

*Home* (1918) Department.

*Education*

*Ordinary* SERIES.

G.O. No. 1141

DATED 4. 9. 18

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council .

Recording papers relating to the question asked by the Honourable Diwan Bahadur A. Subbaroyalu Reddiyar at the meeting of the ----- held on the 13th August 1918 regarding the removal of a student from the College of ~~Engineering~~ Engineering, Madras.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

L. & M. (iv) 8-1.000-1-2-18.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Q 61

QUESTION—No.

61

for the meeting of the Legislative

Council to be held on the 13-8-1918.


SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the *Hane (Education)* Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Questions in the Legislative Council. Orders regarding admission will be communicated in due course.

V.T.K.  
15-7-18.

Leg U.O. No. 1564-1, dated 15-7-18.

To

The *Hane (Education)* Department with Q. No. 61.



10-15-7-18]

2  
3  
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL (LEGISLATIVE) DEPARTMENT.

Home (Education) Department.

The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur A. Subbarayalu Reddiyar.

61 Q.—(a) Is it a fact that the student T. D. Krishnaswami Mudali, L. 346, 1917, of the Lower Subordinate class of the College of Engineering, Madras, was, after he has duly completed his three years' course, struck off the list in his class?

ap/ (b) ~~Were~~ were charges duly framed against him and was opportunity given as required by law to explain why his name should not be struck off the list before his name was so struck off?

(c) Is it true that the student applied more than once to be given such an opportunity?

[Meeting of the 13th August 1918]

For orders of the D.D. may be asked  
to obtain information. In case it be  
considered that the question should  
be answered on merits.

We have no information.

Submitted <sup>for Dr. Dwyer</sup> ~~that~~ the D.P.S may be requested to obtain particulars relating to the student referred to in the question on the previous page so that a reply may be given to the Adm. member.

8K  
1617/18

CA  
16.7.18  
Lr

For orders if the D.P.S may be asked to obtain information; (in case it be considered that the question should be answered on merits).


We have no information.

My  
17/7/18

HE

18 JUL 1918

Home (800) 110  
1617/18



1617/18

DP2 (100) 1617/18

I have been very firm about this man which conclusively shows that he was guilty of malpractice in the examination.

The replies I should give are

(a) The student in question was struck off the list in his class for malpractice in a course examination

- (b). <sup>as</sup> ~~For~~ in course of any rule of law governing inquiry into cases of this kind. They are however satisfied that sufficient and impartial inquiry was made and that the student was given every opportunity of proving his innocence.
- (c) Yes: his petitions were disposed of by the D.P.I.

CA  
26/7

Des draft  
copy  
to Mr  
26/7


Maybe subd to H.E. for approval  
draft. up

CA  
26.7.18  
Sen

my  
26/7/18

H.E.

P.  
27.7.18.



The question & answer in their final form were as below:-

Removal of a student from the Engineering College.

- 67 Q.—(a) Is it a fact that the student T. D. Krishnaswami Mudali, L. 346, 1917, of the Lower Subordinate class of the College of Engineering, Madras, was, after he has duly completed his three years' course, struck off the list in his class?
- (b) Were charges duly framed against him and was opportunity given as required to explain why his name should not be struck off the list before his name was so struck off?
- (c) Is it true that the student applied more than once to be given such an opportunity?
- 67 A.—(a) The student in question was struck off the list in his class for malpractices in a college examination.
- (b) The Government are not aware of any rule governing inquiry into cases of this kind. They are, however, satisfied that sufficient and impartial inquiry was made and that the student was given every opportunity of proving his innocence.
- (c) Yes: his petitions were disposed of by the Director of Public Instruction.

Mr  
order  
N-11416 4-9-18

Submitted that these papers may be  
Recorded.  
CA  
4.9.18

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.  
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only.