

Law (1921) Department.

(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1143

DATED 8.8.21

69
INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT FILE.

Home (Education) DEPARTMENT.

C.F.-20.

Received 191 . Registered 26 7 1910

Subject.

Submitting proposals for the creation of 8 new
inspectorates in the Madras Presidency.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Ref. on Car No. 7257/20

Station Madras, Dated the 12 July 1910

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. R. Littlehales, M. A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.
/Through/ The Accountant-General, Madras.

Sir, In his letter R.C.No.5087/12 dated 8th August 1913
Sir Alfred Bourne proposed the employment of 12 additional --
Inspectors of Schools so as to provide 21 Inspectors in all
thus having in general one Inspector for each District. The
Scheme was given effect to partially last year when in G.O.No.
1165 Home(Education) dated 24th September 1919, Government ---
sanctioned the formation of four additional Inspectors circles
I have the honour to ~~re~~ propose that the scheme may be further
carried out during the current year by the creation of 8 Ins-
pectors' posts in the Indian Educational Service and the ---
abolition of a corresponding number of Assistant Inspectors'
posts in the Provincial Educational Service. The circles will

be formed in the course of the current year as suitable men become available. For the sake of simplicity, I propose to designate the Inspectors in future by the name of the District or Districts in their charge as shown hereunder.

District.	District or Districts comprised.	Headquarters.
Ganjam	Ganjam	Berhampore.
Vizagapatam	Vizagapatam	Waltair.
Godavari	Godavari	Rajahmundry.
Kistna	Kistna	Masulipatam.
Guntur	Guntur.	Guntur.
Nellore	Nellore.	Nellore.
Bellary & Kurnool.	Bellary and Kurnool. ✓	Bellary.
Anantapur & Cuddapah.	Anantapur and Cuddapah. ✓	Anantapur.
Madras & Chingleput.	Madras and Chingleput. ✓	M a d r a s.
North Arcot	North Arcot.	Vellore.
Chittoor.	Chittoor.	Chittoor.
S. Arcot.	S. Arcot.	Cuddalore.
Tanjore.	Tanjore.	Tanjore.
Trichinopoly.	Trichinopoly	Trichinopoly.
Ramnad	Ramnad	Ramnad
Madura	Madura	Madura.
Tinnevely	Tinnevely	Palamcottah.
Coimbatore and Nilgiris.	Coimbatore and the Nilgiris.	Coimbatore.
S a l e m.	S a l e m.	S a l e m.
Malabar	M a l a b a r .	C a l i c u t .
South Kanara including Coorg and Bangalore)	Coorg, Bangalore and S. Kanara.	Bangalore.

2. It is proposed to abolish the posts of Eight Assistant Inspectors in the Provincial Educational Service in the 8 districts of ~~Andhra~~ Vizagapatam, Bellary, Trichinopoly, Madura, Coimbatore, Malabar, S. Kanara and Chingleput other posts being available to which the persons holding these ^{Assistant Inspectors} ~~in~~ posts permanently may be transferred. The office establishment of these 8 Assistant Inspector's offices will be absorbed in the establishment for the 8 new Inspectors offices.

3. It will be necessary to provide the new Inspectors with the following scale of office establishment which corresponds to that sanctioned for the offices of the other Inspectors.

	P a y .	Average cost.
Head clerk.	50- 2 -60	57½
Second "	25- 1- 30	28½
Third "	20- 1- 25	23½
Fourth "	20	20
Fifth " (Temporary)	20	20
Three peons 1(8) & 2(7)	22	22
		172

The cost for eight offices will be Rs.1376 per mensem. The abolition of the offices of ^{the} 8 assistant Inspectors referred to

in para 2 supra will result in a saving of Rs.557½ per mensem under establishment charges as shown in the margin.

Vizagapatam	1(30) 2(20) and 2(7)	84
Bellary.	1(30) 1(20) and 2(7)	64.
Trichinopoly	1(30) 1(20) and 2(7)	64.
Madura.	1(30) 1(20) and 2(7)	64
Coimbatore.	1(30) 1(20) and 2(7)	64
Malabar.	1(30) 1(20) and 2(7)	84
S. Kanara.	1(30) 1(20) and 2(7)	64
Chingleput	1(30) 1(20-1-25) and 2(7)	69½
		557½.

4. I propose also that with effect from the date of introduction of the above scheme the 11 officers of the Subordinate Educational Service in district charge should no longer be in independent charge of districts and no longer be designated Assistant Inspectors but revert/ as Sub Assistant Inspectors from whose ranks they were originally recruited. To provide for these men the existing ranges will be redistributed and increased by eleven and these together with the ten ranges for which provision has been entered in the budget when actually formed will enable me to attain very nearly my aim of having one Sub Assistant Inspector for each revenue taluk. It will not be necessary to give the 11 additional Sub Assistant Inspectors mentioned above a larger office --- establishment than that allowed to a range Sub Assistant Inspector viz. 1 clerk on Rs.20/- an attender on Rs.10/- and a peon on Rs.7/- There will thus be a saving of Rs.392/- per mensem on account of the reduction of establishment in these 11 offices as noted in the margin. The establishment that is

Ganjam	1(20-5) 1(20) and 1(7)	52	proposed to be abolish
Guntur.	1(20-5) 1(20) and 1(7)	52	ed herein will be ---
Nellore.	1(20-5) and 1(7)	32	absorbed in the existing
Anantapur	-do-	32	establishment for the
Kurnool.	-do-	32	new Inspectors offices
Cuddapah.	-do-	32	
North Arcot	-do-	32	
South Arcot.	-do-	32	
S a l e m.	-do-	32	
R a m n a d.	-do-	32	
Chittoor.	-do-	32	
		392	

5. The total extra cost of the scheme will be :-
Salaries-creation of 8 Inspectors posts in the the Indian Educational Service in the place of 8 Assistants Inspectors posts in the Provincial Educational Service 8(1068 2/3 - 480) 4702

1068
480
588

Establishment-creation of 8 Inspectors' offices
in the place of 8 assistant Inspectors' offices and
reduction of establishment in 11 assistant
Inspectors' offices (1376-5574-392) - 4264
per m

Taking into account the additional outlay that will
have to be incurred under allowances, supplies and
services and contingencies (contract and non-contract)
which is expected to amount to Rs.10,400 the total cost of
the proposal during the current year will amount to
Rs.36042 $\frac{127}{204}$ or Rs.36000 in round figure and this can be
met from the lupp provision of Rs.57000 in the current
years' budget for additional Inspectors' circles. For
the purpose of calculating the extra cost 1-10-20 as
average approximate date has been taken as the date from
which the scheme will have effect. Government will be
addressed separately for filling the posts of Inspectors'
as suitable men become available, the heaviest districts
having preference in the formation of new circles.

I beg to invite the attention of Government to the fact
that all the four Inspectors appointed last year to the
newly created posts were recruited in India and to propose
that 4 out of the 8 new posts should be filled up by
recruitment in India, the remaining four being recruited in
England. I request that Government to be so good as
to move the Secretary of State to recruit these during the
course of this year. Two of them may preferably be men
with Scientific qualifications, the remaining two being
men with literary qualifications.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signature)

for Director of Public Instruction.

A.R.10/7

4700
426
5126
2563
7689
92268

No. G. A-67/

11018


OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, 23rd July 1920.

Enclosures.

Two

FORWARDED.



2. The proposals would require the sanction of the Secretary of State under Rule III (2) on page 2 and Rule III(3) on page 4 of the Book of Financial Powers.

3. A proposition statement in Form 5 is necessary under clause II(a)(iii) of the revised article 57 of Volume I of the Civil Account Code communicated with the G.O. No. 276 Financial dated the 23rd March 1920.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'M. Srinivasan'.

Deputy Accountant General

hs.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.

Home (Education) Dept.

Memo

2280 A-1
16.8.20

With reference to his letter Cur No. 725L/ 20 dated the 12th July 20 submitting proposals for the creation of 8 additional posts of Inspectors in the I.E.S., the D.P.I. is requested to submit through the A.G. a proposition statement in form 5 as required by ~~rule~~ clause II (a) (iii) of the revised art. 57 of Vol.I of the Civil Account Code communicated with G.O.No 276 Finl. dated the 23rd March 1920.

2. The Director is also requested to report whether, in view of the considerable increase in the number of schools to be inspected since ^{the year} 1913 when the scheme for the reorganisation of the inspecting agency was first formulated, it is not desirable to have a separate Inspector for each of the districts of Kurnool, Anantapur, Chingleput and South Kanara, the cadre of Sub Asstt. Inspector of schools being simultaneously reduced by ^{four} ~~eight~~.

To

The D.P.I. (memo + a copy of the
A.G.'s letter (p. 524))

DESPATCHED

22 JUL 1920

82

Remained after a ^{month} ~~four~~
 13/8/20 14.8.20 16/8/20

Home /Education/ DEPARTMENT.

C.N. 3792 A AN

Received 191 . Registered 22.12.1910

Subject.

Submitting for favourable consideration of Government proposals for the creation of additional posts in the cadre of Inspectors of Schools.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref on Current No. 7251 of 20

Station Madras, Dated the 16th November 1910

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. R.G. Grieve, M.A., Acting.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home /Education/ Department.
/Through The Accountant-General/

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No.

2280 A -1 dated 16th August 1920, I have the honour to state that, since the year 1913, when the scheme for the reorganization of the inspecting agency was first formulated, the number of secondary schools has increased from 339 to 467, the latter figure including the 40 middle and high schools opened during the current academic year under the management of Local Bodies. During the period under review, the number of training schools also has almost doubled, for, as against 47 schools in 1912-13 there are now 93 schools including the 18 new schools established since July last. Apart

60 No 202
16.8.20

(J)

60 No 837
23.7.20

(7)

from

from the above considerations ~~ins~~pectors of schools are likely to play an important part in the near future in the District Educational Councils to be formed under the Elementary Education Act of 1920, and it is desirable that there should be an Inspector of Schools for each individual Council. I agree therefore with Government in thinking that 4 posts of ~~ins~~pectors of schools over and above the 8 posts recommended by Mr. Littlehailes in his letter to Government Ref on Cur No. 7 2 5 1 of 20 dated 12th July 1920 may be created. According to my revised proposal, each of the 25 revenue districts will have an inspector of its own, the only exception being the Nilgiris which in view of the paucity of schools in that District may be added to the charge of the nearest inspector, viz., the new inspector of schools for the Coimbatore District. I am assuming that Madras City will be separate District under the Elementary Education Act and I am treating Kistna as one district. The four additional posts now proposed may also be included in the cadre of the Indian Educational Service and in the event of my proposal commending itself to Government, all the 25 inspectors may be designated by the name of the districts of which they will be in charge. At least two of the additional posts may be filled by Indians.

