

Government of Madras

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 1677, 27th December 1918

Subsidy

Sanctioning the distribution of a — of Rs. 2,95,822 among certain district boards and municipal councils towards the construction of elementary school buildings.

INDEXED

ORIGINAL

Government of Madras

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 1677, 27th December 1918

Subsidy

Sanctioning the distribution of a— of Rs. 2,95,322 among certain district boards and municipal councils towards the construction of elementary school buildings.

READ—the following papers:—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. H. S. DUNCAN, M.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department (through the Accountant-General).

Dated—Madras, the 15th November 1918.

No.—R.C. 2712.

I have the honour to submit proposals for the utilization of the balance of Rs. 96,400 out of 1 lakh [vide G.Os. No. 1033, Home (Education), dated 24th August 1918, and No. 1247, Home (Education), dated 18th September 1918] provided in the current year's budget for contribution to the local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings.

2. Proposals in this regard were called for in March last from such of the local boards and municipal councils as did not participate to a large extent in the distribution of the provision made for the purpose during 1917-18. In some cases replies were received only quite recently while the Municipal Council, Masulipatam, has not sent in any proposals. The Municipal Councils of Kodaikanal, Ootacamund, Coonoor and Tanjore do not want a subsidy during the current year. I have not taken into account the amount required by the Tirupur municipality pending the question of opening a girls' school in the locality nor has any subsidy been proposed for the Tinnevely municipality as a scheme for the improvement of elementary education in the municipality is under separate consideration.

3. A summary of all proposals (Annexure A) received is enclosed for reference and it will be seen therefrom that against the available budget provision of Rs. 96,400 the aggregate demands come to Rs. 20,81,217 (Rs. 14,30,365 for local boards, Rs. 6,00,852 for municipal councils). As the claims could not be met in full I have had to resort to an arbitrary method of distribution. In doing so, I have been guided by the following principles:—

(1) one cheap building has been allowed for each of the taluk boards in a district and one for each municipality without regard to the question of relative urgency.

(2) subsidy has been proposed generally for construction of new buildings and not for extensions of any existing buildings, and

(3) preference has been given to such of the buildings for which local bodies are willing to bear a portion of the cost either out of their own funds or out of the unspent balances of Provincial subsidy sanctioned and standing to their credit.

Palni, which has applied for grants for extensions of buildings, has been excluded in pursuance of principle No. 2 mentioned above.

4. In all 75 buildings have been assigned for local boards and 45 for municipalities. The cost of buildings varies from Rs. 550 to Rs. 8,000 in the case of municipalities and from Rs. 300 to Rs. 7,500 in rural areas. Details of the scheme together with an estimate showing its financial aspect are appended to this letter (Annexure B). It will be observed that even under this method the balance of the budget provision will be inadequate to meet the demand as the claims come to Rs. 2,95,322 (Rs. 1,42,918 for local boards and Rs. 1,52,404 for municipal councils). I am unable to conceive of any other plan of distribution and I accordingly beg to submit the proposals for the orders of Government. I would, however, suggest that

in the event of Government being prepared to accept my scheme, the extra expenditure amounting to Rs. 1,98,922 can be met as shown in the enclosed reappropriation statement.

5. Details of the full proposals received from local bodies will be submitted to Government if required.

ENCLOSURES

Reappropriation statement to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget, or for additional allotments.

Educational Department.

Major, minor, sub and detailed heads of budget.	Heads of estimate proposed to be increased.				Heads of estimate proposed to be reduced.			
	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount of additional allotment required.	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount available for re-appropriation.
22. E. EDUCATION—Government schools, General—Payment in support of local bodies for contribution to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings.	Rs. 1,00,000		Rs. 2,98,922	Rs. 1,98,922	Rs. ..	..	Rs. ..	Rs. ..
Lump provision for taking over the management of four secondary schools now under private control and for the revision of establishment of the four secondary schools under departmental management.	..	..	..	..	1,11,000	12,078	98,922	..
Lump provision for the development of primary education.	..	..	..	..	5,50,000	4,50,000	1,00,000	..

ANNEXURE A.

District Boards.	Estimated cost of building.	Amount to be met out of the subsidy paid during previous year.	Amount that may be met from local or municipal funds.	Additional subsidy required during 1918-19.	Remarks.
Anantapur	Rs. 61,380	Rs. ..	Rs. ..	61,380	Proposals called for. not Do.
Aroot, North	75,100	..	..	75,100	
Aroot, South	61,760	..	..	61,760	
Bellary	76,000	..	..	76,000	
Kanara, South	1,13,550	..	1,000	1,13,550	
Chingleput	37,840	8,736	..	29,104	
Chittoor	43,400	2,392	..	41,008	
Cuddapah	93,710	8,133	9,500	76,077	
Gadavari	1,32,258	3,964	..	1,28,294	
Guntur	74,300	..	..	74,300	
Kistna	1,24,620	..	..	1,24,620	Do.
Koraput	15,000	..	..	15,000	
Kurnoor	1,32,370	4,000	..	1,28,370	
Madura	65,700	537	..	65,163	
Malabar	1,94,715	2,900	..	1,91,815	
Nellore	11,300	..	..	11,300	
Nilgiris, The	1,14,400	9,000	..	1,05,400	
Rannam	81,700	1,000	1,500	79,200	
Salem	21,715	1,900	1,000	18,815	
Tanjore	1,07,166	760	3,218	1,01,187	Proposals not called for. The Board has however submitted an application for a subsidy of Rs. 78,000.
Tinnevely	..	..	..	..	
Trichinopoly	..	..	..	..	
Vizagapatam	..	..	..	..	
Total	16,46,763	43,312	16,218	14,80,366	

Municipalities.	Estimated cost of building.	Amount to be met out of the subsidy paid during previous year.	Amount to be met from local or municipal funds.	Additional subsidy required during 1918-19.	Remarks.
1. Anantapur	Rs. 10,000	Rs. ..	..	10,000	Proposals called for. not Do.
2. Oudiyatham	16,000	..	..	16,000	
3. Tirupattur	5,230	..	..	5,230	
4. Tiruvannamalai	12,400	..	..	12,400	
5. Vaniyambadi	30,000	..	..	30,000	
6. Valore	5,500	..	..	5,500	
7. Walajpet	7,000	..	..	7,000	
8. Chidambaram	28,249	9,545	..	18,704	
9. Cuddalore	13,300	3,000	..	10,300	
10. Adoni	10,000	..	..	10,000	
11. Bellary	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for. However the municipality has applied for a subsidy of Rs. 27,400.
12. Hospet	..	..	..	..	
13. Chingleput	..	..	..	..	
14. Conjeevaram	23,400	4,020	..	19,380	
15. Chittoor	..	..	..	..	
16. Tirupati	..	..	..	..	
17. Coimbatore	1,500	..	..	1,500	
18. Tirupur	3,575	..	..	3,575	
19. Dhanurpattinam	6,000	..	..	6,000	Wants no subsidy.
20. Udumalpet	11,500	..	..	11,500	
21. Erode	9,000	..	..	9,000	
22. Cuddapah	38,700	3,657	..	35,043	
23. Proddatur	8,500	..	..	8,500	
24. Berhampur	3,000	..	..	3,000	
25. Chittoor	15,000	..	..	15,000	
26. Parakkudi	9,200	..	..	9,200	
27. Cocanada	7,000	..	..	7,000	
28. Rajahmundry	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for. Do.
29. Poddapattinam	..	..	..	..	
30. Guntur	..	..	..	..	
31. Ongole	6,000	..	..	6,000	
32. Tenali	3,990	..	..	3,990	
33. Narsaraopet	11,000	..	..	11,000	
34. Mangalore	10,000	..	..	10,000	
35. Bewda	..	..	..	..	
36. Ellore	..	..	..	..	
37. Masulipatnam	23,000	..	..	23,000	Proposals not sent yet.
38. Kurnoor	12,000	..	..	12,000	
39. Nandyal	..	..	..	..	
40. Dindigul	..	..	..	..	
41. Kodakuntal	5,000	..	..	5,000	
42. Bodinayakanur	..	..	..	..	
43. Madura	..	..	..	..	
44. Palni	14,000	..	..	14,000	
45. Periyakulam	18,000	..	..	18,000	
46. Calicut	11,100	800	..	10,300	Not called for. Does not want a subsidy now.
47. Cannanore	4,600	..	..	4,600	
48. Cochin	..	..	..	..	
49. Palghat	..	..	..	..	
50. Tellicherry	..	..	..	..	
51. Nellore	..	..	..	..	
52. Dornoor	..	..	..	..	
53. Ottasekund	6,000	..	..	6,000	
54. Srirangapatnam	2,000	..	..	2,000	
55. Virudupatti	54,000	4,800	..	49,200	Not called for. Do.
56. Salem	20,000	..	..	20,000	
57. Kumbakonam	7,500	460	..	7,040	
58. Mannargudi	..	..	..	..	
59. Mayavaram	..	..	..	..	
60. Negapatnam	..	..	..	..	
61. Tanjore	40,000	..	..	40,000	
62. Tiruvallur	4,000	20	..	3,980	
63. Palanacottah	45,000	..	..	45,000	
64. Tinnevely	12,000	..	..	12,000	Not called for.
65. Tuticorin	..	..	..	..	
66. Karur	6,000	..	..	6,000	
67. Srirangam	16,500	..	..	16,500	
68. Trichinopoly	..	..	..	..	
69. Anakapalle	6,000	..	..	6,000	
70. Binhalpattinam	..	..	..	..	
71. Visagapatnam	19,300	..	..	19,300	
72. Viranagaram	..	..	..	..	
Total	6,25,644	26,292	..	5,99,352	Not called for, however wants Rs. 1,500 for extensions.

