

Government of Madras

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 834, 4th July 1919

Grant-in-Aid Code

Meeting the publication of a notification making certain alterations in chapter VIII of the
reg to grants for school buildings and hostels.

INDEXED

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.

Number of copies { Letter
Order

Government of Madras

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 834, 4th July 1919

Grant-in-Aid Code

Directing the publication of a notification making certain alterations in chapter VIII of the relating to grants for school buildings and hostels.

READ—the following paper :—

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. K. LITTLEHAILES, M.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department.

Dated—Madras, the 18th March 1919.

No.—R C. 189.

With reference to G.O. No. 1596, Home (Education), dated 13th December 1918, in connexion with the scrutiny of plans and estimates of buildings for aided educational institutions, I have the honour to submit herewith, for favour of approval and publication in the gazette, the annexed draft of the necessary amendments to be made in the Grant-in-Aid Code.

ENCLOSURE

Draft amendments to be made in the Grant-in-Aid Code.

For rule 52 (ii) of the Grant-in-Aid Code, substitute the following :—

"If the Director's reply be favourable, the correspondent shall then submit to him, in duplicate, detailed plans, specifications and estimates (which may include architect's and draughtsman's fees) prepared by a gazetted officer of the Public Works Department present or retired, a present or retired District Board Engineer, a member or associate member of the Institute of Civil Engineers or a recognized professional architect who will be responsible for the structural stability of the building. No building operations shall commence until after the issue of favourable orders on the suitability of the design and the reasonableness of the estimates. The correspondent of the school in respect of which a grant is made will be required on completion of the work to furnish a certificate that a building generally in accordance with the plans which were submitted to Government and on which the grant was sanctioned has been completed and that the full amount of the Government grant has been duly expended thereon."

For rule 52 (iii) substitute the following :—

"Where private qualified professional advice is not available, and a grant-in-aid has been promised in respect of a building, the management shall, prior to the commencement of work thereon, give fifteen days' notice in writing to the Executive Engineer in charge of the division wherein it is proposed to construct the building. During the progress of the work, access thereto and every reasonable opportunity to inspect and examine materials and take measurements shall be afforded by the management to the officers of the Public Works Department."

"NOTE.—Any correspondent contemplating building operations for which he desires a grant from Government should apply to the Inspector for (1) a form of application for grant and (2) instructions for the preparation of plans and estimates."

In rule 53 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, add the following after the words "Before the grant":—

"referred to in rule 52 (iii)."

Order—No. 834, Home (Education), dated 4th July 1919.

The following notification will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* :—

NOTIFICATION.

For rule 52 (ii) of the Grant-in-Aid Code, *substitute* the following :—

"If the Director's reply be favourable, the correspondent shall then submit to him, in duplicate, detailed plans, specifications and estimates (which may include architect's and engineer's fees) prepared by a recognized professional architect, a member or associate member of the Institute of Civil Engineers, a gazetted officer of the Public Works Department present or retired, or a present or retired District Board Engineer who will be responsible for the structural stability of the building. No building operations shall commence until after the issue of favourable orders on the suitability of the design and the reasonableness of the estimates. The correspondent of the school in respect of which a grant is made will be required on completion of the work to furnish a certificate signed by the architect or engineer in charge of the work that a building generally in accordance with the plans and specifications which were submitted to Government and on which the grant was sanctioned, has been completed and another certificate signed by the correspondent himself that the full amount of the Government grant has been duly expended thereon."

For rule 52 (iii) *substitute* the following :—

"Where it is proved that private qualified professional advice is not available, and a grant-in-aid has been promised in respect of a building, the management shall, prior to the commencement of work thereon, give fifteen days' notice in writing to the Executive Engineer in charge of the division wherein it is proposed to construct the building. During the progress of the work, access thereto and every reasonable opportunity to inspect and examine materials and take measurements shall be afforded by the management to the officers of the Public Works Department."

"*NOTE*.—Any correspondent contemplating building operations for which he desires a grant from Government should apply to the Inspector for (1) a form of application for grant and (2) instructions for the preparation of plans and estimates."

In rule 53 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, *add* the following after the words "Before the grant" :—

"referred to in rule 52 (iii)."

(True extract)

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

"Public Works Department (with copy of notes).

