

Home (1918) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 834.

DATED 25-6-1918.

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption.

Sanctioning the permanent ---- from the provisions of article 1 of the Public Service Notification of P.V. Subba Rao and G. Venkatarama Ayyar, clerks in the P.W. offices, with a view to their promotion to Rs. 30 per mensem and Rs. 25 per mensem respectively.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____


Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.


To



THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS.



Through the Executive Engineer and the Superintending-
Engineer. DO

Most Honoured Sir,

I most respectfully beg the liberty to lay
before your honour the following few lines and solicit the
favour of your taking them into your kind consideration.

2. I have been serving in the department for more than
9 years, 4 years as Sub-pro-tem clerk on Rs.15-1-20, and 5 years
as a permanent clerk on Rs.20/-. I submit that I was fortunate
all through my service to gain the appreciation of my superiors
by dint of my earnest work. During these years, I worked in
almost every branch of work (both in the Accounts and corres-
pondence Sections) giving entire satisfaction to my superiors
and earning their favourable reports and special recommendations
in the half-yearly qualification Reports.

3. It may not be out of place if I submit that J. B.
Lutman Esquire., under whom I had the fortune of working a
major portion of my service, while holding charge of the
Division was kind enough to recommend me on different occasions
for promotion. In appreciation of these recommendations, I
was also favoured with acting promotions on Rs.25/- on two
occasions for a period of 6 months.

4. Further I most respectfully beg to add that I am
the son of the late M.R. Ry. P. Venkataswamy Naidu who having
done meritorious service in the Department for over 30 years,
honourably retired as the Manager of the Superintending
Engineer's office, II Circle, in the year 1906 and having enjoyed
his

3/4

hard earned pension for about 10 years expired in July 1916.

3-5 *infra*
5. Extract of qualification reports, endorsements and testimonials enclosed herein will bear a testimony to my qualifications and character.

6. Under all the above mentioned circumstances, I humbly beg leave to approach your gracious honour with the prayer that I may be favoured with a permanent exemption in Clerical Service *to enable me to draw promotions.* Earnestly hoping that your gracious honour would kindly condescend to grant my humble prayer which will be considered as nothing short of a boon, hailed upon me by the Almighty God through the medium of your gracious honour.

For which act of kindness and generosity, I shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for your honour's long life and prosperity.

B R Z W A D A. {
22nd March 18. }

I humbly beg to remain,
Most Honoured Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant,

S. Subba Rao
22.3.18
Chief District Western Gu.

-----000-----

46591
4824

Endorsement of Rao Bahadur M. R. V.
Mudaliar A.V., B. A., B. C. E., Executive Engineer
Western Division.

4
Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer II Circle
very favourable consideration.

The applicant is a very efficient accounts clerk
exceedingly hard working and well behaved man. He is
a respectable family. His work has been frequently
favourably, and he has been recommended for promotion.
requested that his case may be favourably reported to
so that he can get his well deserved promotion.

(Sd) P. N. Arogya

20-4-17

Ex. Engineer, K.

Copy of Endorsement on a petition presented by
Subbarao.

...
"Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer for favourable
sideration. He is well reported on and has given me
satisfaction.

(Sd) J. B. Luth

Ex. Engineer, K.

Extract from the office note by the Manager to
tive Engineer, Kistna Western Division on 26-8-1911.

....
" Submitted. This clerk is one of the best will
workers in our office. He is managing the records by
the difficult portion of work in the correspondence
splendidly well. I submit that you will kindly recom
case for the special consideration of the Superintend
Engineer".

Copy of testimonial favoured by M.R.Py. A. V. Ranganatha Aiyar, Avl., B.A., B. C. E., late Executive Engineer, Kistna Western Division, the present Superintending Engineer, VIIIth Circle.

P. V. Subbarao was working as a Sub-pro-tem Clerk in Kistna Western Division for a period of two years. I found him straight forward and hard working. He was found quite up to his work. He deserves to be confirmed in his grade.

Copy of testimonial presented by M.R.Py. M.M. Masilamani Avl., B. A., L. T., Professor in English, R. E. L. M. College, Guntur.

Palasala Venkata Subbarao was a student of the Matriculation class in 1906-07. I always found him very well behaved. attentive to his duties, regular and interested in his work. He comes of a respectable family and I believe he will be found faithful and trustworthy by his superiors.

