

Home (1919) Department.

Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 439

DATED 7-4-19

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Middle schools.

Declining to sanction the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to open two middle schools in the district. *OK*
from the savings in the provincial subsidies granted to the District Board for elementary education.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (= O) or spare copy (= S.O.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department
1154	2-9-17	O		
757	11-6-18	SC		
943	16-7-18	O		
102	24-1-19	SC		

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S. C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging return of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.
do	1519	12-11-18		
do	1519	12-11-18		

HOME (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

C.F.-29.

Received

191

Registered

Serial 25451
191
12.10.18

Subject.

Recommending the opening of two new Board

Middle Schools at Kaikalur and Pamarru in the Kistna District.

ENCLOSURES

No.

R. C. No. 7748/1918

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 13 October 1918

From (Name) the Hon'ble Mr. H. S. Duncan, L.A.,

(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction
MADRAS.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Department.

HOME (EDUCATION)

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith the file of correspondence received from the Inspector of Schools, II Circle, regarding the opening of two new Board Middle Schools at Kaikalur and Pamarru in the Kistna District and to recommend the proposal for sanction. The District Board appears to have an unspent balance of Rs. 13,862 in its favour of the recurring provincial subsidies sanctioned to it for education as will be seen from the statement furnished to me with the President's letter D. Dis. No. 940 of 1918 dated 16th June 1918 a copy of which is herein

This is a lump sum (not running)

page 3 infra

Statement showing the unspent balance of Provincial subsidy sanctioned for education and outstanding in favour of the Kistna District Board on 31st March 1918. 33

	Recurring	Non-recurring	Remarks
1	2	3	4
1. Colleges	-	-	(a) of this 17047 it has been proposed to utilise Rs 2165 as detailed below: Rs 1526 for payment of Capitalisation allowance to the teachers in Elementary schools under Bandar Saluk Board. This amount was originally allotted to the Narasapur Saluk Board over and above its actual requirements and it was subsequently transferred to the Bandar Saluk Board just before the close of the official year 1917-18 according to the proposals of the Assistant Inspector of schools. Rs 100 for payment of arrears bills by the Illore Saluk Board Rs 1444 for equipment of schools by Narasapur Saluk Board Rs 95 for entertainment of an Inspector in origin and a serving Inspector in the Board's schools at Palana and Bhatlapur - marks on Rs 4 each per mensem
(2) Secondary schools	-	(b) 10333	
3. Elementary schools	(a) 17047	10333	
4. Training Technical and other special schools.	-	-	
		1526 1444 95 2165	
			2165 The remaining amount of Rs 13882 is available for reallocation.
			(b) The whole amount of Rs 10333 has been reallocated for equipment to elementary schools and for the improvement of elementary school buildings, so nothing is available for reallocation out of this balance.
			N A Arive For Director of Public Instru

enclosed and the above amount, it is reported, is available for reallocation. If this balance is to be utilized for the maintenance of the two new Middle Schools, it would really involve the transfer of funds from Elementary to Secondary education. I have no objection to such a course provided that adequate provision is made by the Board from its own funds in the two localities in question for those requiring only Elementary Education.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

N A Arive.

For Director of Public Instruction

19.11.10

4
Proceedings of the Taluk Board Gudimada
passed at a meeting held in the Deputy
Collector's Office Gudimada at 12 noon on
Tuesday the 16th July 1918

Present
Officials

M. R. Py. K. Subhaya Saidu Garu B.A.
President Taluk Board,
Gudimada
" " Vakkallanka Lakshminarasimham
Pantulu Garu
Tahsildar, Kaikatur
" " Upadhayala Venkataramanayya
Pantulu Garu
Sub-Assistant-Inspector of Schools
Kaikatur Range

Nominated non-officials

" " Haredla Ramiah Pantulu Garu
B.A.
" " Gudipati Venkatachalapati
Pantulu Garu

Elected non-officials

" " Gudipati Adinarayana Garu
" " Veerapanani Basavayya Garu
" " Chintapalli China Subbaiah Garu
" " Adusumilli Gopalakrishnayya Garu

Read joint petition from M. R. Py. Venkapaneni
Basavayya Garu and four other members
requesting to raise the elementary schools
at Kaikatur and Pamuru into Board Middle
Schools -