"Superintendent, Government Press, for publication of notification in gazette.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 834, HOME (EDUCATION),
DATED 4TH JULY 1919.

[SUBJECT.—*Alterations in the rules of the Grant-in-Aid Code in regard to building grants.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 18th March 1919, R.C. No. 189.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

The alterations suggested by the Director of Public Instruction are in accordance with the orders issued in G.O. No. 1596, Home (Education), dated the 13th December 1918, and may be accepted.

2. In this Government Order it was decided that where private qualified professional advice is not available, the responsibilities of the Public Works Department in regard to the construction of buildings for aided schools would continue to be as at present. The Director of Public Instruction therefore proposes to retain the existing rules in such cases. When plans and estimates not prepared by professional men are received the Director will have to make enquiries as to whether private qualified professional advice is not available in a particular locality.

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Public Works Department for acceptance.

S.K.—27-3-19.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—30-3-19

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—3-4-19.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Please see portion sidelined on page 17 of the notes to endorsement No. 870 C., dated 5th February 1919, and rule 52 (iii) of the Grant-in-Aid Code as now drafted by the Director of Public Instruction. The draft order may be accepted and the file returned to Home (Education).

Before returning the file Consulting Architect and A.E./C.A. may see the amended rule.

T.R.—9-4-19.

T.P.S.—11-4-19.

S. BHASKARA AYYAR—11-4-19.

W. HUTTON—16-4-19.

With reference to the Hon'ble Mr. Littlehailes' proposal (letter 189/19 dated 18th March 1919) I would draw attention to the following points :—

(1) A professional architect employs and pays his own draughtsmen. The main object of the present policy of Government being to ensure that no more buildings should be designed by school managers in collaboration with mere draughtsmen, there should be no mention made of draughtsmen's fees.

(2) In the category of professional persons qualified to undertake structural responsibility, the architect should come first since it is desirable that architects should be employed for all important buildings. He should not be mentioned at the end of the list as if he may be employed

The category should be in the order I have entered in blue pencil 1, 2, 3 and 4. if no 'gazetted officer of the Public Works Department,' who may, I understand, be a sub-engineer, is available.

W.H.—29-4-19.

(3) The final certificate should be signed by the professional architect or engineer entrusted with the work. Few correspondents of schools can read plans intelligently and a layman's certificate that a building had been built in accordance with approved designs, would not carry much weight in a court of law.

(4) In order to guard against reversion to the old methods, the question whether or not professional advice is available should not be left to the management. It should, I submit, be for the Director of Public Instruction or head of the administrative department concerned with the grant to satisfy the Government in Public Works Department that no professional advice is available, before the Government should agree to making the Executive Engineer responsible for structural stability. I would therefore begin rule 52 (iii) with a clause to this effect.

W. H. NICHOLLS—28-4-19.

It is suggested that the draft of rules 52 (ii) and 52 (iii) may be altered to agree with the Consulting Architect's suggestions. I have entered in blue pencil the order in which architects and engineers should be mentioned in 52 (ii).

W. HUTTON—29-4-19.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

The suggestions in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Consulting Architect's notes may be accepted. The Public Works Department has made the necessary amendments in the draft.

2. The Consulting Architect further suggests that the final certificate of completion of the work should be signed by the professional architect or engineer entrusted with the work. Sir Alexander Cardew was of the opinion that the person to whom the grant was made should furnish the required certificate making himself personally liable in the matter (page 15 of notes to G.O. No. 1596, dated 13th December 1918). It may therefore be ordered that two certificates signed respectively by the correspondent and by the architect or engineer in charge of the work should be produced. The last sentence of rule 52 (ii) may be amended as follows:—

"The correspondent of the school in respect of which a grant is made will be required on completion of the work to furnish a certificate signed by the architect or engineer in charge of the work that a building generally in accordance with the plans and specifications which were submitted to Government and on which the grant was sanctioned, has been completed and another certificate signed by the correspondent himself that the full amount of the Government grant has been duly expended thereon."

3. With reference to paragraph 4 of the Consulting Architect's note above, it is submitted that the first line of draft rule 52 (iii) may be altered as shown below:—

"Where it is proved to the satisfaction of Government that private qualified professional advice is not available etc."