2. The establishment to be provided for the 4 additional inspectors may correspond to that already sanctioned for the 9 permanent inspectors and proposed by Mr. Littlehailes for the 8 additional Inspectors. To facilitate reference, I subjoin a statement giving details of establishment recommended for each office:-

	Rs
One head clerk on	50-2-60
One second clerk on	25-1-30
One third clerk on	20-1-25
One fourth clerk on	20
One temporary clerk on	20
Servants; one peon on Rs 8 and two peons on Rs 7 each.	

3. The ultimate cost of this part of the scheme will be Rs 75,552/- or Rs 76,000/- in round figures, and should the

Salaries	Rs 51,296	Government decide to introduce
Establishment	8,256	the scheme during the current year
Travelling allowance and contingencies	16,000	
Rs 75,552		in round numbers.

the total nett expenditure for the remaining portion thereof can come out of the anticipated savings in the lump provision of Rs 57,000/- for additional inspectors' circles. The amount required for next year has been included in the lump provision of one lakh for additional Inspectors' circles and if any expenditure has to be incurred, it may be met by re-appropriation.

4. As for the suggestion in para 2 of the Government Memorandum quoted supra, to consider the desirability of reducing the number of Sub Assistant Inspectors by four as a partial set off against the additional offices now proposed, I beg to invite the attention of Government to para 4 of Mr. Littlehailes' letter Ref on Current No. 7 2 5 1 of 20 dated 12th July 20, regarding the creation during the current year of 8 additional Inspectors' circles, wherein he has stated that in view of the increasing demand for additional sub assistant inspectors as a result of the rapid expansion of elementary education, he would revert as sub assistants such of the district assistant inspectors as have been recruited from that cadre. I am in agreement with this view. I have in another communication (Ref on Cur No. 4 2 6 6 /20 dated 13th November 20) submitted proposals for the formation of 18 additional ranges of sub assistants, and these together with the **conversion** of eleven district assistant inspectorships into sub assistant inspectorships will enable us to attain as far as possible the aim of placing each revenue taluk under a Sub Assistant Inspector. Any reduction in the cadre seems to me therefore out of the question; nor will such reduction tend to diminish to any appreciable extent the cost of the present scheme.

5. A proposition statement in duplicate embodying the changes involved by the above proposals and by those already formulated

formulated by Mr. Littlehales is submitted herewith.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Dubonhuan L Chell

For Director of Public Instruction.

N : S:
V

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.												PROPOSITION.								Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent		Temporary.						
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.				
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
Indian Educational Service (Male officers)			RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.			
	41	Officers	985				43815 $\frac{1}{3}$	53	Officers	985			56639 $\frac{1}{3}$	12824-0-0							
	1	Duty allowance Rs 250.					250 -	1	Duty allowance Rs. 250				250 -								
	4	Duty allowances Rs 150 each					600 -	4	Duty allowances Rs. 150 each				600 -								
							44665 $\frac{1}{3}$						57489 $\frac{1}{3}$	12824-0-0							
	5	Temporary Officers	985				5348 $\frac{1}{3}$	5	Officers	985			5348 $\frac{1}{3}$								
		One additional Deputy Director of Public Instruction and 4 Inspectors of Schools																			

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government,

Department

thru the Accountant-General,

Signature

Officer's Designation

18/11

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Art. 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

2

and A 10.

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.										PROPOSITION.								Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.					Proposed scale.					Permanent.				Temporary.				
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Amount.				Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
			RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.		AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	RS.	AS.	
Provincial	50	Officers	P.S.				2404 2/17	42	Officers	P.S.		2097 1/17		3847 1/17					
Educational																			
Service	(a)	Temporary																	
	(b)	officer	P.S.				480 15/17	1	Temporary	P.S.		480 15/17							
		Additional																	
		Professor																	
		Presidency																	
		College,																	
(a) Four of these posts are held in abeyance																			
(b) The post of Special assistant to the Director of Public Instruction has not been utilised since 26.5.1920.																			

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension of 100% is not applicable. The pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension of 100% is not applicable. The pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension of 100% is not applicable.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government,
thru the Accountant-General, Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, A. C. C.)

and A 10.

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.										PROPOSITION.								Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.					Proposed scale.					Permanent.				Temporary.				
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Period.				Amount.	Period.	Amount.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Inspectors of Schools.	10	Officers	RS. 18.5		106 2/3		22	Officers	RS. 18.5		235 2/3		1282 4						
	4	Temp. officers	RS. 18.5		427 2/3		4	Temp. officers	RS. 18.5		427 2/3								

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article.—M. T. M. para. 87.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government,
thru the Accountant-General.

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Statement of Proposition for

(See Chapter 5, Article

vision of Establishment.

ol. I., C. A. C.)

and A 10.

Office to which the proposition refers.		NATURE OF CHARGE.										PROPOSITION.						Order sanctioning authority.		
		Present scale.					Proposed scale.					Permanent		Temporary.						
		Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.			Decrease per month.	
				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.		Period.	Amount.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
Inspectors' and Inspectresses Establishment.	13	Permanent Head Clerks	50	2	60	747	8	25	Head Clerks	50	2	6	1437	8	690	-				
	40	Clerks 1(35) 1(30) 13(25-1-30) 1(25) 15(20-1-25) 9(20)				1000	-	76	Clerks 1(35) 1(30) 25(25-1-30) 1(25) 27(20-1-25) 21(20)				1870	870						
	1	Personal allowance Rs (12)				12	-	1	Personal allowance Rs (12)				12							
	40	Temporary Servants (Permanent) attended (10) Revs 3(9) 18(8) 18(7)				307	-	76	1 attended (10) Revs. 3(9) 30(8) 42(7)				571	264	-					
	3	Personal allowance at ad (8) each				18	-	3	Personal allowance as 8 each.				18							
	36	Temporary Clerks 5(50) 5(25) 22(20) 4(15)				875	-	48	Temporary Clerks 5(50) 5(25) 34(20) 4(15)				1115	-	-	240	-			
	15	Servants 5(9) 10(8)				125	-	15	Servants 5(9) 10(8)				125							
						3068	-						5132	1824		240	-			
	c	Clerks 15(30) 2(20-1-25) 18(20)				857	8	17	Clerks 7(30) 1(20-1-25) 9(20)				413	12		443	12			
	d	Servants 30 Revs 4(8) 26(7)				214	-	14	Servants 12(7) 2(8)				100			114	-			
					1071	8						513	12		557	12				

Assistant Inspectors and Assistant Inspectresses Establishment

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

(C) 64 these 35 posts & 4 posts on Rs. 30

and 7 posts on Rs 20 have been kept in

The Secretary to Government,

thru the Accountant-General,

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

abeyance about Rs 20 have been kept in abeyance.

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.											PROPOSITION.								Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.					Proposed scale.					Permanent		Temporary.							
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
			RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.
Sub-Assistant	1	Permanent Clerk	20		1	25	23 12	1	Clerk	20	1	23 12	23 12							
Inspectors'	185	Clerks	185				3765	172	Clerks				3445		260					
		1(25) 154(20)							1(25) 171(20)						55					
Establishment	11	Duty allowance at Rs 5/-					55													
	6	Local allowance 1(10) 3(5) 1(3) 1(2)					30	6	Local allowance 1(10) 3(5) 1(3) 1(2)				30							
	172	Attenders at Rs 10/-					1720	172	attenders at Rs 10				1720							
	3	Local allowance at Rs 2/-					6	3	Local allowance at Rs 2/-				6							
	184	Peons 5(8) 129(7)					1292	178	Peons 5(8) 168(7)				1216		77					
	3	Local allowance at Re 1					3	3	Local allowance at Re 1				3							
							6835 12						6443 2 4		392					
		Temporary							Temporary											
	33	clerks at Rs 20/-					660	33	Clerks at Rs 20/-				660							
	1	Local allowance at Rs 5/-					5	1	Local allowance at Rs 5/-				5							
	33	Attenders at Rs 10/-					330	33	Attenders at Rs 10				330							
	1	Local allowance at Rs 2/-					2	1	Local allowance at Rs 2/-				2							
	33	Peons at Rs 7					231	33	Peons at Rs 7				231							
	1	Local allowance at Re 1					1	1	Local allowance at Re 1				1							
							1229						1229							

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

To


The Secretary to Government,
thru' the Accountant-General,

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

Duboshman L. Ch...
Director of Public Works



OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, the 20th of December 1920.

No. G.A. 67-6804

Forwarded in continuation of this office Endt. No. G.A.

67-11018, dated the 24th July 1920.

ENCLOSURES.

ghru

2. The entries in the column "Present Scale" have been verified and found correct with the exception of the following differences which require reconciliation:-

As per Registers of this office.As per page 4 of the Proposition Statement.Permanent.

12 Head clerks on Rs. 50-2-60.
 1 clerk on Rs. 50.
 13 clerks on Rs. 25-1-30.
 1 " 25.
 14 " 20-1-25.
 11 " 20.
 1 " 15.
 1 Peon on 9
 20 " 8
 18 " 7

Temporary.

4 Head clerks. Rs. 50.
 4 clerks. 25.
 19 " 20.
 3 " 15.
 4 Peons. 9.
 10 " 8.

Permanent.

12 Head clerks on Rs. 30.
 4 clerks. Rs. 20-1-25.
 13 " 20.
 1 Attender. 10.
 2 Peons. 8.
 20 " 7.

Temporary.

7 clerks on Rs. 15.
 3 Attenders. 10.
 4 Peons. 8.

Permanent.

13 Head clerks on Rs. 50-2-60.
 1 clerk. Rs. 35.
 1 clerk Rs. 30.
 13 " 25.1-30 ✓
 1 " 25 ✓
 15 " 20-1-25.
 9 " 20.
 Personal allowance. Rs. 12.
 1 Attender. Rs. 10.
 3 Peons. 9
 18 " 8
 18 " 7
 3 Personal allowance. at Rs. 0.8.

Temporary.

5 Clerks on Rs. 50.
 5 " 25.
 22 " 20.
 4 " 15.
 5 Peons. 9.
 10 " 8.

Permanent.

15 clerks on Rs. 30.
 2 " Rs. 20-1-25.
 18 " 20.
 4 Peons. 8.
 26 " 7.

3. The proposals would require the sanction of the Secretary of State under Rule III (2) on page 2 and Rule III (6) on page 4 of the Book of Financial Powers.