Recommendation of M.P.Py. A. Ranganatha Mudaliar Avl., Manger, Kistna Western Division Office.

....

I have been in this Division for over 3 years, and during this period, this clerk has proved himself very efficient in the discharge of any work entrusted to him either in Accounts or Correspondence, and as such his continuous good hard and willing work should have the recognition of Government by way of exempting him for drawing higher salaries as a clerk which he justly deserves.

This boon of Government will not be unusual as persons of similar class, qualification and experience had exemption granted in their favour of Government in the First Circle a year back.

I beg to recommend strongly that his case also may be brought to the notice of Government for similar boon, for in him, I also find a non-brahmin son of a respectable and loyal P.W.D. Manager and grandson of a Military man.

(Ild) A. R. 19-4-17
Manager & Acct., K.W.D.

A
50823 [J]
105-16
80120 J
22716

Period.	Nature of hand-writing.	Business habits.	Character.	Remarks.
30-6-16.	Good	Good	Good	Well up in accounts, deserves to be exempted for higher pay
30-6-15.	Good	Good	Good	Satisfactory.
30-12-15.	Good	Good	Good	Satisfactory and work very well.
30-6-15.	Good	Good	Good	Works well and deserves promotion but needs exemption.
31-12-14.	Good	Good	Good	Useful and works very well.
30-6-14.	Good	Good	Good	<u>A good Accounts clerk.</u>
31-12-13.	Good	Good	Good	He worked well & satisfactory
30-6-13.	Good	Good	Good	Continues to work quite satisfactory.
31-12-12	Good	Good	Good	Continues to work very well and is recommended for being made permanent.
30-6-12	Good	Good	Good	Works well and is recommended for confirmation in his grade
31-12-11	Good	Good	Good	Specially recommended for his being confirmed in his present grade.
30-6-11.	Good	Good	Good	A good record-keeper and works well.
30-6-17.	Good	Good	Good	<u>Fitted for Sub-Division clerk</u> Capable clerk.

g B Luman
Sub 9-3-18
Engineer

He is a non-Brahmin
+ belongs to a good
family - his
son of the late Mr.
P. Venkataswamy
Naidu, who resided

But to my misfortune, I am ineligible for promotion beyond Rs.20, in spite of the fact that I am doing more

~~26/4~~

cause of the lamentable flight

responsible work than any of my seniors to the satisfaction of my superiors.

According to old rules a candidate who failed even in a single subject in the Matriculation Examination was considered an unpassed candidate not eligible for holding any permanent appointment. While under the present rules a candidate who has merely completed the course, prescribed for the Secondary School Leaving Certificate is qualified although he has not obtained sufficient number of marks in all the subjects. I beg to submit for your honour's consideration that if the present system of Secondary School Leaving Certificate had been in existence in those days, most of the so called unpassed candidates of my stamp who failed in the Matriculation examination in one or two subjects would have been passed candidates fully qualified to hold a permanent post in superior service of the Government.

In conclusion, I most humbly beg to request your gracious honour be pleased to obtain permanent exemption from examination rules in consideration of my previous satisfactory work and the disabilities under which the plucked candidates of the old matriculation examination are labouring. For which act of kindness and generosity, I shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for your honour's long life and prosperity.

B E Z W A D A, I
24th Apr., '18. I

I most humbly beg to remain,
Most Honoured and Respected Sir,
YOUR most obedient and humble servant,


C. Venkata Ramayya.

Record Keeper, Supg. Engr's Office,
Second Circle.

~~Not necessary to the original application~~
~~from the date of the application to the date of the~~

~~for~~

for SW.
SW.



9
No 5545 2724878

Forwarded . .

He is a
good record clerk
& I think might
be granted an
increase of pay
& exemption to
remain in the
records

I think it a
sound principle
to keep a record
clerk as a special
post & not be
always changing
him. This man
does very well
as a record clerk
& has given great
satisfaction

W. H. Man
Superintendent
of the
Public Works Department

NOTES.

C No 1063 AD - From The S. S. T. Civil No. 9
820w dated 29.3.18.

Permanent exemption from
the rules for Clerk in the

Sw

TC

With his endorsement read above
The S. S. forwards an application from P. V.
Subba Rao Magister, a permanent clerk on Rs.
20, Krishna Western Division, requesting that
he may be exempted from the examination
rules for promotion to higher grades.