Applications for this concession will first be made to the Director of Public Instruction by the management and the Director of Public Instruction will ordinarily obtain a report from the Inspector on the point. We need not specifically provide for these in the rules.

4. The file may be sent u.o. to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks with reference to the Consulting Architect's note.

N.K.—5-5-19.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—6-5-19.

I shall be glad to be favoured with the Director of Public Instruction's views on the whole of the Public Works Department note.

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—7-5-19.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

I agree entirely with the Consulting Architect and the Joint Secretary, except in the last point raised. I should prefer the omission of "to the satisfaction of Government" or the replacement of "Government" by "the Director of Public Instruction" or the insertion of "or the Director of Public Instruction" after the word "Government" in the proposed draft rule 52 (iii). Let us see what happens in actual fact. The manager of a school has been told by the Inspector and the Director of Public Instruction that his accommodation is insufficient and that he must build or rent additional accommodation. He chooses to build and asks the Director of Public Instruction whether an application for grant will be favourably considered. The Director of Public Instruction says yes. The manager maintains that no private qualified professional advice is available for drawing up his plans. The Director of Public Instruction enquires and ascertains that such is the case. [For a small building scheme we can scarcely demand that the correspondent engage an architect in Madras, where there will probably be one, and pay his fare to and from the outlying parts of the Presidency in addition to his commission on the cost of the scheme.] Will it now be incumbent on the Director of Public Instruction to ask Government whether it is satisfied that no private qualified professional advice is available before any further action is taken? Furthermore, the power of sanctioning grants up to a limit of Rs. 2,500 is delegated to the Director of Public Instruction and the proposed draft rule would require the Director of Public Instruction to go to Government in cases where the grant was small, e.g., for a building the total cost of which was only about Rs. 600 or Rs. 700, before he could sanction the grant of Rs. 300 or Rs. 350 as the case may be, thus reverting in part to the procedure which this delegation was intended to prevent. I need not elaborate this point; so far as the grants below Rs. 2,500 are concerned I do not think that Government need be troubled with references; so far as the larger grants are concerned the decision rests upon the answer to the following query: Is the Educational Department, in consultation where need be with officers of other departments, likely to be ignorant of the existence of private professional qualified architects? Possibly but not probably. But presuming that that the Educational Department is in ignorance of the existence in a district of qualified professional advice, and that the Director of Public Instruction permits the school management to have plans drawn up and estimates prepared by some unqualified person. These plans, after being accepted by the Director of Public Instruction, are submitted to Government with a request for the award of grant exceeding Rs. 2,500. Government (Educational) forwards them to Public Works Department not merely for 'pronouncing an opinion on the reasonableness or otherwise of the estimates', but for detailed scrutiny for, since private qualified professional advice is not available, 'the responsibilities of the Public Works Department will continue to be as at present.' It is open to the Public Works Department at this stage to inform Home (Education) that private qualified professional advice is available—if such is the case—and the plans and estimates can be returned to the management of the school through Home (Education) and the Director of Public Instruction for utilizing the services of the private qualified practitioner, whose existence is now brought to light.

I should prefer either the first or third of the alternatives I have suggested above.

R. LITTLEHAILES—10-5-19.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Submitted for orders as to which of the alternative suggestions of the Director of Public Instruction may be accepted.

2. The following revised amendment of the first sentence of rule 52 (iii) may perhaps be approved:—

"where, after consulting the local officers of the Public Works Department, the Director of Public Instruction is satisfied that qualified professional advice is not available, etc."

S.K.—12-5-19.

N.K.—15-5-19.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—17-5-19.

Please type below the rules as recast in the above notes: (adopting the first suggestion of the Director of Public Instruction).

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—17-5-19.

The rules are typed below:—

52. (ii) "If the Director's reply be favourable, the correspondent shall then submit to him, in duplicate, detailed plans, specifications and estimates (which may include architect's and engineer's fees) prepared by a recognized professional architect, a Member or Associate Member of the Institute of Civil Engineers, a gazetted officer of the Public Works Department present or retired, or a present or retired District Board Engineer who will be responsible for the structural stability of the building. No building operations shall commence until after the issue of favourable orders on the suitability of the design and the reasonableness of the estimates. The correspondent of the school in respect of which a grant is made will be required on completion of the work to furnish a certificate signed by the architect or engineer in charge of the work that a building generally in accordance with the plans and specifications which were submitted to Government and on which the grant was sanctioned, has been completed and another certificate signed by the correspondent himself that the full amount of the Government grant has been expended thereon."

