 Form 1-1-64 Rel. 10-1-64 ¹⁰⁻¹⁻⁶⁴ Gen. No. 7662/20 Q 13.5.

191

15-2014-F

(237715) Note by the chief Engineer, R.: 1123 hrs/A/21-1 of 9-8

ORDER. No. 1264, dated 9-9-192

mis

The Govt: are pro

to sanction a grant not

two chgs

exceeding seven - ~~seven~~

10. actual expenditure 97

one

Rs. 46, 993, which is the

... .. H₂ cont. in construe

towards the ...

a building for the college

class in the church Miss

222

college, Tinnevely, subject

12. Working conditions :-

the following:

(1) that, in carrying

12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839. 840. 841. 842. 843. 844. 845. 846. 847. 84

the work, the energy

of the Chief Engineer in

1122 b7c-101

his note N. 117 N. 118/119

pp. 15-20 of 9th August 1921, and

ado

(123) mod

published - 1921

adopted; and

② that all the conditions prescribed in the grant-in-Aid code ~~have~~ been duly complied with.

2 On the above conditions being fulfilled, the grant will be paid as funds become available.

car
15.8.21
18.8.21
19.8.21

3.

The D.P.J. (with plans & estimates + copy of C.S. note)

A. G. (Hon' Finance)

Finance Dept

P. M. J.

Cont. of Timoruly.

2.8.21
9.9.21

14/9

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

192

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 192

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DERIVATIVE IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.