

Home(1913) Department.
(Education)

Preliminary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1092

DATED 10-9-1919

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption.

Extending the ----- from the provisions of article
1 of the Public Service Notification granted to A.A. Kunhikannan
and K. Amirta Rao so as to admit of their employment generally in
the Jail Department.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.


*Prin 82822
23.11.11.*

*Jud 2167C
30.7.19.*


DEPARTMENT

2 18.

Received



Registered



Subject

Requesting to remove the restriction referred to in G.O.No. 828, Educational, dated 23rd November 1911, for further promotion of certain subordinates of the Jail Department.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

No. *g 266*

Spare copies _____

Station *Ootacamund* Dated the *29th July* 191*9*.

From (Name) *Major J.P. Cameron, I.M.S.,*
(Designation) *Inspector-General of Prisons.*

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Judicial) Department.

Sir,

In G.O.No.828, Educational, dated 23rd November 1911, Government were pleased to exempt five subordinates of this Department from the provisions of article 1 of the public Service Notification. Of those five, C.E.Ponnusami, has since retired from service, Sarvottama Rao has left the Department and Periyanna Pillai has chosen to continue in the Warder establishment.

2. Of the remaining two, Yankikannan is at present the

NOTES.

2 (a) Page 3

Store keeper of the Cannanore central Jail, and V. Amrita Rao is the Jailor of the Mangalore Subjail, both on Rs. 30/- each. Both these subordinates have proved worthy of the concession granted them, and Superintendents have reported very favourably on their work and conduct. It seems a hardship that, though their services have met with approval, they are debarred from further promotion, which they deserve, owing to the restriction in the G.O. that their pay should not exceed Rs. 30/- per mensem.

As I am anxious to give these two subordinates some encouragement, and consider that it will be to the interest of the Department to promote them in due course, I have the honour to request that Government may be pleased to remove the restriction referred to in their case.

Kunhikannan has put in nearly 24 years service and Amrita Rao 16 years service.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. P. Cameron

Major, I.M.S.,
Inspector-General of Prisons.

Wm
29.7.19

2002/2
4-3-12
643 S.A.
4-6-16

US.

In G.O. No. 828, Est., dated the 23rd Nov 1911, the Govt. sanctioned the exemption of certain unpassed men in the Jail Dept for appointment as assistant jailers (jail clerks) on pay not exceeding Rs 30 per mensem. The I.G. of Prisons now recommends the removal of the restriction as to pay in the case of two of these men, A Kunhikannan and K. Amrita Rao. These two are now jail clerks on Rs 30/- pm. and are reported to be doing good work and to deserve further promotion. The I.G. of Prisons considers that it will be in the interests of the Dept to promote them further, but it is submitted that the recommendation seems scarcely due in the public interests.

Ch 2167.C. From the I.G. of Prisons
No G. 266 D 29.7.19.

C

* Service 24 yrs
2 Service 16 yrs

Ch.

1
1205.

For orders with the Secy

NOTES.

Page 2

mandator will be supported at the Home (Tul.) Dept. Final orders have to issue in the Home (Econ) Dept

per
5-8-19

CO.

2
Z

I don't think Tul.
can support.

78 88. us

Home (Econ)

A draft order based on the

w-8's memo is subb.:

10-8-19
T

1287

14-8-19
5

We have exempted them to be Asst Tailors in pay not exceeding Rs 30 pm are there any grades of Asst Tailors drawing over Rs 30? If so, in view of the fact they are doing work well, and not they be exempted to serve as Asst Tailors, without limit of pay? are their appointments as Storekeeper of Tailor authorized? mm 15/10/19

The fact is that since the exemption order of 1911 (C), the

been reorganized with the result, among others, that the designation "Asst Tailor" has been changed to that of Tailor. (para 1 (3) of G.O. No. 5, D.L.D., d/2.3.14. The pay of jail clerk remains from Rs 25 to Rs 60 p.m. and is not subject to no objection to the scope of the original exemption being a little widened so as to admit of their employment as jail clerks on a higher pay than Rs 20. The appointment of the Subordinate as Store-keeper and jailer is not technically authorized but the D. G. seems to have gone by the pay limit authorized in G.O. No. 828 D.L.D., d/23.11.14 especially in view of the change of designation at A above. For order.

Home (Jail) Dept.

There is only ^{now} one post of Asst Tailor in the Madras Jail Dept. Prior to 1914, promotions to the grade of deputy jailer were made chiefly from among asst jailers who formed the clerical staff of jails.

There is however a single asst. of Asst. Jailor in a Jail.

15.3.14 / 18.8.19

Thos. J. P. C. / 23746 / 18812

Home (Ind) Dept.

Submitted that there are⁶
 at present no "assistant jailers"
 in Madras jails (Central & District).
 Prior to 1914, the clerical establish-
 ment of jails were styled "asst
 jailers" and promotions were usually
 made from these to the grade of
 deputy jailers. In G.O. 516, 3, the
 2nd March 1914, the Govt directed
 that appointments to the grade of
 deputy jailer should be by direct
 recruitment and that "asst jailers"
 should be restricted to clerical duties.
 They accordingly changed the designation
 of the latter into that of "jail clerks".
 2. The one assistant jailer's post
 (Mr Rs 30) now existing in the Jail
 Dept forms part of the executive
 staff of the five special subjails.
 N - vide para 1(4) of G.O. 516 3, 2, 3, 14.
 The object of the D.S., in 1911, in
 recommending the exemption of certain
 men for appointment as "asst jailers"
 was to enable them to rise to the
 highest clerical grade, but the
 Govt limited the pay to Rs 30 pm.
 K. Amritha Rao was Spt. jail

By 1-3 of 12. 8.28.22
 23.11.11 C

vide ltr at f 4/2
 8.28.22 C
 23.11.11

of the Mangalore Subjail in 1911 when his exemption was applied for and his confirmation in that appointment seems unobjectionable since the pay is only Rs 30/- per m. and there is an appointment of, asst jailer on that pay, against which he could be shown on paper.

The appointment of 'Streakeeper' is held by jail clerks the special designation only indicating the particular duty on which a clerk is employed.

Submitted that, if further concession is to be shown to the men specified by the D.S., they may be exempted for appointment as jailers of subjails or as jail clerks with or without limit of pay.

per
22-8-19.

CO.
2084

S.
2084

In view of the strong recommendation, I am disposed to exempt them for appointment as clerks in the Jail Dept which I see is the nature of the work for which they were exempted.

a previous occasion.

But rather of a sub jail. Does not
this involve executive work?

W. J. M.

His.

It is respectfully submitted
that the jailers of the five Special
jails ^(executive work & discipline, but) have not the heavy ~~work~~ and
responsibilities ^{work} that jailers and
deputy jailers in District jails
have to perform. There are no
manufactures of any consequence
carried on in the jails and the
duties of the jailers therein are
limited to the charge of the prisoners
& seeing that they are properly
fed & kept in work.

pm
26.8.19
C.D.
28.8.19

S. J. M.
1.9.19

Exempt them for service in the
Jail Dept what limit of pay

W. J. M.
3/9/19

Encl 10/19

It is suggested that order be made.

6.9.19

A. J. M.
6.9.19

W. J. M.
8/9/19

no 2374C-/
3.9.19

9/24/98

ring _____
_____ 191
[Handwritten signature]
8-12-9

OSURES TO C. No.

DEPT. OF GOVERNMENT

MINISTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.