Resolution No. 34 dated 16th July 1918
The Board is of opinion that the

opening of Middle schools at Pamarru and Kaikalur is essentially necessary under the present circumstances especially in view of the fact that feeder schools are necessary for the newly opened High school at Gudrinada and with a view to obtain Government recognition and help the Board resolves to address the Inspector of Schools through the Collector of the District

(Sd) R. Subhaya
16.7.18
President

(True Copy)

For President

28-7-18

g.O. 57
11.6.18
(16.7.18)
flac (J)

Taluk Board's office

Gudrinada

Dated 26th July 1918

From

M. R. Ry. R. Subhaya Naidu Garu
B. A

President, Taluk Board

Gudrinada

To

The Inspector of Schools

II circle

(Through The Collector

Histna)

Sir

I have the honour to state that there are only elementary schools in Kaikalur and Pamarru. The former is the head-quarters of the Kaikalur Taluk and the latter is the head-quarters of the Deputy Tahsildar Pamarru Division. Both are equally important and a middle school is necessary at each place not only to satisfy the needs of the official population but also the growing desire on the part of the nonbrahmmins of these tracts to educate themselves. Throughout the whole of this Taluk Board area, there is at present no middle school. This area is the centre of the Kamma population in this District which is economically a very important community and there is much discontent felt among the community that their needs for education

been
 have not satisfied by the authorities.
 A High School has recently been opened
 at Gudivada with the aid of the provincial
 grant. It is necessary that there should
 be some middle schools to serve as
 feeder schools to the new high school.
 The Taluk Board has therefore resolved
 to raise the elementary schools at Haikalur
 and Tamarru into middle schools. Vide
 Taluk Board Resolution No. 34 dated 16th ~
 July 1918 (copy enclosed). I request that you
 will be so good as to recommend to
 Government for a grant from provincial
 funds for them and send me proposals
 for the establishment required -

I have the honour to be
 Sir
 your most obedient servant

for President

AS
 28-7-18
 AS
 28-7-18

10757
 Sum 8
 11-6-18
 1008 (J)

pages 4-5
 ante

...the authorities of the...
 ...High School has recently been opened...
 ...Gudivada with the aid of the provincial...
 ...grant. It is necessary that there should...
 ...be some middle schools to serve as...
 ...feeder schools to the new high school...
 ...The Taluk Board has therefore resolved...
 ...to raise the elementary schools at Haikalur...
 ...and Tamarru into middle schools. Vide...
 ...Taluk Board Resolution No. 34 dated 16th ~...
 ...July 1918 (copy enclosed). I request that you...
 ...will be so good as to recommend to...
 ...Government for a grant from provincial...
 ...funds for them and send me proposals...
 ...for the establishment required -

I have the honour to be
 Sir
 your most obedient servant

for President


AS
 28-7-18



Cur 512 Kth
5/8/18

8

From
The President
Talug Board
Gudimada



To
The President
District Board
Kithur

Date 26.7.18
Dated 29.7.18
Re 1323 of 18
Subject 29.7.18

Forwarded &
recommended.
Area amshu
S. P. 10
President
Kithur
21.7.18
1/12/18

The Talug Board Resolves
to raise the elementary
schools of Kai Kher and
Pamarru into middle
schools and requesting
to obtain Government
recognition and help.

1323
29.7.18
From
Gudimada Talug Board's
Office.
Gudimada
Dated 31st August 18

M. R. Py. T. Subbaraya Naidu Garu
B. A.
President, Talug Board.
Gudimada

To
The Inspector of Schools
II circle

Sir.

With reference to your
D.O. No. 512/K dated 15th August 18,
I have the honour to state, that
a sum of Rs 4,500 is roughly
required annually for the
maintenance of each of the
proposed middle schools at
Pamarru and Kai Kher as
detailed below

	Rs
Head master	80
Assistant	50
Do	40
Do	30
Do	30
Do	25
pandit	25
Drill and drawing master	25
peon	8

per mensem 313
per Annuum 3756


This does not make
any allowance for
income from fees

Same as the
scale same
in 80 757 2nd
8.11.6.18 (J)
- 10.9.18 (4)
bsh

B. Forward 3756 10
 Rent 250
 Furniture 150 10/30
 Books and maps 100 80
 Other Contingencies 200 180
4456

What about
 new?