The application is strongly recommended by
The S. S. (Mr R. N. Anaghaswami Mudaliyar)
The Sec. Ry. says that the clerk "would make
a most excellent Sub Division clerk" "would
fill any clerical post in the division office
with satisfaction" and that he is a non-
brahmin and belongs to a good family etc.

The S. S. (Mr Luthman) also speaks well of
the clerk's work but adds that there are
several clerks in the Arch who are in the
same ^{position} case as this man.

2. The clerk reported to is ~~presently~~
one of the unpossessed men who were appointed
under the old rules which did not require
educational qualifications for appointments
on Rs 20 and below. This rule has since
been ^{modified by} ~~cancelled~~ in G.O. No 1021. Home (Education)
dated

page 6 of

(A)

NOTES.

which prescribes Ed. qualifications dated 6.8.17. ~~note a union to attach form~~ Even for posts on Rs 20/- ~~was for all posts on Rs 20 and above.~~ The

exemption of the applicant, it is submitted, would in the circumstances be against the object of the Ed. It was on this consideration that a similar application from the T Circle was rejected ~~negatively~~ in rejected in November last.

3. With regard to the cases referred to in the provision marked A in the testimonial on page 4 of ~~the~~ please see G.O. no. 508 Educational and 801 Ed. dated 10th May 1916 and 22nd July 1916. The exemptions in these cases have been granted on account of

the unpopularity of service in the particular locality or on account of ~~suitable~~ the death of ~~competent~~ suitable men. The T Circle is on this account generally treated more favourably in the matter of exemptions. The conditions referred to above cannot be said to apply to the Second Circle. Moreover the exemptions were granted before the issue of the rules in G.O. no. 1021 Ed. dated 6.8.17. If approved the S.E. may be informed that the C.E. has no reason to recommend the application for exemption.

For orders.

Memorandum 6852C. (H)
2-11-77

(T)

(J)

Para 3 of 9. 25th 11/10/16
27th 10/5082/10-5-16
The note of page 8 of the same is (T)
H
Note on page 5 of G.O. no. 801 Ed.
22-7-16 (J)

(A)

In Slates of this Local
vide note held by
27th 7-28th - holidays
held on 29/4
for the papers
Recd back 30/4
26-4-18
Further papers asked for 1/5
Recd papers - 4/5 9/5 Sunday

Contd

para 2 on p 107
para 6 on p 207

p 607

A

X G. Venkatarayana

p 907

The applicant in this case says that he has put in one 9 year service & his request is for permanent exemption from the examination rules to enable him to hold any post in the clerical list. The SE is recommending the appl. says "I have several temporary clerks all of whom are in the same case as this man". Another clerk from this ~~same~~ circle has since applied for the same concession - vide CNo. 1335 AD at pp 7-8 Cg. He has put in 10 years service & has been record keeper for the past five years in the circle office. The SE recommends that the clerk "might be granted an increase of pay & exemption to remain in the records". The SE also suggests that it is sound in principle to keep a record clerk as a special post but he always changing him. This suggestion is out of the question as a clerk should have opportunities of learning all kinds of work & be fit for the higher posts in the circle (ie) head clerks of Dist. office, & the 2nd clerk & an amir in ^{the} circle office. Hamir regard to the SE's statement at A above & the probability that

that the same is the case in other circles also, it seems that the general question as to whether or not, up to what pay, exemptions should be granted to unpassed men should be considered & a definite decision come to in the matter. In this view the cases of exemptions granted & refused during the past few years have been collated as below.

Generally, exemptions have been refused to typists to enable them to ~~hold~~ secure promotion to clerical posts in the Dept. The case of typists is however different from that of clerks as the former can rise to Rs 35. 'as typists' by qualifying in the higher exams in typewriting - The reasons for refusing the exemptions applied for on behalf of typists are set out in Memo No 7202 C of 16.11.17.

