

Houa (1919) Department.

Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 839

DATED 4-2-19

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Maharajah's college, Virianagar

Requesting the Director of Public Instruction to bring to the notice of the authorities of the ----- the impropriety of their action in not complying with the instructions of Public Works Department officers in the construction of the college Hostel.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



No 1070WCSA

23-5-1919.

Office of the Superintending Engineer,
First Circle,
Vizagapatam, dated 19th May 1919.

From

M. R. Kharegat Esquire., A.M.I.C.E.,
Superintending Engineer, First Circle.


20

Letter No. 496-S/15-C.i., dated 30th April/3rd May 1919,
from M.O'Brien Esquire., A.M.I.C.E., Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam
Division, to the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, Vizaga-
-patam.

In this office No. 81-M., dated 21st January 1919, I sent
a report of some defects I found in the hostel being built at
Vizianagaram by the Samasthanam. In para 6, I noted that the pur-
-lins should be at right angles to the rafters. Copy of my report
was sent to the Trustee. On February 22nd, the Samasthanam - -
Engineer replied, and with regard to this point said the purlins
resting on the walls were put at right angles to the walls as it was
difficult to bed them properly if put at right angles to the rafters.
On March 7th, I informed him I did not see how the rafters could
be properly fitted to them if fixed in this way. On March 16th he
sent me a sketch which I enclose for reference showing how the - -
rafters were to be fixed. On April 3rd, I told him I considered
the arrangement a very bad one and asked that the purlins should be
fixed at right angles to the rafters. I particularly objected to
the rafters being out away where they met the purlins. He now - -
informs me that it is too late to do this as all the rafters and
reepers have been fixed and tiling nearly completed. Further he
says the rafters on the purlins have not been out. My Sub - -
Divisional Officer bears this out. When I inspected the building
reepers had not been fixed and only some of the rafters. It should
have been possible to have fixed the purlins as I asked them to be
fixed if action had been taken at once on receipt of my report. It
looks as if the Samasthanam Engineer made no attempt to carry out
my suggestion.

2. My Sub Divisional Officer now reports that some of the
rafters are jointed at the purlins instead of using one long rafter.

rafter. There would be no great objection to this if the purlins were properly fixed and if the joint were properly made but the joint is very defective as the marginally sketch shows:---



3. My Sub Divisional Officer further reports that no attempt is being made to leave air spaces around ends of the joists inspite of my report. He also reports that small pieces have been fixed to the tops of the door and window shutters, due evidently to the shutters not being made the proper size in the first instance.


4. I think it is evident that this work is not being properly done and as my instructions are not attended to, I cannot certify the work when called on to do so. I request you will take necessary action in the matter.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, (PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT) in continuation of this office endorsement No. 171-W., dated 11th February 1919 for favour of necessary action.

Sd/- M. R. Kharegat

M S R/19.5.19.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER: 1 CIRCLE.



Maharajah's College, Vizianagaram

CN 1070 WK

From the E & I Circle No 559 W 19.5.19

M. 20.5.19

D.W.

JS

Submitted for orders.

Miss 24.5.19

This may perhaps be first sent to AE/CA for remarks.

not necessary.

I do not understand why the rafters are jointed over the purlins & why if they are parallel to the end walls as they said be, they are not at right angles to the purlins. The joint over the purlin is clearly objectionable. Generally the instructions of the E. Viziasapatam do not seem to be followed by the same. thanam.

The hostel buildings are built by the Vizianagaram Sansathanam and a half plane amounting to Rs 32,500 was sanctioned by Govt in the Educational Co. 293 of 18.3.1916.

The remarks of E. Viziasapatam in the J E ①'s 171W and 559W may be brought to the notice of the Educational Dept. U.O.


20.5.19

JS

The matter will be brought to the notice of the Home (Educⁿ).
 Until the Sanathana submit a satisfactory explanation of the want of attendance & the instructions of the Sec. say they should I suggest be informed that if no further grant will be given that is assuming that they have not already drawn the whole of the $\frac{1}{2}$ grant Rs 32500.
 If all this half grant has been drawn the matter should still be brought to their notice with an expression of displeasure at action of Sanathana in not attending to the Sec's instructions which are endorsed by me.
 Home Educⁿ.

llh
 29.5.19

Para no 1670 WSA/12-1
 29.5.19



Ch 1081B
 30.5.19

Home (Educⁿ)

The file may first be forwarded - ed w/o to the D. P. S. for assessment. With ref^{ce} to A above, it is not likely that the entire grant would have been disbursed.

th
 30.5.19


Ch
 4.6.19

The D.P.S. will probably make payments in the future in the matter is disposed of

DP S W

4/6/19

Ed - 10810
 4-6-19



at 2 cents

Rule 52(ii) + 53 of
Grant-in-aid rules

This does not
affect the grant
case which was
passed under
old rules.
A
27/6

para 3 of G.O. 1596

u. o. camp No. 111/149/14-6-14.
An advance of Rs. 14,000 of has been paid.