II

Endorsement of the Accountant-General, No. T.M. 27-16629,
dated 27th/28th November 1918.

Forwarded.

2. There is no audit objection to the proposed re-appropriation being sanctioned by Government.

A. RAJAGOPALA AYYAR,
Deputy Accountant-General.

To the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department.

Order—No. 1677, Home (Education), dated 27th December 1918.

The Government sanction the distribution among the district boards and municipal councils specified in the annexure to these proceedings of a subsidy of Rs. 2,95,322 towards the construction of elementary school buildings.

2. The Accountant-General is requested to place the amounts specified in the annexure at the disposal of the local bodies at an early date.

3. The expenditure of Rs. 2,95,322 will be met as shown below :—

(i) Rupees 96,400 from the lump provision of Rs. 1,00,000 in the current year's budget estimate under "22-E. Education—Government schools, General—Payments in support of Municipal and Local Board Schools—For contributions to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings."

(ii) Rupees 1,00,000 from the lump provision of Rs. 5,50,000 for the development of primary education, and

(iii) the balance of Rs. 98,922 from the lump provision of Rs. 1,11,000 for taking over the management of four secondary schools which are now under private control and for the revision of the establishment of four secondary schools under departmental management.

(True extract)

L. D. SWAMIKANNU,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

„ Presidents of District Boards concerned.
„ Chairmen of Municipal Councils concerned.
„ Accountant-General, through Financial.
„ Financial Department.
„ Local and Municipal Department.
Editors' Table (order only).

ANNEXURE

District.	Taluk board or taluk or municipality.	Name of the school.	Estimated cost of building.	Amount that could be met out of provincial subsidy during previous years.	Amount that could be met out of local or municipal funds.	Actual subsidy required.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Anantapur.	Taluk Board—					
	Pensakonda ..	Mohammadan Boys' school, Kollacheruvu ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Gooty	Girls' school, Pamidi ..	750	..	..	750
	Anantapur ..	Raptadu Elementary school ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Dharmavaram ..	Hindu Elementary school, Perur ..	1,500	..	..	1,500
		Total ..	6,750	..	..	6,750
Arcot North.	Cheygar ..	Furisi	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Tiruvannamalai ..	Chengam (Girls')	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Vellore	Kannanagalam (Girls')	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Tirappattur ..	Madayalam (Boys')	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Wallajah ..	Aiyalam (Boys')	2,400	..	..	2,400
		Total ..	11,400	..	..	11,400
Bellary ..	Bellary ..	Board Hindu Boys' school, Rupangudi ..	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Adoni	Board Panchama school, Yaganur ..	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Hospet	Board Hindu Boys' school, Yaddi ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Boysadrag ..	Board Hindu School, Kudligi ..	750	..	..	750
		Total ..	8,850	..	..	8,850
Kanara South.	Mangalore ..	Bajal (Mappilla)	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Puttur	Jalsur	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Coondapoor ..	Kaup (Panchama)	750	..	..	750
		Total ..	4,750	..	..	4,750
Chingleput	Chingleput ..	Palayavaram (Caste)	1,300	..	..	1,300
	Saidapet ..	Tambaram	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Tiruvellur ..	Ikkadu	3,000	..	..	3,000
		Total ..	7,300	..	..	7,300
Chittoor ..	Chittoor ..	Twanampalle	450	1,250	..	450
	Chavdargit ..	Servada	2,000	..	..	750
	Madanapalle ..	Madanapalle Kothakota (Girls') ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
		Total ..	5,450	1,250	..	4,200
Cuddapah.	Jammalamadugu ..	Jammalamadugu Hindu school ..	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Rajanipet ..	Narasapuram	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Cuddapah ..	Nandimandalam school's extension and re-construction and Chennur school extension.	4,000	2,392	..	1,608
	Rayachoti ..	Pulivendla (Mohammadan) ..	1,500	..	..	1,500
		Total ..	8,500	2,392	..	6,108
Ganjam ..	Berhampur ..	Jayantipuram	3,000	..	1,000	2,000
	Chiticeole ..	Tiluru Railway station	1,200	..	..	1,200
	Goonsar ..	Kankurada	2,500	..	2,000	500
	Vishnagiri ..		2,500	..	2,000	500
	Chatrapur ..	Kalikota	4,000	3,000	..	1,000
		Bagala	3,500	3,000	..	500
		Total ..	16,700	6,000	5,000	5,700
Godavari.	Rajshimondry ..	Kontannur (Mohammadan)	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Peddapuram ..	Tallur	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Polvaram ..	Podiparlu	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Cocanada ..	Aryavattam	1,200	..	..	1,200
		Total ..	6,700	..	..	6,700
Guntur ..	Narasaraopet ..	Ponner	1,000	..	..	1,000
	Guntur ..	Medikondur	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Tental ..	Chendole (Mohammadan)	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Ongole ..	Chebrole (do.)	1,000	..	..	1,000
		Total ..	5,000	..	..	5,000

[Annexure.]