As regards 'clerks', exemptions have been given - generally to for promotion up to Rs 30 - to some clerks in the I Circle. Exemption was given in the I O to a clerk in 1915 in special circumstances but since then applies for exemption from

the

Grades of typists

Elementary.	Rs 20-1-25
Intermediate.	25-1-30
Advanced.	30-1-35

Vide
Q. 338 ETC
(The clerk had put in
about 29 years service)

* Vide memo nos
 $\frac{6852c}{2.11.17} + \frac{1692c}{8.3.18}$
 # Vide memo no $\frac{4199c}{19.6.16}$

13
 civil have been negatived. In the III O, the S.E. was empowered in G.O. 640 E.C. of 9.6.16 to promote up to Rs 30/- unpassed men who had already been brought on to the permanent staff on Rs 20 / + are found fit for promotion. Particulars of the exemptions granted in the I O are given below:-

Name of clerk.	approximate Total Service in P.W.D.	Exempted up to what grade	Reasons
F. Southwell	4 years (had served in the E.C. Ry. for 9 years)	Rs 20-30 grade	Eurasian well recommended
"	8 years	Rs 60 (i.e. to hold all posts up to and head clerk)	do
R. Appalarasu	10 years	} Rs 40	Well recommended. Relay to a backward class.
M. Srinama Patnam	5 "		
D.V. Jagannatha Rao.	17 years	Rs 30	As a reward for responsible work (i.e.) duties of staff clerk, well done
P. Sankaran	20 years	Rs 30	Supported personal friends. (for good work done)
M. Bhagarambali	10 years	Rs 30	for good work done for a/c of his service in the Dummaguda lab. on
A. Suryaprasad Rao	10 years	Rs 30	for good work leading to the death of intelligent & capable men in the I O

564 E.C.
 16.10.09

1081 E.C.
 21.11.13

600 E.C.
 23.5.14

831 ~~710~~ E.C.
 14.4.14 ~~2.6.15~~

710 E.C.
 30.6.15

- 508 E.C.
 10.5.16

801 E.C.
 22.7.16

$\frac{25901}{81.2.18} + \frac{22288}{7.11.17}$

242 452
 16.10.09

242 1801
 21.11.13

242 000
 23.5.14

242 017
 30.6.15

242 802
 10.5.16

242 108
 22.7.16

4/ It will be seen from the preceding notes that promotion up to Rs 30 has been allowed to unpassed men in the III O & to some men in the I O on personal grounds. Attention is in this connection also invited to the marginally noted papers. The point for consideration is whether a similar exemption (i.e., up to Rs 30) should be allowed to deserving men among the unpassed men in the other circles. These unpassed men are not men who have been exempted already to hold the Rs 20 posts, but men who have been appointed by the S.E.s under the operation of the rules ~~in~~ in force until August 1917 which did not prescribe any educational qualifications for posts at Rs 20 + below. It was open to the S.E.s to have appointed passed men to these posts in preference to the unpassed men. ^{if they should have done so.} As a number of school final Candidates (passed men) are available Govt have ruled in August 1917 that passed men only should be appointed to the Rs 20 posts also. These unpassed men when they joined the Dept. could not ^{have} expected to rise to more than Rs 20/- as they were not qualified for such posts.

Go no 524808 of 3.9.10
 this will be in May's note
 up p 2 + 3 quotes 490
 Go no 649508 of 15.11.10
 + Sir Alexander Cardew's
 note at p 2 quotes 490
 490.

But possibly
 passed men were
 not obtainable at
 the time

* Vide in this connection
 the Hon'ble Sir George Forbes
 note of 9.4.09 at p 2 quotes
 to 50 no 244808 of 9.5.10
 Q
 Go no 1021 (Hansard)
 6.8.17.

254 482
 40.0.0

317.100
 21.0.12

322 000
 23.2.14

in this connection
 the Hon'ble Sir George Forbes
 note of 9.4.09 at p 2 quotes
 to 50 no 244808 of 9.5.10

Go no 1021 (Hansard)
 6.8.17.

322 108
 23.2.14

So, if exemption is refused, they ~~can~~
 ought not to count it as a grievance
 for no expectations were first held out to them.
 On the other hand if exemption is
 granted, it will be a grievance to
 the 'passed men' who are now holding
 the Rs 20 posts, as their chances of
 further promotion will be retarded.
 In the I & III circles ^{as} there is generally
 difficulty in securing passed men, ~~and~~
~~the principle should be applied~~
~~the exemption should be refused~~. There
 was justification for the Govt's appointing
 unpassed men to the Rs 20 posts & as
 an encouragement to ~~men~~ such of the
 men as have done good work further
 exemption is a necessary ^{consequence} ~~fact~~ & has
 to be granted. There is not the same
 justification in other circles for ^{being appointed} appointing
 unpassed men & it seems that
 on principle the exemptions should
 be refused.

