

Home (1919) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 640

DATED 19-5-19

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Law College, Madras.

Sanctioning the re-appointment of a Professor and certain Assistant Professors in the ----- for a further term of three years.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.
228R	25.9.18			
1407	20.12.16			
932	2.9.16			
895	22.8.16			
310	22.3.16			
91	11.1.16			
1424	14.12.15			
944	28.8.15			
224	15.3.13			

Papers of other departments.

HOME (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Edn 1069A

Received

19

Registered

1919

Subject.

the
Recommending the reappointment of/Professor
the in the Law College, Madras
and/Assistant Professors appointed/under G.O.No.895 Home
(Education) dated 22nd August 1916.

ENCLOSURES

i No

R. C. No. 3097/19

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 25 April 1919

From (Name) the Hon'ble Mr. R. Pittlenhales, M. A.,
(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.
M A D R AS.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
HOME (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir,

With reference to paragraph 3 of G. O. No.224 Educational dated 15th March 1913 I have the honour to state that the ~~marginally-noted~~ Professor and Assistant Professors of the Madras Law College who were appointed in G. O. No.895 Home (Education) dated 22nd August 1916 complete their term of three years by the dates mentioned against each of them and to recommend that they be reappointed for a further term of three years from 7th July 1919.

The Law College Council have also recommended their

* Please see
overleaf.

2

reappointment.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. Krishnaswami

for Director of Public Instruction.

9/8/19
25/11
* Professor

M. R. Ry. C. Madhavan Nair 27th August 1919

Assistant Professors

M. R. Ry. C. kunhiraman 7th July 1919

„ S. Duraiswami Ayyar 28th August 1919

„ T. S. Narayana Ayyar 28th August 1919

„ K. P. Lakshmana Rao 27th August 1919

Mr. Mir Zynuddin Saneb 28th August 1919.

55,199-3-17.

3

6.7-11

Law College, Madras.

NOTES.

1910

the Secretary to Govt Home (Edw)
will you kindly let me know
whether the appointment of professors
and asst professors on the staff of the
Madras Law College made in G.O.
no 895 Home (Edw) of 22.8.16 have
been sanctioned for a period of 3
years only with ref to paras of G.O.
no 224 (Edw) of 15.3.13 or for an indefinite
period with ref to rule 9 of the rules of 1913
in G.O. no 310 Edw of 22 mar 16.

1910 (1913) Home
The following reply is submitted for approval:

As stated in para. 1 of G.O. no 895 Home (Edw)
of 22.8.16 the appointments referred to therein
have been sanctioned under G.O. no 310 Edw of 22.3.16.

Neither the old rules nor the new ones regulating
the constitution & working of the Law College contain any
stipulation as to the period for which the appointments

C.F. 271.

OFFICE OF THE

Dated 191

Hours Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From The D. P. I

To The Secretary to Govt Home (Edw)
Will you kindly let me know
whether the appointment of professors
and asst professors on the staff of the
Madras Law College made in G.O.
no 895 Home (Edw) of 22.8.16 have
been sanctioned for a period of 3
years only with ref to paras of G.O.
no 224 (Edw) of 15.3.13 or for an indefinite
period with ref to rule 9 of the rules of 1913
in G.O. no 310 Edw of 22 mar 16.

Home (Edw) Dept

The following reply is submitted for approval:

Reply

As stated in para. 1 of G.O. no 895 Home (Edw)
of 22.8.16 the appointments referred to therein
have been sanctioned under G.O. no 310 Edw of 22.3.16.

Ch. 4. of 1913

note Neither the old rules nor the new ones regulating
the constitution & working of the Law College contain any
stipulation as to the period for which the appointments

should be made. The period of appointment and the qualification of the professors and assistant professors were fixed in fo. no. 224 Ed. of 15.3.13, but were not acted upon, the latter being specifically omitted - letter no 1425 of 14.12.15. The appointments in question were made under the rules as revised in fo. no. 310 of 22.3.16

(J)

(M)

(K)

lg

10/4/19

~~Examine the duration of the~~
~~of the present incumbent~~

The above note appears to be correct. but see note on page 66 of 90 895 of 1916.

Please repl

10/4/19

(L)

(J)

(K)

Submitted that the note on page 66 of GOM 895 of 22-8-16 was based on the reference to a three years' period in the Director's letter on page 2 of the fo. and on the fact that the last sentence of para. 3 of GOM 224 Ed. of 15-3-13 was not expressly cancelled in ^{para 2 & 3} GOM 310 Ed. of 22-3-16. Attention is however solicited to the notes on page 54 of GOM 895

(L) 895 of 22-8-16. That order did not specifically limit the term of appointment to a period of three years but judged by the previous correspondence on the subject, there appears to be no doubt that such was the intention of Gov. If this view is concurred in, the following revised draft reply is submitted for approval.

Reply

your message of the 9th instant re Professors & Assistant Professors in the Law College. The last sentence of para 3 of Govt 224 Edt of the 15-3-13 was in no way affected by the orders issued in paras 2 & 3 of Govt 310 Edt of 22-3-16. Hence the ^{term} ~~period~~ of appointment of the officers in question must be taken to be for a period of three years only.

MS
11.4.19

The position is this. When the appointments were made, 90,895 of 1916, the idea was clearly to limit the term to three years. ~~Not subsequent~~

(This regretted that the above facts were not brought to notice when the first note was sent.)

MS
11.4.19

of 1913 which has not been expressly repealed. But G.O. 310 of 1916 prescribes no time limit & the appointments in G.O. 895 of 1916 were announced without time limit. So it is open to us to find both ways. Will D.P. advise what course he prefers?

Wm
4/4/19

Dr. Quon

I should prefer the term of appointment limited to three years. This would give power to Govt. to replace any officer who had fallen off or not justified the reputation which Govt. had placed in him, without undue comment, at the end of his period of appointment. Both the San Diego Council and Principal are apparently of opinion that the appointments made in G.O. 895 of 22.8.16 were for a period of only three years, since the Council has recently met and recommended the reappointment of those members of the staff whose term of appointment is about to expire. I am addressing Govt. officially on their reappointment.

18.4.19.

Home/Esq. No. 981 A-1
11.4.19

Send note
my

No. 201 dated 19.4.19.

H-125



Home Education Dept

Submitted that the course suggested by the
D.I. may be ~~now~~ accepted and the official
communication promised awaited.

22.4.19.
MS
23/4/19

CA
23/4/19

May
24/4/19

[C No 1069 A] From the Dir. of Pub Instr.
RC No 3097/19 of 25. April 1919

Rego.

A draft order is subd. for approval
2. It appears to be ~~unnecessary~~ to
notify the reappointments in the ~~future~~

MS
13/5/19

CA
14.5.19

May
15/5/19

HM
15/5/19

HE 6.17.5.19



When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

.. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.