Deputy Accountant-General.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

*Inspectors' & Inspectresses
Establishment.*

*Assistant
Inspectors' &
Inspectresses
Establishment.*

Law (Edn) Dept

Memo. No. 3792A-1

30/12/20

With reference to his letter no 7251 of 18-11-20, the D.D. is informed that it is desirable to include in the permanent cadre of the DES the four temporary posts of Inspectors of Schools sanctioned in G.O. 1165 of 24-9-19. He is requested to revise accordingly the proposition statement submitted with his letter, making ^{also} ~~such~~ correction in it as may be necessary in the light of the remarks made by the AG in para. 2 of his letter no SA 67-6804 of 20-12-20.

2. It has been suggested that the Nilgiris and Bangalore may be constituted into a separate District circle. The D.D. is requested to favour Govt. with his views on the suggestion and, if it is acceptable, to report what portion of the cost of the District should be borne by the Madras Govt.

MS
29/12/20

MS
30/12/20


Copied
G.O.
31.12.20

DRS
31/12

to the D.D. (with prop. state
& copy of AG's letter)
copy to the AG (para 1 only)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



191

Registered

191

Subject.

Recd 7/1

Submitting with reference to Government Memorandum No. 3792 A-1, dated 30th December 1920 a revised proposition statement including in the permanent cadre of the Indian Educational Service the four temporary posts of Inspectors sanctioned in G.O. No. 1165, Home (Education), dated 24th September 1919.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Ref on Cur No. 7251/20

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 5 1 1921

From (Name) R.G. Grieco Esquire, M.A.
(Designation) As. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 3792 A-1, dated 30th December 1920, I have the honour to submit herewith a revised proposition statement, including in the permanent cadre of the Indian Educational Service the four temporary posts of Inspectors of Schools, sanctioned in G.O. No. 1165, Home (Education), dated 24th September 1919.

2. As in the case of the establishment of the existing permanent Inspectors and that proposed for the 12 additional circles, I have provided for incremental rates of pay, instead of fixed scales, for the clerks of the four circles mentioned supra.

3. The difference in the present scale of establishment between the audit registers of the Accountant-General and the scale book of this office is mainly due to the following :-

(i) Exclusion of the establishment sanctioned for the office of the Inspector of European Schools from the Accountant-General's registers.

(ii) Inclusion in his books ^{under permanent establishment} of the temporary establishment sanctioned for the Chief Inspectress's office under G.O. No. 794, Home (Education), dated 24th June 1919.

(iii) Inclusion in the proposition statement of the establishment sanctioned for the Superintendent of Sanskrit Schools under Sub Assistant Inspectors' and Sub Assistant Inspectresses' establishment instead of under Assistant Inspectors' and Assistant Inspectresses' establishment.

The existing scales shown in the proposition statement follow the order adopted in my Budget Estimates for 1921-1922, and attention is particularly invited to the remark under Inspection - Establishment, on page 3 of the printed budget.

4. As regards paragraph 2 of the Government Memorandum under reply, I agree with the suggestion to club the Nilgiris with Bangalore on the assumption that Coorg will be included and that the proposal will not be objected to by the Hon'ble the Resident. I do not, however, consider that this change calls for any alteration in the portion of the cost of the inspectorate that should be borne by the Madras Government.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Darbhanga Chell
for Director of Public Instruction.

M. S. S.

20 Revised in the light of the amendments
Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

17
T and A 10.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.												PROPOSITION.								Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent		Temporary.						
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.				
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
Indian Educational Service (Male Officers)	42	officers 225.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.			
	1	Dutyallowance Rs 250					44884		57	officers 225.			44884		60914		1130				
	(a)																				
	5	Dutyallowances Rs 150 each.					750		5	Dutyallowances Rs 150 each.			750								
	8	Temporary Officers 925. (one addl Dy. Director etc. 7 Inspectors of Schools)					8574 1/3		1	Officer 225.			1068 2/3				7480 2/3				
(a) Includes one allowance of Rs 150 sanctioned to the Principal, College of Engineering.																					

Dated

191 . }

To

The Secretary to Government,
thru the Accountant-General,

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.												PROPOSITION.						Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.				
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Provincial Educational Service	(a) 50	Officers M.P.S.	Rs. 264	37 1/2	Rs. 38		Officers M.P.S.	Rs. 200	92 1/2	Rs. 624	5								
		Temporary					Temporary												
	(b) 1	Officer M.P.S. (Add. Professor Presidency College)	528	3/4	1		Officer M.P.S.	528	3/4										

(a). 6 of these posts are held in abeyance

(b) The post of Special Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction has not been utilised since 26-5-1920.

(a). 6 of these posts are held in abeyance
 (b) The post of Special Assistant to the Director of Public Instruction has not been utilised since 26-5-1920.

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 42v C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government,
thru' the Accountant-General,

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.												PROPOSITION.								Order sanctioning authority.
	Present scale.						Proposed scale.						Permanent.		Temporary.						
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.				
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20		
Inspectors of Schools.	10	Officers	RS. 2.25				26	Officers	RS. 2.25				27785 2/3	17098 2/3							
	7	Temporary officers	RS. 2.25				7	Temporary officers	RS. 2.25				7480 2/3						7480 2/3		
								Nil													

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that claims to pension that may arise in consequence have been considered with reference to the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government,
thru' the Accountant-General,

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.											PROPOSITION.						Order sanctioning authority.	
	Present scale.					Proposed scale.					Permanent		Temporary.						
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.		
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.	Period.		Amount.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Inspectors' and Inspectress' Establishment.	13	Head clerks.	50	2	60	747½	29	Head clerks.	50	2	60	1667½	920						
	40	clerks. 1(35), 1(30), 13(25-1-30), 1(35) 15(20-1-25), 9(20)				1000	88	clerks. 1(35), 1(30), 29(25-1-30), 1(25), 31(20-1-25) 25(20).				2160	1160						
	1	Personal allowance.				12.	1	Personal allowance				12.							
	40	Servants. 1 attendant (10) Peons- 3 on 9, 18 on 8, 18 on 7,				307	88	Servants 1 attendant (10) Peons- 7 on 9, 38 on 8, 42 on 7,				671	364						
	3	Personal allowances as 8. each.				18	3	Personal allowances as 8. each.				18							
		Temporary.				2068		Temporary.				810							
	36	clerks. 5(50), 5(25), 22(20), 4(15)				875	37	clerks. 2(50), 2(25), 33(20),				50.							
	15	Servants 5(9), 10(8), 1(3)				125	6	Servants 2(9), 4(8).				5372	2444						
						3068						860							
	Asst. Inspectors and Asst. Inspectress' Establishment.	35	clerks. 15(30), 2(20-1-25) 18(20)				857½	6	clerks. 3(30), 1(20-1-25) 2(20).				153¾	703¾					
30		Servants. Peons- 4(8), 26(7)				214	6	Servants Peons- 2(8), 4(7)				44	170						
						1071½						197¾	873¾						

Includes also establishment sanctioned for the 5th Inspector in G.O. no. 1434 Home (Edn.) dated 5-12-20.

If Local Government is competent to sanction its order

Includes also establishment sanctioned for the 5th Inspectress in G.O. no. 1434 Home (G.O.) dated 5-12-20.

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

(See Chapter 5, Article 57, Vol. I., C. A. C.)

Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.											PROPOSITION						Order sanctioning authority.		
	Present scale.					Proposed scale.					Permanent		Temporary.							
	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Number.	Designation.	Pay.			Average cost.	Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
			Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.				Amount.	Amount.	Period.		Amount.	Period.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
Sub- Assistant Inspectors' Establishment.	1	clerk.	20	1	25	23 ³ / ₄	1	clerk.	20	1	23 ³ / ₄									
	185	clerks. 1(25), 184(20).				370 ⁵ / ₄	172	clerks. 1(25), 171(20).			344 ⁵ / ₄		260							
	11	Duty allowance at Rs 5.				55							55							
	4	Local allowance 1(10), 3(5).				25	4	Local allowance 1(10), 3(5).			25									
	172	attenders. at Rs 10.				1720	172	attenders at Rs 10/-.			1720									
	4	Local allowance 1(3), 3(2).				9	4	Local allowance 1(3), 3(2).			9									
	184	Peons - 5(8), 179(7).				1293	173	Peons. 5(8), 168(7).			1216		77							
	4	Local allowance 1(2), 3(1).				5	4	Local allowance 1(2), 3(1).			5									
						6835 ³ / ₄					6443 ³ / ₄		392							
		<u>Temporary</u>						<u>Temporary</u>												
	33	clerks on Rs 20 each.				660	33	clerks at Rs 20.			660									
	1	Local allowance at Rs 5.				5	1	Local allowance at Rs 5.			5									
	33	attenders at Rs 10.				330	33	attenders at Rs 10.			330									
	1	Local allowance at Rs 2.				2	1	Local allowance at Rs 2.			2									
	33	Peons at Rs 7.				231	33	Peons at Rs 7.			231									
	1	Local allowance at Rs 1.				1	1	Local allowance at Rs 1.			1									
						1229					1229									

NOTE.—If the proposal is a reorganization of establishment the Head of the Department should certify that the provisions of art. 429 C.S.R. and should specify any case in which the maximum pension ordinarily admissible will be subject to reduction under that article—M. T. M. para. 67.

If Local Government is competent to sanction, its order will be written across these four columns.

Dated

191

To

The Secretary to Government,
thru the Accountant-General,

Department

Signature

Officer's Designation

Subrahmanianth
For Director of Public Instruction

Law (Edm) Sept

C. 76 A

Quot No. 76 A - 1
7.1.21

Referred to the

A. G. for early remarks.

h
7.1.21

A
7.1.21

2871

DESPATCHED

To

the A. G. (with et seq)
to be read with
reply)

Remind after three weeks.

h
7.1.21

A

CURRENT No

470 A

Received

11 FEB 1921

191

Registered

3. 2. 1921

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

3.

No.

G.A. 67-

2775

Spare copies

Dated the 1st February 1921.

From

A. NEWMARCH, Esq.,

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Law (Education) Department.

SIR,

With reference to Government Endorsement No. 76A-1 dated the 7th January 1921, I have the honour to return the proposition statements with the following remarks.

The entries in the column present scale have been checked and found correct except in the following particulars.

(1) The number of permanent officers in Indian Educational Service as per the audit register of this office is 42 and not 41. Presumably the post of Principal, Engineering College has not been included therein.

(2) Under Inspectors- temporary in statement 3 only 4 is shown; instead of 7. Presumably the three (statements) temporary Inspectors sanctioned in G.O. 1426 Home Edn. dated the 4th December 1920 have not been taken into consideration.

