

Home (192 /) Department.

(Concussion)

Ordinary SERIES.

Letter
G.O. No. / 25

DATED 26-1-21

[Despatch Abstract.]

Civil Orphan Asylum, Madras---Alienation of the property at
Kilpauk occupied by the C.O.A---Powers of the Directors
and the Government--communicated to the Secretary.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Sept. 11-9-3-17.

DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

Current No.	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.

[P.T.O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS.]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

Stat. II-8-6,001-25-9-18

CURRENT No. 52102

From The Hon. Secy. Madras Civil Orphan Asylums

Received 22. 10. 1910. Registered 22. 10. 1910

No. 292/20


OFFICE OF THE HONORARY SECRETARY,
MADRAS CIVIL ORPHAN ASYLUMS,

Kilpauk, 19th October 1920.

To,

The Secretary to Government,

REVENUE DEPARTMENT. Madras.



I have the honour to enquire whether the land
and the buildings of the Civil Orphan Asylums Madras
are the properties of the Directors of the Institution
or whether they are held in trust on behalf of Government:

The favour of an early reply is requested, as the
matter is urgent.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

Arthur P. S. S.

Hon. Secretary

Civil Orphan Asylums.

A.T. m. 17/10
22/10

DESPATCHED

P.C.

2 OCT 1920

Please Remind
P.C.
S.P.

Reminded on 8.11.20
Ca
S.P.

Desp'd
15/11
22.10.20

Telephone message of 22-10-20

From the Under-Secretary Govt.
to the Collector of Madras

Will you kindly let me know
whether the land and buildings
of the Civil Asylum, Madras,
are the property of the Directors
of the Institution or of the Govt
held in trust? Please quote the
Act, or if any, bearing on the
subject.

Am
22/10

Reminder t.m.

To the Collector of Madras

Will you kindly let me know
when a reply may be expected
to my telephone message of the
22nd October regarding the land
and buildings of the Civil Asylum,
Madras?

Am
15/11

A.T. m. 14/11


Desp'd
15/11
15.11.20

3 (not delivered)
Post copy of telephone message dated 19-11-1920

from the Collector of Madras to the Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras.

per supra

Reference your telephone message dated 22-10-1920 and
under the date dated 15-11-1920 regarding Civil Orphan
Asylum.



The Superintendent of the Civil Orphan
Asylum has stated in a letter to the Land Records Tahsil-
dar, Madras that the land and buildings now occupied by
the Asylum at Kilpauk were made over by Government to the
Directors of the Institution free of all charges ^{by} Governmen
in G.O. No.252 dated 26-8-1871. This G.O. may throw
light on the matter. It is not forth-coming in my
office.

This is
not
correct

(S)

20 NOV 1920

A. Tottenham

M.K./20-11-20/P

20.11.20
Collector.

Home (Edm) Dept

[C. 2473 B.]

memo: No. 2473 B-8
8.12.20

The Hon'ble the Advocate-
general is requested to be
so good as to furnish the
Govt. at a very early date
with his opinion on the
question ~~raised~~ ^{below} ~~as to the necessity~~
~~for a separate~~.

(B)

2. In G.O. 74
Ext. of 29.1.1904, this Govt.
gave effect to the amalga-
mation of the late Military
Female Orphan Asylum
with the Lawrence Asylum
at Orsecamund on certain
terms and conditions. In
accordance with one of these,
the lands and buildings
in Kilpauk, of the value of
a lakh and a quarter of
rupees, ^{belonging to} ~~then occupied by~~ the
Military Orphan Asylum, ^{were} ~~was~~
handed over to the Civil
Orphan Asylums on the under-

understanding that the
establishment of the latter
~~shall~~ be increased by thirty
girls. By a notification
issued in G.O. 212 E.D. of 5.4.04, (C)
as amended by G.O. 289 E.D. of (Y)
25.6.1904, all the properties
of the Military Orphan Asylum
were vested in the Treasurer
of Charitable Endowments;
and according to condition 1
of the Scheme of Management,
the land and buildings, then
belonging to that Asylum, were
permitted to be used and
administered by the Directors
of the ^{Madras} Civil Orphan Asylums
for any of the purposes duly authorised by the
rules made by the Government and
upon the condition that they
should admit, maintain
and educate ^(additional) thirty girls.
Negotiations are now being conducted
~~carried out~~ for the amalgamation
of the C.O.A. and the St.
George's Homes, ^{at Ketch} and a
question has ~~now~~ arisen as to
~~what is to be decided is~~
whether the land and
buildings above referred to
are the properties of the Directors

p. 9 n (C)

ceased to exist in 1904. The
question now is who is competent
to alienate the land and buildings
occupied by the Civil Orphan
Asylum and what procedure
should be adopted in order to
effect a valid conveyance.