52. (iii) "Where it is proved that private qualified professional advice is not available, and a grant in aid has been promised in respect of a building, the management shall, prior to the commencement of work thereon, give fifteen days' notice in writing to the Executive Engineer in charge of the division wherein it is proposed to construct the building. During the progress of the work, access thereto and every reasonable opportunity to inspect and examine materials and take measurements shall be afforded by the management to the officers of the Public Works Department."

"NOTE.—Any correspondent contemplating building operations for which he desires a grant from Government should apply to the Inspector for (1) a form of application for grant and (2) instructions for the preparation of plans and estimates."

S.K.—19-5-19.

N.K.—19-5-19.

Please see linked file (relating to the scrutiny of plans and estimates for a building for an elementary school at Puliakulam, Coimbatore District), the decision therein arrived at will entail further alterations here.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—20-5-19.

Draft to Public Works Department for acceptance.

R.R.—26-5-19.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The suggestions of Consulting Architect in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of his note on pages 1 and 2 ante have been given effect to in the proposed rule 52 (ii) above. The Consulting Architect's suggestion in paragraph 4 of his note on page 2 ante has not been accepted for the reasons given by the Director of Public Instruction on page 3 ante. But the rule as now amended compels the correspondent to prove that private advice is not available. There appears to be no objection to the draft of rule 52 as amended by Home (Education).

2. The amended rules may be accepted and the file returned to Home (Education), after Consulting Architect has seen them.

T.R.—2-6-19.

T.P.S.—5-6-19.

S. BHASKARA AYYAR—10-6-19.

Yes.

W. HUTTON—20-6-19.

The Consulting Architect has been consulted and his note is below.

A Accepted.

W.H.—24-6-19.

I agree with the Hon'ble Mr. Littlehales. I think the sentence he has put in brackets, page 3, is an important point. The last thing that is wanted is to tie up the Director of Public Instruction with red tape.

The Public Works Department will have the safeguard mentioned at A, page 3, and in my opinion their decision that designs must be done again by a professional man should never be given on the ground that the author of the condemned designs

W.H.—24-6-19.

is not a known professional man, but only on the ground that the designs are not satisfactory.

W. H. NICHOLLS—21-6-19.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

For orders whether the draft order may now issue.

2. The linked file referred to in the Registrar's note on page 4 supra relates to the correspondence which passed between the Director of Public Instruction and the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, regarding the question whether private qualified professional advice is available for the preparation of plans and estimates for the St. Antony's Elementary School at Puliakulam. It has been sent to the Public Works Department for remarks on certain suggestions made by the Director of Public Instruction (with this Department No. 941-2, dated the 16th June 1919).

S.K.—25-6-19.

S.A.—26-6-19.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—27-6-19.

Draft for approval.

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—1-7-19.

Honourable Member being on tour, issue and to the Honourable Member for perusal after return to Ootacamund.

R.R.—1-7-19.

[G.O. No. 834, Home (Education), dated 4th July 1919.]

L. D[AVIDSON]—17-7-19.

Handwritten: *Handwritten* DEPARTMENT.

Grant in aid Code

Directing the publication of a notification making certain alterations in chapter VIII of the — relating to grant for school buildings & hostels.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 0, dated 191

” ” ” ” ” 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 78A From the D.P.S.; R.C., No. 189/19, dated 18727 1919

” ” ” ” ” ” 191

191

ORDER.

Press

No. 834, dated 4.7. 1919

The following notification will be published in the Fort St.

George Gazette:-
Notification.

as in the notes p 9-10

26/5/4

70

Hepp

P.W. 2 (with copy of notes)

8.9.0.0 publicações

Pracek 15.7.19

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 834; HOME (EDUCATION),
DATED 4TH JULY 1919.

[SUBJECT.—Alterations in the rules of the Grant-in-Aid Code in regard to building grants.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 18th March 1919, R.C. No. 189.
HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

The alterations suggested by the Director of Public Instruction are in accordance with the orders issued in G.O. No. 1596, Home (Education), dated the 13th December, 1918, and may be accepted.