2/ A special grant of Rs 1000 may
 also be sanctioned at the
 beginning for equipment
 for each school.



I have the honour to be
 Sir
 your most obedient servant

C. S. Srinivas
 For President 1-9-18

Al
 31-8-18

50,912-23-6-17.

Ed. B-101

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF

No. 512 Klg
 10/9/18

SCHOOLS, Second CIRCLE, DISTRICT, RANGE,

STATION, Narasaraopet.

Dated 7th September 1918.

From

W. D. Brierley Esquire,

As INSPECTOR OF BOYS' SCHOOLS, Second

CIRCLE, DISTRICT, RANGE,

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

MADRAS.

SIR.

In submitting herewith the correspondence ending with
 the letter P.O. No. 1523/18 dated 31-8-18 of the President
 Taluk Board, Gudivada, I have the honour to request that you
 will be so good as to recommend to Government the grant of a
subvention required for starting two Middle schools at Famaru
and Kaikalur.

2/-, Kaikalur is the headquarters of a Taluk and was most
 backward in matters Educational till 1912 when the Taluk was
 constituted as a range with a Sub Asst. Inspector. The major
 portion of the people of the Taluk belong to backward classes
 such as Vaddars, Padies, Edigas etc. Owing to the faci-
 lities recently given them by starting elementary schools in
 their midst, they are now improving and are desirous of
 giving secondary education to their boys. But on account of
 their extremely poor condition, they are neither able to send
 them to towns where there are secondary schools nor maintain
 schools of their own.


3. Famaru is a centre of Kamma population, a major
 portion of whom belong to the Middle class. There is a growing
 desire among the non-brahmin people of this and the surrounding
 villages for Secondary Education and Gudivada is the
 only place under the Taluk Board where there is a Secondary

(P.T.O.)

12
C. 7748/18
school. The Board is prepared to maintain two more schools for the benefit of the brahmin and non-brahmin population of the Taluk at Pamarru and Kaikalur provided that a subsidy is sanctioned.

4. According to the letter of the President of the Taluk Board quoted above, the cost of maintaining each school is Rs. 3756 a year. Of this about Rs. 1500 a year can be realised from fee receipts. The net cost will therefore be Rs. 2256 a year for each school or Rs. 4500/- in round figures for both the schools.

5. The Taluk Board may be asked to meet all non-recurring expenditure on account of equipment and recurring expenditure on account of house rent and other contingencies etc., from its own resources.



I have the honour to say,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. B. Priorey
7.9.18

Ag. Inspector of schools.

E.U. 7/7/9.

Second Circle.


C 7748/18

Subject

Recommending the grant of a subvention required for starting two new middle schools at Pamarru & Kaikalur in Division Taluk

Home (Education)

DEPARTMENT.



Registered 25.3.1919

Subject.

Requesting orders on the opening of two new Board Middle Schools at Kaikalur and Pamarru in Kistna District.

ENCLOSURES.	
No.	
Spare copies	

No. 7748/18
Station Madras, Dated the 24.3.1919

From (Name) The Honourable Mr. R. Littlehales, M. A.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education)

Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to invite attention to this office letter R C No. 748/18 dated 12-10-18 regarding the opening of two new Board Middle Schools at Kaikalur and Pamarru in the Kistna District and to request the favour of your communicating to me the orders, if any, passed thereon.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. A. R. R.

for Director of Public Instruction.

NOTES.

Home (S.D.) Dept.

CR-2545A. From the S.D. J., R.C. 77482/12.10.00

Subject. Opening of two new middle schools at Kaikalur and Ramanna in the Kistna D.D.

p. 3 & cf.

The Kistna District Board has ^(unspent) an ~~unspent~~ balance of Rs 17,047 under recurring and Rs 10,333 ^{under} ~~for~~ non-recurring grants made from ~~Pool~~ fund for educational objects. It proposes to utilise the whole of the balance of the non-recurring grant on the improvement of elementary school buildings and on their equipment. Of the balance of the recurring grant, a sum of Rs 2,165 is to be utilized on ~~a few objects of~~ miscellaneous objects and there is a balance of Rs 13,882 for which the ~~Board~~ ^{apparently} has no specific use immediately. It is now proposed to ~~open~~ utilize a portion of this amount (apparently with effect from July 1912) on the

maintain

P. 2 CF

maintenance of two middle schools to be established at Kaikalur & Pamarru. With reference to this, the D.P.I observes that this proposal really involves the transfer of funds from elementary to secondary education. ~~That~~ The District Board has not explained why such a large amount of the recurring subsidy sanctioned ^{presumably} for expenditure on the maintenance of elementary schools and on other objects connected with elementary education has been allowed to remain unspent. It is not known whether the demand ^{for} elementary education ^{is} has been adequately met. It appears to be desirable to obtain information on these points before ^{considering} ~~agreed~~ ^(the question of) to ~~allocate~~ the funds as proposed by the District Board. The D.P.I may be requested to obtain & furnish a report

in the matter.