District.	Taluk board or taluk or municipality.	Name of the school.	Estimated cost of building.	Amount that could be met out of provincial subsidy paid during previous years.	Amount that could be met out of local or municipal funds.	Actual subsidy required.
<i>District Boards—cont.</i>						
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Kistna ..	Taluk Board—					
	Bandar ..	Vadlamannadu (Hindu) ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Gudiyada ..	Tummid ..	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Ellore ..	Potunuri (Panchams) ..	1,440	..	..	440
	Narasapur ..	Kotamra ..	500	..	..	500
		Kuntamukkala ..	300	..	..	300
		Total ..	5,240	..	..	5,240
Koraput ..		Nandapur ..	7,500	..	..	7,500
	Karnool ..	Kosmar (Muhammadian Boys) ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Dhone ..	Tugali ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Kurnool ..	Nandyal ..	Gadivana ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Koilkunda ..	Tallur ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Markapur ..	Kosarole ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
		Total ..	15,000	..	..	15,000
Malabar ..	Tellicherry ..	Mappilla school, Quilandy ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Calicut ..	Hindu school, Tamarasur ..	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Malappuram ..	Mappilla school, Mattathur ..	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Palghat ..	Hindu Elementary school, Nattika ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Wynad ..	Mappilla school, Terriote ..	1,600	537	..	963
		Total ..	9,100	537	..	8,563
Nellore ..	Atmakur ..	Gudipad ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Gudur ..	Venkatagiri (Panchams) ..	2,150	..	..	2,150
	Kandukur ..	Ponnalar ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Karali ..	Digantapeta ..	1,000	..	..	1,000
	Nellore ..	Jagdevipat ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
		Total ..	9,650	..	..	9,650
The Nilgiris ..	Taluk—					
	Ootacamund ..	Anicambi ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Taluk Board—					
	Ramnad ..	Nainarkoyil ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
Ramnad ..		Maraneri ..	1,200	..	..	1,200
		Devakottai ..	2,200	..	..	2,200
		Total ..	5,900	..	..	5,900
Salem ..	Hosur ..	Beriyoi ..	2,000	1,000	..	1,000
	Dharmapuri ..	Karimangalam ..	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Sankaridrug ..	Sankari ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Salem ..	Nirmulikkottai ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
		Total ..	7,500	1,000	500	6,000
Tinnevely ..	Koyilpatti ..	Koyilpatti Girls' school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Tuticorin ..	Sriyakkuntam Boys' school ..	4,415	..	..	4,415
		Total ..	7,415	..	..	7,415
Vizagapatnam ..	Narasapatnam ..	Narasapatnam (Muhammadian) ..	3,500	750	..	2,750
	Vizianagaram ..	Kemili ..	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Parvatipur ..	Gargubilli ..	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Vizagapatnam ..	Mindi ..	1,150	..	418	732
		Total ..	9,050	750	418	7,882
		Total, District Boards ..	1,60,765	11,929	5,918	1,42,918
<i>Municipalities.</i>						
Anantapur ..	Anantapur ..	Georgpet Boys' school ..	4,750	..	..	4,750
Arcoot, North ..	Gudiyattam ..	Panchams school, Chavranthi ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Tirupattur ..	Hindu Elementary school, Cutcher Street ..	5,230	..	..	5,230
	Tanjambadi ..	Girls' school, Rahimnagar ..	5,200	..	..	5,200
	Yellore ..	Sulapet Muhammadian school ..	4,000	..	..	4,000
Arcoot, South ..	Wallajpet ..	Municipal Panchama school ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Guddalore ..	Municipal school, Sinnujaden ..	7,000	..	..	7,000
Bellary ..	Adoni ..	Havanpet and Bhopet schools ..	11,249	9,546	..	1,704
	Bellary ..	Satyamaryanpet school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Chingleput ..	Hospet ..	Municipal Elementary school, Chittamargi ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Conjeevaram ..	Nyangali Street school ..	4,000	..	..	4,000
Chingatore ..	Dharsapuram ..	Elementary school, Pottur Street ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Erode ..	Municipal Elementary school, Idaiyan-Kutuvilasa ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
Cuddapah ..	Cuddapah ..	Mochampet ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Proddatur ..	Hindu Girls' school ..	5,000	..	..	5,000

District.	Taluk board or taluk or municipality.	Name of the school.	Estimated cost of building.	Amount that could be met out of provincial subsidy paid during previous years.	Amount that could be met out of local or municipal funds.	Actual subsidy required.
<i>Municipalities—cont.</i>						
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	Berhampur ..	Panchama school near Thammiah tank ..	3,500	..	..	3,500
	Chicaole ..	Belly street school ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Parlakemesi ..	Kavitarwad (Panchams) ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Cosnada ..	Ramrapet Girls' school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Goddavari ..	Rajahmundry ..	Kotilangala Panchams school ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Peddapuram ..	Mahamadan elementary school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Guntur ..	Narasampet ..	Municipal Boys' Hindu Elementary school ..	3,950	..	..	3,950
	Tenali ..	Chinnayur Elementary school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Kanara, South ..	Mangalore ..	New school, market ward ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Kistna ..	Bezawada ..	Wynchpet Muhammadian school ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Kurnool ..	Hindu boys' Elementary school, Buddharpet ..	6,500	..	..	6,500
Kurnool ..	Nandyal ..	Panchama school, Madhaputta ..	2,200	..	..	2,200
	Bodinayakanur ..	Municipal Girls' school ..	5,000	..	..	5,000
Madura ..	Periyakulam ..	Municipal elementary school for boys in the second or third ward of the municipality ..	7,000	..	..	7,000
Malabar ..	Calicut ..	Nidakara Hindu school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Cannanore ..	Thiyil Mappillah school ..	2,800	800	..	2,000
	Cochin ..	Tamaraparamba school ..	4,500	..	..	4,500
	Srivillipattur ..	Arachipatty ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Ramnad ..	Virudupatti ..	Mohammedan Elementary school ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Salem ..	Ammapet Elementary school ..	8,000	4,800	..	3,200
Salem ..	Kumbakonam ..	Mohammedan school, Mohaveri ..	8,000	..	..	8,000
	Mayavaram ..	Sithanada Panchama school ..	1,000	450	..	550
Tinnevely ..	Tiruvarur ..	Kodikalpalam Panchama school ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Tamilnadar ..	Tamilnadar school ..	4,000	20	..	3,980
Tinnevely ..	Tuticorin ..	Municipal poor school ..	6,000	..	..	6,000
	Srirangam ..	Veeravaram ..	1,500	..	..	1,500
Vizagapatnam ..	Vishakhapatnam ..	Vadavara (Panchams) ..	1,500	..	..	1,500
	Bhimilipatnam ..	Mahamadan school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Vizianagaram ..	Town Muhammadian school ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
		Total, Municipalities ..	1,68,019	15,615	..	1,52,404
		Grand total of District Boards and Municipalities ..	3,28,784	27,544	5,918	2,95,322

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 1677, HOME (EDUCATION),
DATED 27TH DECEMBER 1918.

[SUBJECT.—*Proposals for the distribution of subsidies to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings.*]

*From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O. No. 2712, dated
15th November 1918.*

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

There is a provision of Rs. 1 lakh in the current year's budget for the payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the construction of elementary school buildings. Out of this the Government have till now sanctioned a sum of Rs. 3,600 to two local bodies—G.Os. No. 1083, dated 24th August 1918, and No. 1247, dated 18th September 1918:—

	RS.
Nellore Municipal Council	2,700
Mannargudi Municipal Council	900
	3,600

There is therefore a balance of Rs. 96,400 available for distribution. The Director of Public Instruction states that the total demands from local bodies amount to Rs. 20.81 lakhs. He has, however, selected from this 120 school buildings (75 in rural areas and 45 in municipalities) costing Rs. 2,95,322 on the whole. Considering the large demand there is for school premises, the present proposals involving large additional funds over the allotment for the current year may be considered, if the suggestions for financing are considered feasible. The balance of the amount required over and above the sum of Rs. 96,400 which is already available is proposed to be met by reappropriation. The principles of selection adopted by the Director seem to be fair as they would secure an even distribution of subsidies among the various local bodies. Further it is observed, from the list of local bodies selected, that municipal councils which were granted subsidies last year have been left out this time and that such of the district boards as earned large subsidies last year are also *not* to be granted any during the current year. The Director of Public Instruction's proposals seem therefore to be generally acceptable. On the applications of certain local bodies for grants for secondary school buildings, Honourable Member (Financial) has noted that the grant should be limited to one-half or two-thirds of the total cost. It is doubtful whether this ruling will apply to grants for elementary school buildings which have mostly been started with Provincial funds.

2. The question for consideration is whether the budget provision of one lakh of rupees should be exceeded. The Director of Public Instruction states that he is unable to conceive of any other plan of distribution. He proposes to reappropriate a sum of Rs. 98,922 from the provision of Rs. 1.10 lakhs made in the current year's budget for the model high school scheme. As this scheme which is now before the Secretary of State is not likely to be introduced before March next, the proposal to reappropriate a portion of the budget provision for the scheme is unobjectionable. As regards the balance of one lakh of rupees still required, the Director proposes to find it from the Imperial grant of Rs. 8.5 lakhs provided in the current year's budget. He has not shown how this grant is to be utilized during this year. A sum of Rs. 2 lakhs is to be utilized on the opening of new elementary schools and another sum of Rs. 1 lakh on the payment of additional teaching grants to teachers in elementary schools. A sum of about Rs. 1.25 lakhs may be required for the raising of the minimum pay of teachers in elementary schools under public management. There are several other small items of expenditure which are to be met from this Imperial

to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings".