2. In this Government Order it was decided that where private qualified professional advice is not available, the responsibilities of the Public Works Department in regard to the construction of buildings for aided schools would continue to be as at present. The Director of Public Instruction therefore proposes to retain the existing rules in such cases. When plans and estimates not prepared by professional men are received the Director will have to make enquiries as to whether private qualified professional advice is not available in a particular locality.

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Public Works Department for acceptance.

S.K.—27-3-19.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—30-3-19

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—3-4-19.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Please see portion *by the S.P.* sidelined on page 17 of the notes to endorsement No. 870 C., dated 5th February 1919, and rule 52 (iii) of the Grant-in-Aid Code as now drafted on page 2 of the draft order. The draft order may be accepted and the file returned to Home (Education).

Before returning the file Consulting Architect and A.E/C.A. may see the amended rule.

T.R.—9-4-19.

T.P.S.—11-4-19.

S. BHASKARA AYYAR—11-4-19.

W. HUTTON—16-4-19.

With reference to the Hon'ble Mr. Littlehailes' proposal (letter 189/19 dated 18th March 1919) I would draw attention to the following points:—

(1) A professional architect employs and pays his own draughtsmen. The main object of the present policy of Government being to ensure that no more buildings should be designed by school managers in collaboration with mere 'draughtsmen,' there should be no mention made of draughtsmen's fees.

(2) In the category of professional persons qualified to undertake structural responsibility, the architect should come first since it is desirable that architects should be employed for all important buildings. He should not be mentioned at the end of the list as if he may be employed if no 'gazetted officer of the Public Works Department,' who may, I understand, be a sub-engineer, is available.

The category should be in the order I have entered in blue pencil 1, 2, 3 and 4.

W.H.—29-4-19.



NOTES TO G.O. No. 834, HOME (EDUCATION), 4TH JULY 1919

(3) The final certificate should be signed by the professional architect or engineer entrusted with the work. Few correspondents of schools can read plans intelligently and a layman's certificate that a building had been built in accordance with approved designs, would not carry much weight in a court of law.

(4) In order to guard against reversion to the old methods, the question whether or not professional advice is available should not be left to the management. It should, I submit, be for the Director of Public Instruction or head of the administrative department concerned with the grant to satisfy the Government in Public Works Department that no professional advice is available, before the Government should agree to making the Executive Engineer responsible for structural stability. I would therefore begin rule 52 (iii) with a clause to this effect.

W. H. NICHOLLS—23-4-19.

It is suggested that the draft of rules 52 (ii) and 52 (iii) may be altered to agree with the Consulting Architect's suggestions. I have entered in blue pencil the order in which architects and engineers should be mentioned in 52 (ii).

W. HUTTON—29-4-19.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

The suggestions in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Consulting Architect's notes may be accepted. The Public Works Department has made the necessary amendments in the draft.

2. The Consulting Architect further suggests that the final certificate of completion of the work should be signed by the professional architect or engineer entrusted with the work. Sir Alexander Cardew was of the opinion that the person to whom the grant was made should furnish the required certificate making himself personally liable in the matter (page 15 of notes to G.O. No. 1596, dated 13th December 1918). It may therefore be ordered that two certificates signed respectively by the correspondent and by the architect or engineer in charge of the work should be produced. The last sentence of rule 52 (ii) may be amended as follows:—

"The correspondent of the school in respect of which a grant is made will be required on completion of the work to furnish a certificate signed by the architect or engineer in charge of the work that a building generally in accordance with the plans and specifications which were submitted to Government and on which the grant was sanctioned, has been completed and another certificate signed by the correspondent himself that the full amount of the Government grant has been duly expended thereon."

3. With reference to paragraph 4 of the Consulting Architect's note on page 1 above, it is submitted that the first line of draft rule 52 (iii) may be altered as shown below:—

"Where it is proved to the satisfaction of Government that private qualified professional advice is not available etc."

Applications for this concession will first be made to the Director of Public Instruction by the management and Director of Public Instruction will ordinarily obtain a report from the Inspector on the point. We need not specifically provide for these in the rules.

4. The file may be sent u.o. to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks with reference to the Consulting Architect's note.

N.K.—5-5-19.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—6-5-19.

I shall be glad to be favoured with the Director of Public Instruction's views on the whole of the Public Works Department note.