SK
25/10/18.

MX
27/10/18

The Inspector's letter on
on page 11 & 12 of c.p. con-
templated the meeting
of the amounts require
for the opening of the two
middle schools from the funds of
the Board. The maintenance of
the two schools will involve recurring
expenditure. The source indicated
in the accumulated ~~and~~ unutilized
balance of grants for recurring ex-
penditure. This is presumably
a lump ~~sum~~ balance & is not
a recurring source of revenue
to the Board; and apparently the
grants for recurring expenditure
sanctioned are now being fully
utilized for the objects for which
they were made. If so, it is not
correct to rely on the lump
balance for a recurring outlay
assuming that the diversion
of the funds intended for element
education can be diverted for
Secondary education. The expression
"recurring" & "non-recurring" used in
the Staff. on p. 2 of c.p. are obviously

pages 1-2 of

NOTES.

intended to denote 'pau's' sanctioned originals for "recruiting" + "non-recruiting" purposes. The D. P. I. may be asked to state if this is so.

2. He may also be req^d to state whether the needs of elementary education in ~~the~~ the District involving recruiting + non-recruiting expenditure have been sufficiently met to admit of the diversion of funds allotted for that purpose.

CA
31-10-1919
11/11/19

D.P.I. (W)

The view taken by the Sanctioning on 14/11/19 is correct.

2. It has been ascertained that Rs. 13882 is set-
a recurring sum of revenue to the Board + the
proposes to utilize Rs. 5000 of this amount towards
the equipment of existing Board Elementary schools
a legitimate item of exp^d expenditure. The point
for consideration is whether Government should bear
the estimated est. cost of Rs. 4,500 relating to the
new school. Kirtan has been well treated in
new school, it is doubtful whether the proposed
treatment which could be recorded with it.



84 2545 A7

1/11
No 37 of 25.1.19

page 4, p. 12

5

two additional schools on sanctioned would be
justified. It seems improbable, however, that the
Board could trust any of the col. because it has
been spending 15.8% of its income on education &
against the spending avg. of 13.3%. Of the two
additional schools on sanctioned, the col. would have
to come out of the 2.09 ^(x) lacs for opening new middle
schools & raising the standard of existing middle
schools & high schools. Out of 20 of the latter proposed &
50 of the former, 19 & 49 respectively have been opened,
& fund therefor would be available from the
Surplus.

$$\frac{1}{22.1.19}$$

Home (Edn) Dep't

As stated by the D.P.S., ^{the} ~~Kistna~~
D^r ^{of Kistna} ~~Board~~ has received liberal
treatment in the matter of
educational grants. Of the
49 new middle schools opened
in the current year with the
aid of Govt subsidies, Kistna
D^r got 3, which is the largest
number allotted to any district ^{except Madras}.
It is now proposed to open
two additional middle
schools ~~there~~ in the district. The

please see start-
on page 2 of notes
to Jo. 75-7 (7)
11. 6. 18

para 4, p. 12 g.c.f.

Please see the Stat^t
on page 5 of 1st 19 d
notes Regs. 12-11-18 (1)

Board requires a grant from
Provl funds towards the opening
of the school. ~~From page 5~~
~~of the notes for 12-11-18~~ The
Inspector of Schools recom-
mends that a subsidy of Rs. 500
being the ^(probable) net cost of the two
schools (excluding expenditure
on rent, contingencies) may
be granted from Provl funds.
It does not appear that the
financial position of the
District Board is unsatisfactory.
There is therefore no reason
why the cost of the two
new schools should not be
met from the Board's own
funds. If, however, a grant
is ^(considered) necessary, it may be
limited to a half of the net
cost of Rs. 4,500 referred to
above. In a few other cases
the Govt have ^{agreed to} adopted a
similar course, viz, to meet
half the net cost - phase 2
para 3 of go. N. 1205 d, dy 22 nd
August 1917, on page 1 of go. N. 11
dated the 7th Sept 1917. The file

may be sent to the Lt. Col. for remarks.

