

Home (1919) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1129

DATED 18-7-19

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Government Girls' School, Dindigul.-

Stating that the increase in the pay of the two temporary teachers employed in the----- should take effect from the 1st March 17 instead of from the 1st July 1916,

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (= O) or spare copy (= S.G.).	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging return of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

Home (Education) DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

191

Subject.

Requesting Government to modify the order issued
in paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 1613 Home (Education) dated
14-12-18 so as to extend to certain teachers in the Government
Girls' school, Dindigul the benefit of G.O. No. 170 Home
(Education) dated 12-2-18.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Spare copies

No.

Station

Madras

Dated the

5-8

1919

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. R. Littlehales, M.A.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education)

Department.

Through the Accountant-General, Madras.

Sir,

In accordance with G.O. No. 170 Home (Education)
dated 12-2-18 the incumbents of the posts of two temporary
teachers in the Government Girls' school, Dindigul were
allowed to draw their salary at Rs. 12/- per mensem with
effect from 1-3-17. It is reported that the Accountant-
General now requires the refund of the amount drawn in excess
of Rs. 10/- per mensem on behalf of each of the incumbents
from 1-3-17 to 1-7-18 on the ground that the pay of the posts
in question was raised to Rs. 12/- from the latter date only
under G.O. No. 1613 Home (Education) dated 14-12-18. I

doubt

16 months
= Rs. 64

3

doubt whether objection is tenable in view of the orders issued in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 170 Home (Education) dated 12-2-18. Further it is reported that it is not possible to recover in full the amount held under objection by the Accountant-General as two of the three individuals from whom the recovery has to be made have left Government service. In these circumstances I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to modify, if necessary, the order issued in paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 1613 Home (Education) dated 14-12-18 so as to extend to the teachers who held the posts in question the benefit of G.O. No. 170 Home (Education) dated 12-2-18.


I have the honour to be,
S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

T.V. N. D/. 5-8-19.

3,000 - 6-18.



ENCLOSURES.

Two

Office of the Accountant-General, Madras,

Fort St. George, the 15th of August 1919.

No. Mra. 3-

F O R W A R D E D .

2. It is open to Government with reference to the recommendation of the Director of Public Instruction to so modify para 1 of G.O. No. 1613 Home (Education) dated the 14th December 1918 as to extend to the temporary teachers in question the benefits of G.O. No. 170 Home (Education) dated the 12th February 1918.

Deputy Accountant-General.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

G.

Home (Education) DEPARTMENT.

C.F.-20

Received 191 . Registered 191 .

Subject.

Requesting Government to modify the order issued in paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 1613 Home (Education) dated 14-12-18 so as to extend to certain teachers in the Government Girls' school, Dindigul, the benefit of G.O. No. 170 Home (Education) dated 12-2-18.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 5-8-1919

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. R. Littlehales, M.A.
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.
Through the Accountant-General, Madras.

Sir,

In accordance with G.O. No. 170 Home (Education) dated 12-2-18 the incumbents of the posts of two temporary teachers in the Government Girls' school, Dindigul were allowed to draw their salary at Rs. 12/- per mensem with effect from 1-3-17. It is reported that the Accountant-General now requires the refund of the amount drawn in excess of Rs. 10/- per mensem on behalf of each of the incumbents from 1-3-17 to 1-7-18 on the ground that the pay of the posts in question was raised to Rs. 12/- from the latter date on under G.O. No. 1613 Home (Education) dated 14-12-18.

Subt

doubt whether objection is tenable in view of the orders issued in paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 170 Home (Education) dated 12-2-18. Further it is reported that it is not possible to recover in full the amount held under objection by the Accountant-General as two of the three individuals from whom the recovery has to be made have left Government service. In these circumstances I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to modify, if necessary, the order issued in paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 1613 Home (Education) dated 14-12-18 so as to extend to the teachers who held the posts in question the benefit of G.O. No. 170 Home (Education) dated 12-2-18.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

G. V. N. D.

for Director of Public Instruction.

T.V. N. D/. 5-8-19.

NOTES.

NOTES.

Home (Edu) Page 1
~~Financial~~ Department

C.No.1598 B

From the D.P.I. No.3146/17 dated 5th August 1919.

The increase was made to take effect from the the 1st July 1918 at the instance of the Financial department -- Vide.- that dept's. note (para:5) on page 10 of notes to G.O. No.1613 dated 14-12-1918. This goes against the provisions of para:1 of G.O.170 Home (Edn.) dated 12-2-1918. The latter is general to all women teachers, whether permanent or temporary, and there appears no reason whatever to make the two teachers of the school in question forego the benefit thereof.

2. The draft order may be sent to Financial for acceptance.

P.R.
22-8-19
T.K.
22-8-19

C.R.
25-8-19

R.R.
26-8-19.

Financial U.O.

Financial Dept.

Please see para:1 of the D.P.I's letter No. 3908/18 dated 25-6-1918 on page 1 of G.O. 1613 Edn. dated 14-12-1918 in which he specifically asked the sanction of Govt. to raise the pay of the two temporary posts of teachers in the Govt. Girls' School Dindigul, to Rs.12 each per mensem . He did not then ask for retrospective effect to the sanction. This dept. suggested the grant of increased rates of pay with effect from 1-7-1918 (i.e.) the date from which the continuance of the appointments was sanctioned.

The D.P.I's . present statement that the two teachers were allowed to draw their salary at

(x without mention of
any date)
unnecessary in the face
of G.O. 175 B, 12-2-18. (B)
para 15 of final note on
p. 10 of note to G.O. flag (B)

page 1 C.F.