(ii) Rs. 1,00,000 from the lump provision of Rs. 5,50,000 for the development of primary education, and

(iii) ^{the balance of} Rs. 98,922 from the lump provision of Rs. 1,11,000 for taking over the management of four secondary schools which are now under private control and for the revision of ^{the} establishment of four secondary schools under departmental management.

(Hd) 58
22/12/18

NH
24/12/18

103
25.12.18

To the D.P.I.

✓ 17.1.19
P.D.Bs. concerned.

✓ 20.1.19
C.M.Cs. concerned.

✓ 17.1.19
A.G. through Finl.

✓ 17.1.19
Finl. dept.

✓ 17.1.19
L & M. dept.

E.T. (Order only).

with annexure

88
4/1/19
G.O. No. 1677, 27th December 1918

Subsidy

Sanctioning the distribution of a — of Rs. 2,95,322 among certain district boards and municipal councils towards the construction of elementary school buildings.

READ—the following papers:—

I
Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. H. S. DUNCAN, M.A., Acting Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department (through the Accountant-General).
Dated—Madras, the 15th November 1918.
No.—R.O. 2712.

I have the honour to submit proposals for the utilization of the balance of Rs. 96,400 out of 1 lakh [vide G.Os. No. 1083, Home (Education), dated 24th August 1918, and No. 1247, Home (Education), dated 18th September 1918] provided in the current year's budget for contribution to the local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings.

2. Proposals in this regard were called for in March last from such of the local boards and municipal councils as did not participate to a large extent in the distribution of the provision made for the purpose during 1917-18. In some cases replies were received only quite recently while the Municipal Council, Masulipatam, has not sent in any proposals. The Municipal Councils of Kodaikanal, Ootacamund, Coonoor and Tanjore do not want a subsidy during the current year. I have not taken into account the amount required by the Tirupur municipality pending the question of opening a girls' school in the locality nor has any subsidy been proposed for the Tinnevely municipality as a scheme for the improvement of elementary education in the municipality is under separate consideration.

3. A summary of all proposals (Annexure A) received is enclosed for reference and it will be seen therefrom that against the available budget provision of Rs. 96,400 the aggregate demands come to Rs. 20,81,217 (Rs. 14,80,365 for local boards, Rs. 6,00,852 for municipal councils). As the claims could not be met in full I have had to resort to an arbitrary method of distribution. In doing so, I have been guided by the following principles:—

(1) one cheap building has been allowed for each of the taluk boards in a district and one for each municipality without regard to the question of relative urgency,

(2) subsidy has been proposed generally for construction of new buildings and not for extensions of any existing buildings, and

(3) preference has been given to such of the buildings for which local bodies are willing to bear a portion of the cost either out of their own funds or out of the unspent balances of Provincial subsidy sanctioned and standing to their credit.

Palni, which has applied for grants for extensions of buildings, has been excluded in pursuance of principle No. 2 mentioned above.

4. In all 75 buildings have been assigned for local boards and 45 for municipalities. The cost of buildings varies from Rs. 550 to Rs. 8,000 in the case of municipalities and from Rs. 300 to Rs. 7,500 in rural areas. Details of the scheme together with an estimate showing its financial aspect are appended to this letter (Annexure B). It will be observed that even under this method the balance of the budget provision will be inadequate to meet the demand as the claims come to Rs. 2,95,322 (Rs. 1,42,918 for local boards and Rs. 1,52,404 for municipal councils). I am unable to conceive of any other plan of distribution and I accordingly beg to submit the proposals for the orders of Government. I would, however, suggest that in the event of Government being prepared to accept my scheme, the extra expenditure amounting to Rs. 1,98,922 can be met as shown in the enclosed reappropriation statement.

5. Details of the full proposals received from local bodies will be submitted to Government if required.

G.O. No. 1677, 27th December 1918

Sanctioning the distribution of a — of Rs. 2,00,000 among certain districts and municipalities towards the construction of elementary school buildings.

Under the following papers:

1. From the Hon'ble Mr. H. S. Dutt, Member, Education Department, Government of India, dated 1st December 1918.
2. From the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department, Government of India, dated 1st December 1918.
3. From the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department, Government of India, dated 1st December 1918.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the letter of the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department, Government of India, dated 1st December 1918, and to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department, Government of India, for their consideration.

2. Proposals in this regard were called for in March last from each of the local bodies and municipalities as well as from the Government of India. In some cases replies were received only after the expiry of the time limit. The Municipal Councils of Kachh, Kutch, and Junagarh have not yet submitted their proposals. I have not taken into account the amount required by the Junagarh Municipality for the opening of a girls' school in the locality, as the same has not been proposed for the year 1918-19. The Junagarh Municipality is under separate consideration.

3. A summary of all proposals received is given in the enclosed statement, and it will be seen therefrom that the total amount available for the year 1918-19 is Rs. 2,00,000. The aggregate demands come to Rs. 2,00,000. As the same could not be met in full, I have had to resort to an arbitrary method of distribution. In doing so, I have been guided by the following principles:

(1) One class building has been allowed for each of the local bodies in a district and one for each municipality, without regard to the question of relative importance.

(2) Subsidy has been provided for the construction of new buildings and not for the extension of any existing building.

(3) Preference has been given to the local bodies for which local bodies are willing to bear a portion of the cost of the building, out of their own funds or out of the grant-in-aid of the Government.

(4) The local bodies which have applied for grant-in-aid of buildings have been excluded in preference of those which have not applied for grant-in-aid.

4. In all 75 buildings have been assigned for local bodies and 15 for municipalities. The cost of buildings varies from Rs. 500 to Rs. 8,000 in the case of municipalities and from Rs. 200 to Rs. 5,000 in the case of local bodies. It will be observed that even under this method the balance of the budget provision will be insufficient to meet the demand as the same comes to Rs. 2,00,000. I am unable to conceive of any other plan of distribution and I accordingly beg to submit the proposals for the Government. I would, however, suggest that in the event of Government being prepared to accept my scheme, the extra expenditure amounting to Rs. 1,00,000 can be met as shown in the enclosed reappropriation statement.

5. Details of the proposals received from local bodies will be submitted to Government if required.

No. 1677, HOME (EDUCATION), 27TH DECEMBER 1918

Reappropriation statement to accompany all applications for sanction to expenditure not provided for in the budget, or for additional allotments.

Educational Department.

Major, minor, sub and detailed heads of budget.	Heads of estimate proposed to be increased.			Heads of estimate proposed to be reduced.			
	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.	Amount of additional allotment required.	Amounts as in the sanctioned estimate.	Actual expenditure up to date.	Probable expenditure during remainder of the year.
22. E. EDUCATION— Government schools, General. Payment in support of local bodies—for contribution to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings.	Rs. 1,00,000	Rs. 2,08,922	Rs. 1,98,922	Rs. ..	Rs. ..	Rs. ..	Rs. ..
Lump provision for taking over the management of four secondary schools now under private control and for the revision of establishment of the four secondary schools under departmental management.	..	..	..	..	1,11,000	12,078	98,922
Lump provision for the development of primary education.	..	..	..	..	5,50,000	4,50,000	1,00,000