1/24/19.
MK
1/24/19

10-2-19



There is no objection to action on the D.P.'s proposal on the condition noted by him.

11/2/19

Home (C.S.) no.

2545 A/18-2
11. 2. 19.

L.M.

1/24/19




24.11.19. 938-14
17.2.19

Submitted that the recommendation of the C.P.I. which is supported in Home (C.S.) may be accepted in this Dept. & the file passed on u.o. to Financial.

Cox. 135
15.2.19

17.2.19

Fin. C. u.o.



17.2.19

Cancelled - retained in B.

P.T.O.

17/ Financial Department.

Opening 2 new Board middle schools at Kaikalur and Pamaru (Kistna District)

The Gudivada Taluk Board has proposed to open two new middle schools by raising the status of the existing two elementary schools at Kaikalur and Pamaru and requests that grants may be given by Govt. to cover the extra cost involved (both recurring and non-recurring).

2. The Inspector of Schools, II Circle, in his letter dated 7th September 1918 (pp 11-12 C.F.) has remarked that the net cost of maintaining the two middle schools (the cost of the staff minus fee income) will be about Rs 4,500 per annum and recommended :-

(1) that a recurring grant should be given by Govt. of about Rs.4,500 per annum to cover the net cost of the staff (after deducting fee income); and

(2) that the Taluk Board (or the District Board) should meet from its own resources the recurring expenditure on account of house-rent furniture, books and maps and other contingencies (about Rs.1400 per annum for the two schools) and the non-recurring expenditure on account of equipment for the two schools.

3. The Director of Public Instruction, in his letter dated 12th October 1918 (pages 1-2 C.F), proposed, under a misapprehension, that the recurring cost might be met from the lump unspent balance of Rs.13,882 held by the Kistna District Board out of the recurring subsidies for Elementary Education given by Government in

Page 5-6 CF

Paras 4+5 on
page 12 CF

Mr 3-4 ante

the past. It was pointed out by Home (Education) to the Director that a non-recurring lump sum should not be relied on as a source from which to meet a recurring item of payment. The Director has consequently modified his proposal originally made in his letter dated the 12th October 1918. (Mr 1-2 CF)

4. In his note dated the 22nd January 1919 on pages 4-5 ante the Director of Public Instruction remarks:-

"The question for consideration is whether Govt. should bear the estimated net cost of Rs. Rs.4,500 per annum relating to the new schools. "Kistna has been well treated re: new schools, "and it is doubtful whether the preferential "treatment which could be accorded to it if these "two additional schools were sanctioned would be "justified. It seems improbable, however, that "the Board could meet any of the cost because it "has been spending 15.8% of its income on education as against the Presidency average of 13.3%. "If the two additional schools are sanctioned, "the cost would have to come out of the 2.09 "lakhs for opening new middle schools and raising "the standard of existing middle schools to high "schools. Out of 20 of the latter proposed and "50 of the former, 19 and 49 respectively have "been opened and funds therefore would be available from this source".

Page 122 CB2

Mr 2 on page 8 ante

5. The Director of Public Instruction evidently supports the Inspector's proposal that the Govt. should bear the net cost of the staff, all other items of expenditure (recurring and non-recurring) being met by the funds of the local board.

The Home (Education) Department office suggests that, in view of the satisfactory financial position of the Kistna District Board, it will suffice to sanction a recurring grant from Govt. to meet half the net cost of the staff of the proposed two new middle schools.

Home Secretary, however, proposes to accept the Director's recommendation.

Submitted that this Department may agree with the Home Secretary, in view of the fact that when the opening of new board High School and Middle Schools at the cost of Government was sanctioned in G.O. 757 Education dated 11-6-18 the net cost was borne by Govt. without reference regard to the financial position of the local bodies concerned.

626.
3-3-19.

C.S.

4/3/19

The main question seems to be whether it is fair to the other districts to give Kistna so much help. This is not a question of financial.

Will have Dep. kindly circulate to Mr. Puri?