8/5
12/6/14

Home (Edn) Dept.

Before Education ~~may~~ agree to the
Vizianagaram Sansthanam being informed
as in the P.W. Secy's note, it has to be
examined how far a private management
is under obligation to comply with the
instructions of P.W. officers in the construction
of a building for which Govt. aid has
been promised. Prior to the issue of
G.O. No. 1596 Edn, dt/ 13th. Decr. 1918, the
disbursement of the sanctioned grant was
absolutely contingent on reasonable
facilities being given to the P.W. officers
concerned to inspect the work while under
construction and ~~but~~ the eventual ^{product} ~~product~~
of a completion certificate from a responsible
P.W. officer that the building has been
constructed in conformity with approved
plans and estimates or that the building
worth the amount on which the grant is
claimed. The issue of G.O. No. 1596 Edn
dt/ 13th. Decr. 1918 have brought about a
change in the position since P.W. authorities
are ~~not~~ not reqd. to inspect the work while
in progress or to pass it on completion. At

All that is reqd. is a certificate from
 the management that the work has been
 put through generally in accordance
 with the plan subd. to Govt. & that
 the full amount of Govt. grant has been
 expended on it. It ^(does not) therefore appear that
 defects in constitutional details such as
 are now brought to notice can well be
 taken serious notice of unless they ^(affect) ~~the~~
~~the~~ the stability of the
 structure. The whole principle under-
 lying the Govt. in question is that the
 management has as much interest in its
 building as the Govt. who pays a grant-
 in-aid and can well be trusted to feel
 its responsibility. So far as the Samastha-
 nam is concerned, it seems to have
 its professional men to whom it would
 be fair to credit with some degree of
responsibility ^(for structural stability). This is only one aspect
 of the case. Another side is that the
 grant-in-aid for this work was
 sanctioned long before the issue of
 Govt. No. 1596 Ed. 2, d) 13th Dec. 1918 and
 hence the management is bound to
 carry out the instructions of P.W. officers.
 The management appears to have been little caring
 if in this scheme any structures or wearing
 needs ^(administrative) be ~~added~~ to the Samasthanam, &

p. 8 of the notes with
 G.O. 1596 Ed. 2, d) 13.12.18. (D)

G.O. 293 Ed. 1 (X)
 18.3.16

as in general the case
 with all such
 Samasthanams.

may appropriately be done in the P.W.D., as being the professional depth of work. No severe structure seems called for, but an expression of dis-pleasure at the action of the Samasthanam Engineer would seem to be sufficient.

21/6/19 The Samasthanam was bound to carry out the instructions as the grant was sanctioned under the old orders. As the order of has to indicate that further grant will be withheld, it is appropriate that Es. should issue the order to the D.P. I to inform the Samasthanam in the terms of the Es. note.

20/6/19

High we contribute only one half, we are precluded from that even the half is not wanted. So all grant buildings even now are bound to check for P.W.D. users affecting stability.

So draft 21/7/19

A draft order is subd. of appd. it may go to the P.W.D. for acceptance.

21/6/19 21/6/19 21/7/19

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
C.No. 1036 O.
Dated 27.6.1919.



21/6/19 21/6/19

21/6/19

P. 100

Dro
gs.

Please this Dept. in
in H-1-2 anti.

The draft order may
be accepted & the file
returned to the Home (Ed) Dept.

Ure
27/6/15

+

gs.
29.6.19
accepted

Home (Ed) a.b. 4.19

20

P. 100 to 100 WSA/19-2
23.7.1919



Magazine
OR
3/7/19

4/7/19

Sectt. 11.10 (a)—b,002 13 1-79 (c)

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 2938, dated Aug. 3 1918.

” ” ” ” ” 191

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 1081/19 From the Supng Eng, No. 559W dated 19 5 1919

" " " "

19

ORDER.

No. 839, dated 4-7-191

MoS₄

It has been brought to the notice of Govt: that the instructions of the Public Works officers ^{are} have not been generally complied with in the construction of the hostel attached to the Mahatma's College, Vizianagaram. The ^{Allypore} D. P. O. is requested to ~~to~~ the authorities of the College ~~to~~ ^{to} furnish explanation for their want of attention to their duties in conformity to the conditions on which Govt: aid was promised in G.O. No. 2932 of 18th March 1916 ~~to~~ United

(18)

To the D.P.D. (lto)
Copy to the P.W.D. (lto)

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

RECAP 10416 G.O. No. 19

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LITTO.

PAYERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A -- Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.