

Home (191 8) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 975

DATED 9-8-19

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption.

Sanctioning the exemption from the provisions of article 1
of the Public service notification of certain clerks in the civil
courts of the ^{Kurnool} ~~Kurnool~~ district.

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

24-7-1919

Subject.

28. 7. 19

Permanent exemption from Examination Rules in the case of certain clerks employed in the Civil Courts of the Ganjam District.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

1

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1626/19

Station Madras, Dated the 21st July 1919

From (Name) G. S. White Esquire (Solicitor)

(Designation) Deputy Registrar, High Court

Appellate Side.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Judicial) Department.

Honourable Sir,

In continuation of my letter R.O.C.No.1695 dated the 25th June 1919 recommending that certain members of the clerical establishment in the Kurnool District may be permanently exempted from Examination Rules, I am directed to forward a copy of a letter, No.2405 dated the 15th May 1919, from the District Judge, Ganjam, containing a similar request on behalf of 6 clerks employed in the Civil Courts of his district and to request that His Excellency the Governor-in-Council will be pleased to pass orders accordingly.

I have the honour to be,
Hon'ble Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Registrar.

3 2

Copy of letter from the District Court, Ganjam, No.2405, dated the 15th May 1919, to the Registrar, High Court, Madras.

I have the honour to state that there are a number of unpassed clerks in this and the Subordinate Courts of this District on Rs.20/- who have put in long service and have been recommended to me as efficient and capable men deserving of promotion. In these circumstances, and in view of the dearth of qualified candidates I have (subject to their being exempted) promoted them to appointments on Rs.25/- in giving effect to the revised scale of pay of the clerical establishment ordered in High Court's R.O.C.No.348/14 dated 7th March 1919. All of them have been long holding permanent appointments on Rs.20/- and require exemption from the examination rules to enable them to be promoted to posts on Rs.25/-. They are divisible into two groups:-

The first group consists of persons to be appointed permanently on Rs.25/-, namely:-

- (1) K.Bhadrachalam (service over 24 years) now appointed as 5th clerk of the Chicacole District Munsif's Court on Rs.25/- ✓
- (2) G.Narasimhaswamy (service over 18½ years) now appointed as 5th clerk of the Principal District Munsif's Court, Berhampore on Rs.25/- ✓
- (3) T.Tirumalachari (service over 16½ years) now appointed as 5th clerk of the Sompeta District Munsif's Court on Rs.25/- ✓

The second group consists of persons to be appointed to act on Rs.25/- in connection with the two temporary courts sanctioned for the district, namely:-

- (1) A.Rama Rao (service over 22 years) now appointed to act on Rs.25/- in the District Court. ✓
- (2) V.R.Govindaswamy (service over 16½ years) now appointed to act as District Court clerk on Rs.25/- ✓
- (3) Gopinath Patnaik (an Uriya service over 13½ years) now appointed to act as 2nd clerk of the Additional District Munsif's Court, Berhampore on Rs.25/- ✓

I request that the High Court may be pleased to recommend to Government the permanent exemption from the examination rules of the persons in the first group and to sanction the temporary exemption of those in the second group for so long as the two temporary courts (of the Sub Judge and Additional District Munsif) continue to exist.

Home (Judl) Dept.

Subject. Exemption from examination rules.
[CNo 247] From the Dy. Regr. High Court - Rot. No 1626/4 d. 21.7.4.

W.S.

The present proposal is the result of the recent reorganization of Mufassal Civil Courts and is similar to the one disposed of in G.O. 904, Home (Edn) d. 21.7.4. Subd. that the exemption asked for may be granted and the case transferred to Home (Edn) for the issue of orders.

80% No 2365 - W
23.6.18
+ 100 430 - F
21.2.19

Judn 24710-1
31-7-4

29.7.4.

20-7-19



From CNo. 1491 B
1.8.19

Home (Edn)

~~A draft letter is drafted.~~

The exemptions asked for are permanent in respect of three clerks and temporary in respect of three others (p. 2, c. 4). Sooner or later permanent exemption in respect of the latter also may have to be considered. Further, in point of service the second group of clerks cannot be differentiated. It is therefore for consideration whether permanent exemption

cannot be sanctioned straight⁵
 away for all the six. The fact that
 three of them are to be employed
 for the time being, in temporary
 Courts need not, it is held:, prevent
 such a course. For permanent
 exemption does not confer either a
 right for permanent employment or
 an obligation on the officer to find one
 immediately. Subject to appl: a draft
 based on this view is held:.

Quoted
 at
 7-8-29

¹⁰
 3-8-29 The District Judge requests
 permanent exemption in
 respect of the first three clerks
 and temporary exemption in the
 case of the other three clerks. The
 High Court recommend that
 orders may be passed accordingly.
 There seems no necessity to go
 beyond their recommendations.
 The ~~attached~~ draft put up ^{below}
 for approval.

¹⁰
 5-8-29 The term proposed for
 temporary exemptions
 is indefinite & is to be based
 on uncertain conditions. It is not
 clearly stated whether the cessation
 of one of the Courts will not affect

a copy that
 will not arise
 all likelihood

the question of the 2nd. It is observed that two of them are acting in the 1st. Court. They have all put in long service. It seems on the whole better to sanction the permanent exemption of these three clerks also. One of them is a Dr. King.

CA
7.8.19

I follow the 1st. Court's recommendation. The draft is done

Mr. King

” ” ” ” 191 .

C. No. 1491/B From the Dy. Secy, Hqs. Govt. No. 1626/L₃, dated 21 - 7 - 1959

” ” ” ” ” ” 191

” ” ” ” ” ” 191

No. 975, dated 9.8.191

His

✓
98

Rev
988

270
76
988

To The Hon'ble the Chief Justice
of the High Court of
Judicature at Madras,
Hon'ble Sir,

With reference to Mr. White's letter O.D.C No 1626/19 of 21st July 1919, I am directed to state that H.E. the Govr. in Council is pleased to sanction, with effect from 18th March 1919, the permanent exemption from the provisions of Art 1 of the Omb Service Notification of the individuals

x for orders

noted, in the margin,
and the temporary exemption
for so long as the temporary courts of
Sub Judge and Additional
D. Musif ~~be~~ in the Ganjam
D. continue to exist, of the
undermentioned persons,
with a view to their employment
in clerical posts on pay not
exceeding Rs 25 per mensem
in the Judicial Dept:-

- (1) A. Ramachao.
- (2) V. R. Govindaswami
- (3) Gopinath Patnaik.

I have &c.

5/8/29

W. J. 8/8/29

- (1) K. Bhadrachalam.
- (2) G. Narasimhaswami
- (3) T. Tirumala Achari

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.