

Law (192) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1170

DATED 12-8-21

[Despatch Abstract.]

I.E.S.---M.R.Ry.K.Ananda Rao--Memorial to the Government of India
regarding pay---withheld.

DEPARTMENT.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

Current No.	From	Number.	Date.	Pages.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S. C.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

Basavangudi, Bangalore city,

18th May 1921.



To.

The Secretary

to the Government of India,

Education Department, Simla.

Edn 2496A
28/5/21

THROUGH

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home Department, Education, Stone House Hill,

The Nilgiris.

Mr. Amanda Rose
initial pay along with
that of other officers of Sir,
He & S. is being separated
reversed. The file is
now with A. G. This
may be over.

30.5.21 31.5.21
By (Signature) 10.6.21

Subd.: I have in-
formally ascertained
that the A. G. has just
passed the note
reply for the main file.

11.6.21 11.6.21

I was appointed provisionally to the Indian Educational Service on 7th July 1919 and was confirmed on 26th February 1920. In the meanwhile the new scale of pay was announced with effect from 1st December 1919 and in accordance therewith my pay was fixed at Rs 400/- from 1st December 1919 up to the date of my confirmation and at Rs 450/- from the date of my confirmation with reference to my age. On my attaining my next birthday on 21st September 1920 I received a slip from the Accountant General fixing my pay at Rs 500/-. I was drawing pay accordingly.

2. By virtue of the communique of the Government of India dated the 18th February 1921 and by virtue of a specific order governing my particular case, I was declared eligible for overseas pay in addition to my substantive pay with effect from 1st December 1919. But the Accountant General in issuing pay slip in respect of overseas pay has actually cut down my substantive pay as if it was only Rs 400/- down to 6th July 1920 and Rs 450/- from 7th July 1920 although as a matter of fact I was drawing as mentioned above a higher pay.

3. It seems to me that it is not a necessary consequence of the resolution of the Government of India

that my substantive pay should now be reckoned retrospectively with reference to my years of service instead of with reference to my age and that there is nothing in the said resolution to render it incompatible that while my substantive pay is reckoned according to my age, my overseas pay should be reckoned according to my years of service.

4. It is understood that according to the intentions of the Government of India I am eligible for overseas pay in addition to my substantive pay as fixed already. In other words, I will be entitled to overseas pay at Rs 150/- a month from 1st December 1919 in addition to the substantive pay which I have drawn, namely, Rs 400/- from 1st December 1919 to 25th February 1920, Rs 450/- from 26th February 1920 to 20th September 1920 and Rs 500/- from 21st September 1920.

5. I venture to think that the Accountant General is not right in his interpretation of the Resolution of the Government of India with reference to my case in such a way as to cut down my substantive pay in the manner he has done. He has suggested to me that if I entertained any doubt about the matter I might address the Government of India through the local Government for specific orders. Accordingly I respectfully beg to submit this reference for favourable orders of the Government of India.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. AnandaRao

Professor of Pure Mathematics
Presidency College, Madras.

NOTES.

Law(Education)Department

C.No.2496A, From M.R.Ry.K.Ananda Rao Avl. Professor of Mathematics, Presidency College, Madras, dated 18th May 1921.

(K) In G.O.R.No.150, dated the 19th June 1919 Mr.K.Ananda Rao was appointed to act as Professor of Mathematics in the Presidency College with effect from the 7th July 1919 vice Mr.R.Littlehailes appointed D.P.I. In his Despatch No.1, Public, dated 5-2-20 the Secretary of State sanctioned the permanent appointment of Mr.K.Ananda Rao to the Indian Educational Service as Professor of Mathematics, Presidency College. The Secretary of State stated that Mr.Ananda Rao's emoluments should be governed by the orders contained in his despatch No.169, Public, dated the 27th November 1919 printed on pages 9 to 15 of G.O.600, Home(Education), dated 17-5-20. The Secretary of State's Despatch was received by this Govt. on the 26th February 1920 and the A.G.Madras advised that Mr.Ananda Rao should be treated as an officer appointed to the I.E.S. subsequent to the 1st December 1919, the date of introduction of the revised scale of pay sanctioned by the Secy of State and that his pay should be fixed with reference to his age under the rules. Accordingly Mr.Ananda Rao (P) was allowed in G.O.No.600, Home(Education), dated 17-5-20 a pay of Rs.400/- from 1st December 1919 to 25-2-20 and Rs.450 per mensem from 26-2-20. He was also declared eligible for the next increment of Rs.50/- on the 21st September 1920, when he completed 27 years of age.