District Boards.	Estimated cost of building.	Amount to be borne by the savings in the subsidy paid during previous years.	Amount that could be met out of municipal funds.	Additional subsidies required during 1918-19.	Remarks.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Amratapur	61,380	..	..	61,380	
Aroor, North	73,100	..	..	73,100	
Aroor, South	..	..	..	..	
Bellary	61,750	..	..	61,750	
Kannur, South	75,000	..	1,000	75,000	
Chingnaput	1,13,550	..	..	1,13,550	Proposals called for.
Chittoor	37,840	8,736	..	29,104	
Cumbhota	..	..	..	..	
Cuddapah	43,400	2,392	..	41,008	Do.
Ganjam	98,710	8,133	9,560	76,077	
Godavari	1,32,426	3,964	..	1,28,462	
Guntur	74,300	..	..	74,300	
Kistna	1,24,920	..	..	1,24,920	
Koraput	15,000	..	..	15,000	
Karnool	1,32,270	4,000	..	1,28,270	
Madura	..	..	..	..	Do.
Malabar	65,700	687	..	65,163	
Nellore	1,04,715	1,900	..	1,01,815	
Nigritia, The	11,300	..	..	11,300	
Ramannd	1,14,400	9,000	..	1,05,400	
Salem	81,700	1,000	1,500	79,200	
Tanjora	..	..	..	..	Do.
Tinnevely	21,715	3,900	3,000	18,815	
Trichinopoly	..	760	..	..	
Vizagapatam	1,07,165	..	3,218	1,01,187	Proposals no called for. The Board has however submitted an application for a subsidy of Rs 73,000.
Total	15,45,763	43,312	16,218	14,80,365	

ANNAKURUM

Municipalities	Estimated cost of building	Amount to be paid by the Government	Amount to be met from local or other funds	Additional sub-subsidy during 1918-19	Remarks
1. Anantapur ..	10,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
2. Guntur ..	15,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
3. Tirupattur ..	5,230	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
4. Tiruvannamalai ..	13,400	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
5. Vaniyambadi ..	30,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
6. Vallur ..	5,500	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
7. Walajpet ..	7,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
8. Chidambaram ..	28,249	9,545	..	18,704	Proposals not called for.
9. Cuddalore ..	13,300	3,000	..	10,300	Proposals not called for.
10. Adoni ..	10,000	..	..	10,000	Proposals not called for.
11. Bellary ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
12. Hospet ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
13. Chingleput ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
14. Conjeveram ..	23,400	4,020	..	19,380	Proposals not called for.
15. Chittoor ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
16. Tirupati ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
17. Coimbatore ..	1,600	..	..	1,600	Proposals not called for.
18. Tirupur ..	3,475	..	..	3,475	Proposals not called for.
19. Dhasapuram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
20. Udumalpet ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
21. Erode ..	11,500	..	..	11,500	Proposals not called for.
22. Cuddapah ..	9,000	..	..	9,000	Proposals not called for.
23. Erode ..	38,700	3,657	..	35,043	Proposals not called for.
24. Berhampur ..	8,500	..	..	8,500	Proposals not called for.
25. Chittoor ..	9,000	..	..	9,000	Proposals not called for.
26. Parakimedi ..	15,000	..	..	15,000	Proposals not called for.
27. Coonada ..	9,200	..	..	9,200	Proposals not called for.
28. Rajahmundry ..	7,000	..	..	7,000	Proposals not called for.
29. Peddapuram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
30. Guntur ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
31. Ongole ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
32. Tenali ..	3,950	..	..	3,950	Proposals not called for.
33. Narasaraopet ..	11,000	..	..	11,000	Proposals not called for.
34. Mangalore ..	10,000	..	..	10,000	Proposals not called for.
35. Bezwada ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
36. Ellore ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
37. Masulipatam ..	23,000	..	..	23,000	Proposals not called for.
38. Kurnool ..	12,000	..	..	12,000	Proposals not called for.
39. Nandyal ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
40. Dindigul ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
41. Kodakabai ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
42. Bodinayakanur ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
43. Madurai ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
44. Palni ..	14,000	..	..	14,000	Proposals not called for.
45. Periyakulam ..	13,000	..	..	13,000	Proposals not called for.
46. Calicut ..	11,100	..	..	11,100	Proposals not called for.
47. Cannanore ..	4,600	..	..	4,600	Proposals not called for.
48. Cochin ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
49. Palghat ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
50. Tellicherry ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
51. Nellore ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
52. Coonoor ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
53. Ootacamund ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
54. Srivilliputhur ..	2,000	..	..	2,000	Proposals not called for.
55. Virudupathur ..	64,000	4,800	..	68,800	Proposals not called for.
56. Salem ..	20,000	..	..	20,000	Proposals not called for.
57. Kumbakonam ..	7,500	..	..	7,500	Proposals not called for.
58. Mannargudi ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
59. Mayavaram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
60. Negapatam ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
61. Tanjore ..	40,000	..	..	40,000	Proposals not called for.
62. Tirunelveli ..	4,000	..	..	4,000	Proposals not called for.
63. Palamcottah ..	45,000	..	..	45,000	Proposals not called for.
64. Tirunelveli ..	12,000	..	..	12,000	Proposals not called for.
65. Tuticorin ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
66. Karur ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
67. Srirangam ..	15,500	..	..	15,500	Proposals not called for.
68. Trichinopoly ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
69. Arakapalle ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
70. Bimlipatam ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
71. Visagapatam ..	19,300	..	..	19,300	Proposals not called for.
72. Visianagaram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
Total ..	6,25,644	26,292	..	5,99,352	Proposals not called for.

Municipalities	Estimated cost of building	Amount to be paid by the Government	Amount to be met from local or other funds	Additional sub-subsidy during 1918-19	Remarks
1. Anantapur ..	10,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
2. Guntur ..	15,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
3. Tirupattur ..	5,230	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
4. Tiruvannamalai ..	13,400	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
5. Vaniyambadi ..	30,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
6. Vallur ..	5,500	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
7. Walajpet ..	7,000	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
8. Chidambaram ..	28,249	9,545	..	18,704	Proposals not called for.
9. Cuddalore ..	13,300	3,000	..	10,300	Proposals not called for.
10. Adoni ..	10,000	..	..	10,000	Proposals not called for.
11. Bellary ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
12. Hospet ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
13. Chingleput ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
14. Conjeveram ..	23,400	4,020	..	19,380	Proposals not called for.
15. Chittoor ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
16. Tirupati ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
17. Coimbatore ..	1,600	..	..	1,600	Proposals not called for.
18. Tirupur ..	3,475	..	..	3,475	Proposals not called for.
19. Dhasapuram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
20. Udumalpet ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
21. Erode ..	11,500	..	..	11,500	Proposals not called for.
22. Cuddapah ..	9,000	..	..	9,000	Proposals not called for.
23. Erode ..	38,700	3,657	..	35,043	Proposals not called for.
24. Berhampur ..	8,500	..	..	8,500	Proposals not called for.
25. Chittoor ..	9,000	..	..	9,000	Proposals not called for.
26. Parakimedi ..	15,000	..	..	15,000	Proposals not called for.
27. Coonada ..	9,200	..	..	9,200	Proposals not called for.
28. Rajahmundry ..	7,000	..	..	7,000	Proposals not called for.
29. Peddapuram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
30. Guntur ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
31. Ongole ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
32. Tenali ..	3,950	..	..	3,950	Proposals not called for.
33. Narasaraopet ..	11,000	..	..	11,000	Proposals not called for.
34. Mangalore ..	10,000	..	..	10,000	Proposals not called for.
35. Bezwada ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
36. Ellore ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
37. Masulipatam ..	23,000	..	..	23,000	Proposals not called for.
38. Kurnool ..	12,000	..	..	12,000	Proposals not called for.
39. Nandyal ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
40. Dindigul ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
41. Kodakabai ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
42. Bodinayakanur ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
43. Madurai ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
44. Palni ..	14,000	..	..	14,000	Proposals not called for.
45. Periyakulam ..	13,000	..	..	13,000	Proposals not called for.
46. Calicut ..	11,100	..	..	11,100	Proposals not called for.
47. Cannanore ..	4,600	..	..	4,600	Proposals not called for.
48. Cochin ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
49. Palghat ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
50. Tellicherry ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
51. Nellore ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
52. Coonoor ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
53. Ootacamund ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
54. Srivilliputhur ..	2,000	..	..	2,000	Proposals not called for.
55. Virudupathur ..	64,000	4,800	..	68,800	Proposals not called for.
56. Salem ..	20,000	..	..	20,000	Proposals not called for.
57. Kumbakonam ..	7,500	..	..	7,500	Proposals not called for.
58. Mannargudi ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
59. Mayavaram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
60. Negapatam ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
61. Tanjore ..	40,000	..	..	40,000	Proposals not called for.
62. Tirunelveli ..	4,000	..	..	4,000	Proposals not called for.
63. Palamcottah ..	45,000	..	..	45,000	Proposals not called for.
64. Tirunelveli ..	12,000	..	..	12,000	Proposals not called for.
65. Tuticorin ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
66. Karur ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
67. Srirangam ..	15,500	..	..	15,500	Proposals not called for.
68. Trichinopoly ..	6,000	..	..	6,000	Proposals not called for.
69. Arakapalle ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
70. Bimlipatam ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
71. Visagapatam ..	19,300	..	..	19,300	Proposals not called for.
72. Visianagaram ..	..	..	..	..	Proposals not called for.
Total ..	6,25,644	26,292	..	5,99,352	Proposals not called for.