In ads.

9.5.18

all
 5/5

There are probably a fair number
 of clerks of the old school in
 the various divisions of the ~~off~~ Presidency
 who go on year after year on a

⑧ on the other hand it was
 the duty of S.E.s to have
 secured only passed men
 for the Rs 20 posts having
 regard to the interests of
 the Dept; for unpassed
 men whose prospects are
 limited to Rs 20 ~~posts~~
 are not likely to be as
 useful as passed men,
 who aspire to higher
 places in the Dept
 All

R
 21/5 DW - 9/5
 27/5 W

on the other hand it was
 the duty of S.E.s to have
 secured only passed men
 for the Rs 20 posts having
 regard to the interests of
 the Dept; for unpassed
 men whose prospects are
 limited to Rs 20 ~~posts~~
 are not likely to be as
 useful as passed men,
 who aspire to higher
 places in the Dept
 All

Miserable pitance of Rs. 20. p.m.
with no prospect of advancement. 16
Some of them do excellent work &
from their long experience of the
division or sub division they are working
in are thoroughly familiar with the
records & history of every work in
the locality & yet these men though
they have natural ability but have
not got the required educational
qualifications, though probably no fault
of their own, are given no promotion.
What is perhaps worse than men ~~who~~
~~are~~ superseded by ^{young} passed men
who are not half as useful at the
start & possibly never will be as
useful to Govt.

This ~~is~~ is, it is submitted, a very
unsound policy & it ~~seems~~ appears
~~that~~ it would be in the interest of the
public service if exceptions to the
rule could be made in certain
exceptional cases

It is for orders whether
Educational may not be asked ~~whether~~
in the case of clerks who were
engaged prior to Aug 1907 & who have

of all the people who are not
 in the service of the Government
 of India. I have to say that
 the Government of India is not
 in a position to pay more than
 Rs. 30 by increments.

The Government of India is not
 in a position to pay more than
 Rs. 30 by increments.

W 27/5

proved themselves thoroughly deserving
 of promotion, whether their pay
 may not be increased to Rs. 30
 by increments.

W. M.
 28.5.18.

It is certainly true that Government
 have many good servants among
 the unpassed clerks in B20 pay and
 I would gladly see their miserable
 prospects better. There must however
 be many similar cases in other departments
 and I cannot plead for special treatment
 for my men. Mr. Educational kindly
 say if there is any prospect of anything
 being done for these unpassed men -

'K'

No. 1063A
 28.5.18

Home (Educational) W. M.
 27.5.18

Home (Edu) No. 1121B
 28.5.18



Home Dept.
 (Edm)

The current files
 contains applications from two
 unqualified clerks, one in the office

NOTES.

Page 10

of the Executive Engineer, District Division and the other in the office of the Supng Engg. II estate, for exemption from the provisions of Art. 1 of the Public Service Notice with a view to their promotion to higher clerical posts. Both of them are now permanent clerks on Rs 20 p.m. and the grounds for exemption are such as are usually advanced in similar cases, viz: Satisfactory work and long service.

2. To the above two cases the P.W.D. have tagged on a general question as to the desirability of exempting such of the unqualified persons engaged prior to 1st Aug. 1917 as are thoroughly deserving of promotion so as to enable them to go up to Rs 30 p.m. by increments.

3. At the outset, it may be observed that there appears to be some confusion as to the real effect of G.O. No. 4021 Estn. d/ 6th Aug. 1917 which inter alia prescribed a completed S.S.T.C. for appts. on Rs 20 p.m. (P.W.D. notes) ^{on pages 7 & 9 ante} to point out that ~~the above G.O. was the~~ cause of the lamentable plight

pp 849 ante.

So many unpassed men. As a matter of fact that G.O. makes no difference in the position of unqualified persons who were already holding Rs 20 appts.; for, the G.O. has no retrospective effect. The two clerks in question who have for some years past been permanent clerks on Rs 20 are not therefore labouring under any peculiar disadvantage because of the above G.O.