(3) The average pay of the post of the Provincial Educa-

tional

W3

Home (Education) Department

Letter. No. 872 A-1
Refce. 4.3.24

To
✓ The Honourable the Resident in
MYSORE.

Sir,

I am directed to invite a -
reference to the despatch from the -
Secretary of State No. 135 Public dated
the 23rd May 1913 sanctioning the crea-
tion of a post of Inspector of schools
for Coorg, the Civil and Military Station
of Bangalore and the district of South
Kanara in the Madras Presidency. Under
the arrangement therein sanctioned, one-
third of the cost of the Inspector and
his establishment is borne by this Govt.

2. For some time past, this Govt have
had under consideration a comprehensive
scheme for the strengthening of the -
superior inspecting staff to cope with
the ever increasing volume of work due to
the increase in the number of schools.
They have now decided to recommend to
the Govt of India that each revenue -
district excepting the Nilgiris should be
placed in charge of an Inspector and the
Govt of India are shortly to be addressed
for obtaining the sanction of the -
Secretary of State to the creation of

p. 6 of
Govt. No. 638 (H)
8-7-13

DISPATCHED
4 MAR 1924
K.S. 4/3

27/
Educational Service is Rs. 523³/₄ vide reply dated the 1st
October 1920 to U.O. Reference No. 1476 A/20-1 dated the
13th September 1920. *ap. file*

(4) The number of permanent clerks on Rs. 30/- and Rs. 20/-
and of peons on 7 of the establishment of the Assistant
Inspector of Schools is 12, 12 and 20 respectively as per re-
gisters of this office while the numbers shown in the proposi-
tion statement are 15, 18 and 26 respectively. *-23 autk*

(5) A reference is invited to clause II (b) (i) of the
revised article 57 of Volume I of the Civil Account Code, com-
municated with G.O. No. 276 Financial dated the 23rd March 1920,
under which the details of the temporary establishment within
the Local Government's powers of sanction need not be included
in the proposition statement submitted to the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. S. S.

Deputy Accountant-General.

M.S.S.

29
9 additional posts of Inspectors. It has been suggested that in the reconstitution of circles which will be effected as a result of the appointment of additional Inspectors, South Kanara may be placed in charge of a separate Inspector and that the Nilgiris may be placed under the jurisdiction of the Inspector of schools, Coorg and Bangalore. Under the revised arrangement suggested, this Govt will continue to meet one third of the cost of the Inspector and his establishment as at present.

3. I am to enquire whether the above proposal in regard to the regrouping of the Inspectors' circles meets with your approval.

G.N.
16-2-21

N.K.
1-3-21

C.R. 2-3-21

R.R.R. 3-3-21

p. 19 of

p. 19 of

Govt. No. 638 25/8-7-13

(H)



Es. CN. 1558 A
28.3.21
No. 2934
259/1911.
R.O.I.-R.P.E.-2-1921.-1,000.
30

FROM

THE HON'BLE LIEUT. COL. S. G. KNOX, C.S.I., C.I.E.,
RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.

th
Dated Bangalore, 25 March 1921.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 872-A.1., dated the 4th March 1921, I have the honour to say that I see no objection to the proposed regrouping of the circles of Inspectors of Schools so far as Coorg and the Civil & Military Station of Bangalore are concerned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for RESIDENT.
S.R.

BJ

26

NOTES.

Appointment of additional Inspectors

25

Home (Educ) Dept

11-44 C. 2280 A - From the D.P.I. Cuv N°

p. 5 of Lett. by the A.G. ^{7.25/1/20} ^{12.7.20} ^{23.7.20} ~~GA-67/110/18~~

Subject :- Appointment of additional Inspectors

the ^{question of the} ~~need for~~ strengthening of the

Inspecting Agency has been under the consideration of Govt since 1913. The general scheme for the reorganisation of the Inspectorate which was to have been submitted to the Govt of India ^{in 1916} contemplated the employment of 12 additional Inspectors. ~~The~~ ^{It} was however withheld pending the orders of the Secretary of State on the reorganisation of the Educational Services. In para 14 of their letter No. 951 ^{Honolulu} ^{17.7.1918}, this Govt recommended an addition of twelve ^{to the} number of Inspectors so as to enable each ^{important} district to have its own Inspector. ~~It~~ ^{It was then proposed} that all the Inspectors should belong to class ^(JES) ~~I~~ ^{and} that out of the total strength of 22 Insps, 13 should ^{be recruited in Europe} ~~be recruited in Europe~~ and 9 in India. Before the orders of the Secretary of State were received, the D.P.I. ^{proposed}

page 1 of go. 237 ^{23.2.20} ^(P)

of draft letter at slip ^(I)

The letter was not issued - notes are in go. 237 ^{23.2.20} ^(P)

(X) - page 70 of notes to go ^(P) ^(U)

proposed last year that 4 additional Inspectors be appointed ~~temporarily~~ immediately to cope with the increased work ^{of inspection} due to the expansion of schools and the Govt accordingly sanctioned the creation ^{in the cadre of the BES} temporarily for a period of one year, of four additional Inspector Circles and at the same time directed that four posts of Assistant Inspectors should be temporarily held in abeyance -

(X) Pro No 1165 Home (Edn), d 24.9.1919. The D.P.I. now proposes the creation in the current year of 8 additional Inspector posts with a view to the further carrying out of the scheme for the strengthening of the Inspectorate.

p. 1cf

2 The number of secondary, elementary and training schools has increased considerably in recent years, as will be observed from the following statement:—

	Secondary schools		Elementary schools		Training schools	
	Number of schools	Strength	No of schools	Strength	No of schools	Strength
1912-13	339	1,06,396	25,211	1,014,448	47	3,485
1917-18	355	1,40,306	29,848	1,259,041	62	4,226
1918-19	418	1,43,277	29,938	1,249,926	66	4,422

29

The Govt have ^{also} recently sanctioned the opening of additional training schools in the current year and orders sanctioning the opening of 20 high schools and 39 ^{new} middle schools will shortly issue. The necessity for an increase in the number of inspectors may therefore be admitted.

since read
Govt No 857
23.7.20
(7)

3 At present there are ^{nine} ~~excluding the Inspector of Schools~~ Inspectors of Circles, permanent, of whom seven are in the I.S.S. and two in the P.S.S., and four temporary Inspectors, all of whom are in the P.S.S. In letter No 487 Home (Edn),

(B)

of 23.4.1920 this Govt have recommended ~~to~~ the Govt of India the transfer to the I.S.S. of the six posts of Inspectors which are now included in the P.S.S. with the addition

since approved
by the Govt of India
Govt No 1285
3.11.20
(7)

p. 1 of nm proposed by the Director, there will be 21 Inspectors of Circles, all in the I.S.S. This is in accordance with the general scheme for the reorganisation of the Inspecting Agency under which each revenue district, excluding a few small districts is to have an Inspector. The Director's proposal may therefore be

p. 2 of slip (L)

be approved.

p. 2 of 2 The Director's proposal to designate the Inspectors in future by the name of the dt. or dts in their charge seems unobjectionable and may be accepted.

para 2, p. 2 of 3 The Director proposes to abolish the posts of ~~8 Assistant~~ Inspectors in the P. & S in the dts of ~~Bel~~ Vizagapatam, Bellary, Trichinopoly, Madurai, Coimbatore, Malabar, P. Kanara and Chingleput. It is

observed that in ~~each~~^{most} of these dts there is to be a separate Inspector. Attention is solicited to para 5 of the draft letter to the Govt of India in which it was stated that "the appointment of an Inspector for each district will supersede the existing arrangement under which Asst Inspectors are in district charge and consequently the posts of ² Assistant Inspectors who are members of the P. & S will no longer be required" Under Govt No 1165 Home Secy

(X) 24.9.1919, 4 posts of Assistant Inspectors in the P. & S are temporarily held

* There are now 8 posts vacant in the P. & S & the persons affected are proposed to be transferred to suitable appointments - para 2, p. 2 of

* withheld. (I)

held in abeyance and will eventually be abolished. The Director's proposal to abolish 8 more posts of Asst Insps. is in accordance with the main scheme and may be approved.

p. 2 cf

4 The proposals for office establishment for the new Inspectors follow the scale sanctioned for the existing Inspectors and may be accepted.

5 As there is to be an Inspector para 4, p. 3 cf for each district (excluding a few dls), the proposal to revert to the ^{rank} grade of Sub-Asst Inspectors the 11 officers of the S. E. S who are now in district charge and are designated Assistant Inspectors may also be approved. The D.P.I. states that the existing ranges of Sub-Asst Inspectors will be increased by 11 in order to provide for the 11 officers

p. 3 cf

above referred to and that when the ten additional ^{ranges} ~~Sub-Asst Inspectors~~ for which provision has been made in the current year's Budget Estimate are sanctioned, there will be very nearly one Sub-Asst Inspector for each taluk. ~~It may~~ It may be noted in this connection that the ^{general}

cf para 70 in p. 24
of the Draft Final Staff
(61)

(I)

general scheme for the reorganisation of the Inspecting agency contemplates the abolition of the posts of Supervisors and a large addition to the cadre of Sub Assistants than that now proposed by the D.P.I. The question will ^{presumably} be taken up separately ^{by the D.P.I.} as soon as orders are passed on the reorganisation of the S. S. &

cf. Secy's note
on p. 71 of the
notes with Govt No 237

6 The ~~extra~~ extra cost of the scheme which is ^(proposed) to be given effect to from the 1st October 1920 is estimated by the Director at Rs. 36,000 in the current year and this can be met from the lump provision of Rs 57,000 in the current year's Budget Estimate under 223-Edn - Inspection - Adm. Insp. circles

Estab. Rs 25600
Contingencies 10,400
other etc }
36,000

(P)

p. 4 of

7. The D.P.I. proposes that 4 out of the 8 new posts be filled up by recruitment in India and that the Secretary of State be addressed for the recruitment in England of persons to fill up the remaining four posts. The proposal is in accordance with the principle enunciated by the Govt of India and

33 1

para 12 on p. 3 and accepted by the Secretary of State
and para 5 on pp. 9-10 that the proportion of Indians who
of G. no. 600 (1) Can suitably be included in the
17.5.20 I. 9.5 should be 50% and may therefore
be accepted.

p. 5 of 8 The A. G. ~~states~~ reports
that the proposals require the
sanction of the Secretary of State.

para 3 of the A draft memo to the D. P. I. calling
A. G.'s draft for a Proposition Statement is subd
4 for approval. After issue the
file may be sent to D. P. I. for acceptance of the Director's
proposals.