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—7-5-19.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

I agree entirely with the Consulting Architect and the Joint Secretary, except in the last point raised. I should prefer the omission of "to the satisfaction of Government" or the replacement of "Government" by "the" Director of Public Instruction" or the insertion of "or the Director of Public Instruction" after the word

"Government" in the proposed draft rule 52 (iii). Let us see what happens in actual fact. The manager of a school has been told by the Inspector and the Director of Public Instruction that his accommodation is insufficient and that he must build or rent additional accommodation. He chooses to build and asks the Director of Public Instruction whether an application for grant will be favourably considered. The Director of Public Instruction says yes. The manager maintains that no private qualified professional advice is available for drawing up his plans. The Director of Public Instruction enquires and ascertains that such is the case. [For a small building scheme we can scarcely demand that the correspondent engage an architect from Madras, where there will probably be one, and pay his fare to and from the outlying parts of the Presidency in addition to his commission on the cost of the scheme.] Will it now be incumbent on the Director of Public Instruction to ask Government whether it is satisfied that no private qualified professional advice is available before any further action is taken? Furthermore, the power of sanctioning grants up to a limit of Rs. 2,500 is delegated to the Director of Public Instruction and the proposed draft rule would require the Director of Public Instruction to go to Government in cases where the grant was small, e.g., for a building the total cost of which was only about Rs. 600 or Rs. 700, before he could sanction the grant of Rs. 300 or Rs. 350 as the case may be, thus reverting in part to the procedure which this delegation was intended to prevent. I need not elaborate this point; so far as the grants below Rs. 2,500 are concerned I do not think that Government need be troubled with references; so far as the larger grants are concerned the decision rests upon the answer to the following query: Is the Educational Department, in consultation where need be with officers of other departments, likely to be ignorant of the existence of private professional qualified architects? Possibly but not probably. But presuming that that the Educational Department is in ignorance of the existence in a district of qualified professional advice, and that the Director of Public Instruction permits the school management to have plans drawn up and estimates prepared by some unqualified person. These plans, after being accepted by the Director of Public Instruction, are submitted to Government with a request for the award of grant exceeding Rs. 2,500. Government (Educational) forwards them to Public Works Department not merely for 'pronouncing an opinion on the reasonableness or otherwise of the estimates', but for detailed scrutiny for, since private qualified professional advice is not available, 'the responsibilities of the Public Works Department will continue to be as at present.' It is open to the Public Works Department at this stage to inform Home (Education) that private qualified professional advice is available—if such is the case—and the plans and estimates can be returned to the management of the school through Home (Education) and the Director of Public Instruction for utilizing the services of the private qualified practitioner, whose existence is now brought to light.

I should prefer either the first or third of the alternatives I have suggested on page 2 of these notes.

R. LITTLEHAILES—10-5-19.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

Submitted for orders as to which of the alternative suggestions of the Director of Public Instruction as indicated on page ante may be accepted.

2. The following revised amendment of the first sentence of rule 52 (iii) may perhaps be approved:—

"where, after consulting the local officers of the Public Works Department, the Director of Public Instruction is satisfied that qualified professional advice is not available, etc."

S.K.—12-5-19.

N.K.—15-5-19.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—17-5-19.

NOTES TO G.O. No. 834, HOME (EDUCATION), 4TH JULY 1919

Please type below the rules as recast in the above notes: (adopting the first suggestion of the Director of Public Instruction).

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—17-5-19.

The rules are typed below:—

52. (ii) "If the Director's reply be favourable, the correspondent shall then submit to him, in duplicate, detailed plans, specifications and estimates (which may include architect's and engineer's fees) prepared by a recognized professional architect, a Member or Associate Member of the Institute of Civil Engineers, a gazetted officer of the Public Works Department present or retired, or a present or retired District Board Engineer who will be responsible for the structural stability of the building. No building operations shall commence until after the issue of favourable orders on the suitability of the design and the reasonableness of the estimates. The correspondent of the school in respect of which a grant is made will be required on completion of the work to furnish a certificate signed by the architect or engineer in charge of the work that a building generally in accordance with the plans and specifications which were submitted to Government and on which the grant was sanctioned, has been completed and another certificate signed by the correspondent himself that the full amount of the Government grant has been duly expended thereon."

