

Home (191 *9*) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No.

693

DATED

2. 6. 19

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption.

Sanctioning the ----- from the provisions of article 1 of the Public service notification of Saiyid Usman, Amin, Subordinate Judge's Court, Bezwada, with a view to his promotion to posts on pay not exceeding Rs.40 per mensem in the Judicial department.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

O.F.—29.

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

191

Subject.

Forwarding a copy of a letter from the District Judge, Kistna, recommending Syed Usman, 1st grade amin, Sub-Court, Bezwada, for exemption from the examination rules.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 1274/19.

Station Madras, Dated the 1st May 1919.

From (Name) G.S. White Esquire,

(Designation) Deputy Registrar, Appellate

Side, High Court.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Educational) Department.

Hon'ble Sir,

I am directed to forward for the orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council a copy of a letter Dis. No.2955, dated the 13th April 1919, from the District Judge, Kistna, recommending that Syed Usman, 1st grade amin, Sub-ordinate Judge's Court, Bezwada, may be permanently exempted from the examination rules so as to enable him to hold posts carrying a salary upto Rs.40.

I have the honour to be,
Hon'ble Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Registrar.

V.S.

P.T.O.

Copy of letter No. 2955, dated 13th April 1919, from the District Judge, Kistna, to the Registrar, High Court, Madras.

I have the honour to recommend for permanent exemption for holding posts of higher grade upto Rs. 40/- Syed Usman, who is now first grade amin on Rs. 25/- in the Bezwada Sub-Court. I have watched his work for the past 2½ years and satisfied myself that he is deserving of the concession I venture to seek. He is a Mahomedan of good family (being a Jaghirdar in the Hyderabad state) and of superior address, and gentlemanly appearance, being a good rider. He has 28 years service and is about 49 years old. I consider that he will make an efficient supervising officer and it is with this object in view that I would ask for his permanent exemption from passing the School Final Examination.

V.S.
L.S.

3
Hame (Edm) Sept

6. 900 B - From the Deputy Registrar,
Appellate Side, High Court No 1274/19 &
1.5.19

Subject:— Exemption from the examination rules.

Please see the Secretary's note on p. 8 of Letter No 1289, Hame (Edm) & 11.10.18 in regard to the present policy in the matter of exemptions from examination rules. It will be observed that the general practice is to accept the recommendations of Head of Dept. The applicant in the present case is a Muhammadan and has put in 28 years' service ^(his present pay is Rs 25) and the D.T. Judge recommends that he may be exempted from the provisions of Art. 1 of the Public Service Notification so as to enable him to rise up to Rs 40. Though it is usual to grant exemption only up to Rs 30 and extend it, if the exemptee is considered fit for posts on higher pay, it is for consideration whether in the present case the Rs 40 limit recommended

(K)

Dt. Judge's letter
at p. 2. cf

cf Secy's note on p. 11
of Letter No 1265 (Edm)
23.9.18

(L)

p 2 of

(M)

Cdr. no 900 B-1
12-5-19

24
10-5-19

10-5-19

1718

Reg 27252
14-5-19

12/5/19

for 100.

Home (Judl.) Dept.

U.S.

~~Review of the recommendations of~~
~~the Dist. Judge~~ Judicial may, ^{it is submitted,} perhaps
support the ~~proposed~~ Dist. Judge's
recommendation which is very strong

14-5-19

16-5-19

S. W. O. B. K.

11-11

P.S.O.

recommended by the Dist. Judge maybe
adopted, in view of the fact that
the applicant is ^{a Muhammadan} at the far-end of
his service and the Dist. Judge's
opinion that he is deserving of the
Concession asked for. For a precedent
in which an amir on Rs 25 has
been exempted so as to enable him
to rise up to Rs 50, please
see Letter No 455 (Est) of 9.4.19

2. The remarks of the Jud.
Section may be obtained n.o.

(But the only drawback to
sanctioning any considerable number
of these Exemptions is that the
personal element is apt to come
in too strong much. Some judges
- of whom the S. Judge of Victoria
seems to be one - will support
any reasonable request of their
Subordinates: others will only
forward a very exceptional case:
Others will flatly refuse to
send on anything.)

[Signature]

I am afraid that the above criticism
is too true. As Coler, I have never
applied for exemption (except once
that in the case of a general test).

But once a clerk having been admitted,
the only subsequent criterion
ought to be the manner of his
discharge of work; but this matter
we must rely on the opinion of the
heads of the office.

Example by Wms to my the

[Handwritten notes and signatures]
JWS 17/1887
20/5/89

[Handwritten notes and signatures]
20/5/89
E. C. 1007

Home (don) sept

A draft letter is submitted for approval

24
22.5.19
J
25.9

24
24.5.19

24
31.5.19

Exemption

Home (adn)

DEPARTMENT

Sanctioning the — from the provisions of
 Pt. 1 of the P. S. Notification of Sayid Roman, Amil, Subordinate
 Judge's Court, Berwada, with a view to his promotion to posts
 on pay not exceeding Rs 40 p. m. in the judicial dept.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191 .

” ” ” ” ” 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 9008, From the by Registrar, Appeals, No. 1234/11, dated 1-5- 1917
late Side, High Court

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191

Letter
~~ORDER.~~

Mis

No. 693, dated 2.6 1919

7

The Honble the Chief Justice
of the High Court of
Judicature at
Madras

Horrible sig

With reference to
Mr. White's letter R.O.C No 1274/19
of the 1st May 1919, I am directed
to state that His Excellency the
Governor-in-Council is pleased
to sanction the permanent
exemption from the provisions
of A.R. 1 of the Public Service
Notification, of Saigyd
Usman

2.
~~100~~
H.B.4

270
78
464

Isman, Amu, Sub-ordinate Judge's
Court, Berwada, with a view to
his promotion to posts on pay
not exceeding Rs 40 p. m.
in the judicial department.

I have etc

24
22.5.19

24
22.5.19

24
24.5.19

31/5/19

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

..

..

..

A

A

A

A

A

A

A

A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.