4/11
9.8.20
11.8.20
11.8.20

We have advanced a good deal
since 1916. I wonder if it be not time
to have separate inspectors for
the districts of Kurnool, Anaparthi
Chingleput and S. Kanara: abolishing
4 more Assistant Inspectors,

at any rate we have to make a
further reference to the D. P. I. and
the above to the draft

12/8/20

With reference to the Secretary's note on page 7 ante, it is submitted that the arrangement under which there is a separate Inspector for South Kanara, the Coorg and Bangalore was sanctioned at the instance of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore and the Madras Govt. bear ^{only} one-third of the total cost of the circle, inclusive of the charges on account of supplies and services, contingencies etc - Vide G.Os Nos 254 Edl, dated 16-3-12, 835 Edl dated 14-9-12 and 638 Edl, dated 8-7-13. If a separate Inspector is to be appointed for South Kanara, the Resident in Mysore also will have to be consulted. This may be done on receipt of the views of the D.P.I.

2. As regards the abolition of 4 more posts of Asst. Inspectors, it is submitted that, excluding the Asst. Inspector of European Schools and the Asst. Inspector of Coorg and Bangalore, there are 23 Asst. Inspectors of whom 12 are in the P.E.S. and 11 in the S.E.S. Four posts of Asst. Inspectors are temporarily held in abeyance under G.O.No. 1165 Home(Edn), dated 24-9-19 and will eventually be abolished. The D.P.I. has proposed the abolition of the remaining 8 posts of Asst. Inspectors in the P.E.S. If any further reduction is to be made, it should be in the cadre of Sub-asst. Inspectors as the remaining 11 Asst. Inspectors belong to that cadre.

3. A revised draft memo is submitted for approval.

13.8.20

13/8/20

14.8.20

16/8/20

P.6cf
M.7-9cf

Memo No 2280 A-1 of 16.8.20
C. 3792 A - From the D.P.I. No 725/20
of 18.11.20
The

The D.P.T. considers that, in view of pp 7-8 of the increase in the number of schools since 1913 and the important part that Inspectors of Schools ~~will~~ are likely to play in the D. Educational Councils to be formed under the Elementary Education Act, 4 posts of Inspectors over and above the 8 posts proposed by Mr. Littlehales may be created.

p. 2 of

p. 9 of

?

The Director is of opinion that no reduction in the cadre of Sub-Asst Inspectors is possible on account of the increasing demand for additional Sub-Asst Inspectors as a result of the rapid expansion of elementary education. His view may be accepted.

2 The file may be sent to F.M. for acceptance of the proposal for the creation of ¹²/₈ addl. posts of Insps in the J. E. S. On return of the file, the Resident in Mysore may be consulted in regard to the appointment of a separate Inspector for S. Kanara

Vide para 1 of the note on p. 8 ante

3 With reference to para 2 of the A.C.'s letter on p. 16 of, the D.P.T. may be requested to explain the discrepancy

Printed out by the A.G. ~~to be kept in~~
~~the file of the A.G.~~

23-12-20

4 While the proposition statement provides for the employment of 12 additional inspectors as a

Project

permanent arrangement, the existing four temporary posts of inspectors are proposed to be continued on a temporary basis. It is for consideration whether they may not also be brought on the permanent establishment. If so, the proposition statement will have to be returned to the D.D. for revision.

27/12/20

A. Certainly but verify if we have not already (in our P.E.S. Memorandum file) made for their permanency.

Submitted that in sanctioning the transfer of the four posts from the P.E.S. to the D.E.S. the govt. has declared them to be temporary

1.3 4600/1285 3-11-20

2. I am for sanctioning the additional number of inspectors.

3. It may be possible to club Bangalore & the Nilgiris; put this separately to the D.P. 9.

Draft memo to D.P. 9 & send file to file May 28/12/20



C File 10 C

NO: 3792A-2 30/12/20

Draft on to the D.D. is submitted. After issue, the file may be sent to file. 30/12/20

For the Asst. Secy.

The extra cost involved in the proposals of the Education department for the strengthening of the superior ranks of the Inspecting Agency is as follows:--

	Cost p.m. Rs.
Cost of 12 additional Inspectors in the I.E.S. (Average pay Rs. 1,055)	12,660
Cost of establishment for the above 12 Inspectors at Rs. 172 p.m. for each officer	2,064
	<u>14,724</u>
Deduct -	Rs.
Cost of 8 Asst. Inspectors in the P.E.S. to be abolished (Average pay = Rs. 523 1/2)	4,190
Cost of establishment for the above 8 Inspectors	558 582
Savings in the establishment of 11 Sub Asst. Inspectors who are in charge of districts at present.	392 392
	<u>5,140</u>
Net cost per mensem ..	9,584
Net cost per annum ..	1,15,008
T.A. and contingencies	16,000
Total extra cost per annum ..	<u>1,31,008</u>
	or <u>1.31 lakhs.</u>

2. The need for a large addition to the superior ranks of the Inspecting Agency has long been recognised - vide para 8 of letter No. 45 Edn. dated 10-1-1914 and para 14 of letter No. 951 Edn. dated 17-7-18. The original proposal contemplated an addition of 12 Inspectors so as to enable each important district to have its own Inspector, so that the total strength of the Inspectorate was to be 22 (inclusive of the inspector for European and

1068 1/2
para 3, page 2 C.F.

para 2, p 2 C.F.

para 3, p 3 C.F.

para 4, p 3 C.F.

para 14 of U

Training schools). It was also recognised that all these Inspectors should form part of the I.E.S. cadre. At present there are 14 Inspectors as shown under:--

- (a) 8 permanent Inspectors in the I.E.S.
- (b) 2 permanent Inspectors in the P.E.S.
- (c) 4 temporary Inspectors ~~in~~ the P.E.S.

(The Govt. of India have ~~agreed to recommend~~ recently sanctioned the inclusion of (b) and (c) also in the I.E.S.)

The requirements contemplated in 1918 would therefore have been sufficiently met by an addition of only 8 more to the Inspector's cadre. The Education department however urge that it is time that all districts with perhaps but one exception (the Nilgiris) should each have an Inspector, and accordingly recommends ~~an~~ ^{an} immediate addition of 12 to the Inspectorate, so that the ultimate strength will be 26 against 22 contemplated in 1918. It is not clear from the Education Secretary's suggestion at B on page 10 ante to club Bangalore and Nilgiris, whether he contemplates a separate Inspector for these, in which case the Inspector's cadre will have to be increased by one more, i.e. to 27.

3. The proposals will require the sanction of the Secretary of State even after the new devolution rules come into force. They cannot therefore be given effect to immediately and consequently it is not likely that any extra expenditure will be incurred in the course of the current year. As regards next year, the D.P.I. has included a provision of 1 lakh in his budget for this scheme and

he

para 140 (U)

Go. 1165 Edu 26.9.19 (X)

para 5 of Go. 1285 Edu 3.11.22 (F)

para 140 letter 957 Edu 17.7.18 (U)

pp 7 and 10 ante

Probably one for Coimbatore + the Nilgiris Bangalore being clubbed with some other district
RSL
It is proposed to club the Nilgiris instead of having a separate Inspector for Bangalore

There is room for resumption
RSL

h 9 C.F.

extract at (Z)

he states that any expenditure over this amount may be met by reappropriation. Submitted that, as stated by the Asst. Secretary in the file relating to the strengthening of the subordinate Inspecting Agency, everything will have to depend upon our ways and means for next year and that it is very likely that we will not be able to afford any extra establishments. The present proposals will therefore have to be reconsidered in April next, and the Education department will have to defer addressing India till then.

(it is submitted that

4. On the merits of the case, it would seem sufficient for the present to restrict the increase in cadre to what was contemplated in 1918 i.e. to the creation of 8 more posts of Inspectors so as to make the total strength 22. The distribution of the charges will then be as shown in para 1 on page 2 C.F. and seems suitable. *It is presumed that if any Inspector is to do duty in Coorg or Bangalore, proportionate charges will be recovered at present.*

Of page 8 ante
Presumption correct

para 3, p 2 C.F.

But the work in
an Inspector's office will
increase on acct of the
increase of the duty
of the Inspector
RSL

5. As regards the scale of establishment proposed for each Inspector, viz., one Head clerk, 4 clerks and 3 peons, it is submitted that, after the scheme of having one Inspector for each district is brought into effect, the work in an Inspector's office will undergo material reduction, so that it is unnecessary to fix the clerical strength of each office at the present scale. A uniform strength of, say, 3 clerks (against 5 at present) may be laid down for each Inspector's office (including the offices of the existing Inspectors) of which one may be in the scale of Rs. 60-4-80 and the other two in the scale of Rs. 30-30-2-50-1-60, the clerks in the office of the Inspector, Madras, Chingleput, being given an addition of Rs. 5. If, in any particular district,

Revised rates according
to Knapp's proposals.

M.O.P.I. has stated in another
file that a first class
clerk (Rs 85-4-125)
is reqd for the post of
Head clerk in an Inspector's
office.

the work is very heavy an additional hand on Rs. 30-60 may be allowed, ~~but~~ each such individual

case will have to be separately considered on the merits. The pay of the persons in each office may be Rs 14 for one and Rs 13 for two. The whole cost will be ineligible for any temporary allowances.

6. The question as to whether the 11 posts of sub assistant Inspectors of Schools, who are now in charge of districts as Asst. Inspectors, should be abolished when the new scheme comes into force will depend upon the decision to be arrived at in the file relating to the strengthening of the subordinate Inspecting Agency by 18 posts. This latter file is being submitted separately.

7. Financial may agree to the proposal to address the Secretary of State for making permanent the existing 4 posts of temporary Inspectors also.

According to the Knappe proposal, the Rs 2 person to draw Rs 14 and Rs 7 person to draw Rs 13.

para 4, p 3 C.F.

Ans to anti


8/1/21

I doubt if we will be able to afford to expand the Education Inspecting Staff to the extent proposed from next year - the most we may allow may be four next year with a reasonable establishment.

S. R. 4/4/21

I do not think I can quarrel with the scheme. It is likely however that we shall not be able to afford immediately all that the D.P. wants

R.H. 12/1/21



Encl. 100C-1

15.1.21
ES.C.N. 197A
17.1.21

Law

121

Law (Hon) Dept

with reference to the ~~Staff~~ ^{Finance}
 Secretary's note on p. 14 ante, it is
 submitted that the sanction of the
 Secretary of State may be obtained for
 the creation of 12 additional posts
 of Inspectors and that the scheme
 may be given effect to in instalments
 as funds are ^{available by the Dep. Council} ~~available~~. For orders,
 whether the Grant of India may
 be addressed in April next as

para 4, p. 13 ante suggested by the Finance Dept.