2. Subsequently in examining the claims of officers of the I.E.S. ~~who had their domicile in India~~ ^{appointed prior to 1-12-19} for overseas pay, it was found that under the terms of his agreement printed in G.O.No.883, dated 7-8-20, Mr.Ananda Rao's appointment to the I.E.S. took effect from the 7th July 1919 and not from the 26th February 1920

40. 288
9-3-20
(Q)

Pages 10, 11 and 12
of notes to Govt
on 17-5-20
(P)

40 1091-92
20-9-20
(C)

as previously surmised. Accordingly he was treated as an officer appointed to the I.E.S. prior to 1st December 1919, the date of reorganization of the service and he was recommended for the grant of ~~an~~ overseas pay along with other officers in similar position viz. Messrs Bandeth, Ramunni Menon and Krishnamma. In their letter No.1533, dated 20th December 1920, the Govt. of India sanctioned the grant of overseas pay to ^{Mr.} Ananda Rao in view of the fact that his appointment took effect from the 7th July 1919 as provided in his agreement. The Govt. of India have since ruled that all officers who prior to the introduction of the new scale were members of the I.E.S. will be permitted to retain the rate of pay to which they had attained under the old scale and may be granted in addition the overseas pay appropriate to their years of service in the I.E.S. according to the revised scale."

3. Thus the orders issued in G.O.No.600, dated 17-5-20 fixing the pay of Mr. Ananda Rao according to his age ^{as if he were a new entrant} have been superseded by the subsequent orders admitting him to overseas pay on the ground of his ^{appointment} ~~admission~~ to the I.E.S. prior to 1st December 1919. The A.G. is therefore right in allowing Mr. Ananda Rao a pay of Rs.400 per mensem from 1st December 1919 to 6th July 1920 ^{and} Rs.450 per mensem from the 7th July 1920, in addition to the overseas pay of Rs.150 per mensem admissible to him.

4. Mr. Ananda Rao's contention that he should be treated as an officer admitted to the I.E.S. subsequent to 1st December 1919 for the purpose of his substantive pay and as an officer admitted to that service prior to 1st December 1919 for the purpose of overseas pay is untenable. The D.P.I. may, it is submitted, be asked to ascertain from Mr. Ananda Rao whether he desires to be

CF in linked
file

DEJ
treated as an officer appointed to the ~~service~~ after
the 1st December 1919 or ^{as one appointed to it} prior to that date. In the
former case, his pay will be determined according to
his age but he will be ineligible for ~~the~~ overseas pay.
In the latter case his pay will be fixed with reference
to ~~the~~ old scale irrespective of his age but ^{he} will be
allowed to draw overseas pay in addition. He may be
distinctly told that he cannot expect to be allowed
the concession of pay according to age in addition to
overseas pay.

(Whole file)

Edn w/b 2496/A-1
23.6.21

MS
18/6/21

18.6.21

11/6/21

DPD

Office of D.P.D.


U.O. Reply No.

Mr. Ananda Rao elects to be treated as having
been appointed prior to the 1st of December 1919
so that his pay may be fixed with reference to the
old scale irrespective of age and so that he may
be allowed to draw over-seas pay in addition.

2 I should like to bring to the attention of
Government the fact that this memorial of Mr. Ananda
Rao now under consideration has not been submitted
through the proper channel. It should have been
submitted through my office.

Home 431
3.8.21

En 3691 A



⑫ The file is now with
A. G., having been
sent to him for advice
as to the authority
competent to waive
certain excess pay drawn
by Mr. Duncanson

No further action is necessary.

In the file fixing the revised pay of
D. & S. officers, Mr. Ananda Rao has
been treated as an officer appointed to
the D. & S. prior to 1st Dec. 1919.

The papers may be recorded unless it is thought necessary to draw Mr. Ananda Rao's attention to 'K' on previous page.

4821
NY
5/8/21

We must draw Mr. A's attention to 'K' overleaf and also tell him that we are not forwarding the Memorial to India as he has since ~~and~~ withdrawn his original prayer + elected to be ~~for~~ treated as one appointed prior to 1.1.21 to enable him to draw pay according to old scale for overseas allowance. Please pass


7.8.21

A draft order is dated:

8.8.21
NY
9/8/21

10.8.21

5/8/21



No further action is warranted

I.E.S.

READ AGAIN G.O. No....., dated.....191 .

” ” ” ” ” 191 .

READ ALSO:—

Memorial
C. No. 2496/A From the M. R. R. V. K. Ananda Rao, No. , dated 18th May 1911.

" " , " " , " , " 191 .

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191

ORDER.

Mis

No. 1170, dated 28 1921

The Govt. are informed that Mr. K. Ananda Rao has ~~since~~ ^{the} withdrawn ~~on~~ prayer contained in his memorial to the Government of India and desires to be treated as an officer appointed to the I.E.S ^{prior to} ~~on~~ 1st December 1919 so that he may draw overseas pay in addition to the pay admissible ~~according~~ according to years of service. The memorial addressed to the Govt. of India will not therefore be forwarded.

2. ~~Mr. P. I. is requested to inform~~
2. Mr. Ananda Rao ~~is requested to inform~~ that his action in forwarding the memorial direct to Government instead of through the S.P.I. was irregular.

E.A.V.
8-8-21

Ms
9/8/22

CR
10.8.21

1182

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 192
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED _____
 192 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.