Ld
7.12.20

R after 3 weeks.

Raj

To

The Govt. Solicitor (with copies
to G.O. 74/04, 212/04 & 384/04 7.12.20
to be sent with reply)

DESPATCHED
8/12/20

withdwn
8/12/20

Ld
7.12.20

Directors of the C.O.A. and
whether they are entitled to
sell them. The ~~C.O.A.~~ Directors are
not absolute owners of the
property as they hold it
subject to a condition that
they maintain ^{thirty} girls. If the
C.O.A. close down, this
condition will not be fulfilled
and its right to hold the
property will cease. ~~The~~
original owner, the late
Military Female Orphan
Asylum, ~~was~~ in existence,
the Govt. could, under section
5(2) of the Charitable Endow-
ments Act, 1890, alter the
scheme of management with
its consent. But as that
Asylum is not only no more
but has left no successor,
it is not clear what the
proper course is for the disposal
of the property in question
~~would be probably necessary~~
to obtain the sanction of
the court in order to
alienate the property.

8

7

Law (Econ.) Dept

Reminder

memo: No. 2473 B-4
4.1.21

The Advocate-General
is requested to ^{be so good as to} exp. ite his
opinion on the question of
the alienation of the lands
and buildings occupied by
the C.O.A., Madras, called
for in Memo: No. 2473 B-3,
Home (Econ.) of 8.12.20.

pp. 4-6 etc

DESPATCHED
4 JAN. 1921

To

The Govt. Solicitor


COV
3.1.21

2nd
4.1.21

Th.
3.1.21.

No.4


OPINION.



Re: Memorandum No.2473-B

dated 8th December 1920

Home (Educational) Department.



--:0:--

(C)

Fr. 390
25.6.24

In pursuance of G.O. No.74, Educational, dated 29th January 1904, a formal application under Section 4 of the Charitable Endowments Act was made by the Governors of the Military Orphan Asylum and by G.O. No.212, dated the 5th of April 1904, the land and buildings known as -- the Madras Military Female Orphan Asylum and certain other properties -- were (as finally decided) from the 1st of October 1904 vested in the -- Treasurer of Charitable Endowments upon the Trusts and conditions set -- forth in a scheme annexed to the notification. The scheme referred to -- above was to come into operation on the vesting of the properties on the aforesaid date. The result of these orders is that the property in -- Kilpauk which is the subject of the reference has been, by notification under Sec.4 of Act VI of 1890, vested in the Treasurer of Charitable -- Endowments who, under Sec.3(2) of the same Act has been constituted a -- Corporation sole.

The vesting of any property in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments is entirely unconnected with the settlement of a scheme for the administration of the property which is dealt with by Sec.5 of the Act; and, indeed, under Section 8(1), the Treasurer of Charitable -- Endowments cannot act in the administration of any trust. By virtue of Sec.10, property vested in the Treasurer may be transferred by him in obedience to a decree divesting him of the property or in compliance with the directions in that behalf issuing from the authority by whose order the property became vested in him, i.e., in the present case, from the Local Government.

Under Sub-cl. 2 of the same section the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments may be empowered to sell or otherwise dispose of the property vested in him. The Directors of the Madras Civil Orphan Asylum are not -- the owners of the property in the legal sense the ownership being vested

in the Treasurer. Thus, therefore, a direction may issue from the
Local Government empowering the Treasurer to alienate the
property for the purposes contemplated.

C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar
Advocate General.

Re: Memorandum No. 2473-13
dated 8th December 1920
Home (Educational) Department
M a d r a s ,
5th Janry. '21.
S.C.

(True Copy)

Henry Dwyer

Government Solicitor.