2 The Finance Dept. suggest
 that as the work in an Inspector's
 office will undergo material reduction

para 5, p. 13 ante in consequence of the employment
 of additional Inspectors, the
 clerical strength ~~of~~ in each office
 may be fixed at 3 against 5
 as at present. Attention is invited

(P)

to para 4 of Sir Henry Stone's letter
 printed on p. 13 of G.O. No. 237 (H.M. Secy)
 of 23.2.1920 in which he stated that
 the retention of the present numerical
 strength of the clerical establishment of
 inspectors was quite necessary on account
 of the transfer to the Insps' office
 of the Statistical and compilation work
 now

now being done in the D. T. Asst Insp's Office.

This view was accepted by Govt. - Vide portion marked on p. 26 of the notes with the Gov. The question was again raised in connection with the appointment of 4 additional Inspectors in 1919 and the D.P.I.

then noted that no reduction in the clerical establishment of an Inspector's office would be possible.

^(Each D. T. Inspector is now allowed a staff of 5 clerks) With the introduction of the Elementary Education Act the work in an Inspector's office

will ^{enormously} increase and ^{no} reduction of establishment ^{is therefore} possible. The

file may again be sent to Govt. for reconsideration in the light of the facts set forth above

24.1.21 25.1.21

Yes
2. also I propose that we address India immediately for sanction. (Subject to the understanding that the sanction will be given effect to so far as funds permit). With the vast contemplated spread of elementary education in the immediate future I consider this proposal as imperative

cf also Propn SH at slip (I)

(P)

Vide para 2 on p. 4

and para 2 on p. 5

of the notes to Govt. No. 1165

(X) 24.9.19

43

47

Urgent.

2280A

26/1/21

No No 2280A-1

26.1.21



2nd copy

Financial Dept.

File 474C

Submitted with reference to Financial Secretary's remarks on page 14 ante.


2/ Financial may agree to the proposal of the Home (Edu) Secretary, with regard to the creation of 12 additional posts & giving effect to the scheme in instalments so far as funds permit.

3 against 5

3/ As regards the reduction in the strength of extt. proposed by this deptt. at p 13 ante, the Edu Deptt. ^{expects} ~~anticipates~~ increase of work in an inspectors office on account of the introduction of the Elementary Education Act and does not recommend any reduction of extt. The proposed strength of 5 clerks may perhaps be reduced

when work actually increases
addl: Isatbl: Can he asked for - ^{for the present time} may be sanctioned
2/2/21

for an inspector by one & it might be possible to manage with 4 men. The rates of pay proposed on pages 13 & 14 ante for the extt. of the Inspectorate may now be approved. ie 1 on Rs 60-4-80
3 on 30-30-2-50-1-60 }



* The Finance Dept has 86
noted in our file that the
at 80-4-120 80-4-120

2/2/21

It stands to reason

that if an Insp.'s charge is made smaller the clerical & statistical work will be less & the creation of more work of this kind should be severely discouraged. I take it that the less an Insp. is hampered with office work the more useful he will be. Since however there is no immediate prospect of the full number of inspectors being appointed we cannot insist on a reduction of the clerical staff of each immediately to the full extent. Four clerks in each office should however be ample

RSL

3/2/21

Law

Inice. V.O. 474C-1.
4-2-21.

EM. 5059A
-4 FEB 1921

RECEIVED
-1 FEB 1921

Styl

K. N. [unclear]
[unclear]

Law (Edw) Dep

meth. p. ? may be requested to state wherein the clerical strength of each Inspector's office may be reduced and the scales of fees as suggested by the Fin. Dept. A draft no note is sent for approval. After discussion of proposal, he may be asked to make the ~~above at or in [unclear]~~

necessary changes in the proposition statement

H 20-244 already submitted by him with reference also to the remarks made by the A.G. in his letter No. G.A. 67-

H 26-274 2775, dated the 1st February 1921 since received.

LL
12.2.21
NY
Wm

Q
12.2.21
J

del
12/2/21

Issue the uo note to
SP 9. But do not delay this
file further. Draft to India
for the creation of addl
Inspectorships in the I.E.S.
Wm
12/2/21

uon. 2280 A-2
12.2.21

LL
12/2

NOTES.

Law
~~Home~~ (Education) Dept.

U.O. Note.

H1-4cf C. No. 2280-A. Letter from the D.P.I., C. No. 7251/20, dated 12-7-20.
H7-10cf 3792-A. Letter from -do- -do- dated 18-11-20.

Subject.- Employment of Additional Inspectors.

In his letters read above the D.P.I. requests sanction to the employment of 12 additional Inspectors of schools with a view to cope with the increase in the work of inspection due to the expansion of schools.

The D.P.I. proposes the following scale of establishment for each of the offices of additional Inspectors, which is based on the scale sanctioned for the existing offices of Inspectors:-

	<i>Rs</i> Per mensem.
One head clerk on	50-2-60
One clerk on	25-1-30
One clerk on	20-1-25
One clerk on	20
One temporary clerk on	20
One peon on	8
and two peons on	7 each

It is submitted that, in consequence of the employment of additional Inspectors, the jurisdiction of an Inspector will be smaller and the clerical and statistical work in his office ^{will be} less than at present and it should be possible to effect a reduction in the clerical strength of an Inspector's office. A staff of 4 clerks (against 5 at present) may, it is submitted, suffice for each office. The scales of pay

p. 8 cf

p. 18 cf

of pay may be fixed as follows:-

f. 17 nf

f. 14 nf

f. 13 nf

Per mensem
Rs.

Head clerk on

60-4-80

3 clerks on

30, 30-2-50-1-60 each

3 peons 1(14), 2(13)

The clerks in the office of the Inspector, Madras-
(Chingleput) may be given an addition of Rs. 5/-

2. Submitted that the D.P.I. may be requested to

offer his remarks on the above suggestions. *He*
Agrees will report at what stage in the increase of
proceeders he can give effect. Who would in the establishment
3. If he agrees, the D.P.I. may be asked to

Ht 20-24 f

revise the proposition statement already submitted
and to carry out the necessary alterations

with reference to the observations of the Accountant-
General in his letter No. G.A. 67-2 dated the 1st

Ht 26-27 f February 1921

Sum 2280 A-2
(2. 2 21)

H

12.2.21

W

A
12/2/21

12/2/21

DD 2/2/21

2000/120
24.2.24

Page No. 322
[Office of the D.P.I.]

U.O. Reply.

Though the jurisdiction of each Inspector will be smaller, it does not follow that the clerical of work/an Inspector's office will be less. On the contrary, with the disappearance of the Assistant Inspector of Malabar, the Inspector of that district has already complained that his office is unable to cope with the extra work devolving upon it. More or less the same position is likely to confront the other Inspectors also. They will ^{have} hereafter to do the Assistant Inspector's work in addition to their own. Moreover the establishment of District Educational Councils coupled with large delegations of administrative and financial powers is sure to entail much correspondence. In these circumstances, any reduction of the Inspector's clerical establishment will be out of the question. On the other hand, it is likely that Inspectors will be obliged to ask for more clerical help. The Malabar Inspector's application to me has been refused, but even before orders issued, he wrote again pressing for an early and favourable reply--an indication of his urgent need for help.

2 As regards scale of pay I adhere to the recommendations made in the revised Budget Estimate for 1921-22. Attention is particularly invited to foot-note No.3 (relating to Inspection and forming

Transferred to
File

in final

* in the file
relating to the
to pay of the establs
of inspecting officers
in Bangalore
sent to final on
28.2.21

* Pay-- Rs 80-4-20

p. 21 ante

p. 20-27 of


p. 27 of

p. 18-19 of
p. 22 of

forming an enclosure to my U.O. No. 28 dated 22-1-21) and also to my U.O. reply No. 2 dated 4-1-21 emphasizing the need for including Inspectors' Head Clerks in the category of 1st Class clerks. As an additional argument it may be pointed out that for an army of over 500 subordinate clerks chiefly in the new scale of Rs. 30.30--2--50--1--60 there will be open only about 35 posts of 1st class clerks. Regarding the last sentence in the Secretariat notes, the alterations suggested by the Accountant General in paras 1 to 3 of his letter to Government No. C.A. 67-2775/1-2-21 have been carried out in the proposition statement, though it may be noted in this connection that Rs. 480 $\frac{15}{17}$, average salary of an officer in the Madras Educational Service as originally entered in the proposition statement, was furnished by the Accountant General. Regarding para 4 of the Accountant General's letter mentioned above attention is invited to my letter to Government R.C. No. 7251/20 dated 5-1-21. The figures 15, 18 and 26 include the establishment sanctioned for the Assistant Inspectresses also and the scale of staff sanctioned for the 12 Assistant Inspectors' offices having the establishment of an Assistant Inspector in the Madras



Est. C.N. 872 A
25.2.21



UNION BLDG
5 MAR 1921
p. 22 ante

p. 18 ante

~~Inspector's office~~
asked to sanction a
first class clerk for each
Inspector's office
in Mysore assigned by the
G.O. There is force in this
and he provided for the pay of
of subordinates.

please see note on
p. 8 ante

Final 1177 C
NON 02280 A-3
4/3/21

Please by repair the
letter to note

4/3/21

including 5 offices kept in abeyance) is 12
clerks on Rs.30, 16 clerks on Rs.20 and 22
peons on Rs.7 and not 12, 12, and 20 respec-
tively.

NAA
24/2/21

Law (Govt) Dept

The Finance Dept may, in
view of the P. P. ILO remarks in para
1 of his note above, be requested
to reconsider its decision in
regard to the reduction of the
clerical establishment in an
Inspector's Office. That Dept. ~~may~~

2 with reference to the

Secretary's note on p. 19 ante, a
draft letter to the Govt of India
is subd for approval. The
Honble the Resident in Mysore
may be consulted as to whether
he agrees to the suggestion to
club the Nilgiris with Bangalore.
A draft letter is
subd.

3 The extra cost of the proposals cannot
now be accurately worked out. It will
done on receipt of orders as to the strength
and pay of the establishment to be allowed
to each district.

28.2.21
M. 1177

Finance Deptt.

Submitted with reference to Financial Notes on pages 17 and 18 ante. This department agreed to a staff of 4 clerks for each of the Inspector's (of Schools) Offices on a scale of 1 on Rs 60-4-80 (Muzassal)

3 on Rs 30-30-2-50-1-60
4

The Law (Education) Deptt. urges that, in view of the D.O's remarks contained in pp 22 to 24 ante, 5 clerks should be sanctioned and that the post of the Head clerk ~~should~~ should be on Rs 80-4-120.