II

Endorsement of the Accountant-General, No. T.M. 27-16629, dated 27th/28th November 1918.

Forwarded.

2. There is no audit objection to the proposed re-appropriation being sanctioned by Government.

A. RAJAGOPALA AYYAR,
Deputy Accountant-General.

To the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department.

Order—No. 1677, Home (Education), dated 27th December 1918.

The Government sanction the distribution among the district boards and municipal councils specified in the annexure to these proceedings of a subsidy of Rs. 2,95,322 towards the construction of elementary school buildings.

2. The Accountant-General is requested to place the amounts specified in the annexure at the disposal of the local bodies at an early date.

3. The expenditure of Rs. 2,95,322 will be met as shown below :—

(i) Rupees 96,400 from the lump provision of Rs. 1,00,000 in the current year's budget estimate under "22-E. Education—Government schools, General payments in support of Municipal and Local Board Schools—For contributions to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings."

(ii) Rupees 1,00,000 from the lump provision of Rs. 5,50,000 for the development of primary education, and

(iii) the balance of Rs. 98,922 from the lump provision of Rs. 1,11,000 for taking over the management of four secondary schools which are now under private control and for the revision of the establishment of four secondary schools under departmental management.

(True extract)

L. D. SWAMIKANNU,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

- " Presidents of District Boards concerned.
- " Chairmen of Municipal Councils concerned.
- " Accountant-General, through Financial.
- " Financial Department.
- " Local and Municipal Department.

Editors' Table (order only).

[Annexure.

Sl. No.	Name of the District Board or Municipal Council	Amount sanctioned (Rs.)	Amount actually paid (Rs.)	Balance (Rs.)
1	...	...	...	...
2	...	...	...	...
3	...	...	...	...
4	...	...	...	...
5	...	...	...	...
6	...	...	...	...
7	...	...	...	...
8	...	...	...	...
9	...	...	...	...
10	...	...	...	...
11	...	...	...	...
12	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	...	...
14	...	...	...	...
15	...	...	...	...
16	...	...	...	...
17	...	...	...	...
18	...	...	...	...
19	...	...	...	...
20	...	...	...	...
21	...	...	...	...
22	...	...	...	...
23	...	...	...	...
24	...	...	...	...
25	...	...	...	...
26	...	...	...	...
27	...	...	...	...
28	...	...	...	...
29	...	...	...	...
30	...	...	...	...
31	...	...	...	...
32	...	...	...	...
33	...	...	...	...
34	...	...	...	...
35	...	...	...	...
36	...	...	...	...
37	...	...	...	...
38	...	...	...	...
39	...	...	...	...
40	...	...	...	...
41	...	...	...	...
42	...	...	...	...
43	...	...	...	...
44	...	...	...	...
45	...	...	...	...
46	...	...	...	...
47	...	...	...	...
48	...	...	...	...
49	...	...	...	...
50	...	...	...	...
51	...	...	...	...
52	...	...	...	...
53	...	...	...	...
54	...	...	...	...
55	...	...	...	...
56	...	...	...	...
57	...	...	...	...
58	...	...	...	...
59	...	...	...	...
60	...	...	...	...
61	...	...	...	...
62	...	...	...	...
63	...	...	...	...
64	...	...	...	...
65	...	...	...	...
66	...	...	...	...
67	...	...	...	...
68	...	...	...	...
69	...	...	...	...
70	...	...	...	...
71	...	...	...	...
72	...	...	...	...
73	...	...	...	...
74	...	...	...	...
75	...	...	...	...
76	...	...	...	...
77	...	...	...	...
78	...	...	...	...
79	...	...	...	...
80	...	...	...	...
81	...	...	...	...
82	...	...	...	...
83	...	...	...	...
84	...	...	...	...
85	...	...	...	...
86	...	...	...	...
87	...	...	...	...
88	...	...	...	...
89	...	...	...	...
90	...	...	...	...
91	...	...	...	...
92	...	...	...	...
93	...	...	...	...
94	...	...	...	...
95	...	...	...	...
96	...	...	...	...
97	...	...	...	...
98	...	...	...	...
99	...	...	...	...
100	...	...	...	...

A. BALAGOPALA AYYAR,
Deputy Accountant-General.

ANNEXURE B

District.	Taluk board or taluk or municipality.	Name of the school.	Estimated cost of building.	Amount that could be raised by sale of land during previous years.	Amount that could be raised out of local contributions.	Actual subsidy required.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Anantapur.	Taluk Board—					
	Penukonda ..	Muhammadian Boys' school, Kollakherava ..	2,900	..	..	2,900
	Gooty ..	Girls' school, Pamidi ..	740	..	..	740
	Anantapur ..	Rajyalakshmi Elementary school, Perur ..	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Dharmavaram ..	Hindu Elementary school, Perur ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
		Total ..	6,740	..	..	6,740
Arcot North.	Cheyyar ..	Purisi ..	2,900	..	..	2,900
	Tiruvannamalai ..	Chengam (Girls') ..	2,900	..	..	2,900
	Vellore ..	Kannanagalam (Girls') ..	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Tirupattur ..	Madavalam (Boys') ..	2,300	..	..	2,300
	Wallejah ..	Aiyaham (Boys') ..	2,400	..	..	2,400
		Total ..	11,400	..	..	11,400
Bellary ..	Bellary ..	Board Hindu Boys' school, Ranganudi ..	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Adoni ..	Board Panchama school, Nagapur ..	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Hospet ..	Board Hindu Boys' school, Valdi ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Royadurg ..	Board Hindu School, Kudligi ..	740	..	..	740
		Total ..	8,940	..	..	8,940
Kadapa South.	Mangalore ..	Bajal (Mappilla) ..	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Puttur ..	Jalur ..	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Coondapoor ..	Kalp (Panchama) ..	740	..	..	740
		Total ..	4,940	..	..	4,940
Chingleput.	Chingleput ..	Palayisavaram (Caste) ..	1,300	..	..	1,300
	Sripet ..	Thimbaran ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Tiruvallur ..	Alkadu ..	5,000	..	..	5,000
		Total ..	7,300	..	..	7,300
Chittoor ..	Chittoor ..	Tavanampalle ..	400	..	..	400
	Chandragiri ..	Stravada ..	2,600	1,250	..	760
	Madanapalle ..	Madanapalle Kothakota (Girls') ..	3,000	..	..	3,000
		Total ..	6,400	1,250	..	4,200
Cuddapah.	Jammalamadugu ..	Jammalamaduru Hindu school ..	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Kanjanpeta ..	Narasimapuram ..	1,300	..	..	1,300
	Cuddapah ..	Narasimaduran school's extension and reconstruction and Chennai school extension.	4,000	2,392	..	1,608
	Kayachoti ..	Pulivendla (Muhammadian) ..	1,600	..	..	1,600
		Total ..	8,500	2,392	..	6,108
Ganjam ..	Berhampur ..	Jayantiapuram ..	3,000	..	1,000	2,000
	Chisole ..	Tilaya Railway station ..	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Goomsur ..	Kankikunda ..	2,800	..	2,000	500
	Chatrapur ..	Vishnupuri ..	2,600	..	2,000	600
		Bagala ..	4,000	3,000	..	1,000
		Total ..	16,700	6,000	5,000	5,700
Godavari.	Rajamahendravaram ..	Kottanur (Muhammadian) ..	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Peddapuram ..	Talhar ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Polavaram ..	Pudipalli ..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Coacanda ..	Aryavattam ..	1,200	..	..	1,200
		Total ..	6,700	..	..	6,700
Guntur ..	Narasaraopet ..	Ponnur ..	1,000	..	..	1,000
	Guntur ..	Madikondur ..	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Tamali ..	Chabale (Muhammadian) ..	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Ongele ..	Chabale (do. ..	1,000	..	..	1,000
		Total ..	5,000	..	..	5,000