In pursuance of G.O. No. 14, Educational, dated 28th January 1904, a scheme was made by the Government of the Madras Military Orphan Asylum and by G.O. No. 212, dated the 5th of April 1904, the land and buildings known as the Madras Military Orphan Asylum and certain other properties were (as finally decided) from the 1st of October 1904 vested in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments upon the trusts and conditions set forth in a scheme annexed to the notification. The scheme referred to above was to come into operation on the vesting of the properties on the aforesaid date. The result of these orders is that the property in Alipark which is the subject of the reference has been, by notification under Sec. 4 of Act VI of 1890, vested in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments who, under Sec. 3(2) of the same Act has been constituted Corporation sole.

The vesting of any property in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments is entirely unconnected with the settlement of a scheme for the administration of the property which is dealt with by Sec. 5 of the Act; and, indeed, under Section 8(1), the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments cannot act in the administration of any trust. By virtue of Sec. 10, property vested in the Treasurer may be transferred by him in obedience to a decree divesting him of the property or in compliance with the directions in that behalf issued from the authority by whose order the property became vested in him, i.e., in the present case, from the Local Government.

Under Sub-cl. 2 of the same section the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments may be empowered to sell or otherwise dispose of the property vested in him. The Directors of the Madras Civil Orphan Asylum are not the owners of the property in the legal sense the ownership being vested

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

FROM

HENRY BRIGHTWELL,

GOVERNMENT SOLICITOR,

M A D R A S.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT,

M A D R A S.

Dated 5th January 1921

Received

No. 1650

Forwarded with enclosures with
reference to the Memorandum of
Government No. 2473-B-3 dated -
the 8th December 1920 Home --
(Education) Department.

Henry Brightwell

GOVERNMENT SOLICITOR.

Previous Papers

8. 175 22 8 12. 3. 06
.. 397 .. 8 9. 6. 05 (mis)
.. 390 .. 8 25. 6. 04
.. 389 .. 8 25. 6. 04
.. 212 .. 8 5. 4. 04
.. 74 .. 8 29. 1. 04
.. 326 .. 8 6. 7. 03
.. 263 .. 8 1. 6. 03
.. 252 .. 8 26. 8. 1871
.. 116 .. 8 6. 5. 1871
.. 120 .. 8 15. 4. 1880 (mis)
.. 45 .. 8 8. 2. 1877 (do)
.. 23 .. 8 25. 1. 1877
.. 400 .. 8 12. 10. 1876
.. 485 .. 8 14. 12. 1876
.. 373 .. 8 21. 9. 76
.. 184 .. 8 16. 5. 76
.. 356 .. 8 28. 10. 75
.. 253 .. 8 20. 7. 74

[C No 52108/20]

Civil Orphan Asylum building
- Madras

To Under Secy

The land and buildings
now occupied by the Civil Orphan
Asylums Madras were made over
to the Directors of the Institution
in G.O. No 252 Edu. of 26-8-1871
Subject to the Conditions stipulated
in G.O. 116 Edu of 6-5-1871
G.O. No 116 Edu of 6-5-1871 is
not available. The Supdt. M.R.O.
states that the original of G.O.
252 Edu of 26-8-1871 with some
connected papers were furnished
to Supdt Educational at Coim on
5-9-1920. - Vide T.M. flagged (R)
The Home (Edu) Deptt. may
be requested to reply to the letter
in the C.F.

(S)

Referred to in
G.O. in (S)

to copy at flag (D)

A

P.H.
26.11.20

G.M.
28.11.20

I think the reference should
be placed in the Revenue
as it is a matter dealing with
land.

Will Home (Edu) please supply

B ✓

The papers referred to at A above.
The matter is specially urgent.

Home (Edm) U.O. ^{Edm 2/12/20}

Home (Edl) dept.

B Please see G.O. 74 Edl of 29.1.1904
C & 212 Edl of 5.4.04.

The Civil Orphan Asylum is now occupying the property of the late Military Female Orphan Asylum which was amalgamated in 1904 with the Lawrence Asylum, Dotacamund. The property is vested in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments. ~~under the administration of the Committee of the Lawrence Asylum~~
~~which was transferred to the Civil Asylum~~

vide item 1 in the list
on pp 1220-1 of Part II of
the F.S.C. Gazette of 13.7.20.

