

Holm (1919) Department.

Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. *657*

DATED *20 5 19*

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Compulsory Vernacular test

Informing the Accountant-General that Mr. Douglas should with effect from the 6th January 1919, be allowed to draw the salary which he would have drawn but for the with-holding of the increment ordered in G.O.No.71, dated the 21st January 1919.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1,000-1-2-18.

Home DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No.

Received



191

Registered

191

Subject.

Edn 1014 A
18.4.19

ENCLOSURES.

No.

No. G.A. 67-*963*

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Dated the 15th April 1919.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

I have the honour to invite a reference to G.O.No. 319-Home (Edn.) dated 15th March 1919 and to enquire whether, in permitting Mr. W.C. Douglas to draw further increments to his salary, it was the intention of Government to allow him to draw with effect from 6th January 1919 the salary which he would have drawn, but for the withholding of the increment, or whether the entire period during which his increment was withheld should be treated as not counting for increment. In the former case, Mr. Douglas would lose only for the period during which he did not pass the examination, and in the latter, his increments would be permanently affected.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Accountant General.

M.R

Home (Edn) Dept.

CN 1014 A. From the A.G., N. G. A. 67-963
8.15.4.19.

Subject. Withdrawal of increment to salary of Mr. Douglas for failure to pass the compulsory vernacular test.

(V) In G.O. No. 71, dated the 21st January 1918, the Govt. directed the stoppage of increment to the salary of Mr. W. C. Douglas, permanent Inspector of Schools & now temporary lecturer in English in the College for Muhammadans, Madras, until he passed the compulsory test in a vernacular language. He passed the test held in January 1919 & the Govt. have allowed ^{him} to draw increment with effect from the date of the examination ~~when he passed~~. The A.G. ~~inquired~~ ^{inquired} whether the entire period ~~also~~ during which ~~the~~ the increment was withheld should be treated as counting for

G.O. No. 319
15.3.19 (P)

for increment or not. Mr Douglas joined service on 12-1-1914.

He drew increments to his salary as shown below:-

On 12-1-1915 — Rs 550

On 12-1-1916 — Rs 600.

On 12. 1. 1917 — Rs 650.

On 12. 1. 1918 he was not permitted to draw Rs 700.

If the period during which the increment was withheld counts for the purposes of increment, he will draw at

Rs 700 per mensem from the 6th to the 12th January 1919 and

at Rs 750 from the latter date.

If, however, the period does not count, he will draw ^{salary} Rs 700 ^{only} from 6th January 1919 and at

Rs 750 ^{only} from the 6th January 1920

From the notes on page 5 of notes to go. No. 319, dated the 15th

March 1919, it appears that the latter course was not

the intention as in that case he loses ^{at the rate of} Rs 50 per mensem

for a much longer period than one year. It is therefore for

order

80. 21. 21. 18 (r)

6th Jan 1919 is the date of the ex^o which Mr Douglas passed.

orders whether the A.G. may be informed that the period in question counts for increment (This is the first case of the kind)

8K
30/4/19
MK
20/4/19

1-5-19
S

I agree. During the period in question Mr. Douglas was doing duty & the stoppage of increment was for an auxiliary disqualification. Loss of increase of pay over the period is sufficient penalty I think.


I wonder if Financial has any precedents
2/5/19

2/5/19

Financial Dept.

For the Registrar.

Submitted that precedents are not traceable in this Dept. They will be available in Admin. Dept. 5/5/19. Rev. P. W. K. J. J. 2. Article 152 of the C.S.R. states "When an increment is withheld, the period for which it is withheld is at the discretion of the authority having power to withhold, who will also decide whether the postponement is or is not to have the effect of similarly postponing future increments." From this



Edn. no 1014A-1
2-5-19

CURRENT NO.
2208 169/A
FINANCIAL
3-5-19

Received at Madras
on 5-5-19

it is clear that Dept. Can decide ~~not~~ in the present case that the period during which Mr. Douglas did not draw increments should now count for increments. As this also seems to have been the intention in allowing ~~Mr.~~ Mr. Douglas to draw increments from the ^{Compulsory remanual} date on which the test was held this Dept. may, it is submitted, consent to allow Mr. Douglas to count for increments the period during which he did not draw increments. With these remarks the file may be returned to Home (Edn.) no.

HR
10/5/19
COR 19
12-5-19
First with mt. object which can come in due time. It is purely an administrative question.


As. $\frac{12}{31/3/19}$
Jm
14/11

Home Edn. no

Home (Edn.) Dept.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

8/2
16/5/19
17-5-19
HM
19/5/19



File no. 1681A-1
16.5.19

It is understood that the principle involved in the above is observed in the case of junior civil servants who agree to pass the date of their service to the Home (Edn.) no.

The Home (Edn.) no. is the principal reference in the above is observed in the case of junior civil servants who agree to pass the date of their service to the Home (Edn.) no.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.