4 With refer. to the specific query at 'k' on p. 9 ante, the P.W.D. may be told that there is no question under consideration in this Dept. which would improve the ^{general} situation of clerks who were already permanent ~~clerks~~ on Rs 20 ^(before the issue of G.O. No 1021 dated 6th Aug. 1917) 20 p.m. What is under consideration is the position of unqualified clerks who were holding temporary

or acting appts. on or below Rs 20 prior to ~~the issue of G.O. No 1021 dated 6th Aug. 1917~~. It has been definitely decided that such men, if otherwise suitable, are to be confirmed on Rs 20 p.m. — decision does not take them further ^(to this effect) formal orders have not yet been issued. The file is under refer. to.

Vide to Art. 1 of the P.S. Notice in clause (k) of the Notice I in G.O. 568 dated 1/30-4-18.

(VII)

A

A

depths: for remarks as to how
this decision should be given
effect.

5 It follows from the
above that the case of the two
eldest in question should be disposed
of not with reference to any general
considerations but solely on their
individual merits. If the P.W.D.
~~should~~ recommend their exemption
specifying a limit of pay up to
which they may be allowed to
raise and transmit the case to this
Dept.; orders ^{will} be issued ^(accordingly)
Such cases should have the deal with individually on their
~~own~~ merits with reference to the general power of
exemption reserved under the public service regulations.
The point is not whether the P.W.D.
With the above remarks the
case may be returned w/o to the P.W.D.

5.6.18

CR
7.6.18

The above notes represent the present
policy in Edel.

2. As a rule no new unpassed men
should be entertained.

3. Cases of unpassed men, temporary
or acting on the date of the G.O., will be
favourably considered for confirmation
on Rs 20, if recommended.


3. Both before & after the 80, such men could not get beyond Rs 20.
 4. But there may be exceptions where unpassed men are really efficient & can without detriment to public service be promoted to higher appointments. If the administrative dept recommends with a money limit, I'd like to see. But I wish to add that generally unpassed men do not efficiently discharge higher clerical duties & care is necessary that the recommendations are not due to personal pressure for the applicants to promote their own interests at the cost of office efficiency.
- my
8/6/10



Home (ES) no.
5.11213-1
8.6.18

Final

PWD 7/10



Dul.
W.

P.W.D.

Phase see Educational Dept. notice above. There is no general question pending with that dept. to improve the situation of clerks who were permanent on Rs 20 p.m. before the passing of

PWD

DW
W.

submitted. Orders are requested as to

(1) whether Exemption should be recommended on behalf of the two men in question

(2) if so, what money limit for promotion should be fixed.

All
15/6

12/16
DW
W.

I strongly recommend exemption on behalf of both the men in question and I ask that P.V. Subba Rao be exempted for promotion up to Rs 30 per month and G. Venkatarama Ayyar up to Rs 25 per month.

From
18/6/18

IO. No. 1063A-2
19.6.18.

Home (Educational) W.

C.N. 1285B

19.6.18.



Home
(Educational)

A draft order is subd. for appl.

21.6.18.

24.6.18.

25/6/18

W
W

Sent

6/8/18.

— forward the provisions of Art. 1
of the P.S. Notification of P.V. Subbarao Rao
S. Venkataratnamayya, clerk in the
P.W. office, with a view to their promotion
to Rs 30 ^{dated} 25 ⁱⁿ reply ¹⁹¹

191

Q. No. 1289 From the S. E., 11 circle, No. 846W dated 29.3.1918.

[illegible]

” ” ” ” ” ” 191 .

No. 834, dated 25. 6. 1918.

P. V. Subba Rao, clerk
in the office of the Executive
Engineer, District division
and G. Venkataratnam
Aygar, clerk in the office
of the Superintending
Engineer, II circle, are
permanently exempted
from the provisions of
Art. 1 of the Public
Service Rules with a
view to their promotion
to clerical posts on pay
not exceeding Rs 30 ^{p.m.} and
Rs 25 ^{p.m.} respectively ~~per~~ in
the service of Govt. in the absence of
21.6.18. Jd 21.6.18. Public Works. My 25/6/18

1. The first part of the paper is devoted to a general discussion of the problem of the origin of life. It is shown that the problem is one of the most important and interesting in the history of science.

When given for copying 27
When copied 27
When examined 27 191
When signed 28/6

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 191 . , DATED

CURRENT No

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.