Lil
2-12-20

Rev. U.O.

Copy at (D)

(A)

^{original}
S/G.O. 116 has now been obtained from
^{6.5.1871}
Home dept. The land originally used for the Military male orphan asylum was offered for the use of the Civil Orphan Asylum on certain conditions. No ground rent was reserved. Under the 3rd condition the Civil Asylum authorities were permitted to sell certain portions of the

Orphan asylums; that the question of the
administration of the trust & the disposal of
the buildings in accordance with the purposes
the trust is a matter for Home
& that the dept. are not concerned with
matter

3122

In the circumstances it is I think
for Home Educational to obtain
orders on the question. It would
seem to be permissible for the
trustees to sell the property if
it is for the advantage of the
trust, but with reference to
the Advocate General's opinion of
July 2 of G.O. no 44 Educational
dated 29.1.04 it may possibly
be necessary for Government to
join in the conveyance in order
to give the purchaser a clear
title. The Advocate General
may perhaps be further consulted
|| H.M. Rev. should see phrase



Rev no 52102/201
312-20
ES. C. O. 2474B
4.12.20.
Sp (B)
3/12

Home Educ. A.O. 3/12/20

17
 pp. 5-622E



File 5973 B.
 30.11.20
 Madras.
 30th Nov. 20.

My dear Graham,
 I have not seen it. I understand from A.P. Symonds that the C.O.A. has made a reference to Government asking to be informed whether they are entitled to sell their property in Kilpauk.

I suppose the reference will be in the Financial Department.

The negotiations for the amalgamation of the C.O.A. and the St. George's Homes are held up for want of this information. I wonder if you could very kindly let

Financial Department


The file in question does not seem to have been received in this ^{Dept} office. The Home (C.O.A.) deptt may be requested to send the file for perusal, if it is with them

1.12.20
 1.12.20

File No. 5973 B-1
 2.12.20
 S. C. 2461 B
 2.12.20


Home ltr. 11/12/20





Home Roy no. no
246107

3-12-20
ES. C. no. 2473 B
3-12-20.



X (B. 2) - 11.1-4 NF+CF
4/12

Rev. v. o. 3/12/20

3.12.20 the file has been returned to Home today.

3/12/20

Home (ES) Dept

Please see the Revenue (and p. 3 CF) Dept. notes on pp. 2-4 ante. There appears to be some misapprehension in the matter. The position appears to be as under:-

In G.O. 116 Est. of 6.5.1871 the land originally used for the Military Male Orphan Asylum was offered for the use of the civil orphan Asylums on certain conditions. The conditions were accepted by the Directors of the civil orphan Asylums; and in G.O. 252 Est. of 26.8.1871, the buildings (at Egmore) were made over to the civil orphan Asylums on

(D)

(S)

A

8. 268 (J)
1.6.03

p. 2 of 8. 74 (B)
29.1.04

p. 9+13 of 8. 74 (B)
29.1.04

19 8
on the conditions already agreed upon, with ^{certain} ~~the~~ addl. conditions. In 1903, the Govt. understood that the Directors of these Asylums were considering the sale of the buildings to the South Indian Railway ^{for} ~~and~~ ^{a little more than} ~~about~~ 2 lakhs of rupees and that they were negotiating for the purchase of another property for the accommodation of the Asylums. The Govt. having ^{had} ~~some~~ doubts whether the Directors could sell the buildings without reference to Govt, they consulted the Advocate General who gave his opinion that the transfer effected in 1871 was a complete one, though no conveyance ^{deed} ~~was~~ executed, and that the Directors as Trustees for the Asylum had power to sell the property. As the S. Indian Railway, about the beginning of 1904, pressed the Directors for the handing over of the Egmore buildings to them, the Govt. had to consider very urgently the provision

provision of other ^{and} suitable residence for the Asylums. They ^{thereupon} ~~thereupon~~ decided to give effect to the project of amalgamation of the Military Female Orphan Asylum with the Lawrence Asylum at Botacemund. After consultation with the Directors of the Asylum, the Govt. issued orders transferring the Military Female Orphan Asylum as a whole with its income to the Lawrence Asylum at Botacemund, and handing over the lands and buildings ^{valued at 1 1/4 lakhs} in Kilpauk occupied by the Military Female Orphan Asylum, to the civil orphan Asylums on the understanding that the establishment of the latter shall be increased by thirty girls — G.O. 74 Etl.