52. (iii) "Where it is proved that private qualified professional advice is not available, and a grant in aid has been promised in respect of a building, the management shall, prior to the commencement of work thereon, give fifteen days' notice in writing to the Executive Engineer in charge of the division wherein it is proposed to construct the building. During the progress of the work, access thereto and every reasonable opportunity to inspect and examine materials and take measurements shall be afforded by the management to the officers of the Public Works Department."

"NOTE.—Any correspondent contemplating building operations for which he desires a grant from Government should apply to the Inspector for (1) a form of application for grant and (2) instructions for the preparation of plans and estimates".

S.K.—19-5-19.

N.K.—19-5-19.

Please see linked file, the decision therein arrived at will entail further alterations here.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—20-5-19.

Draft to Public Works Department for acceptance.

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—26-5-19.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The suggestions of Consulting Architect in paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of his note on pages ~~ante~~ have been given effect to in the proposed rule 52 (ii) on pages ~~ante~~. The Consulting Architect's suggestion in paragraph 4 of his note on page ~~ante~~ has not been accepted for the reasons given by the Director of Public Instruction on pages ~~ante~~. But the rule as now amended compels the correspondent to prove that private advice is not available. There appears to be no objection to the draft of rule 52 (iii) on page ~~ante~~ as amended by Home (Education).

2. The amended rules may be accepted and the file returned to Home (Education), after Consulting Architect has seen them.

T.R.—2-6-19.

T.P.S.—5-6-19.

S. BHASKARA AYYAR—10-6-19.

Yes.

W. HUTTON—20-6-19.

The Consulting Architect has been consulted and his note is below.

A Accepted.

W.H.—24-6-19.

Relating to the
feasibility of plans
for a building for
an elementary
school at Pulikudam,
Amalapuram Dist.
P.W.D.

K 2/1
2/2
2 and 3/

I agree with Hon'ble Mr. Littlehailes. I think the sentence he has put in brackets, page 2, is an important point. The last thing that is wanted is to tie up the Director of Public Instruction with red tape.

The Public Works Department will have the safeguard mentioned at A page and in my opinion their decision that designs must be done again by a professional man should never be given on the ground that the author of the condemned designs is not a known professional man, but only on the ground that the designs are not satisfactory.

W.H.—24-6-19.

W. H. NICHOLLS—21-6-19.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

For orders whether the draft order may now issue.

2. The linked file referred to in the Registrar's note on page 1 supra relates to the correspondence which passed between the Director of Public Instruction and the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, regarding the question whether private qualified professional advice is available for the preparation of plans and estimates for the St. Antony's Elementary School at Pulliakulam. It has been sent to Public Works Department for remarks on certain suggestions made by the Director of Public Instruction (with this ~~is~~ Department No. 941-2, dated the 16th June 1919).

S.K.—25-6-19.

S.A.—26-6-19.

C.R.—27-6-19.

Draft for approval.

R.R.—1-7-19.

Honourable Member being on tour, issue and to the Honourable Member for perusal after return to Ootacamund.

R.R.—1-7-19.

[G.O. No. 834, Home (Education), dated 4th July 1919.]



ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a)

DEPARTMENT.

Current No., dated 191

G.O. No. 834, dated 4-7-1919

(b)
 Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence
 (b)
 Notes

Brief subject

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for sub-panels and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	(d) Ex. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	(e) Complete correspondence and orders.	(f) Notes.
RECORD.			
Madras Records	4		
Ooty Records			
For filing with original			
Total			

FOR ISSUE.†

Signature copies

India Office			
Govt. of India			
Revenue Department			
Public Works Department			
Local and Municipal Department			
Educational Department			
Public Dept.			
Judicial Dept.			
Political			
Financial Dept.			
Marine Dept.			
Ecclesiastical Dept.			
Total			

5 spare copies

The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
Total			

Copies distributed on ‡(Press Clerk)

† Copies distributed on ‡(Press Despatcher).

† To be initialled and dated.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 815 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supt.

Supt.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supt.

8/8
4/7/19

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Please print urgently

8/8
4/7/19

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.		Initials of Press official.		
Received in Press on.....				
First proof furnished on.....				
Call for revised proof received on.....				
Revised proof furnished on.....				
Corrected proof received for striking on.....				
Final copies supplied on.....				

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				