District	Name of the school	Estimated cost of building	Amount that could be met out of savings in the previous year	Amount that could be met out of local municipal funds	Actual subsidy required
Kistna	Taluk Board—Bander	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Gudvada	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Elora	440	..	..	440
	Narasapur	600	..	..	600
Koraput	Beawada	300	..	..	300
	Kuntamukhala	..	..	..	..
	Total	5,240	..	..	5,240
Kurnool	Nandapur	7,600	..	..	7,600
	Kurnool	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Dhona	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Nandyal	3,000	..	..	3,000
Malabar	Kailankula	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Markapur	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Komare	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Total	15,000	..	..	15,000
Nellore	Tallicherry	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Calicut	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Malappuram	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Palghat	2,000	..	..	2,000
The Nilgiris	Wynad	1,600	587	..	963
	Mappilla school, Kariote	..	..	..	..
	Total	9,100	587	..	8,563
Rannad	Atmakur	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Gudur	2,160	..	..	2,160
	Kandukur	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Raval	1,000	..	..	1,000
Salem	Nellore	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Jagdevipet	..	..	..	..
	Total	9,660	..	..	9,660
Tinnevely	Taluk Board—Ootacamund	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Rannad	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Sivakasi	1,400	..	..	1,400
	Davakottal	2,200	..	..	2,200
Visnagapatam	Sholapuram	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Total	5,900	..	..	5,900
Anantapur	Hoar	2,000	1,000	..	1,000
	Dharmapuri	1,600	..	600	1,000
	Sankari	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Salem	2,000	..	..	2,000
Chingelput	Nirmalikottal	..	..	..	..
	Total	7,600	1,000	600	6,000
Visnagapatam	Koyilpatti	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Tuticorin	4,415	..	..	4,415
	Total	7,415	..	..	7,415
Visnagapatam	Narasapatam	3,000	750	..	2,250
	Visnagapatam	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Parvatipur	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Mindi	1,150	..	418	782
Anantapur	Total	9,500	750	418	7,882
	Total, District Boards	1,80,787	11,929	5,918	1,43,918
Anantapur	Municipalities	..	..	..	..
	Georgpet Boys' school	4,750	..	..	4,750
	Panohama school, Chenuvanki	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Tirupattur	5,230	..	..	5,230
Arcot	Girls' school, Rahimnagar	5,000	..	..	5,000
	Vallure	4,000	..	..	4,000
	Saidapet Muhammadan school	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Municipal Panchama school	7,000	..	..	7,000
Arcot, South	Adeni	11,242	9,545	..	1,794
	Havanpet and Bhoopet schools	..	..	..	..
	Bellary	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Hopet	2,500	..	..	2,500
Bellary	Satyavaranpet school	4,000	..	..	4,000
	Chingelput	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Conjeevaram	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Nynapillai Street school	3,000	..	..	3,000
Coimbatore	Dharapuram	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Elementary school, Potters Street	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Municipal Elementary school, Idayan-kattuvasala	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Total	5,000	..	..	5,000
Cuddapah	Cuddapah	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Proddatur	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Hindu Girls' school	..	..	..	..
	Total	6,000	..	..	6,000

District	Taluk board or municipality	Name of the school	Estimated cost of building	Amount that could be met out of savings in the previous year	Amount that could be met out of local municipal funds	Actual subsidy required
Kistna	Taluk Board—Bander	Vadlamannada (Hindu)	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Gudvada	Tummid	1,600	..	..	1,600
	Elora	Potunur (Panchama)	440	..	..	440
	Narasapur	Komara	600	..	..	600
Koraput	Beawada	Kuntamukhala	300	..	..	300
	Total	..	5,240	..	..	5,240
Kurnool	Nandapur	..	7,600	..	..	7,600
	Kurnool	Kommar (Muhammadan Boys)	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Dhona	Tuggali	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Nandyal	Gadivanala	3,000	..	..	3,000
Malabar	Kailankula	Kallur	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Markapur	Komare	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Total	..	15,000	..	..	15,000
Nellore	Tallicherry	Mappilla school, Quilandy	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Calicut	Hindu school, Tamarasur	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Malappuram	Mappilla school, Mettashur	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Palghat	Hindu Elementary school, Nattika	2,000	..	..	2,000
The Nilgiris	Wynad	Mappilla school, Kariote	1,600	587	..	963
	Total	..	9,100	587	..	8,563
Rannad	Atmakur	Gudipad	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Gudur	Venkatagiri (Panchama)	2,160	..	..	2,160
	Kandukur	Ponnair	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Raval	Digantap	1,000	..	..	1,000
Salem	Nellore	Jagdevipet	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Total	..	9,660	..	..	9,660
Tinnevely	Taluk Board—Ootacamund	Anicambai	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Rannad	Nainarkoyil	2,500	..	..	2,500
	Sivakasi	Maraneri	1,400	..	..	1,400
	Davakottal	Sholapuram	2,200	..	..	2,200
Visnagapatam	Sholapuram	..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Total	..	5,900	..	..	5,900
Anantapur	Hoar	Berivoi	2,000	1,000	..	1,000
	Dharmapuri	Kaimangalam	1,600	..	600	1,000
	Sankari	..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Salem	Nirmalikottal	2,000	..	..	2,000
Chingelput	Nirmalikottal	..	..	..	..	..
	Total	..	7,600	1,000	600	6,000
Visnagapatam	Koyilpatti	Koyilpatti Girls' school	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Tuticorin	Srivalkuntam Boys' school	4,415	..	..	4,415
	Total	..	7,415	..	..	7,415
Visnagapatam	Narasapatam	Narasapatam (Muhammadan)	3,000	750	..	2,250
	Visnagapatam	Kumili	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Parvatipur	Gargubilli	1,800	..	..	1,800
	Mindi	..	1,150	..	418	782
Anantapur	Total	..	9,500	750	418	7,882
	Total, District Boards	..	1,80,787	11,929	5,918	1,43,918
Anantapur	Municipalities	..	..	..	..	..
	Georgpet Boys' school	..	4,750	..	..	4,750
	Panohama school, Chenuvanki	..	2,000	..	..	2,000
	Tirupattur	..	5,230	..	..	5,230
Arcot	Girls' school, Rahimnagar	..	5,000	..	..	5,000
	Vallure	..	4,000	..	..	4,000
	Saidapet Muhammadan school	..	2,600	..	..	2,600
	Municipal Panchama school	..	7,000	..	..	7,000
Arcot, South	Adeni	..	11,242	9,545	..	1,794
	Havanpet and Bhoopet schools	..	..	..	..	..
	Bellary	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Hopet	..	2,500	..	..	2,500
Bellary	Satyavaranpet school	..	4,000	..	..	4,000
	Chingelput	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Conjeevaram	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Nynapillai Street school	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
Coimbatore	Dharapuram	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Elementary school, Potters Street	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Municipal Elementary school, Idayan-kattuvasala	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Total	..	5,000	..	..	5,000
Cuddapah	Cuddapah	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Proddatur	..	3,000	..	..	3,000
	Hindu Girls' school	..	..	..	..	..
	Total	..	6,000	..	..	6,000

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 1677, HOME (EDUCATION),
DATED 27TH DECEMBER 1918.