of 29.1.1904. The transfer was accordingly given effect to and the buildings in Egmore vacated by the civil orphan Asylums were ~~apparently~~ sold to the South Indian ^{Railway} the Govt. ^{not} having ~~not~~ objected to the sale in view of

(B)

of
No. 390 Est (N) and
25.6.04
para 12 of the Report
filed with No. 397 (I)
9.6.05

(C)

p. 9 ibid (C)

of the Advocate-General's opinion. In G.O. 212 Est. of 5.4.1904, the securities and the premises of the Female Orphan Asylum were vested in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments. According to condition 1 of the scheme of management, the land and buildings were permitted to be used and administered by the Directors of the Civil Orphan Asylums for any of the purposes duly authorised by the rules of such asylums on the condition that they maintain and educate thirty additional girls.

2. It will thus be seen that the buildings at Kilpauk now occupied by the Civil Orphan Asylums were the property of the late ^{military} Female Orphan Asylum and that they are vested in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments ^{in trust} ~~and~~ to permit the Directors of the Civil Orphan Asylums to use and administer them for purposes of

of the Asylums. It does not
therefore seem that the buildings
of the Asylums at Kilsnake could
be said to be the property of the
Directors of the Institution and
it is doubtful whether they could
sell them <sup>(in view of the fact that no provision has
been made in the scheme for their sale.)</sup> ~~if it is~~
considered necessary, the Advocate
general's opinion may be ~~sought~~
~~for~~ obtained.

3. The file may
be sent ~~no~~ to the Chief Secy.
for perusal with reference to the
notes on pp. 5-6 ante and
then ^{perhaps} be circulated to H. M. and
H. M. (Revenue).

Cov
4.12.20

Secy.

Jk
4.12.20

The property originally belonged
to the Military Female Orphan
Asylum. That Asylum made over its land
& buildings to the C.O.A. & its other
property to the Lawrence Asylum and it
ceased to exist. The conditions under which
the defunct Asylum made over its properties
are set out in the 'Scheme' printed at pp.

C

9 & 10 of G.O. 212 Ed of 5.4.04. The C.O.A.
is

23

not absolute owner of its land & buildings. It holds them subject to a condition, viz. that it maintains 30 girls. If ^{the} C.O.A. closes down that condition will not be fulfilled and its right to hold the property will cease. If the original owner (viz. the Military Female Orphan Asylum) were in existence, the Govt. could under sec. 5(2) of the Charitable Endowments Act, 1890, alter the Scheme with its consent. But that Asylum is not only no more but has left no successor. Hence it is somewhat difficult to see what the proper course is. Probably the sanction of the Courts will be necessary in order to alienate the property. The matter is one ^{on} which, it is submitted, the opinion of the Advocate General should be taken.

Ed
4.12.20

I think that the Adv Genl's opinion should be named.
^{perhaps} ~~Mr.~~ ^{last letter} Knapp see first with reference to us so. ^{before reference} ^{my} 4/12/20



Chief Secy

Encl no 2473 B-2
4.12.20
Encl C.O.A. 2510 B
6.12.20
Encl no 2473 B-2
6.12.20

Home
Mr. Knapp CBI, Sec.
6.12.20 Home Dept

To
Mr. 7/2/20

Home (S.M.) Sept

us

The opinion of the Advocate-general may now be obtained. The whole file (with the papers put up) may be sent to him us.

cov

7.12.20

Lh
7.12.20

Put the case succinctly to the A.G. in a memo + send him the connected papers.

Lh
7/12/20

draft memo: submitted.

cov

7.12.20

Lh
7.12.20

Lh
7.12.20
Secy.

My
7/12/20

pt. 4-6 of [Memo: No. 2473 B-3 of 8.12.20]

pt. 8-10 of [C 68 B.] From the Govt. Solicitor, No. 1650 of 5.1.21

us

pt. 8-9 of

From the Advocate-general's opinion, it will be seen that the Director of the C.O.A. are not the owners of the property in question in a legal sense, but that the local Govt. may empower the Treasurer of charitable endowments to alienate the

25

the
1 property for the purpose of sale or
being otherwise disposed of.