2/ As regards the staff, it is submitted that the D.O's argument is based more upon the future requirements consequent on the Establishment of District Educational Councils and large delegations of Administrative and Financial Powers than upon the actual requirements at the present moment. As pointed out by the Asst. Secretary on p 17 of the Financial Notes ante, it is open to the D.O to ask for an additional Estt, if and when the work actually increases. It is submitted therefore that this deptt may adhere to the opinion already expressed.

[vide Financial Secretary's note on p 18 ante]

These come into existence from 1.9.21
A

52

3/ As regards the ~~rate~~^{present} of pay, it may be pointed out that the ^{present} Scale of pay of Head clerks of the Inspection Office ~~and of the present~~ is Rs 50-2-60 exclusive of temporary addition, while that proposed by the Reforms Commissioner is Rs 60-4-80 for Mufassal. The D. G. I. recommends that the pay of the post should be raised to that of a 1st class clerk or Rs 80-4-120, on account of the responsible nature of the duties and the absence of chances of promotion either within or outside the Deptt. It cannot be said that the responsibilities of a Head clerk or Rs 50-2-60 have now increased to such an extent as to warrant an increase in the scale of pay to Rs 80-4-120. It cannot also be argued that there are no chances in the department. The Education Deptt. is a very wide field and there is ample scope for rewarding merit. It is submitted therefore that no case has been made out for increasing the pay of Head clerks in Inspection Office.

* D. G. I. remarks on p. 4 of the notes in the file relating to the Revision of Scale of pay of the Sd. in the office of the Inspector Schools, Bangalore
not put up

Order V.O. 1177 Cst.
11.3.21.
Sd. C. No. 1058 A
11.3.21

86
8.3.21

9/4/21
10/3/21
Law



4048
14321

3

27

Law (Edn/Depo)

A For orders whether para 10 of the draft order and the proposition statement may be revised in accordance with the proposals of the Finance Dept.

X 2 The Govt of India have since sanctioned the permanent appointment of the four temporary inspectors and they may be included in the permanent staff when revising the proposition statement.

MS
12/12/21

CA
12/13/21
5

P-23
Quote

The question at issue is only about the payment of 35 clerks. I think that the D.P.P. gives excellent reasons for his recommendations.

The D.E. Co. begin to work for 1st/1 next For orders

my
13/3/21

m1.

Ch

P.T.O.

28

517

This file relates to the appointments of 12 additional inspectors of schools. It is proposed to address the Government of India to permit of the appointment of these additional inspectors, all in the I.E.S. so as to have one inspector for each district, the local Government being at liberty to make these appointments as funds permit. I have read through this file with some care and I am unable to concur in the proposals ~~to be put forward~~ by the Director of Public Instruction ~~and the Secretary~~. The proposal of having one inspector in the I.E.S. for each district runs counter to the recommendations of the Public Services Commission. At page 94 of its report, annexure 4, Educational Department, the Public Services Commission distinctly states that there must be a chief inspector, that is an officer in the I.E.S. for each Revenue Division and for each district, there must be only a second class officer, that is, an officer of the M.E.S. (inspector) under the Chief Inspector. According to the present proposals it is intended that each district should have a Chief Inspector. I note that this proposal was practically negatived in G.O.No.239 dated 23-2-20 and I would invite special attention to the -- minute of Sir P.S.Sivaswami Ayyar on this subject, at paragraph 3 of dissenting minute on page 18 of the notes connected with the G.O. above referred to. I find that in 1913 there were but 8 inspectors and now there are so many as 17 inspectors--a thing seldom contemplated by the Government at that time. It is proposed immediately to add 3 more and in course of time to have the total increased to 26. I don't find

any justification for this proposal either in the increase in the number of Sub Asst. Inspectors and supervisors or in the number of schools elementary, secondary and training. I would draw special attention to the comparative figures given at page 2 of the file annexed, flagged G. I don't think we can make these appointments in anticipation of the increase in the number of elementary schools in the near future owing to the working of the Elementary Education Act. I think the Inspecting staff must certainly succeed and not precede educational expansion. I would therefore wait till education sufficiently expands before approaching the Government of India for sanction for more appointments.

al
21/5


C4

As the Committee does not accept the D.P.'s proposal, and no expenditure is in question Finance is not concerned.
But I may invite the Secy's attention to rule 8 of the rules of business under which the D.P. should be informed in advance before final order is issued.

Ma
25-5-21

B44
C1253
R:


28/5/21



50

A no. note under rule 8 is placed below.
 It is presumed that ~~that~~ the Hon'ble
 the Minister's objection is to the ap-
 pointment of the nine additional
 inspectors + not ^(also) to the retention of
 the three inspectors whose appoint-
 ment was sanctioned in December
 last. These three posts have been sanc-
 tioned temporarily for a period of
 one year.

1426
 4.12.20 (W3)




(No. note sent for
 despatch.)

3792A-1
 13/6/21

8K
 30.5.21
 30.5.21

Q
 31.5.21
 S

Done
 my
 11/6/21



50

Note for M1.

Attention is invited to the office note below; I presume M1 agrees.

2. May I respectfully venture to put forward another suggestion? When I was both Nellore, Nellore & Guntur did form one Inspector's circle at Guntur & Nellore suffered. I beg to state that there is considerable advantage in having an officer for each dt. with Inspector's powers. If we appoint an asst. Insp., he loses initiative.

3. I take it that M1's objection is to the high Salaries of the I. & S. scale. May I suggest an alternative? That the addl. circle officers be S² but on M. & S. (the lower Punjab) scale. They should be given all the Inspector's powers. This I submit is essential with our D.C.s. If my present proposal be S², there will practically be Inspectors in two grades. I grade - I.S.B. II grade - M. & S.

M1. The A.O. Note to the D.P.I. may come.

Mun
3/6/21

at 2/6


add file

3/6/21

9 add
3 Imp

58 32

Government of Madras



Law Education Department

U.O. Note

C.No. 3792. From the D.P.I., No. 25-13/18.11.20.

With reference to his letter read above, the

Director of Public Instruction may be informed that it is proposed to disallow his proposal for the appointment of nine additional Inspectors of Schools in the I.E.S in this Presidency.

This information is given to enable the Director of Public Instruction, if he thinks fit, to lay his views personally before the Minister in charge or His Excellency the Governor.

8K
20.5.21
30.5.21

31.5.21
11/6/21

Signature

Ed- W. 3792 A-1
13.6.21.

No. 305.

U.O. reply No. 305 dated 21.6.21

I should be pleased to know the reasons for inverting a policy of educational efficiency which has been advocated for a large number of years. I should like also to lay my views before the Minister and before His Excellency.

Sen 29224
23.6.21

Signature
D.P.I.

Submitted.

2. The D.P.I may be informed ^{of the date} when it will be convenient for the Honble the Minister to discuss the matter with him.

801
23.6.21
NK
23/6/21

24.6.21
5

27/6/21

M3

20/7 2008 was refused by telephone
that 25/8 had sent me
when this & the details
all be discussed

20/7/21

Letter

339 2nd sub.
467
42, 2.
96

Has any letter been addressed to the
Secretary of State for India &
the confirmation of the
Sawyer & others?
In light of the above I must
know the information.

20/7/21

Subd. that the S. of I. were
addressed on: the confirmation of
Mr. Saunders on 5th May 1920 & that
the Govt. wrote in April. last



Go. 5445 (6)
(Docket put up,
original being in
another file)

that the Secy. of State ~~address~~ has
been addressed on the matter. Secy.
of State's orders have not yet been
received.

2 No other case of Confirmation of officers in the J. E. S. is pending

with the G. of J. or the Secy: of

State

6
28.7.21

28.7.21

M₃

2872

Under the present financial
strain it is impossible to finance
the Scheme and 1.25 lakhs. we
want retrenchment of 20% in
all directions to save money - There
~~Scheme~~ is no use discussing the
merits of a Scheme which cannot be
taken up now or for a few years
more until finances are better.

The Case Shld be over and
be recorded for the present.

28/2

Inspector
OPG's proposal for
- appl- g add - Recorded

Str. 11430 egg 2 The papers may be
new.

Recorded

8/2
1.8.21.
MK
31/2/21

$$\frac{5-82}{5-82} \frac{a}{4-8-21}$$

Strengthening of the --Government of India

addressed.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191

” ” ” ” ” 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2280 A) From the D.P.I Cur, No. 7251/, dated 12-7-1920 1921

II

3792 A) R. O. C. No. 7251/20 18-11-1920 191

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191

ORDER.

No., dated 191

Press

The Government of India will be
ssed.

To

The D.P.I (q & O)

Letter

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Department of Education.

(with copies of the AG's letter on p. 5 of
+ Gen. No. 1165 d) 24-9-19 + Prohm 5th
i r, on 20-14cf)

I am directed to address the Govt. of India on the subject of the strengthening of the superior inspecting staff in the Madras Presidency.

2. I am at the outset to invite *attention*

2

attention to para 14 of my letter No. 951 dated 17th July 1918 in which pointed reference has already been made to the long pending necessity for increase in the number of the superior inspecting staff. The inspecting agency in this Presidency at present consist of - inspectors with jurisdiction over more than one district, Assistant Inspectors one for each of the major districts, Sub assistant Inspectors and Supervisors. In addition to being generally responsible for boys' education in his circle, the Inspector exercises direct control over secondary education and training schools. The supervision of the elementary education of boys is the principal work of the Assistant Inspectors who also act as educational advisers to local boards and municipalities in their districts and supervise and check the work of the Sub Assistant Inspectors and Supervisors. The chief function of the Sub-assistants is the inspection of elementary schools for the purpose of assessing grants-in-aid and that of the Supervisors is the organization and starting of new schools and the bringing of indigenous schools under departmental supervision.