[SUBJECT.—Proposals for the distribution of subsidies to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O. No. 2712, dated
15th November 1918.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

There is a provision of Rs. 1 lakh in the current year's budget for the payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the construction of elementary school buildings. Out of this the Government have till now sanctioned a sum of Rs. 3,600 to two local bodies—G.Os. No. 1083, dated 24th August 1918, and No. 1247, dated 18th September 1918.—

	Rs.
Nellore Municipal Council	2,700
Mannargudi Municipal Council	900
	3,600

There is therefore a balance of Rs. 96,400 available for distribution. The Director of Public Instruction states that the total demands from local bodies amount to Rs. 20.81 lakhs. He has, however, selected from this 120 school buildings (75 in rural areas and 45 in municipalities) costing Rs. 2,95,322 on the whole. Considering the large demand there is for school premises, the present proposals involving large additional funds over the allotment for the current year may be considered, if the suggestions for financing are considered feasible. The balance of the amount required over and above the sum of Rs. 96,400 which is already available is proposed to be met by reappropriation. The principles of selection adopted by the Director seem to be fair as they would secure an even distribution of subsidies among the various local bodies. Further it is observed, from the list of local bodies selected, that municipal councils which were granted subsidies last year have been left out this time and that such of the district boards as earned large subsidies last year are also not to be granted any during the current year. The Director of Public Instruction's proposals seem therefore to be generally acceptable. On the applications of certain local bodies for grants for secondary school buildings, Honourable Member (Financial) has noted that the grant should be limited to one-half or two-thirds of the total cost. It is doubtful whether this ruling will apply to grants for elementary school buildings which have mostly been started with Provincial funds.

2. The question for consideration is whether the budget provision of one lakh of rupees should be exceeded. The Director of Public Instruction states that he is unable to conceive of any other plan of distribution. He proposes to reappropriate a sum of Rs. 93,922 from the provision of Rs. 1.10 lakhs made in the current year's budget for the model high school scheme. As this scheme which is now before the Secretary of State is not likely to be introduced before March next, the proposal to reappropriate a portion of the budget provision for the scheme is unobjectionable. As regards the balance of one lakh of rupees still required, the Director proposes to find it from the Imperial grant of Rs. 5.5 lakhs provided in the current year's budget. He has not shown how this grant is to be utilized during this year. A sum of Rs. 2 lakhs is to be utilized on the opening of new elementary schools and another sum of Rs. 1 lakh on the payment of additional teaching grants to teachers in elementary schools. A sum of about Rs. 1.25 lakhs may be required for the raising of the minimum pay of teachers in elementary schools under public management. There are several other small items of expenditure which are to be met from this Imperial grant. Presumably the Director of Public Instruction has satisfied himself that there will be a balance of Rs. 1 lakh which may be utilized for the present purpose. The proposed reappropriation may therefore be approved.

3. The file may be sent to the Local and Municipal and Financial Departments for remarks.

S.K.—8-12-18.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—9-12-18.
L. D. SWAMIKANNU—10-12-18.

Sl. No.	Name of the Local Body	Amount sanctioned last year	Amount sanctioned this year	Total sanctioned
1	...	...	...	...
2	...	...	...	...
3	...	...	...	...
4	...	...	...	...
5	...	...	...	...
6	...	...	...	...
7	...	...	...	...
8	...	...	...	...
9	...	...	...	...
10	...	...	...	...
11	...	...	...	...
12	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	...	...
14	...	...	...	...
15	...	...	...	...
16	...	...	...	...
17	...	...	...	...
18	...	...	...	...
19	...	...	...	...
20	...	...	...	...
21	...	...	...	...
22	...	...	...	...
23	...	...	...	...
24	...	...	...	...
25	...	...	...	...
26	...	...	...	...
27	...	...	...	...
28	...	...	...	...
29	...	...	...	...
30	...	...	...	...
31	...	...	...	...
32	...	...	...	...
33	...	...	...	...
34	...	...	...	...
35	...	...	...	...
36	...	...	...	...
37	...	...	...	...
38	...	...	...	...
39	...	...	...	...
40	...	...	...	...
41	...	...	...	...
42	...	...	...	...
43	...	...	...	...
44	...	...	...	...
45	...	...	...	...
46	...	...	...	...
47	...	...	...	...
48	...	...	...	...
49	...	...	...	...
50	...	...	...	...
51	...	...	...	...
52	...	...	...	...
53	...	...	...	...
54	...	...	...	...
55	...	...	...	...
56	...	...	...	...
57	...	...	...	...
58	...	...	...	...
59	...	...	...	...
60	...	...	...	...
61	...	...	...	...
62	...	...	...	...
63	...	...	...	...
64	...	...	...	...
65	...	...	...	...
66	...	...	...	...
67	...	...	...	...
68	...	...	...	...
69	...	...	...	...
70	...	...	...	...
71	...	...	...	...
72	...	...	...	...
73	...	...	...	...
74	...	...	...	...
75	...	...	...	...
76	...	...	...	...
77	...	...	...	...
78	...	...	...	...
79	...	...	...	...
80	...	...	...	...
81	...	...	...	...
82	...	...	...	...
83	...	...	...	...
84	...	...	...	...
85	...	...	...	...
86	...	...	...	...
87	...	...	...	...
88	...	...	...	...
89	...	...	...	...
90	...	...	...	...
91	...	...	...	...
92	...	...	...	...
93	...	...	...	...
94	...	...	...	...
95	...	...	...	...
96	...	...	...	...
97	...	...	...	...
98	...	...	...	...
99	...	...	...	...
100	...	...	...	...

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that this department has no remarks to offer on the distribution proposed by the Director of Public Instruction and the file passed on to Financial u.o.

M.J.S.—12-12-18.
C.S.S.—12-12-18.
T.K.A.—14-12-18.

K. V. VISWANATHA AYYAR—16-12-18.
L. A. CAMMIADE—16-12-18.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The current year's budget contains a provision of 1 lakh for subsidies to local bodies for elementary school buildings. Out of this provision, a sum of Rs. 3,600 has till now been disbursed, and the balance available is Rs. 96,400.

The Director of Public Instruction now proposes to disburse a total subsidy of Rs. 2,95,322. Deducting the sum of Rs. 96,400 which is available, an additional allotment of Rs. 1,98,922 is necessary.

2. The Director of Public Instruction proposes to meet this sum (Rs. 1,98,922) by reappropriation from the following sources :—

	RS.
(a) From the lump provision of 1.11 lakhs for model high schools	98,922
(b) From the Imperial recurring grant of 5.50 lakhs for the development of elementary education	1,00,000
	<u>1,98,922</u>

3. Submitted that the proposals may be accepted in Financial.
V.G.K.—20-12-18.

J. M. HOOPER—20-12-18.
C. G. TODHUNTER—21-12-18.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

S.K.—22-12-18.
N.K.—24-12-18.

Issue.

L.D.S.—27-12-18.

[G.O. No. 1677, Home (Education), dated 27th December 1918.]

ORDINARY.

PRESS SLIP.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

DEPARTMENT.

Current No. dated 191 ..

G.O. No. 16.7.7, dated 27-12-1918.

Number of MS. pages sent {
(b) Correspondence.
(b) Notes.

Brief subject.

Subsidies

(e) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records	4	30-0	20-15
Ooty Records		4-3	8-4
For filing with original		1-1	7-1
Total ..		35-4	30-20

FOR ISSUE.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	(b) Special issue copies of G.O.	Notes.
Signature copies.....				
India Office.....				
Govt. of India.....Dept.				
Revenue Department.....				
Public Works Department.....				
Local and Municipal Department.....				
Educational Department.....Dept.				
Public Dept.....				
Judicial Dept.....				
Political.....				
Financial Dept.....2			2	
Marine Dept.....				
Ecclesiastical Dept.....				
Total ..			2	
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
Total ..			10-20	

* Copies distributed on ‡ (Press Clerk).

† Copies distributed on ‡ (Press Despatcher).

‡ To be initialled and dated.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencee concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS COTY.

A

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

Supdt.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	2.8/1.2.
First proof furnished on.....	4/1/18
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				