5-3-19



7D

8

5-3-19

Home up.

Home (Edn) Dept

With reference to the Chief Secy's resolution the following facts are brought to notice:-

- (1) Kistna stands 6th in rank among the districts in the Presy in the matter of Secondary education. The percentage of male pupils in public secondary schools in the district to male population is 84 as against a Presidently average of 68. Other districts such as Anantapur, Chittoor, Buddapah, Kurnool, Salem and Vizagapatnam have only percentages varying between 18 and 32.

- (2) Kistna has at present 23 Secondary Schools, 6 under public management and the remaining 17 under private management, while some of the other districts e.g. Kurnool, Buddapah, Anantapur and Salem have only 4 or 5 schools each.

- (3) Of the recently opened 18 high schools and 49 middle schools, Kistna got one high school and 3 middle schools.

2. Though Kistna is not backward in respect of secondary education as compared with other districts, the Inspector of Schools states, that there is a real need for the opening of two new middle schools in the places mentioned. He D.P. also opposes the

vide 8th 33 in para 32
Part I of the Supplementary
to the Supplemental
Statistics

pp 8, 9 & 10 of Part II A
of the Supplementary tables

vide annexures I & II
on pp 8 & 9 of 757
7.6.18

pp 11-12 of

p. 1 of

vide 8th 33 in para 32
Part I of the Supplementary
to the Supplemental
Statistics

pp 8, 9 & 10 of Part II A
of the Supplementary tables

vide annexures I & II
on pp 8 & 9 of 757
7.6.18

pp 11-12 of

p. 1 of

proposal and the ^{extra} cost ^{involved} of the ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~the~~ can be met from the provision for opening of Secondary Schools in the next year's budget. [Before Orders issue, it will have to be ascertained whether the D.O. or the Taluk Board is prepared to meet from its own funds all non-recurring expenditure on account of equipment ^{well as the} recurring expenditure on ~~account of~~ house rent and other contingencies, as suggested by the Inspector in para 5 of his letter on pp 11-12 cf.]

3. The file may be circulated to H. 8 and H. 11. (Encl) for approval of the main proposal.

dsr
12.3.49
N.B.
12/3/49

A
15.3.49
S.

Considering the Inspector's letter to the communities for which the schools are intended to serve, I think that the proposal may be sanctioned. As the strength of the schools increases, the net cost will become less. The subsidy proposed is 4,500 Rs per annum very moderate for two schools.

my
17/3/49

148.

HM (7/4/49)

B: 49
C. 18.3.

11. 2/4/49

pp 11-12 cf
para 2+3 of the
Inspector's letter on
pp 11-12 cf

para 4 on p 12 of 1/4/49

His Excellency is not prepared to sanction this diversion of funds allotted for elementary education to the provision of middle schools. The District Board can have no difficulty in spending this sum on buildings or equipment of elementary schools.

The question of opening additional middle schools should be dealt with separately & its merits & with reference to competing claims for such funds as may be available.

B. 22.3.19

Nyl

Cons 23.3.19. the Inspector

A draft order declining to sanction the Director's proposal is submitted for approval. It may be sent to L & M for perusal before

issue.

28th
24.3.19
Nyl
25.3.19

28th
24.3.19
Nyl
25.3.19

L & M (un)

L & M

Submitted that the case may be referred



Home Esq. Jno.
160.2545A/18-3
28.3.19

6. No. 2705 L

31.3.19

A

A

cc. to Home (Edn) after perusal.

Con. 135
24.9 24.19

Vol
3.4.19

Home (Edn) u.o.


Home (Edn) Sept

[Cm. 832] [From the D.P. Re No. 7748 of 24.3.19]

cc. H. No. 2705-11

The draft order may now issue.

3.4.19



282
4.4.19
MK
4.4.19

CA
6.2.19

TKK

additional secondary schools
and the funds available for
the purpose. The unspent
balance of the subsidy
in question should
be utilized by the

Dr Board at an early
date on some non-recurring
items of expenditure connected
with elementary education,
such as buildings or equip-
ment for elementary
schools. ~~From such sources~~
~~as may be available~~
~~the Board should~~
~~be authorized to~~
~~make such expenditure~~
~~as may be required~~

CR
7.3.49 m
28/3/49

To the D.P.-I
L & H Dept } (42)

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

191

REAS AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LETT.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.