3. The last permanent addition that was made to the superior inspection staff was the creation of a post in the I.E.S. for the combined Inspectorship for Coorg, the Civil and Military station of - Bangalore and the district of South -

Kanara

(U)

cf para 3 on p. 2 of slip (I)

X

p. 6 of Geo No 63865
8-7-13

(H)

Institutions	1912-1913	1913-1920
Secondary schools	339	467
Elementary schools	25211	30,989
Training schools	47	93
Inspecting staff		
Sub assistant Inspectors	209	384 * C.B.I.
Supervisors	292	315

p. 7 of
* taken from
the P. I. Report
p. 7 of

* p. 3 of
Geo No: 237 (P)
23.2.20

M 1-2 of Geo No
237 (P)
23.2.20

p. 2 of slip (I)

62

Kanara in the Madras Presidency sanctioned in the Secretary of State's despatch No. 135 Public dated 23-5-1913. Since then, owing chiefly to large grants from Imperial and Provincial funds for education, there has been a considerable increase in the number of institutions to be inspected and of the subordinate staff to be supervised as will be seen from the subjoined statement:—

4. Even as early as 1913, the D.P.I. Madras recommended an increase in the number of Inspectors of schools in this Presidency by twelve and the abolition of the service of District Assistant Inspectors. The principal reasons assigned for the proposal were:—

(i) to ensure adequate control of the continually increasing subordinate staff of inspecting and other officers which the great expansion in education has rendered necessary;

(ii) to enable the Inspectors to acquire more intimate and personal knowledge of the subordinate inspecting

Officers

4
officers;

(iii) to secure improvement in the methods, tone and standard of existing institutions, especially secondary schools and the exercise of a more effective control particularly in respect of admissions to and promotions from class to class through adequate supervision of entrance and promotion examinations;

(iv) to give the inspectors more time for the efficient discharge of administrative functions such as the recognition of new schools and maintaining touch with the other administrative officers of Government;

(v) to provide for the better discharge of those functions of advisers to local bodies which at present are mainly entrusted to Assistant Inspectors and

(vi) to facilitate generally the introduction of a large measure of decentralization in educational administration.

The Director also pointed out that an Inspector in charge of four or five revenue districts could find time for little more than the most nominal direct control of his subordinates and the teachers under their care. He further urged that a mere increase in the subordinate agency would not suffice but that a superior

staff

of para 4 on p. 2 of slip (E)

of para 4 on p. 2
of slip (E)

para 14 of letter No. 951 (U)
17.7.18

(X)

in No. 1285 (H)
3.11.20

63
staff of officers of the highest education and thoroughly acquainted with good schools and methods by special training and study was required. He therefore recommended the appointment of a separate Inspector for each important district. He considered that this arrangement would secure for education that desirable co-operation with other District officials which was not possible under existing conditions and that recognition of its importance as a district service from the lack of which it undoubtedly suffered. The Govt. after careful consideration accepted those recommendations.

5. When however the scheme was about to be submitted to the Govt of India for sanction, the war broke out and the financial stringency caused by it led to the postponement of the scheme. Towards the close of 1918, the D.P.I brought to the notice of this Govt the enormous inconveniences caused to the department by such postponement; and on his urgent representation, sanction was accorded in the proceedings of this Govt. No. 1165 dated 24-9-19 (copy enclosed) to the creation of four temporary posts of Inspectors in the P.E.S; four posts of Asstt. Inspectors in the service being held in abeyance. The Govt of India have since in their letter No. 1092 dated the 27th August 1920 sanctioned the transfer

of

of these four temporary posts to the I.E.S.

6. The need for additional Inspectors again became pressing last year and, in consequence, this Govt have sanctioned the creation of three additional -- temporary Inspectors of schools pending a permanent revision of the staff of the Inspectorate (vide correspondence ending with your letter No. 1523 dated 17-12-20) Excluding the Inspector of European -- schools, there are thus in all 16 Inspectors of schools--nine permanent and seven -- temporary.

7. Allowing for the development that have taken place since 1913, the D.P.I now proposes that the permanent strength of the superior inspecting staff should be raised to 25. Apart from the increase in the volume of work referred to in para 3 supra, the passing of the Madras Elementary Education Act has thrown additional -- responsible duties on Inspectors of schools who are likely to play an important part in the near future in the District Educational Councils to be formed under the Elementary Education Act of 1920. It is considered desirable that there should be an Inspector of schools for each individual council. According to the proposal, each of the 25 revenue districts will have an Inspector of its own, the only exception being the Nilgiris. The Govt. have no hesitation in accepting the recommendation.

Govt No. 1426
4.12.20

(W³)

in Govt No. 3 R
6.1.21

(W¹)

Mr 7-8 of

p. 8 of

ibid

8. I am accordingly directed to request that the Government of India will be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Secretary of State to the permanent retention of the seven temporary posts of Inspectors sanctioned by this Govt. and to the creation of nine additional posts of Inspectors in the cadre of the I.E.S.

9. The appointment of an Inspector for each district will supersede the existing arrangement under which Assistant Inspectors are in District charge and consequently the posts of 12 Assistant Inspectors who are members of the Madras Educational Service will no longer be required. The Government accordingly propose to abolish these 12 posts and to revert to the rank of Sub-Assistant Inspectors the eleven Sub-assistants who are now in district charge.

10. The extra expenditure involved in the above proposal amounts to Rs.1.04 lakhs per annum as shown below:-

Govt No. 1165 (X)
24.7.19
and 1285 (7)
3.11.20

para 2, p. 2
of
and para 3 of the
notes on p. 4 & 5
of

para 4, p. 3 of

the figures will
be revised on receipt
of orders as to the
strength and pay of
establs in an Enspis
office

p. 27 of

p. 3 of

Cost of 12 additional Inspectors in the I.E. S (average pay Rs.1068-2/3)
Cost of establishment for the above 12 Inspectors at Rs.172 per mensem for each officer.

Deduct

Cost of 12 Assistant Inspectors in the P.E.S to be abolished (average pay Rs.523 3/4) Rs.6285

Cost of establishment for the above 12 Inspectors 874

Savings in the establishment of 11 Sub assistant Inspectors who are in charge of districts at present.

p. 3 of
Cost per mensem
Rs.
12,824
2,064
14,888

8

Net cost per mensem	Rs.7337
Net cost per annum	Rs.88044
T.A & Contingencies	Rs.16000
Total extra cost per annum	Rs.104,044
	or 1.04 lakhs.

The scheme detailed above will, if sanctioned, be given effect to gradually as funds become available.

10. A copy of the Accountant General's letter No.G.A 67/11018 dated 23-7-1920 and a proposition statement embodying the proposals herein set forth are enclosed.

G.N.
16-2-21

N.K.
1-3-21

C.R. 2-3-21

Wey
4/3/21

p. 5 of

pp 20-24 of

CR
14/4
12-45 PM



65

"South Hatch"
Kilpauk, 14-4-1921.

My Dear Mr.Ramanujachariar,

I shall be glad if you will kindly furnish for the information of the Hon'ble Minister the following particulars in connection with the scheme for strengthening the inspecting agency of the Educational Department. The figures are required for the years 1913 and 1920:-

- (a) No.of inspectors of Schools
- (b) No.of Sub.Asst.Inspectors.
- (c) No.of Supervisors.
- (d) No.of Asst.Inspectors.
- (e) No.of secondary schools
(public) & boys.
- (f)

66 ²

The figures required are given below:-

	<u>1913.</u>	<u>1920.</u>	<u>Remarks.</u>
No. of Inspectors ..	8	13	Four temporary additional Inspectors have been sanctioned in Decr. '20.
,, Asst. Inspectors.	23	19	
,, Sub Asst. Inspectors	155	194 ¹⁷ X	Includes Sub Asst. Inspectors of Muhammadan and Mappilla Ranges.
,, Supervisors	238	226 ² X	

Statistics relating to number of schools and their strength are given below:-

	<u>31st March 1913.</u>		<u>31st March 1920.</u>	
	<u>No. of schools.</u>	<u>Strength.</u>	<u>No. of schools.</u>	<u>Strength.</u>
Secondary	339	106,396	427 ⁴ X	148,922
Elementary	25,211	1,014,448	30,98 ⁷	1,269,013
Training	47	3,485	75 ⁵ X	5,795

Average number of secondary schools which an Inspector had to inspect in 1913.. .. $339 \div 8 = 42$

Average number of elementary schools which a Sub Assistant Inspector had to inspect in 1913 $25,211 \div 155 = 163$



67

15/4/21.

Dear Mr. Ramaniachari,

I am very much confused about these figures. What has been supplied yesterday differs very widely from what is contained in the draft to India. I am sending them both to you and shall be extremely thankful if any light can be thrown on the matter.

Yours truly
Ramaswami Sankar

~~Dear Mr. Ramaswami Sankar,~~

~~Can I go to you for a minute to explain the figures?~~

~~Yours truly
Ramaswami Sankar~~



15th April 1931.

Dear Mr. Ramaswami Mudaliar,

I am sorry I was not able to catch you in the afternoon to explain to you about the figures. As I shall not be attending office tomorrow, I give below a short explanation of the figures.

Taking the order in the draft, there is a difference first in respect of Secondary Schools in 1930. The figure (427) shown ~~was~~ in the Tabular Statement I gave you represents the actual number of schools on the 31st March 1930. The figure 467 in the draft includes 40 middle schools opened in July 1930. They could not be

of these included in the number in existence on the 31st March 1930. were sanctioned in G.O.No.903, Education, dated 10-8-1930.

In order to make out a case for a large number of Inspectors, it had necessarily to be included in the number given in the draft. The number of those schools is so large that we cannot afford to exclude them for the purpose of our draft. In order to make their inclusion in the figures given in the draft technically correct, I have altered the heading of the year 1919-20 into 1930.

Similarly, in regard to the number of training schools; immediately after the closing of official year 1919-20, 18 additional training schools were opened. These have also been taken into account

17 of these sanctioned in G.O.No.837, dated 23-7-1930. In the draft for exactly the same reasons as in the case of Secondary Schools.

In regard to Sub Assistant Inspectors and supervisors, these officers are employed on two ^{separate} sets of duties. The major portion of them are employed in actual inspection work and organization of schools. ^{in fact} appreciable number of these officers are also employed as Headmasters and Assistants in training schools. The Sub Assistant & Supervisors employed in both kinds of duties form a consolidated cadre of Sub Assistant Supervisors, their respective duties being often interchanged ^{the} between inspection and teaching work. For the purpose of ^{the} statement you wanted, I put in

only

only those who were actually engaged in inspection and organizing work, ~~as~~ from the information as to the average number ^(of schools) for each Sub Assistant required by you, I gathered you wanted particular information as to those who were actually employed on inspection duty.

In respect, however, of the draft, it was its purpose to point out ~~the~~ inspectors, as being in charge of inspection of schools and also of training schools, had a large number of subordinates to supervise. It was therefore necessary to show the full strength of the cadre of the Sub Assistants and of the Supervisors. If the draft wanted to make out that the range of Sub Assistants was large or they had larger quantity of work than ^{could} they do, we should have taken only the number actually doing inspection duty; but our purpose was to bring out clearly the volume of work devolving on the Inspector.

I hope ^{have} I made myself clear ~~about the draft~~
~~and the draft~~

Yours sincerely,

Ramamohan

Overseer No.

RECORDED TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

IN DITO.

FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

[B.]

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.