Rs.12 each per mensem with effect from 1-3-17 ~~xx~~
in accordance with G.O.170 Edn. dated 12-2-1918.
appears to be contradictory.

The Home (Edn.) Department may, it is
submitted, be requested to ask the D.P.I. to explain
this.

25.8.19

Home Dept.: Kindly refer
this point to the D.P.I. for an
explanation -


Home Ld. 22/8/19

Home (Edn.) Department.

It is submitted that the Financial Department
has not made out a case for calling on the D.P.I.
for an explanation. The point seems to be clear
as explained below.

2. The General order No. 170 Home (Edn.) of 12-2-18
authorized the D.P.I. to raise the pay of women
teachers in girls' schools to Rs 12 p.m. with effect
from the 1st March 1917. In applying for the
further retention of the two temporary
teachers in the Govt. girls' school, Diindigul,
the ^{Director} requested that the pay of the posts might
be raised to Rs 12 p.m. "which is the minimum
pay of women teachers in girls' schools." The
words within quotation obviously referred to
the order quoted above and the Director's omission
to specify any date from which the increase
was to take effect was evidently ^(intentional and was) based on the
general order which laid down that such
increase ~~might~~ ^{might} take effect from 1-3-17.

File no 2729C1
30.8.19



p. 19 G.O. No. 1613
14.12.18

S.O. No 1613
14.12.18. (B)

If the order had not ^(particularly) specified the date of increase as 1st July 1918 there would have been ^(objection from the H.F.) no ~~problem~~ does not appear that the D.P.D. is at fault in this matter.

3. If the above view is concurred in, the file may be forwarded to the ~~Secy~~ Financial Dept. for acceptance of the draft order.


3.9.19.

The D.P.D. with obviously have no further explanation to offer. The ~~only~~ explanation is that he thought that the general order in sp. 170. & 12.2.18 applied. This was a mistake clearly. This

order was of February 1918 + by June of the same year, when proposals for the permanent retention of the two teachers were submitted to Govt, the pay of the two teachers in question might obviously have been raised to Rs 12 with retrospective effect. The D.P.D.'s letter ~~in this respect~~, so far as it asked for sancution for raising their pay to Rs 12, was not necessary strictly. Only a propo. stat. showing the increase was all that was needed especially as ~~the~~ general sanction had already been accorded. But the Govt. having specified a date in the order of December 1918 from which

(B)
page 19/10 1613
14.12.18

(B)



the increased rate of pay was to have effect in the particular case, that date ought to have been adhered to.

As a matter of fact, ~~the~~ pay has been drawn at the increased rate and it will be hard to recover the excess drawn. The D.P.'s Explanation is that the date in the G.O. was overlooked in view of the general orders. May we not sanction the excess payment with the ~~same~~ remark that the D.P. ought to have adhered to the date fixed in the G.O. of December 1918 without any further reference which is not likely to elicit any profitable information? After all, the date was not fixed with any specific object; and in the general order ~~was~~ the foot. were anyone that the introduction of the revised scale of pay with effect from 1.1.19 should not be delayed even pending the submission of formal prop. ~~State~~ the non-pay. to these heads of ~~from~~ that date in that first instance was merely an accident. Ch



Para 29 p 170 (A)
12/1/18

CURRENT NO.

0-2743C
FINANCE

10.9.18

Revised no 1598B-2

10-9-18

Will Financial Comtee accept the above view? The increased pay has been drawn & after all the amount, since referred to before has been drawn

very g/mt

July 1919

NOTES.

Financial Department.

I think we should get to the bottom of this matter. On the 25th June 1918, the D.P.I. wrote in proposing that these two women teachers should be given the higher rate of pay of Rs. 12 per mensem. Obviously then up to that date, these two teachers ~~have not been~~ brought under the operation of C.O. No. 170 Education dated 12-2-18. In connection with the D.P.I.'s letter quoted above, C.O. No. 1613 Education dated 14-12-18 was issued. It now comes out that these two teachers have been paid arrears with effect from 1-3-17. I think we should ascertain who in the Dindigul school was responsible for making this payment, and what explanation the party has to offer.

C.S. 12/12/18

The amount involved is Rs 30/-.
The A.S. seems to have been a long time drawing attention to the supposed overpayment & I could not pursue the matter further - it is I think not worth it. Hm (nil) th^d Dec
over 13.8.4

to some (Edw) no

pages 1 to 3 of 4.0
1613 Education 14-12-18

(B)

Reg A



File no 284304
15.5.18

Not seen by AS

2020x18
1694


Home (C. 10)

Inordinat. beculat.

$\frac{a}{1579/69}$ 11
5 1078/14

Am
Am 12/14

LT
1089
8
7.4



1020x18
1694

That department's note (para. 5)
 on p. 10 of the notes to G.O. No. 1613-8) (B)
 14. 12-18. This goes against the provisions
 of para. 1 of G.O. 170 Home (28th) (A)
 12-2-18. The latter is general
 to all ^{women} teachers, whether permanent
 or temporary and there appears no
 reason whatever to make the
 two teachers of the school in question
 forego the benefits thereof.
 2. The draft order may be
 sent to Fuis for acceptance.



CURRENT NO.
 5361 2789C
 FINANCIAL
 26-8-19

22.8.19
 25/8/19
 26/8/19
 July
 Hon no 15986/26.8.19

The draft order may be
 sent to Fuis for acceptance.

REPLY AGAIN G.O. No.
 19

DATED

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.