2. So far as the
present reference from the C.O.A. is
concerned - page 1 c5 - it appears to
be sufficient to communicate to
the Director a copy of the Advocate
General's opinion for information.
(For order as to
A draft letter is submitted ~~for~~
circulation to C₁ and C₃. After
issue, the case may be sent to
the chief secretary for ~~perusal~~
with reference to the D.O. on pages
5-6 ante.

⊗
(Last sentence of Rev.
Secy's note on p. 4 ante)

Cov
7.1.21

Under sec. 10 (1) the Treasurer
of Charitable Endowments can sell
"in compliance with a direction in
"that behalf issuing from the authority by whose
"order the property became vested in him", viz.,
the Local Govt., but the ~~real~~ question is can
Govt. legally give the order to sell if the
object of ~~the~~ transaction is to modify the scheme
originally settled. If the original scheme is
unaffected, well & good. But if that scheme
is to be modified could we ignore sections 5 & 6?
Is it not necessary to obtain ~~the~~
the sanction of the Court? ~~Let~~
Secy. 7.1.21

There is some confusion here
 The only question specifically
 raised is that set out on p1 cf
 Whether the Land & the buildings
 of the C.O.A. Madras are the
 properties of the Directors.

The answer is in the
 negative. And it is further
 answered that they have no
 power to alienate. This answers
 the Chief Secy's query on p5 nf
 also.

2. I do not express any opinion
 as to how the amalgamation of
 the COA & St George's Homes
 should be effected. Nor do I
 see how the alienation of the
 Madras lands is a condition
 precedent to the scheme

3. The Advoctat says that
 the Treasurer may be directed
 to sell. The Under Secy raises a doubt
 how far this is legal when it is
 for the purpose of a change in
 management. Further legal
 opinion is necessary.

4. If the Chief Secy will


pp. 8-9 cf

on p. 14 ante.

40.49
22/21

27

46




Law (S.D.) no. 68 B-1
8-1-21

indicate what further information
is necessary, I shall undertake
the needful
my 8/1/21

Chetty

Returned with thanks. It appears
that Govt. cannot resume the land as
the Act (concerning the estate of
the Court) they can permit the
Treasury to dispose of it for the
benefit of the Trust. Act is enough
for my purposes.


Atk
12/1/21



Encl. no. 148 B
14-1-21

Hanuman Corcetur (us),

Law (S.D.) Dept



u.s.

For orders whether any

reply ~~should be sent to the C.O.A.~~

should be sent to the C.O.A.
with reference to the letter on page 1 C.F.
or whether these ^(papers) may be ^(merely) recorded

after perusal by C, and C3.

Put up a draft reply please - as at A

Lil
19/1/21

Revised draft submitted

Lil
20/1/21
Secy C3
my 22/1/21



CVV
17-1-21

Lil
17-1-21

CVV
19-1-21

Lil
19-1-21

Law (Eo.) Dept

C.O.A., Madras.

Alienation of the property
at Kilpauk occupied by
the C.O.A. - Powers of the
Directors and the Govt -
communicated to the Secy.

[C. 68 B.]

Letter 1257/267-21
min

✓ The Hon. Secretary,
C.O.A., Madras

DESPATCHED
27 JAN 1921
In
Chief
Secy
26-1-21

p. 1 of

Sir,

With reference to
your letter No. 292/20 of 19th
Nov. 1920, I am directed
to inform you that the land
and buildings at Kilpauk
occupied by the C.O.A. are
not the properties of the
Directors in the legal sense,
the ownership being vested in the Treasury
of charitable endowments,
and that the Directors have
no power to alienate them.
I am to add that ~~as at~~
~~present advised~~, the Local
Govt. ^{believe that they} cannot resume the
land but that, possibly
with the consent of the Court,
they can permit ^{the} Treasury
of

of Charitable Endowments &
dispose of the properties for the
benefit of the Trust.

I have etc.

Cvt
19.1.21

Ed.
19.1.21

2nd
20/1/21

24/1/21

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 192 .
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
192 _____ DATED _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

29